_	
1	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
4	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
5	30 January 1985
	EXAMINER HEARING
6	
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	Application of Amerind Oil Company CASE
10	for compulsory pooling Lea County, 8457 New Mexico.
11	Application of Amerind Oil Company CASE
12	for compulsory pooling Lea County, 8458. New Mexico.
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
16	
17	APPEARANCES
18	
19	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law
20	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.
21	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
22	For the Applicant: James G. Bruce
23	HINKLE LAW FIRM P. O. Box 2068
24	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
25	

-	
1	2
2	APPEARANCES
3	For Pennzoil: Karen Aubrey Attorney at Law
4	KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN P. O. Box 2265
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
6	
7	INDEX
8	
9	STATEMENT BY MR. BRUCE 4
	EILL SELTZER
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 4
11	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner 12
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Taylor 17
13	
14	
15	
16	EXHIBITS
17	Amerind Exhibit One, Plat 6
18	Amerind Exhibi Two, Schedule 6
19	Amerind Exhibit Three, Schedule 8 Amerind Exhibit Four, AFE 9
20	Amerind Exhibit Four, AFE 9 Amerind Exhibit Five, AFE 9
20	Amerind Exhibit Six, COPAS Form
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1

2

3

Case Number 8457.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1213

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

MR. STOGNER: We will now call

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

Amerind Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. STOGNER: Call for appearances in this matter at this time.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, my name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm in Santa Fe.

I have one witness to be sworn, and at this time I would also request that Case 8458 be heard along with Case 8457.

MR. STOGNER: Are there any objections to consolidating these two cases for purposes of testimony?

If not, at this time we will also call Case Number 8458.

MR. TAYLOR: Do you have the same witness for both cases?

MR. BRUCE: Yes.

MR. TAYLOR: Would you please

stand and raise your right hand?

MR. BRUCE: Later.

MR. TAYLOR: Oh.

MS. AUBREY: Karen Aubrey, Kel-

Tahin and Kellahin, appearing for Pennzoil Corporation.

ſ	
1	4
2	MR. TAYLOR: You have no wit-
3	nesses?
4	MS. AUBREY: I have no wit-
5	nesses.
6	
	(Witness sworn.)
7	
8	MR. STOGNER: Incorporated
9	Case Number 8458 is also an application of America Oil Com-
10	pany for compulsory pooling in the same area in Lea County.
11	Flease continue, Mr
12	MR. BRUCE: Before we start
13	with the testimony, originally Pennzoil was a party that
14	Amerind sought to force pool with respect to both cases, but
	at this time we would like to dismiss Peninger. from Case
15	8457 only, which concerns the west half or yes, the
16	west half northeast quarter of Section 28.
17	MR. STOGNER: Thank you. That
18	will be noted duly noted.
19	
20	RILL SELTZER,
21	being called as a witness and being duly sworm upon his
22	<pre>oath, testified as follows, to-wit:</pre>
23	
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
24	BY MR.BRUCE:
25	C Would you please state your name, city of

residence, occupation, and employer?

A My name is Bill Seltzer. I live in Midtand, Texas, where I'm an independent landman and I'm a land consultant for Amerind.

Q And have you previously testified before the OCD and had your credentials as a landman accepted?

A Yes, I have.

Q And are you familiar with Amerind's application in Cases 8457 and 8458?

L Yes, sir.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is the witness considered qualified?

MR. STOGNER: He is so quali-

Q Mr. Seltzer, would you please state briefly what Amerind seeks by these two applications?

A Amerind seeks an order pooling all the mineral interests in the Strawn formation underlying the west half of the northeast quarter of Section 28. Township 16 South, Range 37 East, in Case Number 8457; and underlying the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 28. Township 16 South, Range 37 East, in Case Number 8458.

Amerind also seeks consideration of cost for dealing and completing the wells; allocation of cost of the wells and the actual operating costs and charges for supervision.

Also Amerind seeks to be designated as

operator and to be allocated a charge for the risk involved in drilling the well.

Q Would you please now refer to Exhibit

Number One and describe tha?

A Exhibit Number one is a 4-section -- is a plat showing a 4-section area, which outlines the producing wells, dry holes, and the two proration units for these two cases.

Q And in your position as a landman or a land consultant for Amerind, have they informed you of their plans for urilling or wells on these two units?

