1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2	ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4	CASE 10126
5	
6	
7	EXAMINER HEARING
8	
9	IN THE MATTER OF:
10	
11	Application of BHP Petroleum (Americas)
12	Inc., for an Unorthodox Oil Well Location,
13	Lea County, New Mexico.
14	
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER
19	
20	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
21	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
22	October 17, 1990
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES	
2	FOR THE APPLICANT: JIM BRUCE, ESQ. The Hinkle Law	
3	500 Marquette, Albuquerque, N.	NW, Suite 740
4	* * * * *	. M. 67100
5	I N D E X	
6		Page Number
7	Appearances	2
8	RANDALL DAVIS	
9	Examination by Mr. Bruce	4 7
10	Examination by Hearing Examiner	/
11	WILLIAM J. MORRIS	~
12	Examination by Mr. Bruce Examination by Hearing Examiner	7 1 0
13	Certificate of Reporter	16
14	EXHIBITS	
15	APPLICANT'S EXHIBITS:	
16	Exhibit 1	5
17	Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	5 8 9
18	Exhibit 4	9
19		
2 0		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
2.5		

- 1 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, No.
- 2 10126, which is the application of BHP Petroleum
- 3 Americas, Inc., for an unorthodox oil well location,
- 4 Lea County, New Mexico.
- 5 Call for appearances.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jim
- 7 Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm in Albuquerque,
- 8 representing the Applicant.
- I have two witnesses to be sworn.
- 10 EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other
- 11 appearances in this case?
- 12 Will the witnesses please stand to be
- 13 sworn.
- 14 RANDALL DAVIS
- 15 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
- 16 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 17 EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. BRUCE:
- 19 Q. Would you please state your name and city
- 20 of residence?
- 21 A. My name is Randall Davis. I'm from
- 22 Houston, Texas.
- Q. Who do you work for and in what capacity?
- A. I'm the area land manager for BHP Petroleum
- 25 (Americas) Inc., in the inland business unit.

- 1 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 2 Division as a petroleum landman?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And your credentials were accepted as a
- 5 matter of record?
- A. Yes, they were.
- 7 Q. Are you familiar with the land matters
- 8 involved in this case?
- 9 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, are the witness'
- 11 qualifications acceptable?
- 12 EXAMINER STOGNER: They are.
- Q. Mr. Davis, would you state briefly what BHP
- 14 seeks in this case?
- 15 A. Yes. BHP Petroleum (Americas) Inc., is
- 16 seeking approval for an unorthodox oil well location
- 17 for its proposed Kimbrough State No. 1 well. The well
- 18 will be located 1250 feet from the south line and 1725
- 19 feet from the east line of Section 20, Township 17
- 20 South, Range 37 East in Lea County.
- Q. And to what depth with the well be drilled?
- 22 A. BHP will be drilling the well to an
- 23 approximate depth of 11,200 feet to test the Strawn
- 24 formation.
- Q. What is the spacing for the Strawn

- 1 formation in this section?
- 2 A. Currently there are no field rules in this
- 3 area, so the spacing is under state-wide spacing of 40
- 4 acres, so the unit that will make up the well will
- 5 comprise the southwest quarter of the southeast
- 6 quarter of Section 20.
- 7 Q. Would you please refer to Exhibit No. 1 and
- 8 describe its contents.
- 9 A. Yes. This is a land plat of the proposed
- 10 area and it shows the proposed well with an arrow and
- 11 a circle. The yellow on the land plat is the acreage
- 12 that is currently owned by BHP.
- 13 Q. In which direction or directions is the
- 14 well unorthodox?
- 15 A. The well is unorthodox only to the north.
- 16 Q. Okay. Although the well is not unorthodox
- 17 to the west, who is the operator of the southwest
- 18 quarter?
- 19 A. The southwest quarter is leased by Chevron,
- 20 and we have given Chevron notice both in their Houston
- 21 and their Midland offices.
- 22 Q. Is a copy of this notice submitted as
- 23 Exhibit No. 2?
- A. Yes, it is.
- 25 Q. Is that a copy of the Affidavit of Notice

- 1 prepared by my office?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is the southeast quarter of Section 21
- 4 leased?
- 5 A. Yes, it is. It is State Lease V-2526, and
- 6 that's a lease that was dated February 1, 1988 and
- 7 issued to BHP Petroleum (Americas), Inc.
- 8 Q. And therefore, the ownership in all of the
- 9 southeast quarter is common, is it not?
- 10 A. Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. In your opinion, should this application be
- 12 granted without a penalty?
- 13 A. I believe so, because we are only moving in
- 14 a direction toward ourselves and we're not affecting
- 15 anyone else's correlative rights.
- Q. Were Exhibits 1 and 2 prepared by you or
- 17 compiled from company records?
- 18 A. Yes, they were.
- 19 Q. And, in your opinion, will the granting of
- 20 this application be in the interests of conservation
- 21 and the prevention of waste?
- A. Yes, it will.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the
- 24 admission of Exhibits 1 and 2.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 1 and 2 will be

