1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION
4	CASE 9845
5	
6	
7	COMMISSION HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of Yates Energy Corporation
12	for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County,
1.3	New Mexico.
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	BEFORE: WILLIAM LEMAY, CHAIRMAN
19	WILLIAM WEISS, COMMISSIONER
2 0	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
2 2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
2 3	February 15, 1990
2 4	
2 5	ORIGINAL

1	CHAIRMAN LEMAY: Good morning. I'm Bill
2	LeMay. This is Commissioner Bill Weiss, and
3	Commissioner Humphries will not be with us today, so
4	you'll just have two of the Terrible Three Bills.
5	This is the Oil Conservation Commission and
6	we are convening here to hear, first of all, Case
7	Number 9845.
8	MR. STOVALL: The application of Yates
9	Energy Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy
10	County, New Mexico. The Applicant requests this case
11	be dismissed.
12	CHAIRMAN LEMAY: Without objection, Case
13	Number 9845 will be dismissed.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	
21	
22	
2 3	
2 4	
2 5	

4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
8	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
9	the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me;
10	that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my
11	personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a true
12	and accurate record of the proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
14	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
16	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL February 28, 1990.
18	Calle Ding Solines
19	CARLA DIANE RODRIGUE?
20	CDR WO. JI
21	My commission expires: May 25, 1991
22	
23	
24	
25	

		Page
	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION CO	MMISSION
	EXAMINER HEARING	
	SANTA FE , NEW	MEXICO
Hearing Date: Dec	cember 27, 1989	Time: 8:15 A.M
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
Tilliant & John	Lampbell and Black, T.A. Byram	Synta Te
, but Haleer	,	Soitate
Thacair Hamilton	3 1	M. Dewassi
) caly (avin	Modial Lan Firm	Alb, NM
Cart Anderson	ofitis Eres, Corps.	Rosnell, IVM.
Jandace Callalia	Kellah- Kellahat Andrey	Santa Le
RevryZearce	Montgomen a Hudrews	solatie
Envil L'Adulla	Padillat Suydar	Santa Je
Eilly Helms	Enron Oil Gas Co	Midland, Tx
Cary L. Thomas	Enron Oil & Ges Co	Midland, Tx.
	Porter Drilling	Houslan
MOREN N. HOTLE	ENRON OIL & GAS	Haustoni

<u>-</u>		Page 1
	William V	Page <u>2</u>
	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION C	
	EXAMINER HEARING	
	SANTA FE, NEW	MEXICO
Hearing Date: Decem	ber 27, 1989	Time: 8:15 A.M.
	DUDDIG DAMENG	TOCAMTON.
IAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
·		
		,
1		<u>†</u>

WIL COLUMN TO MY DIVISION RECEIVED

'90 JUL 23 AM 9 09



July 19, 1990

State of New Mexico Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

Attention: Michael E. Stogner

Re: Case No. 9845 Order No. R-9093 Thornbush Federal #1 Eddy Co., NM,

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions of the captioned order, please be advised that all non-consenting parties, with the exception of Chevron USA, Inc., elected to participate in the drilling of the captioned well.

Total well costs involved in said drilling will be provided to your office for review in the near future.

Should you require additional information, please advise.

Yours very truly,

YATES ENERGY CORPORATION

Sharen R. Hamilton of

Sharon R. Hamilton

Landman

SRH/jj

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 9845
5	
6	
7	
8	EXAMINER HEARING
9	
10	IN THE MATTER OF:
11	
12	Application of Yates Energy Corporation
13	for Compulsory Pooling, Eddy County,
14	New Mexico
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
18	
19	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
20	
21	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
22	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
23	December 27, 1989
24	THE STATE OF THE S
25	

