1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 15 March 1989	
4 5	EXAMINER HEARING	
6 7	IN THE MATTER OF:	
8	In the matter of cases called on this CASES date and continued or dismissed with- 9610 out testimony presented. 9619	
10 11	9624 9 6 26 9 6 27 9628	
12 13	Transcript in 9628 9628 9629 9630 9631	
14 15	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner	
16 17	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
18 19	APPEARANCES	
20	For the Division: Robert Attorn	t G. Stovall ney at Law
21 22	Legal State	Counsel to the Division Land Office Bldg. Fe, New Mexico
23 24		
25		

1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 29 March 1989	
4 5	EXAMINER HEARING	
6		
7	IN THE MATTER OF:	
8	Application of Yates Petroleum Corp- CASE oration for an unorthodox gas well 9628 location, Eddy County, New Mexico.	
9		
10		
11		
12	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner	
13		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
15 16		
17	APPEARANCES	
18	Han the Division.	
19	For the Division: For Yates Petroleum Chad Dickerson	
20	Corporation: Chad Dickerson Attorney at Law DICKERSON, FISK & VANDIVER	
21	Seventh & Mahone/Suite E Artesia, New Mexico 88210	
22	ALCOSTA, NOW MOXICO UUZIU	
23		
24		
25		

1 MR. CATANACH: At this time 2 we'll call Case 9628. Application of Yates Petroleum 3 Corporation for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico. 5 MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Examiner, 6 I'm Chad Dickerson of Artesia, New Mexico on behalf of the 7 applicant and I have one witness, Ms. Padilla, who your 8 record will show was previously sworn and qualified, in the preceding case. 10 CATANACH: Let the record MR. 11 show that. 12 Any other appearances in this 13 case? 14 You may proceed, Mr Dickerson. 15 16 THERESA PADILLA, 17 being called as a witness previously sworn and remaining 18 under oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. DICKERSON: 22 Padilla, will you state the purpose Ms. 23 of Yates' application in Case 9628? 24 In Case 9628 Yates Petroleum is seeking Α 25 approval for an unorthodox gas well location in Eddy

1 County, New Mexico. This is the re-entry of a plugged and 2 abandoned Carper Drilling Company Marathon State Well No. 3 It is located at an unorthodox gas well location of 660 feet from the north and the east lines of Unit A in Sec-5 tion 33, Township 17 South, Range 24 East. 6 Q Okay, let me direct your attention to 7 the land plat, which we have submitted to Mr. Catanach as 8 Exhibit Number One. Uh-huh. Α 10 Q Tell him from that plat what it shows. 11 The red spot on the map in Section 33 is Α 12 where the well would be, (unclear) 1020 feet into the top 13 of the Pennsylvanian Lower Canyon formation. At that time 14 it had 40 acres dedicated to it because it would be antici-15 pating oil in that formation. 16 So it was designed to be a test of the 17 Canyon formation and a 40-acre oil well was anticipated. 18 Was it dry and abandoned at that time at Q 19 that oil well location? 20 Α Yes, it was. 21 What are Yates current plans for re-en-Q 22 tering the well? You have actually, in fact, already ac-23 complished the re-entry, have you not? 24 That is correct. Α 25 And what does Yates anticipate doing by Q

that re-entry?

A By the re-entry we wanted to test the Upper Wolfcamp formation at a depth of 4886 to 4965 feet.

We also wanted to deepen the well to test the intermediate formations down to the Morrow, looking for Basal Penn sands. In this particular area to the northwest the Beard Wells are very productive from the basal Penn sands and we anticipate gas production.

Q Your anticipated gas productive zones have been tested and you'll talk about them a little bit more in a minute, --

A Yes.

Q -- will you not?

A Uh-huh.

MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Catanach, I might tell you for your information that by administrative order MSL-2637 the Wolfcamp completion, being up the hole for the old total depth in the abandoned Carper Drilling Company No. 1 Well, has been approved administratively. The application, therefor, in this hearing currently extends only for the lower formations in the interval tested by reason of Yates deepening by approximately 1000 feet of this old wellbore.

