1	TATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT		
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING		
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
4	13 February 1985		
5	EXAMINER HEARING		
6			
7			
8	IN THE MATTER OF:		
9	Application of Anadarko Production CASE Company for an unorthodox gas well 8479		
10	location, Eddy County, New Mexico.		
11			
12	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner		
13	Baronal B. Desgner, Brammer		
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
15			
16	APPEARANCES		
17			
18	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law		
19	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
20	Santa re, New Mexico 8/501		
21	For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin Attorney at Law		
22	KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN P. O. Box 2265		
23	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
24			
25			

1		?	
2			
3	INDEX		
4	RICHARD A. ERICKSON		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	3	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	11	
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13	Anadarko Exhibit One, Structure Map	4	
14	Anadarko Exhibit Two, Isopach	6	
15	Anadarko Exhibit Three, Cross Section	7	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			

1	<del>``</del>
2	
3	MR. STOGNER: We'll call now
4	Case Number 8475.
4	SR. TAYLOR: The application of
5	Anadarko Production Company for an unorthodox gas well loca-
6	tion, Eddy County, New Mexico.
7	MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner
8	please, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing
9	on behalf of the applicant, and I have one witness to be
10	sworn.
	MR. STOGNER: Are there any
11	other appearances in this matter?
12	Will the witness please stand
13	and be sworn?
14	and be sword.
15	
15	(Witness sworn.)
16	
17	RICHARD A. ERICKSON,
18	being called as a wicness and being duly sworn upon his
19	Jath, testified as follows, to-wit:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
22	Q Mr. Erickson, for the record would you
23	please state your name and occupation?
24	A My name is Richard Erickson and I'm Staff
25	Geological Engineer with Anadarko Production Company in Mid-

land, Texas.

Q Mr. Erickson, have you previously testified before the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico as a geological engineer?

A Yes.

Q And pursuant to your employment have you made a study of the geologic characteristics surrounding this application?

A Yes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Erickson as an expert geological engineer.

MR. STOGNER: He is so qualified.

Q Mr. Erickson, let me show you what has been marked as Applicant Exhibit Number One and have you, first of all, identify that exhibit.

A This is the structure map, the top of the Morrow Clastics.

Q Would you locate for us on the exhibit the proration unit that Anadarko seeks to drill this well on?

A The proration unit that Anadarko seeks is the south half of Section 22, Township 21 South, Range 27 East.

Q Would you identify for the Examiner what the unorthodox location is that you seek with this application?

	(	
1		5
2	A	The unorthodox location is indicated by
3	the red dot and arro	w.
4	Q L	et me direct your attention to the sec-
4	tion immediately to	the west of Section 21. Would you iden-
5	tify for us any othe	r unorthodox well locations in that sec-
6	tion that have been	approved for any other operator?
7	A C	ities Service has a well, the Government
8	"AD" No. 3, in the n	ortheast quarter of Section 21, and that
9	was Order No. R-7679	•
10	Q	What is the distance that well is from
11	the common section l	ine between your section and their sec-
11	tion?	
12		60 feet.
13		MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, we
14	show you for your in	formatin a copy of Division Order R-7679
15		on the adjoining well.
16		What is the spacing and proration unit
	1	ervice to their well in Section 21?
17		
18		20 acres. It's the north half of Sec-
19	tion 21.	
20	Q I	n Section 22, your section, Mr. Erick-
21	son, is the north ha	If of your section dedicated to a produ-
21	cing Morrow well?	
22	A Y	es, it is.
23	0 %	ould you identify that well for us?

northwest quarter of the section.

24

25

Would you identify that well for us?

That's the Bass State 22 Com No. 1 in the

рÀ

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

Q Would you now explain what conclusions you reach from this exhibit in terms of your location?

A Okay. We feel from this, this location will be approximately 100 feet high to the presently plugged and abandoned well on the lease we hold, and about 40 feet, or so, low to the Cities Service "AD" No. 3.

Q In your opinion, Mr. Erickson, is this the optimum location in the south half of Section 22 from which to test for production in the Morrow Clastics?

A Yes, we believe so.

Q Why could you not move this, in your opinion, to a standard location farther to the east?

A We don't wish to go down dip. The porosity, we believe, becomes poorer that direction. Also, there are two wells that have been tested water wet in that direction.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$  All right, sir, let's turn to Exhibit Number Two, which is the Isopach, and have you identify and describe that.

A Exhibit Number Two is the Isopach of the Lower Morrow with a field porosity greater than 8 percent.

Q Would you describe for us the significance of the proposed well location in terms of the Ispach?

A Okay. The well location will have approximately 50 net feet of pay versus 32 in the well that's plugged on our lease, and 67 in the Cities Service well.