1 APPEARANCES 2 3 ROBERT G. STOVALL FOR THE DIVISION: Attorney at Law 4 Legal Counsel to the Divison State Land Office Building 5 Santa Fe, New Mexico 6 FOR THE APPLICANT: ERNEST L. PADILLA, ESO. Post Office Box 2523 7 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2523 8 FOR EXPLORERS PETROLEUM, WILLIAM F. CARR, ESQ. Campbell & Black, P.A. SPIRAL, INC., & HARVEY E. YATES CO. Post Office Box 2208 9 Santa Fe, N.M. 87504-2208 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	I N D E X	
2		Page Number
3	Appearances	2
4	SHARON R. HAMILTON	
5	Examination by Mr. Padilla	4
6	Examination by Mr. Carr Examination by Hearing Examiner	12 14
7	CURTIS A. ANDERSON	
8	Examination by Mr. Padilla	17, 27
9	Examination by Hearing Examiner Examination by Mr. Carr	2 4 2 5
10	Certificate of Reporter	29
11	EXHIBITS	
12	YATES ENERGY CORPORATION:	
13	EXHIBIT 1	5
14	EXHIBIT 2	6
15	EXHIBIT 3	6
16	EXHIBIT 4	8
17	EXHIBIT 5	9
18	EXHIBIT 6	18
19	EXHIBIT 7	19
20	EXHIBIT 8	20
21	EXHIBIT 9	23
22	EXHIBIT 10	23
23		
24		
25		
	CUMBRE COURT REPORTING	

1	HEARING EXAMINER: I'll call next case, No.
2	9845, which is the application of Yates Energy
3	Corporation for compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New
4	Mexico. At this time I'll call for appearances.
5	MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, I'm Ernest L.
6	Padilla of Santa Fe, New Mexico, for the Applicant. I
7	have two witnesses to be sworn.
8	MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my
9	name is William F. Carr with the law firm of Campbell
10	and Black, P.A., of Santa Fe. We represent Explorers
11	Petroleum Corporation, Spiral, Inc., and Harvey E.
12	Yates Company. I do not intend to call any
13	witnesses.
14	HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Carr.
15	Are there any other appearances? Will the witnesses
16	please stand to be sworn at this time.
17	(Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.)
18	HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Padilla?
1 9	SHARON R. HAMILTON
20	Called as a witness herein, after having been first
21	duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows:
22	EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. PADILLA:
2 4	Q. Ms. Hamilton, for the record please state
2 5	your full name.

- 1 A. Sharon R. Hamilton.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, for whom do you work?
- 3 A. Yates Energy Corporation.
- 4 Q. What do you do with Yates Energy?
- 5 A. I'm a landman.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division as a petroleum landman and had your credentials accepted as a matter of record?
- 10 A. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. Have you prepared certain exhibits for 12 introduction into this hearing today?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, I have.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, can you briefly tell us what the purpose of the hearing is today?
- 16 A. We are requesting a standard 40-acre 17 proration force-pooling order.
- 18 Q. And that comprises what acreage?
- 19 A. The southeast of the southwest quarter of 20 Section 1, in Township 18 South, Range 31 East.
- Q. Let me refer you to what we have marked as Exhibit NO. 1 and have you identify that for the Examiner, please.
- A. Yes, sir. That is a land plat covering the 25 18 South, 31 East. The southeast of the southwest is

indicated in yellow in Section 1.

- Q. Anything further concerning this exhibit?
- A. No, sir.

- Q. Ms. Hamilton, you have—well, tell us first what efforts you have made to voluntarily pool this particular 40-acre proration unit?
- A. We've submitted AFEs and operating agreements and requested participation. We've also requested farmout from those parties not interested in participating.
- Q. Let me refer you to what we've marked as Exhibit No. 2 and have you identify that for the Examiner.
- A. Yes, sir. This is an ownership listing of the acreage involved in this request, and it indicates the exact ownership and who has committed and who has failed to respond or has not elected to participate.
- Q. And which of those parties or entities are you seeking to force pool at this hearing?
- A. The Heyco Employees, Ltd., Explorers

 Petroleum Corporation, Spiral, Inc., W. T. Wynn and

 Chevron USA, Inc.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, perhaps it's best to go to
 Exhibit No. 3 and have you tell us what that is and
 what it contains?