Q One further question on Exhibit Number One, Ms. Padilla, this acreage is totally surrounded to the

north and east in which direction the location is unorthodox for 320-acre spacing by Yates Petroleum Corporation acreage, is it not?

A Yes.

Q All right. Direct your attention to Exhibit Number Two. Identify this, tell us by whom it was prepared and for what purpose and what use you have made of it.

A The second exhibit is a combined sand isolith and structural map. The map was prepared by our geologist, Ray Beck.

The dashed lines that you see on the structural map show the top of the Chester Limestone. You'll see a trend of a dip, a regional dip of approximately 250 feet per mile to the southeast. The contour interval there is 500 feet.

As far as the isolith, the contour interval there is 10 feet and these are isoliths showing the various thicknesses of the basal Penn sands in the area.

of this exhibit, the Beard wells, the Hagstrom in Section 8, the McCaw in Section 18, and the Hanlett in Section 17, of Town 17 South, Range 24 East, are gas productive from the basal Penn sands, so we have run a trace line cross section A-A' trending from the northwest to the southeast,

3 4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

which is our next exhibit, showing the thicknesses of these sands.

Let me ask you, looking at Exhibit Number Two, if rather than re-entering this existing wellbore, what does Exhibit Number Two show with respect to the relative advantage of the existing wellbore as compared to the location of a standard location for a north half spacing unit?

Okay, the location of the Marathon AGI 1 is that we anticipate approximately 33 feet of basal Penn sands at its present location, unorthodox location. If we go ahead to the -- to spot a well in a standard location, we would not anticipate that many feet of pay, potential pay, of the basal Penn sand.

Your isolith shows the thickness of the sand decreasing as you would move this location toward a standard location --

> Standard location. А

-- for the north half. Q

Α That's correct, and the other aspect to look at is that it would cost approximately \$200,000 more to drill a well from surface to TD, about 8100 feet, versus just re-entering and deepening this well approximately 1000 feet.

> All right, turn to your cross section, Q

Exhibit Number Three, and tell us about the wells shown on it.

A Okay, if you'd refer back to your Exhibit Number Two, this Exhibit Number Three is a stratigraphic cross section A-A'. It is hung on top of the Lower Canyon formation and it shows pertinent correlations between several wells here.

You'll notice the Chester Limestone, which the structural map is mapped upon on Exhibit Two. The wells that are included in this cross section are the Beard Hagstrom No. 1 in Section 8 of 17 South, 24 East; the Beard McCaw No. 1 in Section 18 of 17 South, 24 East; the Hanlett No. 1 in Section 17 of 17, 24; the proposed deepening of Marathon AGI State No. 1 in Section 33, 17, 24; and the Yates Petroleum Richard Knob AEX No. 1 in Section 34 of 17, 24.

Now as you notice, the basal Penn sands are from a lower Canyon formation down through the Morrow Clastics and if you'll note, that the Morrow Clastics seem to pinch out toward the northwest and the Atoka actually sits on top of the eroded Chester Limestone.

The Morrow Clastics seems to thicken as you go to the southeast.

Okay, the other thing that I was going to mention, you'll also note that on the lefthand -- left

1 portion of the logs the yellow sections will tell you the 2 sand count and how we arrived at our isolith map on Exhi-3 bit Two, and the three Beard wells are producing from the 4 basal Penn sand in the Atoka. We anticipate, as we men-5 tioned in Exhibit Two, approximately 33 feet in the Marathon well.

Q So this exhibit was prepared prior to the actual re-entry of the well.

> Α That is correct.

And by showing a cross section between Q the existing wells with your proposed re-entry in between, you merely anticipated finding the same gas shows or gas production which are in these other wells in the existing wellbore.

> That is correct. Α

Q Now you testified that the re-entry has actually been accomplished at the current time. know the general results of what the basal Penn sands that you've mentioned --

> Α Yes.

