1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO		
	ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION		
2	STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.		
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
4	9 May 1984		
5	EXAMINER HEARING		
6			
7			
8	IN THE MATTER OF:		
9	Application of Union Texas Petro- CASE leum Corporation for downhole com- 8184 & 8185 mingling, Rio Arriba County, New		
10	Mexico.		
11			
12			
13	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
15			
16	·		
17	APPEARANCES		
18			
19			
20	For the Oil Conservation W. Perry Pearce Division: Attorney at Law		
21	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.		
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 ,		
23	·		
	For the Applicant: William F. Carr Attorney at Law		
24 25	CAMPBELL, BYRD & BLACK P.A. P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		

		·····	
1		2	
2			
3	I N D E X		
4			
5	MICHAEL R. HERRINGTON		
	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	11	
7	•		
8			
9			
10		•	
11			
12	EXHIBITS		i
13			
14	UT Exhibit One, Plat	5	
	UT Exhibit Two, Map	5	
15	UT Exhibit Three, Schematic	6	
16	UT Exhibit Four, Decline Curves	6	
17	UT Exhibit Five, Decline Curves	6	
18	UT Exhibit Six, Wellbore Sketch	7	
19	UT Exhibit Seven, Cross Section	7	
20	UT Exhibit Eight, Cross Section	7	
21	UT Exhibit Nine, Gas/oil Ratios	7	
22	UT Exhibit Ten, Production Records	9 .	
23	UT Exhibit Eleven, Lab Report	10	
24			
25			

1 3 2 MR. STAMETS: We'll call next 3 Case 8184. 4 PEARCE: MR. That case is on 5 application of Union Texas Petroleum Corporation for 6 downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. 7 MR. CARR: May it please 8 Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the law firm Campbell, Byrd and Black, P. A., of Santa Fe, appearing on be-9 half of Union Texas Petroleum Corporation. 10 would request at this 11 that you also call Case 8185 and consolidate them for the 12 purposes of testimony. 13 STAMETS: MR. Okay, let's call 14 that and we will consolidate those cases. 15 MR. PEARCE: That case is also 16 on the application of Union Texas Petroleum Corporation for 17 downhole commingling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. MR. CARR: Stamets, Mr. 18 witness will be Michael R. Herrington and I would request 19 that the record show that he has been sworn and remains un-20 der oath and that his qualifications as an expert witness in 21 petroleum engineering have been accepted. 22 MR. STAMETS: The record will

24

23

so show.

25

F

A Yes.

A

3

Q Would you refer to what has been marked for identification as Union Texas Petroleum Corporation Exhibit Number One, identify this exhibit and review it for Mr. Stamets?

56

A Exhibit Number One is a plat showing Union Texas Petroleum Corporation operated acreage in the subject area.

8

9

7

The proposed Jicarilla G Wells 1-E and 8-E are identified by the dark green dots in Sections 1 and 2, Township 26 North, Range 5 West.

11

12

10

The plat further shows existing commingles already approved in the area. Mesaverde-Dakota commingles are indicated in red and Gallup-Dakota commingles are shown in green.

13 14

Two geologic cross sections, A-A' and B-B', are identified on this plat by the broken lines and will

16

17

15

be discussed in later testimony.

as shown on that exhibit.

18

19

Q What pools do you propose to downhole commingle in this area?

20

A Referring to Exhibit Number Two, we can

21

see that the existing -- the existing pools in relation to the subject wells. We propose to commingle the Undesignated

22

Gallup, B. S. Mesa Gallup Extension, the Basin Dakota Pool

23

in both the G 1-E or excuse me, the Jicarilla G 1-E is lo-

24

cated in the extension area of the B. S. Mesa Gallup Pool,

25

1	6
2	Q Is the ownership common in each of the
3	zones to be downhole commingled?
4	A Yes, the Gallup and Dakota have common
5	ownership in the proposed commingled wells.
	Q Will you now refer to your Exhibit Number
6	Three and review this for the Examiner?
7	A Yes. Exhibit Three is a wellbore schema-
8	tic of Amoco's Jicarilla Apache 102 Well No. 10 in which
9	Gallup and Dakota are successfully commingled and produced
10	up the tubing using the Dakota gas for lifting energy.
11	The No. 10 Well is located in Unit M of
12	Section 9, Township 26 North, Range 4 West.
13	This well was completed by perforating
14	the selected pay zones, breaking each zone down with acid-
	and isolating the two zones while fracing with sand and gel-
15	led water during the completion operations.
16	Q Would you now refer to your Exhibits Four
17	and Five and review these?
18	A Exhibits Number Four and Five show typi-
19	cal decline curves for the Gallup and Dakota in commingled
20	wells located near the proposed Jicarilla G Wells No. 1-E
21	and 8-E.
22	In Exhibit Number Four Amoco's Jicarilla
23	Apache 102 No. 10 is shown on the top curve and Consoli-
24	dated's Hoyt No. 1 is shown on the bottom.  In Exhibit Five our Jicarilla H No. 7 is
	i ni saidhnic rive dul dliadlid m No. / IS

on the top curve and Amoco's Jicarilla 102 14-E

1 7 shown on the bottom curve. Gallup production is shown 2 the left and Dakota production on the right of each of these 3 exhibits. 4 can see that both zones remain con-5 stant or increased in production after commingling. 6 Q Will you now review Exhibit Six? 7 Exhibit Six shows the proposed downhole Α 8 commingling of the Gallup and Dakota in the Jicarilla G 9 Wells No. 1-E and 8-E. 0 All right, Mr. Herrington, would you re-10 fer now to your cross sections, Exhibits Seven and Eight, 11 and review these for Mr. Stamets? 12 These geologic cross sections Yes. 13 constructed using electric logs in the area of the applica-14 tion. 15 These two cross sections demonstrate the 16 continuity of the producing intervals from the area of ap-17 plication to areas where commingling of these reservoirs has 18 been permitted. can see the Gallup and Dakota produc-We 19 ing intervals occur and correlate throughout this area. 20 0 Will you now refer to Exhibit Nine and 21 explain that? 22 Α Exhibit Nine shows typical gas/oil ratios 23 in the subject area. It can be seen that the Gallup and Da-24 have similar pressure gradients and nearly identical 25

pressures when compared at a common datum.