- 1 admitted into evidence.
- 2 EXAMINATION
- 3 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
- 4 Q. Mr. Davis, referring to Exhibit 1, the
- 5 north half of Section 29, shows to be leased to
- 6 Chevron, is that correct?
- 7 A. That's correct. From the best of my
- 8 information in having recently spoken with Chevron,
- 9 I'm more familiar with the northeast quarter of 29,
- 10 and I am certain that is leased to Chevron.
- 11 Q. So, in essence, either BHP offsets itself
- 12 around the 40-acre proration unit, or Chevron offsets
- 13 all the way around, is that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: No further questions of
- 16 Mr. Davis. He may be excused.
- MR. BRUCE: Call Mr. Morris.
- 18 WILLIAM J. MORRIS
- 19 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn
- 20 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. BRUCE:
- Q. Would you please state your full name and
- 24 city of residence?
- 25 A. I am William J. Morris. I reside in

- 1 Houston, Texas.
- Q. Who is your employer?
- 3 A. BHP Petroleum.
- 4 Q. In what capacity are you employed?
- 5 A. I'm a petroleum geologist.
- 6 Q. Have you previously testified before the
- 7 OCD as a geologist and were your credentials accepted
- 8 by the OCD?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with the geological
- ll matters involved in this case?
- 12 A. Yes, I am.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is the witness
- 14 acceptable?
- 15 EXAMINER STOGNER: He is.
- Q. Referring to Exhibit No. 3, Mr. Morris,
- 17 would you please describe its contents for the
- 18 Examiner?
- 19 A. Exhibit 3 is a Strawn structure map in the
- 20 area of interest. The acreage colored yellow is the
- 21 same acreage that was presented in Exhibit No. 1, with
- 22 a little dot in that quarter section, that southeast
- 23 quarter Section 20, as representing the proposed
- 24 location.
- The green-colored areas are where the

- 1 Strawn formation is productive in the two neighboring
- 2 townships, and it clearly shows that our location is
- 3 over a mile from the nearest production.
- Q. Would you move on to Exhibit No. 4 and
- 5 further describe the prospect?
- 6 A. Okay. This is a detailed structure map
- 7 that uses all of the seismic control that BHP has in
- 8 this area. Again, BHP's acreage is colored in yellow
- 9 with the areas that we believe it to be prospective
- 10 based on interpretation from the seismic data, which
- 11 is shown in green.
- The proposed location is shown with an
- 13 orange arrow and it falls on the proprietary seismic
- 14 line that BHP shot. And that's why we've proposed
- 15 that as an unorthodox location.
- 16 Q. Do you believe by drilling at this location
- 17 that you would be more likely to hit a thicker area of
- 18 porosity?
- 19 A. Right. From Exhibit 3, covering that area,
- 20 BHP has probably 200 miles of seismic data so we have
- 21 a good model of what the Strawn production looks like
- 22 on the seismic data. Shot point 1-80 on Kimbrough
- 23 Line 1, best fits the model that we have developed
- 24 from the other producing areas, and that's why we
- 25 would like to get that location approved.

- 1 Q. In your opinion, should this application be
- 2 granted without a penalty?
- A. Absolutely.
- 4 Q. In your opinion, is the granting of this
- 5 application in the interests of conservation and the
- 6 prevention of waste?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. Were Exhibits 3 and 4 prepared by you or
- 9 under your direction?
- 10 A. Yes, they were.
- MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I move the
- 12 admission of Exhibits 3 and 4.
- EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibits 3 and 4 will be
- 14 admitted into evidence.
- 15 EXAMINATION
- 16 BY EXAMINER STOGNER:
- 17 Q. Referring to Exhibit No. 3, Mr. Morris, you
- 18 show little green areas and you show Strawn
- 19 production. What kind of production? What are these?
- 20 A. Oil pools? Is that what you're interested
- 21 in? The one in Section 8 to the north is the midway.
- 22 Strawn pool.
- Q. I'm talking about--it looks like you've got
- 24 little pods or something.
- A. We've interpreted these to be carbonate

- 1 algal mounds that are relatively small in scope and
- 2 stuff, and they are buildups of organic material in
- 3 small, relatively circular or oblong areas.
- 4 Q. Referring to Exhibit 4, is that what you're
- 5 showing here with the green shaded area?
- 6 A. Exactly. That's where we think, based on
- 7 the seismic data, where the algal mounds should be
- 8 present. It's a change in the amplitude and the
- 9 seismic event at the Strawn horizon through that area.
- 10 Q. In looking at this, it looks like a
- ll standard oil well location would put you in the same
- 12 general area, I'm talking 1980 from the east and 660
- 13 from the south. How does this unorthodox location--
- 14 A. The problem is, these things are relatively
- 15 small and illusive. If you look throughout the area
- 16 in Exhibit 3, you can see that many of these pods are
- 17 offset one location away by a dry hole.
- We've participated in several wells in this
- 19 area, and it's easy to get a dry hole if you're just a
- 20 little ways off of the seismic data, and we would
- 21 prefer to be as close as possible to the seismic.
- 22 Q. Now, the seismic lines in which you show on
- 23 Exhibit 4, are these BHP seismic lines, or are they
- 24 purchased, or--
- A. Most of them are purchased. We have two