It's a channel sand sequence. We antici-

pate excellent porosity in the area.

Q You've identified on that exhibit the two wells that are wet in the interval to be tested?

A Yes. In section 23 are two wells colored in blue. One was an Amoco well and one was a Read and Stevens well. They were both production tested and DST'ed in the Lower Morrow, which is the pay in the area, and they both were water wet producing up to several hundred barrels of water.

Q All right, sir, let's turn to the cross section now, Mr. Erickson.

All right, sir, would you identify and describe for us the wells contained on that exhibit?

A Yeah. This is a basically west/east cross section. It begins at the Cities Service Elizondo "A" Federal 2-Y in Section 21, Township 21 South, Range 27 East on the left.

The next well, second from the left, is the Cities Service Government "AD" Com No. 3, the recently drilled well.

The next well is the Texas International Petroleum Corporation Sun State No. 1 in Section 22, Township 21, 27. That's the well presently on the lease we have and is now abandoned.

The next well is the Amoco State "IR" No. 1 in Section 23, Township 21 South, Range 27 East. That was a well that was tested in the Lower Morrow and the Upper

Morrow and found to be water productive.

Morrow and found to wet.

your location in Section 22?

The last well is the Read and Stevens Hisson State Com No. 1, and it was also tested in the Lower

\_

A Cities Service's shut-in wellhead pressure after completion was 2690 pounds.

Q Would you describe for us the geology between the Cities Service well in Section 21, across through

A Okay. The Cities Service well will be higher on the structure, indicating this. The structure dips to the southeast and through the Texas International Petroleum location. Our location will be, like I said, about 40 feet low to the Cities Service well.

Q Are you able to correlate the Morrow sands between your proposed location and the Cities Service Well?

A Yes. Some of the sands do correlate very well. There are some others that do not.

Q What is the experience of the operators in the area with regards to the original bottom hole pressures in this portion of the Morrow?

A The original bottom hole pressure, the shut-in wellhead pressure which I have record of, is approximately 3600 pounds.

Q And how does that compare to what Cities Service tested in their well?

1	9
2	Q With regards to your acreage in the south
	half of Section 22, what conclusion can you draw from a com-
3	parison of the pressure information and the correlation of
4	the Morrow formation across this area?
5	A We believe that the decrease in pressure
6	does indicate some some depletion in the area.
7	Q And unless your proposed location is
8	granted, do you have an opinion as to whether or not your
9	share of the gas from this zone will be produced by others?
10	A We feel that Cities would probably pro-
11	duce our share out of the immediate area.
12	Q Were Exhibits One, Two, and Three pre-
ļ	pared by you?
13	A Yes.
14	Q In your opinion will approval of this ap-
15	plication, Mr. Erickson, prevent waste, protect correlative
16	rights, and be in the best interest of conservation?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. KELLAHIN: We move the in-
	troduction of Exhibits One, Two, and Three.
19	MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One,
20	Two, and Three will be admitted into evidence.
21	Q Mr. Erickson, when do you propose to com-
22	mence the drilling of this well?
23	A We need to commence before April 1st,
24	1985.
	±200+

Why is there a need to commence before

24

25

Q

1 11 April 1st of '85? 2 Our State lease expires at that time. 3 All right, sir. Thank you. 0 4 5 CROSS EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. STOGNER: 7 I'd like to refer back to Exhibit One. 0 8 the Burton Flat Morrow a prorated gas pool or a nonpro-9 rated gas pool? I believe it's nonprorated, I think. 10 MR. KELLAHIN: Burton Flats 11 Morrow is a prorated gas pool, Mr. Stogner. 12 Α Okay. 13 Obviously the well offsetting you to 0 14 northwest is an unorthodox location by Order No. R-6979. 15 Is the Amoco well in Section 23, offset-16 ting to the northeast, is that an unorthodox location? 17 I am not sure on that one. I believe it Α is an orthodox location. It is an Atoka producer. 18 0 Oh, it's an Atoka. Okay. 19 Do you know if there's very many unortho-20 dox locations within the Burton Flat Morrow Pool? 21 There are -- I don't believe there 22 very many unorthodox locations. There are a number of wells 23 that are completed closer than these two wells would be com-24 pleted. 25 MR. STOGNER: I have no further

```
12
1
    questions of this witness.
2
                                 Are there any other questions?
3
    If not, he may be excused.
 4
                                  Is there anything further in
5
    Case Number 8479?
6
                                  MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
7
                                  MR. STOGNER: If not, this case
8
    will be taken under advisement.
9
                         (Hearing concluded.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Saley W. Boyd Cox

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8479 heard by me on 13 hearry 1985.

Muket Editor, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division