1	
2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
3	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
4	27 February 1985
5	EXAMINER HEARING
6	
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
•	THE THE THIEF OF T
9	Application of Chaveroo Operating CASE Co., Inc. for compulsory pooling, 8497
10	Roosevelt County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	
20	For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law
21	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.
	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
22	For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin
23	For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin Attorney at Law KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
24	P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
25	

1	2
2	A P P E A R A N C E S
3	For VERNA Corp: Scott Hall Attorney at Law
4	CAMPBELL & BLACK P.A. P. O. Box 2208
5	Santa Fe, New Mexico 37501
6	
7	
8	INDEX
9	WILLIAM J. GRAHAM
	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin 5
10	process and managers of the Marianth
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	EXHIBITS
16	
17	Applicant Exhibit One, Plat 5
18	Applicant Exhibit Two, AFE
19	Applicant Exhibit Three, Letter 7
20	Applicant Exhibit Four, Letter 8
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

3 1 2 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case 3 Number 9497. 4 MR. TAYLOR: The application of 5 Chaveroo Operating Company for compulsory pooling, Roosevelt 6 County, New Mexico. 7 MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-8 ances in this matter. KELLAHIN: MR. If the Examiner 9 please, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing 10 on behalf of the applicant. I have one witness. 11 MR. STOGNER: Is that witness 12 Mr. Graham, sir? 13 MR. KELLAHIN: Yes. 14 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 15 other appearances in this matter? 16 MR. HALL: Scott Hall, on behalf of VERNA Corporation, V-E-R-N-A. 17 MR. KELLAHIN: As I understand 18 it, Mr. Examiner, I think Mr. Hall is entering his appear-19 ance for purposes of the record. I do not understand that 20 he will present any witnesses or that this will be con-21 tested. 22 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Scott, do you 23

have any witnesses?

24

25

MR. SCOTT: I don't want Mr.

Kellahin to represent my case for me but we have no wit-

4 1 nesses. 2 MR. Well, if KELLAHIN: 3 Hall had been here when the Commission called this case, we 4 might have resolved it otherwise. 5 it was called When at 00:8 6 o'clock, I represented that this was not an opposed case. I 7 assume that still is a fair representation. 8 We stand by our MR. HALL: comment. 9 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Scott --10 Hall, do you plan to cross examine this witness? 11 MR. HALL: Not at this time. 12 We reserve the right. 13 MR. STOGNER: I'm going to ask 14 people that are in the auditorium at this time, as I 15 had mentioned earlier today at 8:00 o'clock before I started 16 this hearing, that any contested cases or any cases that had 17 appearances other than the applicant would be automatically set at the end of the hearing. 18 And, Mr. Hall, you were not 19 that time. I'm going to throw this out to the here at 20 people here and is there any objections to this, to go ahead 21 and hear this case? 22 If not, we're going to go ahead 23 with it. I didn't see anybody so I'm going to go with it. 24 CARR: I think I represent MR.

every other case pending and we have no objection to going

25

2

3

Carr.

so show.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

ahead with the case at this time.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Mr. Kellahin, please continue.

KELLAHIN: MR. Mr. Examiner,

I'd request that the record reflect that Mr. Bill Graham is still under oath, that he has been qualified and accepted as an expert petroleum engineer.

> STOGNER: The record will MR.

WILLIAM J. GRAHAM,

being called as a witness and being previously sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

Mr. Graham, for the record would you \circ please use Exhibit Number One in this case and in reference to the last case, will you orient the Examiner as to the area we are now seeking to pool under the current application?

It would be the northwest quarter of the same Section 25, the well, Humble Federal No. 9 would be an orthodox location 1310 from the north line, 1310 from the west line of that section.

> Is this also a well location for Case 0

8497 for which the well location was approved as an unorthodox well location by the Division pursuant to Division Order R-7774?

A Yes, sir, it was.

Q Would you describe for the Examiner Exhibit Number Two, which is the proposed Authority for Expenditure on this well?

A This is an AFE. It is identical to the other one we looked at. It has the same basis, prices and average cost of what we would anticipate to drill a well for in here.

This is an estimate and it would be based on actual cost.

Q How do these costs compare to costs for similar wells that Chaveroo Operating Company has drilled in the immediate area?

A We believe we can drill the wells in this immediate area for that cost. We have drilled some wells to the east of here which actually, due to some of the completion procedures, wound up costing us slightly more than this, but we've also drilled some that were slightly less than this, if everything goes extremely well.

So we think it's a good average.

Q With regards to this application, Mr. Graham, would you identify for the Examiner those individuals or companies with whom you have not reached an agreement?

A It would be the VERNA Drilling Company that we're not been able to reach an agreement with in regards to this particular well.

Q Have you had an opportunity to discuss this project and this well with any representative of VERNA Company?

A Yes, sir, we have.

Q Would you identify for us the individuals that you have dealt with, either in VERNA Corporation or VERNA Drilling Company, or whatever name that they're using?

