1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING			
2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO			
3	21 December 1988			
4				
5	DVAMINID HUADING			
6	EXAMINER HEARING			
7				
8	IN THE MATTER OF:			
9	Application of Stevens Operating Corp- CASE oration for an unorthodox oil well lo- 9561			
10	cation, Chaves County, New Mexico.			
11				
12	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner			
13				
14				
15				
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
17				
18	APPEARANCES			
19	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall			
20	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division			
21	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico			
22	For Stevens Operating Don Stevens			
23	Corporation: Attorney at Law Roswell, New Mexico			
24				
25				

1		2	
2	INDEX		
3			
4	STATEMENT BY MR. STEVENS	3	
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stovall	8	
6			
7	EXHIBITS		
8			
9	Stevens Exhibit One, Plat	4	
10	Stevens Exhibit Two, List	4	
11	Stevens Exhibit Three, Isopach	5	
12			
13 14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

MR. STOGNER:

Call next Case

2 Number 9561.

pearances.

MR. STOVALL: Application of

Stevens Operating Corporation for an unorthodox oil well location, Chaves County, New Mexico.

MR. STOGNER: Call for ap-

MR. STEVENS: Mr. Chairman,

I'm Don Stevens of Roswell, New Mexico, representing the applicant, Stevens Operating Corporation. I have one witness to be sworn, who is me, President of Stevens Operating corporation.

MR. STOVALL: Are you appearing as an attorney or as a principal in this, Mr. Stevens?

A Both, if I may.

(Mr. Stevens sworn.)

MR. STEVENS: I am President of Stevens Operating Corporation, Stevens Oil Company, which owns the leases, also. I have testified many times before the Oil Conservation Division and had my qualifications accepted; however, I'll be happy to go through them if the Division would so like.

MR. STOGNER: I think we can

waive that, Mr. Stevens.

2

1

MR. STEVENS: Thank you, sir.

Standard spacing in the field

3

This is a request for an unor-

thodox location in the Twin Lakes Devonian Field in Chaves

5

County, New Mexico.

and the No.

west line.

sites in the field.

6

is 80-acre spacing and normal spacing is 660 feet from

7

either end of an 80-acre tract.

8

The three previous wells are

10

spaced on 80-acre spacing. The one in the northwest north-

11

west of Section 1, Township 9 South, Range 28 East, the No.

12

1 Well is the north half of the northwest. Pardon me,

13

that's the No. 2 O'Brien "C" Well.

14

The No. 4 O'Brien "C" is in

1 O'Brien "C" is in the south half of the

15

the north half of the southwest quarter of that Section 1,

16 17

southwest quarter of that Section 1.

18

The proposed location would be

19

unorthodox in that it would be pulled to the west at a

20

location 1870 feet from the north line, 80 feet from the

21

The

22

cates a commercial land map and the operators and the well

24

Exhibit Two is a tabulation of

Exhibit One merely indi-

offset operators or unleased mineral owners in the deep the area. All of these were mailed and return receipt requested as noted on that. To our knowledge, these are the -- all of the offset operators or unleased mineral owners.

Those unleased mineral owners are basically in -- they have a 16th in all of the east half of Section 2, except the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter, which is under a held by production lease, which Stevens Operating Corporation operates, or did operate and still owns.

The Exhibit Three is a structure contour map drawn on top of the Fusselman and I might point out, this is of the Twin Lakes Devonian Field. Fusselman is the producing formation in the field, even though it's called Devonian, historically the older fields this type were called Siluro-Devonian because they didn't know whether it was Silurian, which is Fusselman, or Devonian, so when the contour interval is on the Fusselman, is the producing formation on which the field was originally called Devonian.

asymmetrical anti-It's an It has extensive seismic lines shown thereon. cline. The original Devonian wells are all shown thereon, also.

Note the discovery well in the

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

northwest northwest quarter. It was drilled on old seismic by Magnolia Petroleum Company in 1950; discovered the field, made some 46,000 barrels of oil between discovery and 1964 when it was plugged back to the San Andres formation.

The second well on the field, the No. 1 O'Brien "C" in the southwest southwest of Section 1 is the second well in the field. Again it was seismically located and it is still producing as of this month. It's had a checkered history of on and off but it's still producing.

The Number 3 O'Brien "C" in the northwest quarter of the southwest quarter, was depleted after producing some 8000 barrels of oil.

