| 1 | NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION |
|-----|--|
| 2 | STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING |
| 3 | STATE OF NEW MEXICO |
| 4 | CASE NO. 10758 |
| 5 | |
| 6 | IN THE MATTER OF: |
| 7 | |
| 8 | The Application of Mewbourne Oil Company for an Unorthodox Oil Well |
| 9 | Location, Eddy County, New Mexico. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 1 4 | BEFORE: |
| 15 | MICHAEL E. STOGNER |
| 16 | Hearing Examiner |
| 17 | State Land Office Building |
| 18 | Thursday, July 1, 1993 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | IDENEINS |
| 21 | REPORTED BY: |
| 2 2 | CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ |
| 23 | Certified Court Reporter for the State of New Mexico |
| 24 | and the later than the same of |
| 25 | |

ORIGINAL

| 1 | APPEARANCES |
|--------|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | FOR THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION: |
| 4 | ROBERT G. STOVALL, ESQ. |
| 5 | General Counsel State Land Office Building |
| 6 | Post Office Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2088 |
| 7 | |
| 8 9 | FOR THE APPLICANT: |
| | HINKLE, COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY |
| 10 | Post Office Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2068 |
| 11 | BY: JAMES BRUCE, ESQ. |
| 12 | |
| 1 3 | |
| 1 4 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| ١9 | |
| 20 | |
| 2 1 | |
| 2 2 | |
| 23 | |
| 2 4 | |
| 2 5 | |
| | |
| | |

INDEX Page Number Appearances WITNESSES FOR THE APPLICANT: ROBIN VASICEK 1. Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Mr. Stogner Certificate of Reporter EXHIBITS Page Marked Exhibit No. 1 Exhibit No. 2 Exhibit No. 3 Exhibit No. 4 Exhibit No. 5 Exhibit No. 6 Exhibit No. 7 Exhibit No. 8

| 1 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | 10758. |
| 3 | MR. STOVALL: Application of Mewbourne |
| 4 | Oil Company for an unorthodox oil well location, |
| 5 | Eddy County, New Mexico. |
| 6 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for |
| 7 | appearances. |
| 8 | MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce |
| 9 | representing the Applicant, and I have one |
| 10 | witness to be sworn. |
| 11 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Any other |
| 1 2 | appearances? |
| 13 | Will the witness please stand to be |
| 1 4 | sworn. |
| 15 | ROBIN VASICEK |
| 16 | Having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was |
| 1 7 | examined and testified as follows: |
| l 8 | EXAMINATION |
| 19 | BY MR. BRUCE: |
| 20 | Q. Would you please state your name and |
| 2 1 | city of residence? |
| 2 2 | A. My name is Robin Vasicek. I live in |
| 23 | Midland, Texas. |
| 2 4 | Q. And who do you work for and in what |
| 2 5 | capacity? |

1 Α. I work for Mewbourne Oil Company as a 2 petroleum engineer. Have you previously testified before 3 the Division as a petroleum engineer? Yes, I have. Α. 5 6 Q. And your credentials as an expert were 7 accepted as a matter of record? Α. Yes, they were. 8 g Are you familiar with the engineering Q. 10 matters related to this application? 11 Α. Yes, I am. 12 Does your area of responsibility Q. include Southeast New Mexico? 13 Α. Yes, it does. 14 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. 15 Vasicek as an expert petroleum engineer. 16 EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Vasicek is so 17 qualified. 18 19 Q. Briefly, Mr. Vasicek, what does Mewbourne Oil Companys seek in this case? 20 21 Mewbourne seeks approval of an Α. unorthodox location in the Strawn formation, for 22 23 its Cedar Breaks "2" State Well #1. 24 What is the current status of this Q.

25

well?

- A. It's currently in the Bone Springs formation. It's a marginal producer, but we plan to deepen it from the Bone Springs to the Strawn.
- Q. Referring to Exhibit 1, would you discuss the area of interest?