- 1 lines that we shot that appear on that map.
- 2 O. Which are those?
- 3 A. The Kimbrough Line 1, which is a
- 4 northwest/southeast line that goes through the
- 5 proposed location. That is the line that we find the
- 6 best anomaly. The other line extends down from
- 7 Section 32 up through Section 28, and that's our
- 8 Kimbrough Line 2. You can see the "Kimbrough Line 2"
- 9 written down in the lower part of--
- 10 Q. In the middle of Section 32?
- 11 A. Right. Those are the only two proprietary
- 12 lines that we have on this map.
- Q. So, strictly from this geophysical evidence
- 14 or geophysical interpretation, this algal mound that
- 15 you show in Section 20, and you would like to,
- 16 essentially, try to get in the middle of it?
- 17 A. Yeah. If you look to the west there, there
- 18 really is not a whole lot of seismic control to
- 19 confirm how far that thing goes over there. That's an
- 20 interpretation. We've used this map to try and sell
- 21 an interest in our prospect to the industry, so we've
- 22 tried to make it as optimistic as possible.
- Q. When do you plan to drill this well?
- A. I think right now we're scheduled to go in
- 25 mid-December.

- 1 Q. Does BHP have any producing wells in the
- 2 area shaded in green on Exhibit 3?
- A. Yes. In Section 10 of 16 South, 37 East,
- 4 there's a well up in the northeast quarter. That's
- 5 our Southeast Dean well that we drilled about three
- 6 years ago, I believe. And we have an interest in a
- 7 well in Section 29 that Tiperary operates.
- 8 Q. Is that Section 29 of 16/37?
- 9 A. Right, 16/37, and it's the southernmost
- 10 well in that section. It's got a minus 7244 on it.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. There are two wells immediately to the west
- 13 in Section 30, two dry holes.
- Q. Do you have interest in those?
- 15 A. We participated in those. We drilled the
- 16 first well, we sidetracked it trying to get into the
- 17 reservoir, and we missed. And we have a seismic line
- 18 that goes right up that section line. You need to,
- 19 being right on the seismic can be crucial, and I think
- 20 many other operators have found that out in this area.
- 21 Q. There appear to be dry holes, one in the
- 22 northeast quarter of Section 20 and one in the
- 23 northwest quarter of 29. Did those penetrate the
- 24 Strawn?
- A. No. Those were, I believe, Bone Spring

- 1 tests. The closest wells that penetrated the Strawn
- 2 would be in Section 27 and Section 16.
- 3 Q. Section 16 being a dry hole?
- A. Right. Both of those wells were dry
- 5 holes. The logs for those wells show up on Exhibit 4,
- 6 with an arrow pointing to their location.
- 7 Q. In Section 27, there's a well in the west
- 8 half. Did that penetrate the Strawn?
- 9 A. Yeah, that's the well that's on the lower
- 10 part there. You can see how dirty that gamma ray
- 11 reads on there, and that would tell us that we're in a
- 12 flag or mudstone facies, that we're not into the
- 13 clean, algal mound carbonate.
- Q. Does the algal mound carbonate section show
- 15 up in the log for the State 16 No. 1?
- 16 A. No. I believe that, again, is going to be
- 17 in the mudstone section. There is a cleaner section
- 18 right at the top and I believe that would be a grain
- 19 stone, and that's not the same facies that we're
- 20 looking for.
- Q. Most of the Strawn production, if not all,
- 22 is spaced on 80 acres, is that correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. And I'm referring again to Exhibit 3?
- 25 A. Um-hm.

1	Q. Would it be BHP's plans to come in and
2	possibly seek 80-acre spacing if this well is indeed
3	commercial and productive?
4	A. I believe so, yes. It would fit in with
5	the other fields.
6	EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. I have no other
7	questions of Mr. Morris.
8	Are there any other questions of this
9	witness? You may be excused.
10	Mr. Bruce?
11	MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further in this
12	matter.
13	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else have
14	anything further in Case No. 10126? If not,
15	unfortunately, this case will have to be continued to
16	the Examiner's Hearing scheduled for October 31st, at
17	which time it will be taken under advisement.
18	With that, this hearing is adjourned.
19	(Thereupon, the proceedings concluded.)
2 0	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
2 5	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
4) ss. COUNTY OF SANTA FE)
5	
6	I, Carla Diane Rodriguez, Certified
7	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY
8	that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before
9	the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that
10	I caused my notes to be transcribed under my personal
11	supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and
12	accurate record of the proceedings.
1 3	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative
L 4	or employee of any of the parties or attorneys
1.5	involved in this matter and that I have no personal
l 6	interest in the final disposition of this matter.
l 7	WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL October 17, 1990.
l 8	Jula Cione Francis
L 9	CARLA DIANE RODRIGUEZ 🧷
2 0	CSR No. 91
21	My commission expires: May 25, 1991
22	
23	i do hearing contily that the foregoing is
2 4	to stand the respect of the proceedings in the Engineer hearing of Case No. 10126.
2 5	neard by me on 17 Outster 1990.
	Oil Conservation Division

CUMBRE COURT REPORTING (505) 984-2244