

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
CASE 10,142

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

Hearing Called by the Oil Conservation Division on
Its Own Motion for an Order Creating, Abolishing,
Contracting Vertical Limits, and Extending Certain
Pools in Lea and Roosevelt Counties, New Mexico

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE: MICHAEL E. STOGNER, EXAMINER

STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

October 31, 1990

ORIGINAL

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

A P P E A R A N C E S

FOR THE DIVISION:

ROBERT G. STOVALL
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

I N D E X

	Page Number
Appearances	2
Exhibits	2
DAVID R. CATANACH	
Direct Examination by Mr. Stovall	3
Certificate of Reporter	6

* * *

E X H I B I T S

DIVISION EXHIBITS:

Exhibit 1	4
-----------	---

* * *

1 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had
2 at 4:43 p.m.:

3 EXAMINER STOGNER: Call next case, Number
4 10,142, which is in the matter of the hearing called by
5 the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an
6 order creating, abolishing, contracting vertical limits
7 and extending certain pools in Lea and Roosevelt
8 Counties, New Mexico.

9 Call for appearances.

10 MR. STOVALL: Robert G. Stovall of Santa Fe,
11 appearing on behalf of the Division.

12 EXAMINER STOGNER: It appears there are no
13 other entrances of appearances.

14 Mr. Stovall?

15 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Catanach has been
16 previously sworn and identified.

17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Let the record so show.

18 DAVID R. CATANACH,

19 the witness herein, having been previously duly sworn
20 upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows:

21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. STOVALL:

23 Q. Mr. Catanach, have you reviewed the -- Do you
24 have recommendations, excuse me, with respect to
25 nomenclature of certain pools in Lea and Roosevelt

1 Counties, New Mexico?

2 A. I do.

3 Q. And how is that recommendation -- Is that
4 recommendation prepared in the form of an exhibit?

5 A. Yes, it is.

6 Q. And how is that exhibit denominated?

7 A. Exhibit Number 1.

8 Q. And have you compared Exhibit Number 1 in
9 this case with the docket for Case 10,142 and
10 determined whether there are any changes or differences
11 between the two?

12 A. I have.

13 Q. And are there any differences?

14 A. No, there are not.

15 Q. Do you recommend the adoption of the
16 nomenclature as shown in Exhibit 1?

17 A. Yes, sir, I do.

18 Q. Do you have anything further to add to your
19 testimony?

20 A. No, sir.

21 MR. STOVALL: Move the admission of Exhibit
22 1, and I have nothing further to add.

23 EXAMINER STOGNER: Exhibit 1 will be admitted
24 into evidence.

25 If there are no other questions of Mr.

1 Catanach he may be excused.

2 If nobody else has anything further in Case
3 Number 10,142, this case will be taken under
4 advisement.

5 Hearing adjourned.

6 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded
7 at 4:45 p.m.)

8 * * *

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