A. These are copies of the various correspondence and notices that have been sent to the entities owning an interest in this acreage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Now, go ahead and go through Exhibit 3 and tell us which of those entities you have sent correspondence to.
- A. Okay. We've sent letters to Harvey E. Yates Company proposing the initial well; we've also submitted AFEs and operating agreements to Harvey E. Yates Company.
- Q. Did Harvey E. Yates Company agree to participate?
 - A. Yes, sir, they have elected to participate.
- Q. What other correspondence have you sent to the other nonparticipating interest owners?
- A. We've also sent them AFEs and requests to participate. They've also received notices of the hearing and of the date of the hearing.
- 19 Q. Who sent these parties notices of the 20 hearing?
- 21 A. The initial notice was sent by the Losee, 22 Carson Law Firm of the application.
- Q. Now, you filed an amended application in this case. Why did you do that?
 - A. There was an error in the initial

application describing the entire Section 1, and we were only requesting an application for the 40 acres.

- Q. Your applications state that the location is going to be 1930 from the west line and 330 feet from the south line of Section 1. Is that a standard location?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

15

- Q. Is that, in fact, the location that you're going to actually drill the well?
- 10 A. Actually, the location will probably have
 11 to be moved, for topographic reasons, to 1980 from the
 12 west line and possible 400 from the south line.
- 13 Q. And what's the nature of the topographic 14 problems?
 - A. There are some existing right-of-ways of pipeline in the area.
- Q. Okay. Is that location still going to be a standard location?
- 19 A. Yes, sir, it will still be standard in the 20 southeastern and southwest quarter.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, let's go on to Exhibit No. 4
 22 and tell us what that is.
- A. Exhibit No. 4 is just a summary of the correspondence and the telephone calls that I have personally made in regard to this drilling.

- Q. Have you been primarily in charge, for Harvey E. Yates, for seeking voluntary joinder for--
 - A. For Yates Energy Corporation?
 - Q. Yes, for Yates Energy Corporation.
- A. Yes, I have.

2

3

4

5

9

17

- Q. I knew I would make that mistake. At any rate, you have been involved in all those communications, whether oral or written?
 - A. Yes, sir, I have.
- 10 Q. Have there been any concerns expressed to
 11 you with regard to the drilling of the well, other
 12 than having had no response from the nonparticipating
 13 interest owners?
- 14 A. There was a question on our desired
 15 location, but that was the only response that we
 16 received.
 - Q. Was that response made by the nonparticipating owners?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Ms. Hamilton, let's go on to Exhibit 5 and tell us what that is?
- 22 A. That is the AFE that was originally 23 proposed for the drilling of the well.
- Q. Does that AFE reflect reasonable well costs
 for drilling of the well to the Bone Springs

- 1 | formation?
- 2 A. Yes, sir. That has been established in
- 3 | this area.
- 4 Q. By whom has that been established?
- 5 A. Harvey E. Yates Company.
- Q. Now, did Harvey E. Yates company sign a
- 7 | similar AFE?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, they did.
- 9 Q. Do you have anything further concerning
- 10 | your AFE?
- 11 A. No, sir, I do not.
- 12 Q. Ms. Hamilton, should the Division approve
- 13 | this application, is it the desire of Yates Energy to
- 14 be named the operator of the well?
- 15 A. Yes, sir, it is.
- 16 Q. Now, can you give us a recommendation as to
- 17 | what type of overhead charges you desire that a
- 18 Division Order contain, should the application be
- 19 approved; first, for a producing well rate and then
- 20 | for a drilling well rate?
- 21 A. We have requested a \$550 producing well
- 22 | rate and \$5,500 drilling rate.
- Q. Where did you obtain these figures?
- 24 A. These were the most current figures used by
- 25 | another operator in this vicinity.