A We have called the President, which I don't recall his name, unless it's Mr. Hall, Mr. Hooper, Mr. William C. Hooper, I have discussed this situation with him on at least one occasion personally. Mr. Medairy's had several occasions to discuss it with him, Mr. Medairy being our landman representative.

Mr. Graham, would in chronological order summarize for us the effort, the various efforts that you have made on behalf of Chaveroo Operating Company in order to obtain VERNA Corporation's voluntary participation in this well?

A Well, we have the Exhibit Number Three, which was dated November the 16th, and which we initially sent out and proposed the well. We outlined the participation it would have in it. Attached to that was an AFE. Also attached to that was an excerpt from the engineering study which we were basing our participation and our origi-

__

nal purchase on the thing.

Exhibit Number Four is a letter of December the 27th where there had been a question of would be purchase their interest and we had made an offer. It was completely unacceptable to VERNA. Mr. Hooper had made a counter offer which we could not afford to pay, and at that point in time I called and asked him if there was anything at all that we could do to work this out.

The operating agreement proposed only a 300 percent penalty, which was the same as the statutory limit for New Mexico, and that that would save us at least going through this entertainment, that we were so far apart on price that we could not, apparently, reach an agreement.

And so that was the way it was left and that was the reason for our request for it, and I have had no more conversation with Mr. Hooper on that since that time. I told him that we would plan to proceed in that -- in that regard, and at that point in time we contacted Mr. Kellahin to represent us.

Q At any point did VERNA Corporation through Mr. Hooper express to you any objection to the method in which the risk factor penalty would be assessed against their interest?

A I'm not aware of anything that he objected to in that area. Some conversations have taken place between he and Mr. Medairy and I proposed to him the \$300 and \$4000; even said, you know, let's talk about that. This

is what we're charging almost universally for this depth of well down to about 6000 feet, not only here but in Louisiana and other places, and we've had no objection to it.

Q Did Mr. Hooper raise any objection to the overhead charges of \$4000 and \$300?

A To my recollection, I do not recall any, in my conversation with him, any objection to those numbers as being reasonable.

Q Do you have a recommendation to this Examiner with regards to overhead rates to be assessed in this pooling order?

A I recommend the \$4000 for a drilling well prorated on a monthly basis and \$300 per well per month on a producing well, as covered in the standard form operating agreement.

Q Let me direct your attention to whether or not you can express an opinion on the basis upon which you would recommend a risk factor penalty of the statutory maximum, 200 percent.

A Well, the risk factor would be very similar to that testimony which I've given in the Case 8496.

There is a potential for some pressure depletion. There is a potential for some changes in water saturations which we're seeing in certain intervals, predominantly in the P-4 zone but also sometimes in the P-3.

There are some slight mechanical risks, which I have discussed with the Examiner, also.

v

The completion procedures have to be done, in our opinon, very, very carefully and very methodically, and there is some risk and additional expenditures that can incur there.

Q So the record is clear, Mr. Graham, would you again identify the well that we're dealing with VERNA Corporation on?

A It would be Tucker Hall No. 9, located in the northwest northwest of Section 25, 1310 from the north line, 1310 from the west line.

Q And the well involved with Mr. Buckner was the Humble?

A Was the Tucker Hall No. 9. This is the Humble Federal No. 9 --

Q All right.

A -- with VERNA. The one involved with Mr. Buckner is the Tucker hall No. 9.

Q What is the status of the Humble Federal No. 9 Well, involved with VERNA Corporation?

A We waiting primarily on the hearing and the resolution of this problem, and as soon as possible thereafter, it would be our plan to plan to drill this well. We have approval. We've gone through the Federal; it is a Federal lease; we've gotten approval from there. We got approval from the State; everything except the participation here.

I might add, there may be other quarter

4

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

Hall.

19 20

21

23

22

24

25

quarter section wells. We're not -- we're not interested in fighting or arguing with anybody because we want to cooperate with everybody out here. We're not trying to ask them to get out. We're not trying to oversell them to get in. We're just -- we would just like to proceed.

In your opinion, Mr. Graham, will approval of this application be in the best interest of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?

> Α I believe very strongly that it will be.

All right. Q

KELLAHIN: We move the in-MR. troduction, Mr. Examiner, of Exhibits One through Four.

MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One through Four will be admitted into evidence.

Mr. Hall, your witness.

MR. No questions, HALL: Mr.

Examiner.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Mr.

Examiner,

MR. HALL: You're welcome.

KELLAHIN:

purposes of the record, we would like to introduce a copy of the signed return receipt cards showing that VERNA Corporation received a copy of the application in this case with the cover letter. The date of receipt by VERNA Corporation is February 7th, 1985.

MR.

(Hearing concluded.)

24

25

under advisement.

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Jary W. Boyd Cor

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8497. heard by me on feature 27 1985.

Examiner Examiner

Oil Conservation Division