

HINKLE; COX, EATON, COFFIELD & HENSLEY

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

LEWIS C. COX
PAUL W. EATON
CONRAD E. COFFIELD
HAROLD L. HENSLEY, JR.
STUART D. SHANOR
ERIC D. LANPHERE
C. D. MARTIN
PAUL J. KELLY, JR.
MARSHALL G. MARTIN
OWEN W. LOPEZ
DOUGLAS L. LUNSFORD
JOHN J. KELLY
I. CALDEP EZZELL, JR.
WILLIAM B. BURFORD*
RICHARD E. OLSON
RICHARD R. WILFONG*
THOMAS J. MCBRIDE
STEVEN D. ARNOLD
JAMES J. WECHSLER
NANCY S. CJSACK
JEFFREY L. FORNACIARI
JEFFREY D. HEWETT
JAMES BRUCE
JERRY F. SACKELFORD*
JEFFREY W. HELLBERG*
ALBERT L. PITTS
THOMAS M. HNASKO
JOHN C. CHAMBERS*
MICHAEL A. GROSS
THOMAS D. HAINES, JR.
FRANKLIN H. MCCALLUM*
GREGORY J. NIBERT

DAVID T. MARKET*
MARK C. DOW
KAREN M. RICHARDSON*
FRED W. SCHWENDMANN
JAMES V. JOHNSON
RAYMOND HAMILTON
STANLEY K. KOTOVSKY
BETTY H. LITTLE
JEFFREY S. BAIRD*
R. T. S. MUSGRAVE
HOWARD R. THOMAS
PATRICIA A. WATTS
NANCY AUGUSTUS
MACDONNELL GORDON
REBECCA NICHOLS JOHNSON
PAUL R. NEWTON
WILLIAM R. JOHNSON
ELLEN S. CASEY
S. BARRY PAISNER
MARGARET CARTER JUDEWIG
MARTIN MEYERS
GREGORY S. WHEELER
ANDREW J. CLOUTIER
JAMES A. GILLESPIE
GARY W. LARSON
STEPHANIE LANDRY
JERRY D. WORSHAM II
JOHN R. KULSETH, JR.
TONY CONNERS*

218 MONTEZUMA
POST OFFICE BOX 2068

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2068

(505) 982-4554

OF COUNSEL
O. M. CALHOUN
MACK EASLEY
JOE W. WOOD

CLARENCE E. HINKLE (90-1985)
W. E. BONDURANT, JR. (913-973)
ROY C. SNODGRASS, JR. (914-987)

March 15, 1990

700 UNITED BANK PLAZA
POST OFFICE BOX 10
ROSWELL, NEW MEXICO 88202
(505) 622-6510
FAX (505) 623-9332

2800 CLAYDESTA NATIONAL BANK BUILDING
POST OFFICE BOX 3580
MIDLAND, TEXAS 79702
(915) 683-4691
FAX (915) 683-6518

1700 TEAM BANK BUILDING
POST OFFICE BOX 9238
AMARILLO, TEXAS 79105
(806) 372-5569
FAX (806) 372-9761

500 MARQUETTE N.W., SUITE 800
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102-2121
(505) 768-1500
FAX (505) 768-1529

*NOT LICENSED IN NEW MEXICO

Mr. Michael E. Stogner
Oil Conservation Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

Re: Case Nos. 9881, 9894 and 9895

Dear Mike:

On behalf of our client, I am requesting that the above-referenced cases be postponed until the next Hearing Examiner docket on April 4, 1990. The basis for my request is that I will be unavailable on March 21 and am familiar with the cases and therefore would like to present them. Naturally, if you have any questions or suggestions, please let me know.

Best regards.

Sincerely,



Owen M. López

OML:frs

c: Florene Johnson
James Fullerton
Steve Roche



STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

GARREY CARRUTHERS
GOVERNOR

April 19, 1990

POST OFFICE BOX 2088
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504
(505) 827-5800

Mr. Owen Lopez
Hinkle, Cox, Eaton,
Coffield & Hensley
Attorneys at Law
Post Office Box 2068
Santa Fe, New Mexico

Re: CASE NO. 9881
ORDER NO. R-9146

Applicant:

Richmond Petroleum Inc.

