1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO		
2	ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION		
3	STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
4	6 June 1984		
5	EXAMINER HEARING		
6			
7	IN THE MATTER OF		
8	Application of Coastal Oil & Gas		
9	Corporation for an unorthodox oil CASE well location, Lea County, New 8208		
10	Mexico.		
11			
12			
13	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets, Examiner		
14			
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
16			
17			
18	APPEARANCES		
19			
20	For the Oil Conservation W. Perry Pearce		
21	Division:  Attorney at Law  Legal Counsel to the Division		
	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
22	For the Applicant: James G. Bruce		
23	Attorney at Law HINKLE LAW FIRM		
24	P. O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
25	,		

Г

1		2
2		
3	INDEX	
4	UDDWAN O TUMUED	
5	HERMAN C. LUTHER	2
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce Cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	3 9
7	cross Examination by Mr. Stamets	,
8		
9		
10	EXHIBITS	
11		
12	Coastal Exhibit One, Structure Map	4
13	Coastal Exhibit Two, Isopach	8
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	3
2	
3	MR. STAMETS: We'll call next
4	Case 8208.
5	MR. PEARCE: That case is on
6	the application of Coastal Oil & Gas Corporation for an un-
7	orthodox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico.
8	MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm, representing
9	name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm, representing  Coastal Oil & Gas and I have one witness to be sworn.
10	MR. PEARCE: Are there other
11	appearances in this matter?
. – –	
12	(Witness sworn.)
13	
14	HERMAN C. LUTHER,
15	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
16	oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
17	
18	DIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BRUCE:
20	Q Would you please state your name, ad-
21	dress, occupation, and employer?  A My name is Herman C. Luther. I live in
22	Midland, Texas, and I'm a consulting geologist for Coastal
23	Oil and Gas Corporation.
24	Q And have you previously testified before
25	the OCD and had your credentials as a geologist accepted of

1	4
2	record?
3	A Yes, I have.
4	Q And are you familiar with Case 8208 and
5	the geological matters involved therein?
	A Yes, I am.
6	MR. BRUCE: Is the witness con-
7	sidered qualified?
8	MR. STAMETS: Yes.
9	Q Mr. Luther, would you please look at what
10	has been marked as Exhibit Number One and describe that for
11	the examiner?
12	A The Number One Exhibit is a structure map
	on top of the Bough C pay zone in the Baum Field in Lea
13	County, New Mexico.
14	Q And this is the top of the Bough C?
15	A Yes. It is a the B, the Bough B, ap-
16	proximately 9700, and the Bough C at approximately 9800,
17	produce in this field throughout.
18	Q Okay.
19	A The structure map, of course, is a south
20	dipping bed and no apparent closure.
21	These this production, the best we can
	tell, is produces because of variations in porosity and
22	permeability within the Bough B and Bough C limestone forma-
23	tions.
24	Q And the well is located, the proposed
25	well, 990

Α

The proposed well, --

O -- feet --

A -- we have been infill drilling on 80 acres. The field originally in 1968 was on 160-acre spacing with -- with the -- at some date drilling on eighties with reduced allowble.

Consequently, in the past two years that I have been with Coastal we have drilled at present four wells in here and we're drilling them on options of 80 acres.

The reason that we would like this location moved 990 is because Cabot Oil in Section 4 a year ago drilled the well in the north, extreme northwest corner there and it's been producing. It's made 20,000 barrels of oil as of the first of the year.

They have since drilled in the south, extreme southeast quarter --

Q What section are you in?

A -- of Section 32, which has been drilled in the last three or four months. That's their New Mexico State No. 4. The map doesn't show it but that offset well is the No. 2 New Mexico State, 4 No. -- I think it's 4 No. 2. I don't know why the dash was left off.

They had abandoned the well to the south, which has made over 200,000 barrels of oil. They just moved up one location and -- and the well is producing.

Coastal Oil and Gas has their 5 No. 2

producing almost due west, and the reason for moving it 990 is we don't want to get in the direct line of -- of the -- to try to avoid some drainage problem. We still feel like that we have continually tried to alter these locations in this field because we do not want any too severe drainage from them.

