j		
1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO	
2	ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.	
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
4	27 February 1985	
5	EXAMINER HEARING	
6		
7		
8	IN THE MATTER OF:	
9		CASE
10	permit Basin Company, et al, to appear and show cause why a certain well in San Juan County should not be plugged	8491
11	and abandoned.	
12		
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner	
14		
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
16		
17	APPEARANCES	
18	Tow the Oil Congervation Toff Maylor	
19	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the	e Commission
20	State Land Office Bl Santa Fe, New Mexico	.dg.
21		
22	For the Applicant:	
23		
24		
25		

Г

1		2	
2			
3	INDEX		
4			
5	ERNIE BUSH		
6	Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor	3	
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	9	
8			
9			
10	EXHIBITS		
11			
12	OCD Exhibit One, Map	4	
13	CCD Exhibit Two, C-101	5	
14	OCD Exhibit Three, Sundry report	6	
15	OCD Exhibit Four, C-105	6	
16	OCD Exhibit Five, C-103	7	
17	OCD Exhibit Six, Cardex card	7	
	OCD Exhibit Seven, Index card	8	
18	OCD Exhibit Eight, Schematic	8	
19	OCD Exhibit Nine, Letter	8	
20	OCD Exhibit Ten, Plugging program	8	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		
2	MR. STOGNER: We will now call	
3	Case No. 8491.	
4	MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, my	
5	name is Jeff Taylor. I'm counsel for the Oil Conservation	
6	Commission and I have one witness who's already been sworn.	
7	MR. STOGNER: Mrwas there	
8	any opposition to this or any other appearances?	
	MR. TAYLOR: No, there wasn't.	
9	Mr. Jennings believed he was in this but it was a different	
10	case.	
11	MR. STOGNER: Okay. Please	
12	continue then, Mr. Taylor.	
13		
14	ERNIE BUSH,	
15	being called as a witness and having been previously sworn	
16	upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:	
17		
	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
18	BY MR. TAYLOR:	
19	Q Okay. You've alreadywe'll skip your	
20	name and your qualifications because you've already been	
21	qualified to testify.	
22	Does District Three include the part of	
23	San Juan County that's involved in Case 8491?	
24	A Yes, it does.	
25	Q And do your duties as District Three geo-	
-	logist include making recommendations to the Commission or	

1			£.
2	its hear	ing office	rs as to when wells should be plugged
3	and aband	doned?	
4		A	Yes.
5		Q	Would you generally state the purpose of
	Case 849	1?	
6		A	Yes. To show cause why this well should
7	not be	plugged a	nd abandoned according to New Mexico Oil
8	Conserva	tion Divis	ion approved plugging procedures.
9		Q	And is the well and its location properly
10	stated i	n the adve	rtisement for this hearing?
11		Α	Yes, it is.
12		Q	Have you reviewed the well files main
	tained by	y the Comm	ission on this well including all the re
13	ports fi	led?	
14	!	Α	Yes, I have.
15		Q	And have you brought copies of those with
16	you?		
17		А	Yes, I have.
18		Q	Would you please go through those and ex-
19	plain to	us the va	rious items in the Commission files?
20		A	Yes. Referring to Case 8491 Exhibit Num-
	ber One,	the Ted	White No. 78. Exhibit Number One is a map
21	locating	the well	within the Farmington city limits, and al-
22	so a pho	tograph of	the wellhead equipment.
23		Q	Is this the wellthe picture appears to
24	indicate	that the	well is located in someone's yard. Is
25	that cor	rect?	

A That's correct. It's located approximately 5 feet from a house.

Exhibit Number Two is a copy of the notice of intention to drill, or our Form C-101, with the information of the location, the operator, well name, location, the West Kutz Pool, San Juan County, landowner, Agnes Eaton, with an address, proposed that it be drilled with cable tools that the bonding company is--let's see the bonding--the status of the plugging bond is statewide bond.

Drilling contractor, E. E. Barrett Drilling Company, Farmington, and with an intent to complete the well in the PC--Picture Cliffs--at approximately 1000 feet.

Also with a location plat showing the well in Unit H.

Also a casing program showing the hole size to be 12 inches, the size of the casing being 8-5/8, weight 32 pounds, set at a depth of 95 feet with 40 sacks of cement.

The long string, 8-inch hole, 5-1/2 inch casing, 15.5 pounds, set at a depth of 1000 feet; 75 sacks of cement.

Approved July 7, 1960.

On the second page of Exhibit Number One is a copy of our Form C-128, which is now C-102, showing the location and the dedicated acreage for the well, the dedicated acreage being 160 acres.

•

Also an elevation of 5248.6 feet.

Page three of Exhibit Number One. A copy of a letter to Mr. Emory C. Arnold, who was the district supervisor of District Three at that time, confirming--"Dear Mr. Arnold: Confirming our telephone conversation of this date, I am mailing you Form C-101 replacing the forms which were mistakenly sent to you June 18, C-103 for notice of intention to drill."

Referring to Exhibit Number Three, which is a sundry report on the well indicating that the surface casing was set at 99 feet, 8-5/8 cemented with 40 sacks.

Drilled out cement at 7-6, 1960. Water shut off. Drilling 7-8, 1960. 200 feet.

Exhibit Number Four is C-105, our Form C105, indicating that important water sands were encountered,
985-990, water rose 800 feet.

The casing record shows that the 8-5/8 was run at 99 feet, cemented with regular cement. Long string, 5-1/2, set at 960 feet, regular cement.