8
Q Have you prepared a compilation of bottom
hole pressure data for each zone to be commingled in this
area?
A Yes. Again referring to Exhibit Nine, we
believe that the bottom hole pressures for the Gallup and
Dakota presented are consistent with data presented in off-
setting wells for commingling.
Q What does this exhibit show as far as the
pressures and the differential pressures that you expect
will be experienced across the perforatons in each of these
zones?
A This exhibit shows a very small differ-
ence in pressure gradient in the subject zones and nearly
identical bottom hole pressures when corrected to a common
datum.
Q. Will these pressure differentials result
in the migration of gas between zones?
A No. The bottom hole producing pressure
should be below any of the individual reservoir pressures,
which will not allow cross flow to occur.
Again, if the well is shut in some cross
flow may occur as pressure stabilizes in the wellbore, but
any gas involved would be recovered when the well is re-
turned to production.
Q Are both the zones to be commingled in the subject wells capable of only marginal production?
A No; however the Dakota proration unit of

the Jicarilla G No. 1 Well is classified as marginal and the proration unit of the Jicarilla G No. 8 Well is underproduced by 12 months under its present -- under its current nonmarginal status and allocation.

Exhibit Number Ten shows production records for wells in the vicinity of the subject wells and indicates average daily rates of 67.7 Mcf and 4/10ths of a barrel of oil per day for the Gallup; 106.7 Mcf per day and 6/10ths of a barrel of oil per day for the Dakota.

Q Are the zones flowing or being artificially lifted?

A These zones both tend to flow and if there were any problem removing produced liquids from the wellbore, plunger lifting or pumping would be easily affected in the commingled well.

Q. Have you taken production data and calculated an average rate of production from each zone?

A Yes. In Exhibit Ten we show the average daily rates for the Gallup and Dakota production in the vicinity of the proposed commingled wells.

Q Are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner today as to the allocation of production to each of the commingled zones?

A Yes. Again referring to Exhibit Ten, we show an approximate allocation split, but there again I would recommend that the District Supervisor be consulted and that an allocation be drawn up after drilling and test-

ing of each of the two wells.

Q Would you describe the characteristics and make a comparison of the compatibilities of the fluids produced from each zone?

A Exhibit Number Eleven is a recent laboratory analysis of oil samples from the wells in the area.

It can be seen from the analyst's remarks that no detrimental effects are expected in commingling of the oils and in Exhibit Number Nine we can see that the BTU content of the gases is also very similar and no detrimental effects have been observed in the offsetting wells that have been commingled.

Q Are the reservoir characteristics of these pools such that underground waste will not be caused by the proposed downhole comingling?

A Because of the marginal nature of the Dakota and the Gallup in this area, the proposed commingling will result in additional recovery of hydrocarbons.

Q In your opinion will granting this application result in the increased recovery of hydrocarbons?

A Yes, most definitely. First, the reserves which would be left undeveloped otherwise can be produced, and second, based upon the offsetting wells in which commingling has been approved, increases in production rate have been observed upon commingling.

Q Will the value of the commingled production exceed the sum of the values of the production from

1	11
2	each of the individual zones?
3	A Yes, it should.
4	Q Will economic savings result from the
	proposed downhole commingling?
5	A Yes.
6	Q In your opinion will granting this appli-
7	cation be in the best interest of conservation, the preven-
8	tion of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?
9	A Yes, it will.
10	MR. CARR: At this time, Mr.
11	Stamets, we would offer into evidence Union Texas Petroleum
12	Corporation Exhibits One through Eleven.
13	MR. STAMETS: These exhibits
	will be admitted.
14	MR. CARR: I have nothing fur-
15	ther on direct of this witness.
16	MR. STAMETS: Questions of the
<b>17</b>	witness?
18	
19	CROSS EXAMINATION .
20	BY MR. STAMETS:
21	Q I presume you're still aware that if you
22	get six times overproduced you have to shut the wells in?
	A Yes, sir. We'll be running 5-1/2 inch
23	casing in these wells and if that becomes a significant
24	problem we'll still have the option of doing a conventional

slim hole dual in the area.

```
1
                                                     12
                                        STAMETS:
                                                     Any
                                                           other
                                 MR.
2
    questions? The witness may be excused.
3
                                 Anything
                                            further in these
4
    cases?
5
                                 MR. CARR: Nothing further, Mr.
6
    Stamets.
7
                                                  They will be
                                 MR.
                                       STAMETS:
8
    taken under advisement and if there is nothing further, the
9
    hearing is adjourned.
10
                        (Hearing concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

\_

5

## CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Jacque Boyd CSR

I do hereby costs, that his foregoing is a complete a tord of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case sto. 8184 8185 nearet by the on 5-9 1984

Oil Conservation Division