A Yes. Amerind first proposes to drill a well in the east of the northeast quarter of Section 28 at an orthodox location to an approximate depth of 11,600 feet, in order to test the Strawn formation.

The well will be within the Northeast Lovington Pennsylvania Pool, which required 80-acre spacing.

A second well will be drilled to the same depth at a legal location in the west of the northeast quarter after the completion of the first well.

Q Would you please now refer to Exhibit Number Two and describe the acreage control Amerina has with respect to the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 28, which is embraced within Case 8458?

A Amerind owns all the acreage with the exception of the ones that $\Gamma^1\Pi$ list here, and we have leases from the ones that $\Gamma^2\Pi$ designate.

ınd.

The Pelmont has issued a lease to Amer-

Somio has issued a lease to Amerind.

J. R. McGinley, Jr., Cleroy, Inc., and Lanroy, Inc., are all out of the same office in Tulsa, Oklahoma, have verbally agreed to issue a lease to Amerind but at the time of this hearing we have not received those leases.

Shell Western Exploration and Production Company has not responded to this pooling provision.

Harry J. Schafer, out of Oklahoma City, has verbally agreed to lease but at this time we have not received this lease in hand.

Dorothy Jeanne Van Zant Sanders has leased -- made a lease to Amerind.

Pennzeil Company has locally agreed to join but they do not have corporate approval at this hearing, time of this hearing.

And would you just briefly describe the history of your contact with each of the parties you've just mentioned?

A On December the 2sta 1 wrote a letter to all the parties listed, inviting each to join in the drilling of the proposed test or grant to Amerind an oil and gas lease on their interest, or farm out their acreage in the entire northeast quarter.

As I stated as to the east half of the

northeast quarter, Felmont and Sohio has made leases, as has Ms. Sanders, Harry Schafer, Mr. McGinley, Cleroy, Inc., Lan-roy, Inc., which is a family group in Tulsa, have agreed to lease but we do not have them in hand.

Shell has not responded.

Pennzoil, as I indicated has -- I have recar approval but not corporate approval at this time.

Would you please now refer to Exhibit Number Three and describe the unpooled or un -- the parties that have not agreed to join in the unit in the west half of the northeast quarter of Section 28, and describe your efforts to obtain their commitment, and I'd mention that this refers to case 6457 specifically.

A Again, on December the 28th I invited all the parties listed to join, farm out, make a lease on their acreage.

Felmont has made a lease to Amerind.

Sohio informed us to go ahead and pool them, that they did not want to do anything at this time.

J. R. McGinley. Cleroy, Inc., Lanroy, Inc., the family group out of Tulsa, Oklahome, have verbally agreed to issue a lease to Amerind, but those have received -- been received at the time of this hearing.

Shell Western, no response from them.

rarry J. Schafer out of Oklahoma City has verbally agreed but at this time their lease has not been received.

terest,

Dorothy Jeanne Van Zant Sanders, she has teased -- made a lease to Amerind and it has been received.

We have dismissed Pennzoil from this in-

Q Would you please now refer to Exhibits
Four and Five and describe these two exhibits?

A Exhibits Four and Five are -- Exhibit four and Five are AFE's for the first well, Four is an AFE for the first well, which will be in the east half of the northeast quarter of Section 28.

And Exhibit Five is an AFE for the drilling and completing of the well in the west half of the rortheast guarter.

Both of these AFE's are for a completed well in the amount of \$761,000.

Q Does Amerind wish to be named as the operator of the two proposed wells?

A Yes.

Q Do you have a recommendation as to the charge for the risk involved in drilling these wells?

1 Yes. I recommend that the maximum allowed by the New Mexico Statute, the way I unconstand it is 200 percent. This is based on the penalty that Amerind has received on the -- all other compulsory poolings of the wells in Section 21 and 28 of this same towaship.

Q Is that amount in line with the nonconsent provisions in joint operating agreements currently

being used in that area?

A Yes, this is in line with those agreements.

Q And is the proposed expense, as reflected on Exhibits Four and Five, in line with the expenses normally charged for drilling wells in this area?

A Yes, these proposed expenses are well in line with the cost of other wells drilled in this area, in this depth in the general area.

Q Mr. Seltzer, what is your recommendation as to the amount Amerina should be paid for supervision charges?

A It is the recommendation that it be \$4000 per month to be allocated for a drilling well and \$400 per month be allocated for a producing well.

