		Page 1
	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	I
	EXAMINER HEARING	
	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
Hearing Date	JUNE 5, 1985	Time: 8:00 A.M.
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION
W. Cony Zear		4 Sontate
Bul July	Bypani Empleel and Hack, Pt	Fanta Fe
Muhal E. Stogner Q.M. Ker Car	las MORO, 1 Co	Sunta Fe Rosere 11
Stephen & Mitchell Paul M. Burchell	Moraleo El Paso Natura Sas	Roswell El Paso, TX.
2/2/Marin	-Julie Every	Mc July TV
Zomt L. Pavil Guspen Korci Khahren	le ATTORNEY ATLAN	SF Dallas SF
Jan W Del		Minstener, Tox
f	•	

		Page 2
	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION	
	EXAMINER HEARING	
	, NEW MEXICO	
Hearing Date	JUNE 5, 1985	Time: 8:00 A.M.
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 1 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 2 5 June 1985 3 **EXAMINER HEARING** 5 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: Application of Jubilee Energy Cor-CASE 9 poration for salt water disposal, 8613 Lea County, New Mexico. 10 11 12 13 BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 16 17 APPEARANCES 18 19 20 21 For the Oil Conservation Maryann Lunderman Division: Attorney at Law 22 Energy and Minerals Dept. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 23 24 For the Applicant: W. Perry Pearce Attorney at Law MONTGOMERY & ANDREWS

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

5

7

10

MR. QUINTANA: We'll call next

3 Case Number 8613.

MS. LUNDERMAN: Application of Jubileee Energy Corporation for salt water disposal, Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. PEARCE: May it please the Examiner, I am W. Perry Pearce of the law firm of Montgomery and Andrews, P. A., Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing in this matter on behalf of Jubilee Energy Corporation.

I have one witness who needs to be sworn.

MR. QUINTANA: Are there other appearances in Case 8613?

If not, would you have your

witnesses or witness please stand up to be sworn in at this

time?

18

19

(Witness sworn.)

20

21

22

23

T. B. GARBER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

24

I'm employed by Jubilee

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. PEARCE:

1

5

Q For the record, sir, would you please state your name, your employer, and place of employment?

B. Garber.

6 Energy Corporation, Midland, Texas.

T.

7 Q And, Mr. Garber, what is your

g relationship to the applicant in this matter, Jubilee?

9 A I am a petroleum engineer and President

10 of Jubilee Energy.

Α

Mr. Garber, have you previously testified

12 before the Division or one of its examiners and had your

credentials made a matter of record?

A Yes, sir, I have.

15 Q Would you briefly tell the examiner the

16 purpose of appearing today?

17 A We've made application for a routine salt

8 water disposal well, converting a well that was drilled for

19 the purpose of oil and gas production that was

20 nonproductive.

25

We want to convert the well for water

22 disposal in the North Mason Delaware.

MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, are

24 the witness' qualifications acceptable?

MR. QUINTANA: Yes, they are.

MR. PEARCE: Thank you.

Q Mr. Garber, at this time I'd ask you to refer to what has been marked as Applicant's Exhibit Number One in this case and describe for the examiner what this ex-

hibit is.

A It's the application for Authorization to Inject. It's the well data on the Exxon Federal No. 2 Well, located in Section 9 of 26 South, 32 East, Lea County, the proposed injection well, and it is in accordance with Form C-108 for a water disposal well.

Q All right, sir, looking at the second page of that exhibit, some of the items of information required by Form C-108 are addressed on that page.

Would you briefly summarize for the examiner what those items of data are?

A The well data; the casing on this well, you want me to go through the details of these?

Q If you would, please.

A The Exxon Federal No. 2 Well was drilled in July of 1983 and the surface casing was 8-5/8ths 24-pound casing that was run and cemented at 1389 feet, which was into the top of the anhydrite. 450 sacks of cement were used and the cement was circulated to the surface.

Then a 5-1/2 inch production string was run and cemented with 150 sacks of cement, which brought the

top of the cement up to 3800 feet, which was well above the base of the salt.

The casing was set in a 7-7/8ths hole and the well was then perforated and we attempted to complete the well. The well made a small amount of oil and a lot of water, and it was temporarily abandoned pending conversion to salt water injection.

If we're successful in this application, we propose to set 2-7/8ths tubing at a depth of 4500 feet, which will be approximately 50 feet above the perforations and we will then inject salt water below a Baker Model-R packer into the Delaware Ramsey zone. The injection interval will be 4482 to 4502, and, Mr. Examiner, let me make a correction. I think there was a typographical error.