1 Q. And who is that other operator? 2 Α. Harvey E. Yates Company. 3 Q. Does Harvey E. Yates Company operate wells 4 in this area? 5 Yes, sir, they do. Α. MR. PADILLA: Ms. Hamilton, I believe 6 7 that's all the questions I have of you. 8 At this time we would introduce Exhibits 1 9 through 5, Mr. Examiner, and I would tender the 10 witness for cross-examination. 11 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 1 through 5 12 will be admitted into evidence at this time. 13 For the record, Mr. Carr, who are you 14 representing today? 15 MR. CARR: We're representing Heyco, Spiral 16 and Explorers. 17 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. When you say 18 "Heyco," are you representing --19 MR. CARR: Harvey E. Yates Company. 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Okay. And how about the 21 Heyco Employees, Ltd.? 22 MR. CARR: We are. 23 HEARING EXAMINER: So you're representing 24 all four of those?

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

MR. CARR: Yes, we are.

HEARING EXAMINER: Your witness, Mr. Carr.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR:

1.8

- Q. Ms. Hamilton, my question is concerning the location of the well. The application that I've seen had a location 1930 from the west line and 330 from the south. The November 14 letter to other interest owners had a location 1980 from the west, 330 from the south, and today we have a location, I understand, 400 from the south and 1980 from the west; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Is the 1980 from the west and 400 from the south line, is that a final location or is that still under consideration?
 - A. It is still under consideration. We'll have to further verify the pipeline right-of-way that is in existence at this time.
- 19 Q. Are you also trying to keep the well a half 20 mile from existing production in the area?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. At the present time, is there seismic or any other work being conducted to confirm a location?
- A. Yes, sir, it's our understanding that there's seismic work being conducted.

1 ο. Is that being done by--and if you don't 2 know, tell me--is that being done by Yates Energy 3 Corporation or someone else? Α. No, sir. It's being conducted by Chevron. 5 Q. Will you have access to that? 6 Α. Yes, sir, we will. 7 0. And will the other interest owners in the 8 project also have access to that? 9 They all have the option to elect to Α. 10 participate. 11 Will they have access to that seismic, do 12 you know, before they make that election? 13 Α. Well, I believe that if they elected to pay 14 their proportionate share of the cost of the seismic, 15 they will be entitled to the information. 16 0. You indicated, I think, that Heyco had 17 agreed to participate in the project? 18 Α. Yes, sir, they did. 19 Q. Was that agreement under protest? 20 Α. They protested the location. 21 Q. Was a farmout request made to Spiral and 22 Explorers, as well as the other interest owners? 23 Α. I directly did not make one.

Do you have any other information on that?

24

25

0.

Α.

No, sir.

- 1 Q. Would anyone else with your company have 2 made that farmout offer? It might have been possible that my boss, 3 Fred Yates, might have directed that. 4 5 But you don't know? 0. I don't have direct knowledge. 6 Α. 7 MR. CARR: That's all I have. Thank you. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. STOGNER: 10 Ms. Hamilton, about the location, this is Q. 11 on federal acreage, is that right? 12 Yes, sir, it is. Α. This 400 from the south and 1980 from the 13 Q. 14 west, has that been approved by the BLM or has 15 archaeological work been done on that well site? 16 Α. We have done archaeological survey to the 17 1980/330 location. But if it is determined that it's 1.8 necessary to go 400 feet, we'll have to do an 19 additional survey. 20
 - Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned topography reasons because of the move from the original location. What were those topography problems?

22

23

24

25

A. There's an existing pipeline in the area and we were trying to avoid any disturbance of the right-of-way.

- Q. When I go to Exhibit No. 3, the first page is the September 1st letter to Harvey E. Yates

 Company, and then the third page is the November 14th Explorers Petroleum Corporation, Spiral, Heyco, I guess that's one single entity to that particular Roswell address, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Was there any communication prior to9 November 14th to these three parties?
- 10 A. There was a telephone notification. All of 11 these entities are located in the same complex.
- Q. Okay. But as far as written notification, there was none prior to November 14th?
- 14 A. No, sir, not a formal written location.
- 15 Q. When was your first contact made

 16 with--written contact--made with the Wynn and the

 17 Chevron group?
- A. Chevron's initial notification was November

 19 6th--I'm sorry. Excuse me. It was September 22nd,

 20 was the initial well proposal.
- 21 Q. And that is part of your Exhibit 3, is that 22 correct?
- A. Yes, sir, it should be.
- Q. I think I found it here whenever I asked the question. But, anyway, I do have it in front of

- 1 | me. And the Wynn interests?
- 2 A. November 7th.
- Q. Have you received any response from the Wynn interests?
- A. I've spoken to him, but he had not made a decision at the time.
 - Q. When did you speak to him last?
 - A. It was the first part of December.
- 9 Q. Okay. On your overhead charges you had
 10 mentioned, I believe that's \$5,500 drilling and \$550
 11 producing, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.

