STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 1 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION State Land Office Building 2 Santa Fe, New Mexico 3 8 May 1985 **EXAMINER HEARING** 5 IN THE MATTER OF: 6 Application of Amerind Oil Co. for CASE amendment of Division Order No. 8587 7 R-7796, Lea County, New Mexico. 8 9 10 BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner 11 12 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 13 APPEARANCES 14 15 For the Oil Conservation Jeff Taylor Division: Attorney at Law 16 Legal Counsel for the Division Oil Conservation Division 17 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 18 For the Applicant: James G. Bruce 19 Attorney at Law HINKLE LAW FIRM 20 P. O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 21 22 23 24 25

		2			
1					
2	INDEX				
3					
4	STATEMENT BY MR. BRUCE	3			
5					
6	BILL SELTZER				
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	4			
8	,				
9	ROBERT C. LEIBROCK				
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	8			
11	·				
12					
13					
14	EXHIBITS				
15					
16	Amerind Exhibit One, Plat	6			
17	Amerind Exhibit Two, Map	8			
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

QUINTANA: We'll call next

1

3

4

7

8

2

Case 8587.

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

Amerind Oil Company for amendment of Division Order R-7796, 5

MR.

Lea County, New Mexico. 6

> MR. BRUCE: Examiner, my Mr. name is Jim Bruce from the Hinkle Law Firm in Santa Fe, and I have two witnesses to be sworn.

MR. OUINTANA: Are there other 10 appearances in Case 8587? 11

If not, gentlemen, please stand up and be sworn in.

14

15

12

13

(Witnesses sworn.)

16

22

MR. BRUCE: Before we begin, 17 Examiner, the location listed on the advertisement here 18 is 1320 feet from the north line, and I believe there have 19 been previous discussions with the -- between the applicant and the OCD that that would be changed to 1330 feet. 21

MR. QUINTANA: So it will be readvertised. 23

BRUCE: In our discussions MR. 24 it was said it would not need to be readvertised. 25

MR. QUINTANA: Would not need 1 to be readvertised, okay. 2 Was that 1330? 3 MR. BRUCE: 1330. MR. QUINTANA: You may proceed. 5 6 BILL SELTZER, 7 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 8 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. BRUCE: 12 Q Would you please state your name, city of 13 residence, occupation, and relationship to the applicant? 14 My name is Bill Seltzer. I live in Mid-15 land, Texas, where I am an independent landman and a land 16 consultant for Amerind Oil Company. 17 Q And have you previously testified before 18 the OCD and had your qualifications as a petroleum landman 19 made a matter of record? 20 Α Yes, I have. 21 Are you familiar with Amerind's applica-0 22 tion in connection with this case and with the land owner-23 matters relating to the area embraced within this ap-24 plication? 25

```
Yes, sir.
             Α
 1
                                 MR.
                                      BRUCE:
                                                Mr.
                                                     Examiner, is
2
   the witness considered qualified?
3
                                 MR. QUINTANA: Yes, he is.
                        Would
             Q
                               you please state for the record,
5
   Mr. Seltzer, what Amerind seeks in this case?
6
7
                       Amerind seeks an order approving an unor-
   thodox well location in the Strawn formation underlying
8
   west half of the northeast quarter of Section 28, Township
   16 South, Range 37 East, Lea County, New Mexico.
10
             Q
                       And you are seeking an amendment of Divi-
11
   sion Order R-7796, is that correct?
12
                       Is that that number?
13
                       Yes.
14
                       Yes.
15
                       And that order approved -- previously ap-
             0
16
   proved a compulsory pooling in this unit?
17
             Α
                       No.
                             Or yeah, that was it, but we had a
18
   -- isn't it just an unorthodox location?
19
20
                       Yeah, right, but that previous order ap-
   proved a compulsory pooling in this unit.
21
22
             Α
                       Yes.
                        And now you just merely seek to approve
23
             0
   an unorthodox well location, is that correct?
24
25
             Α
                       Uh-huh, unorthodox location.
```

Would you please refer to Exhibit Number O 1 One and explain the exhibit to the examiner? 2 Α Exhibit Number One is a plat showing a 3 six section area with wells in that area noted on the plat, as well as a proposed well location on the west half of 5 northeast quarter of Section 28. Amerind is the operator of this unit and 7 also controls all offsetting acreage in the northwest northeast quarter of Section 28 and therefore we need no necessity for -- to notify the offset operators. 10 Q As a matter of fact, Amerind owns all of 11 the surrounding area, operates all the surrounding acreage, 12 is that correct? 13 That's correct. 14 In your position as land consultant 15 Amerind, are you advised as to Amerind's plans for the dril-16 ling of the well in the unit? 17 Amerind proposed to drill a well in Α Yes. 18 the west half of the northeast quarter at an unorthodox lo-19 20 cation to approximately -- approximate depth of 11,600 feet in order to test the Strawn formation. 21 Leibrock will testify further on the 22 geological reasons for seeking the unorthodox location. 23

Does Amerind seek expedited approval

in

Q

this matter?