So that's why we're asking permission to move it 990, to get out of the direct line between our 5 No. 2 to the west and Cabot's 4 No. 2, which is a direct offset to the proposed location.

Q Thank you, Mr. Luther.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, in connection with this application, you'll notice that we originally applied to have the northeast quarter of Section 5 dedicated to the well and actually it will be the east half of the northest quarter.

A Because that well in the northwest quarter northeast quarter is -- is still a producing well.

MR. BRUCE: We would like to amend and readvertise.

MR. STAMETS: So what you will be asking for will be two nonstandard proration units, one for the No. 2 and one for the new well.

MR. BRUCE: Correct.

MR. STAMETS: And one non-

standard location.

MR. BRUCE: I was, unfortunate

ly, not aware of that until about two minutes ago.

MR. STAMETS: Okay. Well,

we'll just readvertise it.

MR. BRUCE: Okay.

A We, of course, down in Section 8 down there we've drilled 3-8 and the 4-8. I was up here previously for the -- for these hearings, and also the 6-2 Federal in there and that has been our drilling program in there, and of course many other people have been drilling on eighties in that, Cabot and MWJ, and a few other people have been drilling.

Q Would you plese now turn to Exhibit Number Two and describe --

A Well --

Q -- that?

A —— Exhibit Number Two is an Isopach of the gross porosity in the B —— in the C zone, and it just indicates, as you can see, the variations in thickness of this, and we have this over in Section, northeast quarter of Section 5, we do have this thick Bough C zone that tends to be lenticular in there and consequently we feel like that by two offset wells drilled on 80 acres we're going to be drained severely if we don't get a well drilled in there.

On the Isopach, as shown by Cabot to the north and directly to the east, we have severe drainage because that one well west of our proposed location, we'll know later based on our previous drilling in there, we no

```
9
1
    way drained or countered the two directly offset wells.
2
                        Were Exhibits One and Two prepared by
3
    you?
4
                       Yes.
             Α
5
                        And in your opinion will the granting of
             0
6
    this application be in the interest of conservation, the
7
    prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative
8
    rights?
9
             Α
                       Yes, I do.
                                 MR.
                                        BRUCE:
                                                   Ι
                                                       move
                                                              the
10
    admission of Exhibits One and Two.
11
                                 MR. STAMETS: The exhibits will
12
    be admitted.
13
                                 MR.
                                        BRUCE:
                                                 And I have no
14
    further questions.
15
16
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
17
    BY MR. STAMETS:
                       Mr. Luther, is the northeast quarter of
18
    the section one lease?
19
                       I didn't --
20
                        Is the northeast quarter of the Section 5
             0
21
    one lease?
22
                        That is correct.
             Α
23
                       Okay, why do you want two non-standard
             Q
24
    proration units?
25
                         I'm not asking -- did I -- this -- the
             Α
```

me.

original well that was drilled in there, the 5 No. 2, was drilled in 1968 on -- on 160 acres, you see. It's been there, and it is 1980 and 660, 660 off.

I imagine that the way they drilled those, they drilled them all in the northeast quarter of both sections. If you'll look over in Section 6, Coastal used to own that. It was the 6-1 they drilled, the third 2 No. 1. That's the way they originally drilled those wells on 160 with an option to drill on eighties, and so consequently I don't understand what -- you're saying a --

MR. STAMETS: Let's go off the record a second.

A Okay.

(Thereupon a discussion was had off the record.)

MR. STAMETS: Let's go back on the record.

Mr. Bruce, after looking at this, I don't believe the case needs to be readvertised. I think that we can do what the applicant needs done based on what we've heard today.

MR. BRUCE: That's fine with

A I misunderstood. I didn't hear him say when he said unorthodox for the other one. I didn't -- it's really -- was drilled on the right location at the time it was drilled.

```
1
                                                      11
                                 MR. STAMETS: Are there any
2
   other questions of the witness? He may be excused.
3
                                 Anything further in this case?
4
                                 MR. BRUCE: Nothing.
5
                                 MR. STAMETS: The case will be
6
    taken under advisement.
7
8
                         (Hearing concluded.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd COR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete turn in of the process lings in the Examiner to within a function of the process lings in the Examiner to within a function of the process lines.

Oil Conservation Division