8

23

- Q. You referred to a recent well drilled by the Harvey E. Yates Company for where those figures had come from?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That particular Harvey E. Yates well, was that drilled to the same depth in the Bone Springs formation?
- 20 A. Yes, sir, it's in that Bone Springs field.
- Q. Was it a part of force pooling or had everybody agreed to that particular well?
 - A. I believe it was voluntarily.
- Q. Do you know if the same parties as you're force pooling today agreed to Harvey Yates Company's

1 wells, or was there some other interest involved? I'm not exact on that. 2 3 HEARING EXAMINER: Are there any other 4 questions of this witness? If not, she may be--5 MR. PADILLA: No further questions, Mr. 6 Examiner. 7 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Padilla, 8 if not, Ms. Hamilton may be excused. 9 MR. PADILLA: Call Mr. Anderson at this 10 time. 11 CURTIS A. ANDERSON 12 Called as a witness herein, having been first duly 13 sworn upon his oath, testified as follows: 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. PADILLA: 16 Mr. Anderson, state your full name, please. 0. 17 Curtis A. Anderson. Α. 18 Mr. Anderson, you're a petroleum geologist? 0. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Have you previously testified before the Q. 21 Oil Conservation Division and had your credentials 22 accepted as a petroleum geologist? 23 Yes, I have. Α. 24 Have you prepared certain exhibits, Q. 25 geologic exhibits, for introduction at this hearing

today?

A. Yes, I have.

MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we tender Mr. Anderson as an expert petroleum geologist.

HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Anderson is so qualified.

Q. Mr. Anderson, let's jump right into your Exhibit No. 6 and have you identify that for the Examiner, please.

A. Exhibit No. 6 is a production map of the objective prospect area. The wells circled in green are producers from the primary objective, first Bone Springs carbonate interval. The circles colored in yellow are producers from the second Bone Springs sand, which is a secondary objective in the proposed locations in the southwest--excuse me, the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 1, and it is a red square on the map.

The cluster of wells on the left, which is west, comprises the Tamano Bone Spring fields, and the cluster of wells on the right, which is on the East, is the Young Norre Bone Spring field. And the dashed line is the trace of cross-section A-A prime, which goes through the proposed location and is the subject of the next exhibit.

- Q. Mr. Anderson, you have outlined Section 1 in yellow. Is there any particular significance to that outline?
- A. Only in the fact that I think the working interest owners at the proposed location is consistent throughout the Section, and this is the area that Yates Energy Corporation has an interest in.
- Q. Is that in line with the Exhibit No. 2 that we previously introduced?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that all you have on Exhibit No. 6?
- 12 A. Yes.

1.5

- 13 Q. Let's go on to Exhibit No. 7, please, and 14 have you tell the Examiner what that is.
 - A. Exhibit No. 7 is a stratigraphic cross-section A-A prime, with west on your left, east on your right. This cross-section is hung on the top of the first Bone Springs sand.

The purple line is the top is the First

Bone Spring Carbonate, which is the primary objective
at the proposed location. Within the first Bone

Spring carbonate you have labeled the Thornbush

Dolomite Interval and the Young Deep Dolomite

Interval, and these intervals are colored in green.

These are the primary objectives and producing pay

zones within the first Bone Spring carbonate.