24

25

Α Yes. Amerind is at this time making a 1 surface location and will move in on the site as soon as 2 this order is approved. 3 In your opinion will the granting Q Amerind's application be in the interest of conservation, 5 the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights? 7 Α Yes. 8 Was Exhibit One prepared by you? 9 Yes. A 10 MR. BRUCE: At this time I move 11 the admission of Exhibit Number One. 12 MR. QUINTANA: Exhibit One will 13 be entered as evidence. 14 MR. BRUCE: I have no further 15 questions of the witness. 16 17 MR. QUINTANA: I have o questions of the witness. 18 He may be excused. 19 20 ROBERT C. LEIBROCK, 21 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 22 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 23 24 25

1 2 3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUCE:

Q Would you please state your name and city of residence?

A My name is Robert C. Leibrock. I live in Midland, Texas.

Q And what is your occupation?

A I am a Vice President in charge of Exploration and Field Development for Amerind.

Q And have you previously testified before the New Mexico OCD as a petroleum engineer?

A Yes.

Q Are you familiar with Amerind's application for an unorthodox location, which is the subject of this hearing?

A Yes.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, is the witness considered qualified?

MR. QUINTANA: Yes, he is.

Q Mr. Leibrock, would you please describe Exhibit Two for the Examiner?

A The Exhibit Two is a structure map contoured on top of the Lower Strawn Lime.

The proration unit is outlined in yellow

25

and the unorthodox location is identified.

The proposed location is 1980 feet from the east line and 1330 from the north line.

The proration unit is the west half of the northeast quarter of Section 28.

Q Will you please explain the reason for seeking this unorthodox location and in connection with your answer would you please describe Exhibit Two in more detail?

A Okay. The Strawn reservoir as shown on this exhibit includes several fields, the Northeast Lovington Penn Field, Casey Strawn, and the Humble City Strawn Field, which is just off to the south; it's not shown on this map.

All of these fields consist of reservoirs which are made up of limestone ridges, or mounds, with erratic porosity development.

The trapping mechanism is primarily stratigraphic with up-dip porosity pinchout to the southwest.

Although porosity development is difficult to predict, it does appear to be associated to some extent with structural nosing or terracing and several of these noses can be noted on this exhibit, particularly in Sections 18, 20, and 34.

Amerind has drilled five producing wells in the Northeast Lovington Penn Field. Subsurface control

from these wells combined with that from offset producing wells and dry holes clearly demonstrates the importance of well location in this trend.

This can be seen the fact that most of the better wells in this trend are immediately offset by dry holes.

The discovery well, the Tidewater Montieth No. 1 in Section 20 has produced over 1-1/4 million barrels of oil but is immediately adjacent to three dry holes in this same formation.

Similarly, in Section 28 you'll notice to the south of our proposed location the Yates Burton dry hole and to the northwest, the Tom Brown Montieth in Section 21.

Our intent here is to get approximately halfway in between these two dry holes because we feel that the axis of this particular producing trend lies approximately halfway between these two dry holes.

And for these reasons we think that a location in either of the orthodox locations in this proration unit could result in much poorer wells or quite possibly dry holes.

Q In your opinion will the granting of Amerind's application be in the interest of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative rights?

			11	
1	A	Yes.	•	
2	Q	Was Exhib	it Two prepared by you or under	
3	your direction?			
4	A	Yes.		
5			MR. BRUCE: At this time I move	
6	admission of the Exhibit Number Two.			
7			MR. QUINTANA: Exhibit Two will	
8	be entered as evidence.			
9			MR. BRUCE: I have no further	
10	questions of this witness.			
11			MR. QUINTANA: I have no ques-	
12	tions of the witness, either.			
13			Anyone else have questions of	
14	the witness?			
15			If not, he may be excused.	
16			Anyone else have anything fur-	
17	ther in Case 8587?			
18	·		If not, it will be taken under	
19	advisement.			
20			*	
21	(Hearing concluded.)			
22				
23				
24				
25				

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Souly le Boyd COR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8587 heard by me on 1985

Sillet P. Quintage Examiner
Oil Conservation Division