The field is -- is -- is marginally commercial. If the wells are high enough, located on the structure, then they can be commercial. The proposed location is the highest point known in the area based upon the subsurface geology and on the seismic lines that have been run in the area. The reason for that location being picked in that point as opposed to a standard location is a standard location in our opinion would not be commercial. All of the wells in the field are not commercial, except possibly the O'Brien "C" 1 in the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter. It is the highest well

 currently producing in the field and the proposed location would be some 15 feet higher structurally to it.

The wells make a lot of water.

They have quite -- quite a corrosion problem and their commerciality is prolematical unless you can get high enough on the structure to appreciably get more oil and get out of the water which costs so much to produce.

The proposed location did fall near the section line and some 580 feet west of the standard location. This is almost what you call "attic oil". At this location none of the wells that had been drilled ever produced the oil that could be produced in this location and then it should be structurally higher, if it's as high as we think it will be, and the only way, in our opinion, that this oil could be produced from the reservoir is by approval of this unorthodox location in that it couldn't be drilled at a standard location since it would be noncommercial.

We feel this would be, if the application were not approved, it would constitute waste in the sense of economic waste, and that oil would not be drilled, or recovered, because the standard locations could not so do.

We feel correlative rights are protected. The ownership in these areas are generally the

same. The only really difference in that east half is that there's that minor interest that's unleased. Those unleased interests have leased interests under the drill site also. They were all properly noticed with registered mail, return receipt received.

 $\label{eq:these exhibits were prepared} \mbox{ by me or under my direction.}$

I have no further on direct and would be happy to answer any questions.

And I'll go ahead and offer these exhibits at this time, if I may.

MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One, Two and Three will be admitted into evidence at this time.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOVALL:

Q Mr. Stevens, looking at Exhibit One, within the proration unit you show it appears to be two other wells. Did they -- were they deep enough to test the Fusselman and Devonian?

A They were not. They're San Andres wells and the -- as you can obviously see, there are many other wells in the area and they're all San Andres. The deep wells, the Devonian wells, are shown on Exhibit Three only and if there are -- there are no other wells in the area

that went to the Devonian except those shown on Exhibit

Three.

Q How many -- how many wells does Stevens Operating presently operating in that area? How many wells is Stevens operating to this formation, do you know, in the area?

A We currently operate the O'Brien "C" No.

1 in the southwest southwest of Section 1.

The other wells are all plugged out or producing in other formations.

Q In -- did you drill the No. 1, did Stevens drill the No. 1?

A No, that was drilled by Magnolia Petroleum Company in 1964.

Q Do you know or have any knowledge of whether there's any sort of natural drift in drilling wells in this area of the --

A I don't think there is. The original discovery well in the northwest northwest quarter had a dog leg at 5400 feet, but the -- to my knowledge that have been drilled in there were within the required rules of 2 or 3 degrees of normal.

So you would not then anticipate a problem with this well drilled drifting across the section line?

y

I would not anticipate and I would guess I would state that I will make sure it won't. We can make a mathematical model of our degrees of deviation as we drill it and determine whether we, regardless of which direction the drift is, would cross that section line and of course that would be a violation of the Commission rules, to cross the section line in any case; therefore, we will, I guess, certainly endeavor and make sure that such lease line is not crossed.

Q With respect to the notice, you've indicated on your Exhibit Two that these are the owners of deep rights. What are you referring to with "deep rights"?

A Generally everything below the base of the San Andres formation.

Q And would you anticipate at any time testing or producing the shallower rights (unclear)?

A I would not anticipate it. None of the owners herein own the shallow rights. Pelto Oil Company owns (unclear) and they have an active waterflood in the area. It is conceivable that down the line they might have use for it, but we plan, and it is in our notice of intention to drill that we are going to cover the San Andres producing formation outside our 5-1/2 casing with cement. We plan to run 8-5/8ths to 1900 feet and circulate that cement, and we plan to run a DV tool in the long string and

11 1 bring that cement back to top of the 8-5/8ths, so the San Andres formation would be covered. 3 And you don't own the San Andres in your 4 acreage, either. 5 Α We do not. 6 MR. STOVALL: Nothing further. 7 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 8 other questions of this witness? Mr. Stevens, do you have any-10 thing further in this case? 11 MR. STEVENS: Nothing further. 12 MR. STOGNER: Does anybody 13 else have anything further in Case Number 9561? 14 This case will be taken under 15 advisement. 16 17 (Hearing concluded.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd COR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 2561, neard by me on 1988.

Oil Conservation Division Examiner