- Q. Mr. Anderson, does this green correspond with the green-colored wells in the Exhibit No. 6?
 - That's correct. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

- 0. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Anderson.
- What's colored in red is porosity or Α. Okav. neutron porosity greater than or equal to six percent, and this is the subject of the next exhibit, which is an isopach of this porosity.
- Are you ready to go on to the next exhibit now?
 - I would also like to point out what is labeled Structural Datum, and that is the correlation point that the final exhibit, which is a structure map, is contoured on.
 - Okay. Let's go on now to Exhibit 8. Q.
- Α. Exhibit No. 8 also shows the green circles which are producers from the First Bone Spring 19 Carbonate and the -- of course, the contour lines represent the isopach of the porosity that was shown on the cross-section.
 - Now, if you'll note the general east/west trend of porosity fix, these represent dolomite detrital wedges -- these are wedges that collected on the fore-reef side of the ABO reef. Now, the ABO reef

is subparallel to this east/west trend and is located just off the map area from one and a half to two and a half miles to the north.

These dolomite detritals collected on the fore-reef side of the reef and present this east/west trend.

- Q. Is that a general east/west trend?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

21

22

23

24

- 10 In reference to the risk in drilling for Α. 11 this as an objective, I would like to point out the 12 well that's located in the northwest of the northwest 13 of Section 9, of 18 South, 32 East, is a producing 14 well from the First Bone Spring Carbonate Interval. 15 It has produced 205,000 barrels of oil; and the 16 subsequent west offset, located in the northeast to 17 the northeast of Section 8, was a dry hole. 18 see that the porosity interval thinned in that 19 direction and no commercial production was established 20 in that particular zone.
 - Q. Mr. Anderson, in looking at your exhibits and going back to Exhibit No. 6, wouldn't it be possibly more prudent to drill a well in the southwest guarter of the southwest guarter of Section 1?
 - A. No, sir. That would enhance your

- l possibilities of completing in this Second Bone Spring
- 2 | sand interval, which is the secondary objective here.
- 3 That, we don't feel, is a commercially viable
- 4 objective for drilling at this depth in this area. It
- 5 makes a good secondary objective or bail-out zone.
- 6 We anticipate, and of course the isopach
- 7 | map shows it, that the depositional situation at the
- 8 | proposed location is very similar to the situation you
- 9 | see just over to the east and contoured in the young
- 10 | North Bone Springs Field. We feel that moving east,
- 11 | away from those wells in Section 2, of 18 South, 31
- 12 | East, will stay within the depositional -- the thick
- 13 depositional porosity zone, and will encounter good
- 14 | Bone Spring carbonate reservoir and justify the
- 15 | drilling of the well.
- 16 Q. And again, is that because you want to
- 17 | drill the upper zone, or your primary target is the
- 18 upper zone?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. In other words, you want to drill a green
- 21 | well?
- 22 A. That's right. We would like to end up with
- 23 | a green circle.
- Q. Do you have anything further concerning
- 25 | this exhibit so far? Are you ready to move now to

Exhibit No. 9?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

22

23

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go to Exhibit No. 9 and have you identify that, please, for the Examiner.
 - A. Exhibit No. 9 is a structure map, and again this is drawn on top of what is labeled Structural Datum on the cross-section, which is also the top of the young deep dolomite.

Indicated in purple on there is just an outline of the deposition as we saw it from the isopach map. We note that the proposed location is on structural strike with those first Bone Spring carbonate producers in the Tamano Field located just immediately to the southwest, and should be within the thick porosity interval within the first Bone Spring dolomite.

- Q. Anything further on this exhibit, Mr. Anderson?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. Let's go on now to your final Exhibit No.
- 21 | 10, and identify that for the Examiner, please?
 - A. Exhibit No. 10 is simply a summary of the testimony I have just given.
- Q. Mr. Anderson, would approval of this
 application be in the best interest of conservation of

- oil and gas, in your opinion?
- A. Yes.
- 3 MR. PADILLA: Mr. Examiner, we tender
- 4 | Exhibits 6 through 10, and we pass the witness at this
- 5 | time.