-- reflect? Q

Α Yes. The well had TD'd at 7970 feet, so we had drilled an additional 950 feet. The top of the Pennsylvanian was spotted at 6107 feet with the top of the Morrow formation at 7690 feet. The zone in the Morrow for-

7 8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

mation, the interval 7770 to 7780, has been perforated and has tested this past Friday at 2-1/2-million cubic feet of gas per day.

MR. DICKERSON: It's my understanding, Mr. Catanach, that those logs have not yet been filed but they will be shortly in the normal course of business with the OCD.

Q Ms. Padilla, refer to the compilation of material that we have collectively submitted as Yates Exhibit Number Four and summarize for us what you've shown by that material.

A Exhibit Number Four consists of three sheets. The cover sheet will show you the economics of the Marathon re-entry. Attached to this are two AFE's, the first one showing the cost of drilling at a standard location, with the cost being \$483,975.

The third sheet will show the re-entry of the Monsanto, deepening it approximately 1000 feet to the Morrow, with the cost to drill and complete of approximately \$283,400.

If you take the difference of it, you're talking \$200,575 difference, and we feel by -- and reentering the Marathon well versus spotting another location and drilling from surface to TD, that we would be preventing economic waste.

1 Now, again, this exhibit was prepared Q 2 prior to the actual re-entry of the well so that actual 3 cost figures move very slightly from what you have shown. That is correct. 5 Does -- in your opinion as an engineer, 0 6 does the anticipated cost savings of approximately \$200,000 7 taken together with the geologic advantage obtained by re-8 entering the existing wellbore in and of itself prevent the 9 economic waste which would caused by drilling that well 10 from top to bottom at a standard location in the north half 11 of Section 33? 12 Yes. I do. 13 Q And the approval of this application 14 would therefor prevent that waste, in your opinion? 15 That is correct. Α 16 MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Catanach, 17 I'd move admission of Yates Exhibits One, Two, Three and 18 Four and I have no further questions. 19 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits One 20 through Four will be admitted as evidence. 21 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. CATANACH: 24 Ms. Padilla, you said the well has been Q 25 tested in the Morrow.

1 Α That is correct. It was perforated on 2 Friday and tested at 2-1/2-million cubic feet of gas a day. 3 And when you say basal Penn sand, you 4 are including the Morrow in that. 5 Α Yes, I am. The basal Penn sands start 6 with the lower -- the top of the lower Canyon formation 7 through the Morrow Clastics. 8 Were any other formations tested? Q You 9 said that you tested --10 I have not been in the office since Fri-11 day but they have several shows and I don't know the tops 12 above the Morrow, so I would anticipate Atoka potential; 13 they had several gas shown on the mud log. 14 Do you know anything about the Wolfcamp? Q 15 To the best of my knowledge they well Α 16 also be testing it but I do not know anything definite on 17 that. 18 Would you like me to get back to you on 19 that? 20 Q No, that's all right. Is your well in 21 Section 33 the only production -- well, is that a step out 22 from the production up in 8 and 17 and 18? There's nothing 23 in between those two? 24 No, sir, as far as our trace line cross 25

section, though, there is in the third zone, wells to the

1 southwest there. 2 There's some -- if you'll notice with 3 the red circular around the dry holes or around other 4 wells, you know that these are basal Penn gas shows either 5 by DST or through perforations. 6 Q Are the -- the wells up in Section 8 and 7 18, are those -- those are Atoka producers or is 8 there any way to differentiate the Atoka and the Morrow 9 over there? 10 Α Yes, they are Atoka producers. 11 Q They are Atoka. 12 Α They've been producing, I believe, since 13 1978. 14 Q There is no Morrow in those wells. 15 Α No. 16 Do you know if the well will be -- your Q 17 going to obviously produce it in the Morrow for the time 18 being. 19 A I believe they want to come up the hole 20 and test --21 They do. Q 22 Α -- the other formations, yes. 23 MR. CATANACH: I have no fur-24 ther questions of the witness. 25 Anything further in Case 9628?

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Salylo, Boyd CSP

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. That heard by the on About 1955

NI Conservation Division, Examiner