A. Exhibit 1 is a land plat, showing

Section 2, Township 18 South, Range 30 East. The

west half is highlighted in yellow and comprises

the Cedar Lake-Strawn Pool.

The two Strawn producers are in the west half, and they are highlighted in green.

They are the Loco Hills State #1 in the southwest quarter, and the State CE in the northwest quarter.

Our Cedar Breaks "2" State Well #1 is highlighted in pink, and is in the northeast quarter of Section 2, and that northeast quarter will be dedicated to the well.

- Q. Who are the operators in this section?
- A. Mewbourne Oil Company operates the west half and the northeast quarter of Section 2, and the operators in the southeast quarter are Mewbourne and Yates Petroleum. Yates operates their Walters Lake State AML #1, which is a Bone Springs producer, and is located in the northwest

quarter of the southeast quarter.

- Q. What are the spacing and well location requirements for a Strawn well?
- A. The Cedar Lakes-Strawn pool is spaced on 160 acres, under Order No. R-9554(a), with wells to be located at least 660 from the outer boundary of the well unit.
- Q. What is the location of your subject well?
- A. The subject well is located 2130 from the north line, and 1980 feet from the west line. This makes it 150 feet too close to the south outer boundary of the unit.
- Q. Referring to Exhibit 2, why was the well originally drilled at this location?
- A. We had originally planned to drill the well 1980 feet from the west line and 1980 from the north line, which would make it an orthodox location for the Strawn. However, there was a pipeline there, and that caused us to move the location to 2130 from the north line.

Exhibit 2 is a copy of the daily report indicating why the well was restaked.

Q. Why are you deepening the well rather than drilling a new well?

1 A. This well is based primarily on 2 economics.

R

- Q. What is the cost of deepening the well?

 And I refer you to Exhibit 3.
- A. Exhibit 3 is an AFE for deepening the well. Our expected completed cost will be approximately \$523,000.
- Q. And, referring to Exhibit 4, what is the cost of drilling a completely new well?
- A. Exhibit 4 is an AFE prepared to drill a completely new well, and its completed well costs would be \$764,000.
- Q. You're saving about \$240,000 by just deepening the existing well?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. How does this effect well economics?

 And I'll refer you to your Exhibits 5 and 6.
 - A. Exhibits 5 and 6 are unrisked economic runs for a well that would produce similar to the --on a decline similar to the two current Strawn producers that are in the west half.

This is based on expectancy of about 112,000 barrels of oil over the lifetime, and 280 million cubic feet of gas.

Exhibit 5 is used to illustrate a

recompletion. Exhibit 6 will be used to illustrate a new well. Under investment, you can see the two associated costs.

On this exhibit, the first six rows are the six months for 1993, and then it goes annually from there. From this, in the right hand corner, you can see that we're looking at, for a recompletion, our profit indicators, we're looking at about one year and six months pay-out, and a 2.87-to-1 return on our investment.

For a new well, we're looking at a two years and seven months pay-out, and about a 1.97-to-1 return on investment. Normally, we would like to see under two and a half years for a pay-out, two-and-a-half-to-one on our money. This makes both of these fairly marginal. The recompletion, of course, will be a lot better than a drilled well.

- Q. Are there any other factors which

 Mewbourne considered in a decision to deepen the

 well rather than drill a new well?
- A. Yes. We had just finished drilling our Cedar Breaks "2" State Well #3.
 - Q. Where is that located?
 - A. That's in the southwest quarter of the

southeast quarter.

- Q. Of Section 2?
- A. Of Section 2. We drilled to the Bone Springs and then deepened it to the Strawn. While we were drilling our intermediate string, we encountered a water flow at approximately 40 barrels a minute, and we also and had a severely deviated hole, which cost us approximately \$250,000 extra. And we don't really want to risk encountering that again.
- Q. Did geology play a roll in the decision to deepen the well rather than drill a new well?
- A. No. Let's look at Exhibit No. 7. This is an isopach of the Strawn. On this isopach, we have our two Strawn wells highlighted in green.