O And are these --

A This is the amounts stated in the accounting procedure, which is marked Exhibit Six.

Q Are these amounts in line with those normally charged by Amerind and other operators in this area?

A Yes, these fall directly in line with the amounts normally called for in the joint operating agreement covering wells of this type in the general area.

These are the same charges used by Amerind for all of the other wells in the Northeast Lovington Pennsylvanian Pool.

O In your opinion will the granting of

tions, Mr. Stogner.

```
1
                                                       12
                                  MR.
                                       STOGNER:
                                                   Thank you,
                                                               Ms.
2
    Aubrey.
3
4
                          CROSS EXAMINATION
5
    BY MR. STOGNER:
6
                       Mr. --
             Q
7
             Α
                       Seltzer. BromoSeltzer.
8
                        Thank you, sir. Seltzer.
                        These two proration units, they lie be-
9
    tween the Northeast Lovington Pennsylvanian and the Casey
10
    Strawn Pools, is that --
11
             Α
                       Correct.
12
             Q
                        -- right? And both of those pools --
13
             Α
                       Call for 80-acre spacing.
14
                         And with the same limitation of 150 foot
             Q
15
    of the center of a quarter quarter section --
16
             Α
                       Correct.
                        -- for the well to be drilled.
17
                       You're correct.
18
                         And both -- both of your wells that you
19
    will be drilling on these two proration units will be
20
    line --
21
                        That's correct.
             Α
22
             Q
                        -- with both special --
23
                       We're well --
             Α
24
                        -- pool rules.
             0
25
             Α
                        -- aware of those field rules and we will
```

14 1 Amerind was December 28th, 1984, or roughly thereabout af-2 terwards with the return receipts, is that correct? 3 How long has Amerind planned to drill 4 these two wells? 5 Sir? 6 How -- how far -- how long has Amerind 7 planned to drill these two wells? 8 Α Well, we would need to start this first well immediately because we have an expiration problem in 9 February. 10 Well, what I'm asking you is how long ago 11 have you all planned to drill --12 A We just -- we just started this in the 13 latter part of December. 14 How long has Amerind had the lease? Q 15 Α About a year. 16 0 And both leases are coming up for expira-17 tion in February? This is quite a complicated area with, 18 I'm going to say somewhere around 25 to 30 mineral owners. 19 These are one of the toughest areas in the southeastern part 20 of New Mexico. 21 0 Well, if it was so tough, why didn't you 22 get hold of them earlier, besides just giving them a month 23 to participate? 24 You see, Mr. Examiner, we have drilled four wells in this area right now. We're doing it just as 25

1 15 rapidly as we can. 2 We drilled in the -- look at your plat, 3 Number One. 4 We drilled the well in the south half of 5 the northwest quarter of Section 21. 6 We came down right after that and drilled 7 the well in the north half of the southwest quarter. 8 We came right directly below that and drilled the No. 2 Higgins, which is in the south half of the 9 southwest quarter. 10 came right below that and drilled the 11 No. 1 Speight Well, which is in the north half of the north-12 west quarter. 13 We were here to do a compulsory pooling 14 for the No. 2 Speight, which we intend to drill right after 15 the Carter Well. The Carter Well would be in the northeast 16 quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 28. **17** Q Did you also give those people such short notice of compulsory pooling? 18 We had no trouble. Α 19 Okay. Q 20 Nobody has objected to this first A 21 invited them over to our office to look at our We've 22 ogy. Pennzoil and the rest of them have come over to look 23 at it. 24 Do you think one month is sufficient --Q 25 Α Yes.

1	16
2	Q for people to agree?
3	A Sure.
4	Q Have you been force pooled on such a
5	short notice in the past?
	A I have not. In fact, I've never been
6	force pooled because I give them I'll give them an an-
7	swer.
8	Q These other wells that are being drilled
9	around these two proration units, are any of those producing
10	at the present time?
11	A Which ones did you have reference to?
12	Q Any of them immediately offsetting your
13	two proration units.
	Are there any producing wells within the
14	area in the Strawn formation?
15	A There is a producing well in the Strawn
16	formation in the northeast of the northwest of 27. As I un-
17	derstand, Yates is producing about 10 barrels of oil per
18	day.
19	Q For the record, so I can straighten it
20	out, the risk factor is 200 percent for both cases?
21	A Correct.
	Q And the overhead charges for drilling is
22	\$4000 and producing charges are \$400 for both cases?
23	A Yes, sir, that is in line with the other
24	operating agreements that we did on the other wells, the
25	other four wells we just got through drilling.

