KELLAHIN AND KELLAHIN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

EL PATIO BUILDING

II7 NORTH GUADALUPE

POST OFFICE BOX 2265

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2265

TELEPHONE (505) 982-4285 TELEFAX (505) 982-2047

C. CONCERNATION DIVIDIO:

W. THOMAS KELLAHIN*

*NEW MEXICO BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION RECOGNIZED SPECIALIST IN THE AREA OF NATURAL RESOURCES-OIL AND GAS LAW

JASON KELLAHIN (RETIRED 1991)

September 15, 1993

HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Michael E. Stogner Hearing Examiner Oil Conservation Division 310 Old Santa Fe Trail Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Re: Meridian Oil Inc.'s

Downhole Commingling Cases

Dear Mr Stogner:

On behalf of Meridian Oil Inc., please find enclosed our suggested order. I have drafted it as an "A" Order to supplement Order R-9920.

If you approve this request, then a combination of both Order R-9920 as modified by this "A" Order would be appropriate for the following additional cases:

OCD Case 10745 (Valdez #5 Well)
OCD Case 10754 (San Juan 28-4 Unit #225 Well)

If you desire me to draft these additional orders, I will be happy to do so. Please let me know.

W. Thomas Kellahin

cc: Alan Alexander

Meridian Oi Inc. (Farmington)

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	Case	No.	10721
APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	Case	No.	10722
APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.	Case	No.	10723
APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING,	Case	No.	10724

APPLICATION OF MERIDIAN OIL INC. FOR AN UNORTHODOX GAS WELL LOCATION AND DOWNHOLE COMMINGLING, SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

Case No. 10725

Order No. R-9920-A

MERIDIAN OIL INC.'S PROPOSED ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This cause came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on April 22, 1993, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Michael E. Stogner.

NOW, on this ____day of September, 1993, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record, and the recommendations of the Examiner, and being fully advised in the premises,

FINDS THAT:

- (1) Due public notice having been given as required by law, the Division has jurisdiction of this cause and the subject matter thereof.
- (2) On August 26, 1993, Case Nos. 10721 through 10725 and Case Nos. 10745 and 10754 were Re-opened and consolidated for the purpose of presenting additional testimony.
- (3) These cases all involve applications by Meridian Oil Inc. ("Meridian") for approval to initially drill, complete and produce each subject well as downhole commingled wells which would commingle production from the Pictured Cliffs formation with production from the Basin-Fruitland Coal Gas Pool.
- (4) On July 9, 1993 the Division entered Order R-9920 approving the applications in Case Nos 10721 through 10725, which adopted Meridian's proposed allocation formula but which also established economic limitations on downhole commingling of the production from these two formations which provided:
- "...in the event total gas production from both pools in a well exceeds 300 MCF per Day, downhole commingling will not be allowed in the effected well until the combined rate drops below 300 MCF/day."

- (5) In addition, the Division proposed to issue orders in Cases 10845 and 10754 containing similar economic limitations as set forth in Order R-9920.
- (6) Meridian timely requested that these cases be reopened so that it could present supplemental evidence concerning this issue in order to demonstrate that this economic limitation, unless amended, would preclude the only economic method available to produce the Pictured Cliffs and Basin Fruitland Coal Gas formation gas in these wells.
- (7) Meridian presented expert petroleum engineering data and economic analysis which support adoption of an "Economic Limit" for downhole commingling in all seven cases with such Economic Limit being based upon the relationship of costs to rate and estimated ultimate gas recovery from either the Pictured Cliffs formation or the Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pool.
- (8) In addition, Meridian has presented a graph which can be utilized by the Division as an accurate and reliable means by which to establish an Economic Limit for the downhole commingling of production from either of these pools in this area and is hereby adopted as Exhibit "B" to the original Order.
- (9) The Economic Limit plotted on Exhibit "B" is based upon either the Pictured Cliff or Basin Fruitland Coal Gas Pool well costs with three individual curves representing the minimum estimated cost of (1) a single well (\$320,000.), (2) a dual completed well (\$270,000.) or (3) a downhole commingled well (\$200,000.).

- (10) As established by Exhibit "B", if the combination of initial rate and estimated ultimate gas recovery for each of the subject wells falls below the curve plotted for the dual completed cost example, then and in that event downhole commingling is the only economic means by which to produce either pool.
- (11) For example, if the initial rate of a well is 500 MCFPD and an EUR has been calculated for the well to be 400 MMCF, then as indicated on Exhibit "B" the example well's Economic Limit will be below the dual completion economic limit curve and therefore the example well is entitled to be downhole commingled.
- (12) In contrast, the Economic Limit adopted in Order R-9920 is too restrictive because it failed to address the fact that there are various combinations of either rate or EUR other than those used in Order R-9920 which would be economic or uneconomic.
- (13) Meridian's graph adopted as Exhibit "B" provides an Economic Limit which solves the difficulties of this provision of the current order.
- (14) Also, Division Order R-9920 is more restrictive than the Division's statewide Rule 303-C(1)(b)(i) which allows downhole commingling based upon the economics of a single zone rather than requiring the combined total gas production from both zones to be uneconomic.
- (15) No operator or interested party appeared in opposition to the application.
 - (16) This application should be granted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

- (1) Meridian's request to amend Order R-9920 is approved.
- (2) The following ordering paragraph contained on Page 8 of Order R-9920 is amended by deleting the following:

"PROVIDED HOWEVER, in the event total gas production from both pools in a well exceeds 300 MCF per Day, downhole commingling will not be allowed in the effected well until the combined rate drops below 300 MCF/day."

and the substituting the following:

"PROVIDED HOWEVER, in the event the Economic Limit plotted for production from either pool in a well is 1 the curve for the dual completion case as plotted on Exhibit "B" [being a plot of costs compared to both maximum average daily producing rate against pipeline pressure ("Initial Rate") and an estimated ultimate gas recovery ("EUR")], then and in that event, downhole commingling shall be allowed in the effected well. In the event the Economic Limit plotted for production from both pools in a well initially exceeds the curve for the dual completion case, then downhole commingling shall not be allowed in the well until such time as the Economic Limit in that well for production from either pool drops below the dual completion curve plotted on Exhibit "B".

add a new ordering paragraph (3) as follows:

" As part of the procedure for obtaining authorization to produce the subject well as a downhole commingled well, the operator shall submit to the Supervisor of the Aztec Office of the Division a sworn

certificate verifying the cost, the Initial Rate and the EUR for that well. The Supervisor of the Aztec Office of the Division shall approve the downhole commingling and authorize the operator to produce the well if the Economic Limit for production from either pool in that well is less than the curve for the dual completion case as plotted on Exhibit "B". In the event the well initially fails to qualify for downhole commingling, the well can still qualify at some future date if and when the Economic Limit in that well for production from either pool drops below the dual completion case curve plotted on Exhibit "B".

renumber existing ordering paragraph (3) through (8)

(3) Jurisdiction is hereby retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION


WILLIAM J. LEMAY Director

SEAL

PICTURED CLIFFS / FRUITLAND COAL

ECONOMIC EVALUATION COMPLETION TECHNIQUE SENSITIVITY





SINGLE DUAL COMMINGLE 15% ROR 15% ROR 15% ROR