Mud and cementing record, size of hole 10-inch, 8-5/8, 99, 50 sacks of cement, pumped. Size of the long string there, the hole, 8-inch, 5-1/2 inch casing set at 960 with 75 sacks of cement.

Record of production and stimulation, 5-1/2 inch pipe was set on top of Pictured Cliffs sands at 960 feet. No electric logs were run. No gas. Salt water was encountered from 985 to 990.

On the back of this form, cable tools were used from 0 to 990 feet. The production for the first 24 hours, none. Liquid hydrocarbons, none.

Length of time shut--temporarily aban-doned.

Kirtland formation. Kirtland-Fruitland, 350--350 feet. Pictured Cliffs, 955 feet. Total thickness of 35 feet Pictured Cliffs, it indicates here.

Exhibit Number Five is o copy of our sundry report C-103 indicating that the pool was the West Kutz-Pictured Cliffs.

Temporarily abandoned, waiting on orders.

Hydromite plug was set at 990 to 985;

pumped 10 sacks of cement to bottom of hole at 985; fill-up

was to 959. Top of casing was capped.

Exhibit Number Six is a copy of -- of our Cardex card out of our Cardex file, indicating the location, the elevation, that the well was spudded in 7-1-60, completed 8-20-1960, with the -- with the casing record.

That we received two sundry reports; that there was a well log which we do not have. And also a plat was submitted. Temporarily abandoned 8-20, 1960. Hydromite plug 985-990. 10 sacks cements--10 sacks of cement, 959-985. Top casing was capped. 160 acres dedicated acreage. And that's about everything in Exhibit Number Six.

Exhibit Number Seven is a copy of a 3x5

card which we have in our files, indicating essentially the same information as we've covered before, and showing that it's temporarily abandoned.

Exhibit Number Eight is a schematic drawn up by me showing 8-5/8 set at 99 feet with 50 sacks of cement, presumed circulation. 5-1/2 inch set at 960 with 75 sacks of cement. And I calculated 75% fill to 790 feet.

Cement filled to 959; hydromite plug set at 990 to 985.

Exhibit Number Nine is a copy of the certified letter which we sent to Basin Company at 142 Truman NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico, which I'm not aware that we've received any reply, asking them to show up at the hearing.

Exhibit Number Ten again is a Division approved plugging program prepared by me.

Q Okay. Looking at your exhibits, it appears that we've had no communications from the owner/operator of this well since about 1960, when it was drilled. Is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q Our letter to them is dated Jan--February 18, 1985, is that the date?

A That's correct.

Q So it's been approximately 25 years with no information in the file on this well?

A That's right.

Q In your opinion, could a failure to plug

1	9
2	this well cause waste, contaminate fresh water resources, or
3	present a safety hazard?
4	A Yes, indeed. As to theespecially as to
5	the hazard question, as the well is located very very close
6	to the back door of the residence.
7	Q And you're recommending therefore that
	this well be plugged?
8	A Yes.
9	MR. TAYLOR: Okay. That's all
10	the questions I have.
11	I'd like to move the admission
12	of our EXhibits One through Ten in this case.
13	MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One
14	through Ten will be admitted into evidence.
15	CROSS EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. STOGNER:
17	Q Mr. Bush, in your photograph on Exhibit
18	One, that shows to be a valve, is that correct?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Has that been turned on with the nipple
	taken off?
21	A No, the handle is broken off and is skin-
22	ned out so bad that it has not been turned on. In other
23	words, it's still off. And I've
24	Q Did you notify thewho owns the house
25	that this?

ſ

1		10
2	A	A Mr. Phil Harris, Mr. Examiner.
3	Q	Have you talked to him?
4	A	Yes, indeed.
5	Q	Was he concerned?
	Α	No, he wasn't really all that concerned
6	until we started	telling him some horror stories about some
7	of the other wells	that we've had experience with.
8	Q	What kind of business is he in?
9	А	He's a farmer.
10	Q	I notice going through the other exhibits
11	herethat Basin C	ompany, what ever happened to them? Do
12	you know? Are th	ey operating up there? And how about the
13	C. E. Steward? He	seems to be the agent that signed a bunch
	of these documents	back in '60.
14	A	I'm not familiar with the company or Mr.
15	C. E. Steward.	
16	Q	They just seem to have disappeared?
17	A	It appears so.
18		MR. STOGNER: Okay. I have no
19	further questions	of this witness.
20		Is there anything further in
21	Case No. 8491?	
22		MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, I
23	would just like to	, as in the other cases, request that a
	minimum amount of	time be allowed before this plugging
24	program is underta	ken.
25		MR. STOGNER: Do you have an

Г

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

approximate minimum time that you--

MR. TAYLOR: --I--

MR. STOGNER:

--would like to

MR. TAYLOR: Oftentimes we wait

60 to 120 days, but I would recommend, especially with those

that are leaking gas and water, that no more than 30 days be

provided for the owner/operators to show up before we

undertake to plug the wells ourselves.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Taylor. Does this 30 days minimum hold true for the other

cases?

suggest?

MR. TAYLOR: Well, I would re-

commend 30 days on all the cases, although because of con-

tract and lead time that we'll need we won't get them all

--we couldn't get them all done in 30 days. But I would

certainly recommend on the wells that are leaking that we

commence a plugging program immediately after 30 days is up.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Taylor.

Does anybody else have anything

in Case No. 8491?

If not, the witness may be ex-

cused and this case will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 849/, heard by me on Affectivery 1985.

Oil Conservation Division