We drilled four wells last year.

MR. STOGNER: I have no further

Are there any other questions

of Mr. Seltzer?

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAYLOR:

Seltzer, we need you to provide us Q Mr. with copies of the letters informing the people you're force pooling of the applications.

Just so I can get it straight, are you -in Case 8458, you are force pooling McGinley, Cleroy, Lanroy, Shell Western E & P, and Schafer.

Yes, but we'll dismiss them. They have agreed to lease but why we're doing it is because I don't have that lease.

0 Well, if you're going to dismiss them, now, then you better -- then you have to be sure you have the lease when --

> A That's what we're doing.

MR. BRUCE: We really do not want to dismiss them at this time.

We assume we will get the least from them but we have to go ahead and force pool them.

Q And so in Case 8458, are those the parties that you're force pooling?

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

18 1 You've got a lease from Felmont. 2 You've got a lease from Sohio. 3 You've got a lease from Dorothy Jeanne 4 Van Zant Sanders? 5 Yes. 6 And you must have -- well, let's see, in 7 8458 what's the arrangement with Pennzoil? 8 Are you dismissing them? 9 MR. BRUCE: We are dismissing -- no, not from 8458. 10 MS. AUBREY: Not in that one. 11 MR. TAYLOR: Okay, so you're 12 force pooling them, also. 13 A They have locally indicated that they 14 will join but they do not have their home office approval. 15 MR. TAYLOR: Well. I believe 16 our regulations, though, require us to have copies of notice 17 to them of the hearing, so that's just what I'm concerned about, who you're force pooling and who you are -- who you 18 have leases from. 19 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Taylor, we can 20 provide the December 28th letter that Mr. Seltzer --21 MR. TAYLOR: All right. 22 MR. BRUCE: --provided to the 23 other parties, but also, in -- it should be in your file, a 24 letter from our Midland Office, certified mail, notifying 25 all these parties --

Okay, so the only ones --

Be dismissed.

24

25

0

Α

Bruce.

Q So the only ones you have leases from are Pelmont and Sanders.

A Correct.

Q Okay.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, if I

may make one comment.

On Section 28, the No. 2 Speight Well, I'm looking at the first exhibit, that one was -- came to hearing twice for several reasons, so the parties had about -- approximately three months or more contact because it went to hearing twice.

And the No. 1 Speight Well in the north half of the northwest quarter, that one was force pooled. I believe, sometime last summer or fall, late summer, and I believe at the time we didn't get an order for about seven weeks, close to seven weeks, and therefore the drilling of that well was delayed accordingly, but those two wells were delayed accordingly.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Mr. Bruce, Mr. Seltzer, I'd like to add to the record at this time that force pooling and the action that is taken by the Commission should only be for a last resort and all operators should do their part on trying to get a voluntary agreement before using this as a last resort; not to use it as a tool, per se, to get -- to get people to agree.

1 That's all I have to say. 2 If there's no other questions 3 of this witness, he may be excused. 4 Is there anly closing state-5 ments by Ms. Aubrey for Pennzoil at this time? 6 MS. AUBREY: No, Mr. Stogner. 7 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Bruce? 8 MR. BRUCE: No, sir, Mr. Examiner. 9 TAYLOR: Just for the re-MR. 10 cord, could I clarify, did you consolidate these cases or 11 were you just doing both at the same time? 12 MR. BRUCE: Just hearing them 13 both at the same time because the history of contact is vir-14 tually identical. 15 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Bruce are you 16 going to submit that letter of December the --**17** MR. BRUCE: Yes, I'll bring it right over. 18 MR. STOGNER: -- 28th? 19 Bruce, are you going to 20 leave those on my desk before you leave? 21 MR. BRUCE: Yes. 22 MR. STOGNER: Thank you. Cases 23 Numbers 8457 and 8458 will be taken under advisement. 24 25 (Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sury W. Boyl CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete resort of the proceedings in the Examiner nearing of Case No. 8457 and 8458 heard by me on 30 January 19 85

_, Exam**iner**

Oil Conservation Division