- 6 HEARING EXAMINER: Exhibits 6 through 10
- 7 | will be admitted into evidence.
- 8 EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. STOGNER:
- Q. Mr. Anderson, you show a plugged and
- 11 | abandoned or a dry well in the southeast-southeast of
- 12 | Section 1. Was that a Bone Springs test?
- 13 A. No, sir. It was a shallow test.
- 14 Q. When you say "shallow," did it not
- 15 | penetrate the Bone Spring?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. Now, to the south in Section 12 there are
- 18 | also several--well, let's look at those dry hole
- 19 | markers throughout Sections 7, 12 and 13. Are those
- 20 | all shallow, dry wells that did not penetrate the Bone
- 21 | Springs?
- 22 A. All the dry hole markers?
- Q. Yeah, in Sections 7 and 12 especially.
- 24 A. Okay. Note the well in the southeast of
- 25 | the northwest. It was a penetration.

1 Q. And that's in Section 7, right? 2 Α. Yes. I'm sorry, yes, in Section 7; and the 3 dry hole marker in the southwest of the southwest of 4 Section 12. On the production map it shows up as a 5 dry hole. And that was a recently drilled dry hole 6 location. The other wells are not deep enough. 7 0. Did you use some seismic information to 8 interpret this area between the two pools--9 No. sir. Α. 10 -- the North Young and the -- how do you 11 pronounce that, Tamano? 12 Tamano. No, sir, I haven't. Α. Tamano. 13 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Carr, do you have 14 any questions of this witness? 15 MR. CARR: Yes, I have just a couple. 16 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Carr. 17 EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. CARR: 19 Mr. Anderson, we've had several locations 20 mentioned as this prospect has developed. In vour 21 opinion, would any of the locations that have been 22 discussed be less risky than any other?

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

So the movement that you're considering

No, sir.

would not affect the risk?

Α.

0.

23

24

2.5

1 Α. No, sir. 2 In terms of the seismic work that's Q. 3 currently being run by Chevron, has Yates Energy paid 4 its share and will have access to that before you 5 actually spud? 6 Α. Before we actually spud, yes. 7 0. Will that line actually connect those two 8 areas depicted on your Exhibit No. 6? 9 Α. Yes, sir. 10 Q. So you will have that additional 11 information before you go forward? 12 We'll have that information before the well 13 should be spud. I'm not sure where they are at this 14 time in conducting this seismic survey. 15 Q. But you don't plan to spud until you get to 16 see it? 17 We plan to spud whenever we have our Α. 18 ruling. 19 Q. Would you do that before you have the 20 seismic data to review to confirm the location? 21 Α. That's correct.

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244

MR. PADILLA: No further questions.

MR. CARR: That's all I have.

Thank you Mr. Carr.

Mr.

HEARING EXAMINER:

Padilla, do you have any other questions?

22

23

24

2.5

1 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Padilla, either I 2 missed it or it wasn't covered, about the risk penalty 3 factor. 4 MR. PADILLA: I'll ask that. 5 EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. PADILLA: 7 Q. Mr. Anderson, you mentioned something in your testimony concerning the risk of this well, but I 8 9 failed to ask you what risk penalty you recommend. 10 I recommend the 200 percent, or maximum. 11 MR. PADILLA: No further questions. 12 HEARING EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Padilla. 13 Mr. Carr, do you have any questions concerning the 14 risk penalty? 15 MR. CARR: No questions. HEARING EXAMINER: Neither do I. 16 Mr. 17 Anderson, you may be excused. Mr. Padilla, do you 18 have anything else? 19 MR. PADILLA: Nothing else. 20 HEARING EXAMINER: Mr. Carr, do you have 21 anything further? 2.2 Nothing further, Mr. Examiner. MR. CARR: 23 HEARING EXAMINER: Does anybody else have 24 anything further in Case No. 9845? If not, this case 25 will be taken under advisement.

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Carla Diane Rodriguez Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
8	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
9	the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that
L 0	I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
L 2	accurate record of the proceedings.
1 3	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
l 4	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
15	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
l 6	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
17	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL December 28, 1989.
l 8	Carlo Con Contract
L 9	CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ
20	CSR No. 91
21	My commission expires: May 25, 1991
22	Fig. 4.4 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
23	a all since the armed promedings in the Saar har leading by Case No. 9875.
2 4	heard by the on 27 Secretion 1989.
2 5	Oil Conservation Division
	Con Courses Adviori PhAisioti