Dear Sir:

Enclosed herewith are two copies of the above-referenced
Division order recently entered in the subject case.

Sincerely,

Florene Davidson

FLORENE DAVIDSON
OC Staff Specialist

Copy of order also sent to:

Hobbs OCD x
Artesia OCD x
Aztec OCD x

Other _____



STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

GARREY CARRUTHERS
GOVERNOR

POST OFFICE BOX 2088
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504
(505) 827-5800

No. 1-90

MEMORANDUM

TO: All Operators

FROM: William J. LeMay, Director *WJL*

SUBJECT: Administrative Applications for Unorthodox Locations

DATE: March 21, 1990

Division Memorandum No. 3-89, dated March 24, 1989, advised the industry that the OCD would no longer automatically approve unopposed unorthodox location applications. Unorthodox locations can be approved administratively in accordance with the Rules and Regulations or applicable special pool rules if surface conditions truly prevent the use of a legal location and if directional drilling to a legal location is not feasible.

Topographic conditions which will be considered to justify an unorthodox location include such traditional factors as terrain features (steep slopes, arroyos, etc.) which make drilling impractical. In addition, approval may be given to avoid archeological sites which may not be disturbed without substantial mitigation, incompatible surface uses such as buildings, recreation areas, etc. Applications should fully document the reason an unorthodox location is required.

The attached guidelines state the minimum information which should be submitted with applications for administrative approval of unorthodox locations. Failure to provide the necessary information will probably result in processing delays.

If the surface of the proration unit or proposed drill site is controlled by a Federal Surface Management Agency, a copy of the application must be sent to the appropriate agency office.

If there are legal locations within the proration unit which are drillable, but the operator chooses not to drill those locations for geological reasons the application cannot be approved administratively and a hearing will be required.

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

SUBMITTAL GUIDELINES FOR ADMINISTRATIVE APPROVAL OF NON-STANDARD LOCATION APPLICATIONS

- I. If the well is located on Federal or Indian Lands, the Federal Surface Management Agency must be notified and an on-site inspection conducted prior to filing the application. If an Application for Permit to drill or a Notice of Staking has been prepared, a copy must be submitted.
- II. Completed C-102 showing the well location, proration unit, leases within the unit and other required information.
- III. Land plat showing offset operators and working interest owners and any offsetting wells producing from the same pool or formation.
 - A. This information may be shown on the topo map if it does not impair the readability of the map.
 - B. The operator should certify that the information is current and correct.
- IV. Original or clear copy of topographic map, preferably 7.5 minute quad, showing contours and other mapped features impacting the location, with the following information marked thereon (In order to be able to adequately show all of the necessary surface conditions it may be necessary to enlarge the relevant portion of the topo map to provide room for detail):
 - A. The proposed well location and proration unit;
 - B. An outline of the orthodox drilling windows as provided in the applicable rules for the subject application;
 - C. The location of any wells to any formation within the area of the proration unit and a statement as to whether an existing pad can be used to drill the proposed well;
- V. An enlargement of the topo map showing the subject area with the applicable additional information:
 - A. Terrain features not shown on the map which make an orthodox location unusable;
 - B. Proposed access roads and pipelines if they affect the location selection;
 - C. The location of any surface uses which prevent use of a legal location;

- D. The location of any archeological sites identified in the archeological survey;
 - E. The location and nature of any other surface conditions which prevent the use of an orthodox location.
-
- VI. If archeological sites are a reason for the unorthodox location request, a copy of the archeological survey, or a summary, identifying sites which cannot be disturbed or which must have any disturbance mitigated. In addition, the location of such areas should be marked on the enlarged topo so they can be clearly identified.
 - VII. A narrative report of any on-site inspection of the potential locations. If such on-site has resulted in elimination of legal locations due to surface conditions, such information should also be noted on the enlarged topo.
 - VIII. A statement of why directional drilling to reach a legal bottom-hole location is not feasible.
 - IX. An affidavit that notice has been sent to all parties entitled thereto, under the Divisions Rules and Regulations with return receipt cards showing date of receipt of notice.