 The wells with circles are wells that have penetrated the Strawn formation, and the wells with squares are Bone Springs producers.

X marks the location of an orthodox location and, as you can see, there are several other prospective locations which could be as good or better than the proposed location.

However, based on the costs of deepening the existing well versus drilling a new well, we don't feel that any of the other locations are as

1 good, economically.

- Q. Will the offsetting acreage be affected by this unorthodox location?
- A. No, we don't think so. The encroachment is very small. It's 150 feet. And then there are existing Strawn wells in the northwest quarter and the southwest quarter, and Mewbourne is in the process of completing its Cedar Breaks "2" Well No. 3, located in the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter. It will be completed in the Strawn. Therefore, there will be a Strawn well in each quarter section.
 - Q. Were offset operators notified of this application?
 - A. Yes. Yates was an operator that, when we filed the application, they have since farmed out their interest to us with regard to the Strawn.
 - Q. And Exhibit 8 is just a copy of my
 Affidavit of Notice, with the notice letter to
 Yates?
- 23 A. Yes, it is.
 - Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 7 prepared by you or compiled from company records?

| 1 | A. Yes, they were. |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Q. In your opinion, is the granting of |
| 3 | this application in the interest of conservation |
| 4 | and the prevention of waste? |
| 5 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 6 | Q. One final question, Mr. Vasicek. Do |
| 7 | you have a drilling deadline? |
| 8 | A. We have internal deadlines with our |
| 9 | partners which would require us to spud this by |
| 10 | August 1st, or we're going to meet with some |
| 11 | problems. |
| 1 2 | MR. BRUCE: Okay. At this time, Mr. |
| 13 | Examiner, I move the admission of Exhibits 1 |
| 14 | through 8. |
| 15 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 through 8 |
| 16 | will be admitted into evidence. |
| 17 | EXAMINATION |
| 18 | BY EXAMINER STOGNER: |
| 19 | Q. Mr. Vasicek, what was the original |
| 20 | intent of this well? |
| 21 | A. The original intent of this well was a |
| 2 2 | Bone Springs producer. The Bone Springs didn't |
| 23 | pan out. It's produced about two barrels and |
| 24 | about 10 Mcf a day. |

We recently drilled our Cedar Breaks

25

| 1 | "2" State No. 2, which is in the northeast |
|-----|--|
| 2 | quarter of the northeast quarter, and it's a |
| 3 | Morrow producer. Prior to drilling that well, we |
| 4 | really had no control for the Strawn for that |
| 5 | half-section, and when we drilled that well, it |
| 6 | kind of opened things up for us to where we could |
| 7 | see that we might have some Strawn potential in |
| 8 | that quarter. |
| 9 | Q. What is the proposed depth of the |
| 10 | Strawn? |
| 11 | A. 10,700 feet. |
| 1 2 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other |
| l 3 | questions of this witness? He may be excused. |
| 14 | Mr. Bruce, anything further? |
| 1 5 | MR. BRUCE: No, sir. |
| 16 | EXAMINER STOGNER: Case No. 10758 will |
| L 7 | be taken under advisement. |
| 18 | And with that, hearing adjourned. |
| 19 | (And the proceedings concluded.) |
| 20 | |
| 2 1 | |
| 2 2 | I do hereby certify that the foregoing is |
| 23 | a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 10758 |
| 2 4 | heard by me on fuly 1993. |
| 25 | Olf Conservation Division |

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3) SS. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified 6 7 Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings 8 before the Oil Conservation Division was reported 9 10 by me; that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal supervision; and that the 11 foregoing is a true and accurate record of the 12 proceedings. 13 14 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or 15 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have 16 no personal interest in the final disposition of 17 this matter. 18 19 WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL July 22, 1993. 20 21 22 23 CCR No. 4 24

25