

VOLUMETRIC RESERVE ESTIMATE

Atoka "AC" Sand Isopach - Area above 2800 psi pressure lin

Apache Area

Eddy County, New Mexico

Contour Interval	5 ft	10 ft	15 ft
Area (acres)	1190	600	151

Estimated Reservoir Volume= 12,227 AcreFt

Current Gas In Place (3100 psi) = 940 MCF/AcreFt

Recoverable Gas In Place (85% RE) = 800 MCF/AcreFt

Estimated Recoverable Reserve (as of 12/93) = 9,800,000 MCF

Cumulative Production from Apache 13 Fed #1 (as of 7/11/94) = 967,000 MCF

Sample Calculation:

$$Vb1 = 5 (1190) = 5,950 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$Vb2 = 5/2 (1190+600) = 4475 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$Vb3 = 5/3 [600+151+ \text{sqrt}(600 \times 151)] = 1,802 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$\text{Estimated Reservoir Volume} = Vb1 + Vb2 + Vb3 = 12,227 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$\text{Estimated Current Recoverable Reserve} = 800 \text{ MCF/AcreFt} \times 12,227 \text{ AcreFt} = 9,800,000 \text{ MCF}$$

CDR 07/19/94

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Case No. 11019 Exhibit No. 15
Submitted By:
MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION
Hearing Date: July 21, 1994

ESTIMATED RESERVOIR VOLUME & RECOVERABLE RESERVES

Atoka "AC" Sand

Apache Area

Eddy County, New Mexico

E/2 Section 13, T22S,R30E

Contour Interval	E/2	5 ft	10 ft
Area (acres)	320	263	95

Estimated Reservoir Volume= 2,317 AcreFt
Current Recoverable Gas In Place (3100psi) = 800 Mcf/Acre/Ft
Current Recoverable Reserve Estimate = 1,854,000 Mcf

S/2 Section 7, T22S,R31E

Contour Interval	S/2	5 ft	10 ft
Area (acres)	320	252	106

Estimated Reservoir Volume= 2,300 AcreFt
Current Recoverable Gas In Place (3100psi) = 800 Mcf/Acre/Ft
Current Recoverable Reserve Estimate = 1,840,000 Mcf

Sample Calculation:

$$Vb1 = 5/2 (320+263) = 1,457 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$Vb2 = 5/3 [263+95+ \text{sqrt}(263 \times 95)] = 860 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$\text{Estimated Reservoir Volume} = Vb1+Vb2 = 2,317 \text{ AcreFt}$$

$$\text{Estimated Recoverable Reserve} = 2,317 \text{ Acre/Ft} \times 800 \text{ Mcf/AcreFt} = 1,854,000 \text{ Mcf}$$

CDR 07/19/94

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Case No. 11019 Exhibit No. 16
Submitted By:
MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION
Hearing Date: July 21, 1994

ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

Vertical Morrow Test vs. Directional Morrow Test w/1000' Displacement

Yates Petroleum Corporation
Llama "ALL" Fed. Well No. 1
S/2 Sec. 7, T22S, R31E, NMPM
Eddy County, New Mexico

	Vertical Well			Directional Well		
Reserves (BCF)	3.0	4.0	5.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
P. V. Profit (BFIT@10%) (\$)	2,477,500	3,958,800	5,442,000	2,187,400	3,668,700	5,152,000
Rate of Return (%)	77	>100	>100	59	97	>100
Payout (Mos)	17	13	11	20	15	13
Discounted Profitability Index	1.38	2.20	3.00	1.05	1.76	2.47

Assumptions: Drill and completion costs of \$1,791,000 (DHC=\$1,291M+CC=\$500M)for a vertical well.
Drill and completion costs of \$2,080,000 (DHC=\$1,580M+CC=\$500M)for a directional well.
Gas price of \$2.00/mcf escalated at 5%/yr. for life

Conclusion: Assuming a conservative reserve of 3.0 BCF, economics to drill directionally to a standard location are profitable.

CDR 07/19/94

BEFORE THE
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
Case No. 11019 Exhibit No. 17
Submitted By:
MITCHELL ENERGY CORPORATION
Hearing Date: July 21, 1994