That packer was supposed to be set at 4400 and not at 4500.

Q Thank you, sir.

A And an injection interval will be 4482 to 4502, which has been perforated, jet perforated, with one shot a foot.

The well, as I said, was drilled for production and resulted in a dry hole. No other zones indicated possible production in this well.

The well, we had proposed to inject approximately 200 barrels of the water per day with a maximum

of 400 barrels a day.

It will be an open system. We propose to inject, gravity injection, but if it requires a pump, we request that we be permitted to go to .2 pounds per foot of depth, or 800 pounds injection pressure.

The water injected into the formation will be Delaware production water produced from surrounding wells in the area. The water will be produced and re-injected into the same formation.

The lithologic detail of the Ramsey Sand, the Ramsey is a fine-grained, silty sandstone, with excellent porosity, approximately 20 percent porosity, but it has low permeability.

This well was stimulated by hydraulic fracturing so it has very good permeability.

Again, the name of the sand is the Delaware Sand. The overall thickness is approximately 68 feet from the top, from 4482 to total depth of 4550.

There is no known fresh water in the area and this well will not require any additional stimulation.

Now with our application we submitted copies of the logs that were run on the well when it was completed, and as I've previously stated, on the production test the well recovered salt water with some show of oil and gas. The well now produces 100 percent water.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, there are no producing water wells in the area. We find no evidence of any open faults or any other hydrologic connection between the disposal zone any underground source of drinking water.

Our proof of notices, we notified all of the area -- all of the operators in the proximity of this well and we will submit copies of those notices.

We've contacted the other operators. We have no objection from those operators; in fact, they all have requested to participate in this disoposal program.

The wells in this area are marginal wells and the permission to dispose of this water will enhance the recovery of those wells by reducing operating costs and permit us to perpetuate production for a greater length of time.

The water in that area is now being hauled out by truck to a commercial disposal system.

0 All right, sir, if you'd turn to the next page of the schematic and describe, I believe, the contents of that schematic are largely contained on the previous page, but if you'd run through that very quickly, sir.

> Α All right, sir.

the Commission requires, we've this up as a standard disposal well. There's a schematic of the way the well was drilled.

A 12-/14 inch hole was drilled to 1389 feet. 8-5/8ths casing, 24-pound, was run to that depth; cemented with 450 sacks and the cement circulated.

Then a 7-7/8ths hole was drilled to a total depth of 4550 feet and 5-1/2 inch casing was run to 4545 and cemented with 150 sacks of 50/50 Poz mix and the cement top was calculated at 3800 feet.

We then, as I stated, perforated from 4482 to 4502 and attempted to complete the well. We will use these same perforations for injection.

We'll run 2-7/8ths tubing that will be plastic-lined to total depth and we will set the packer, again let me correct that, at a depth of 4400 feet and we will put KCL water, or an inert substance, behind the tubing above the packer.

There will be a casing gauge placed on this well and a tubing gauge on the well to monitor the casing-annulus pressure and the tubing pressure.

Q Excellent, sir. The next page of the exhibit is a plat. Would you describe what that plat shows and what the circle indicates?

A The circle indicates a radius of 1/2 mile around the proposed injectio well, the Jubilee-Exxon Federal No. 2, and it indicates the operators in that area that we have contacted and notified of this application.

Q All right, sir. Beginning on the next page is well data on some wells contained within that area of review. Would you briefly run through that data for the examiner?

A All right. The first well shown on the plat on this exhibit is the Equitable Petroleum Exxon Federal No. 1. That well was drilled as a producing oil well and it is currently producing. It was drilled in 19 -- August of 1981. It's located in Unit M of Section 8, 26 South, 32 East, Lea County. It was drilled to a depth of 4444 feet. It was completed by running 4-1/2 inch casing to 4399 and cemented with 50 sacks of cement.

I calculated the top of the cement to be at 4179, which is about 220 feet of fill, which puts the cement up above the base of the salt.

Now this well was fractured, stimulated by fracture treatment, and is currently producing less than 10 barrels of oil per day.

The second well on the exhibit is the MSM Producers Exxon Federal No. 1. It is a producing oil well drilled in February of 1983. It is located in Unit I of Section 9, 24 South, 32 East, Lea County.

It was completed as a producing oil well at a depth of 4452 by running 4-1/2 inch casing to 4438. We made a correction on that, Mr. Examiner. That is in 26

South. We had a typographical error on that.

Q That, by the way, is correct on the exhibit the Examiner has.

A That you have. I'm sorry, I read it off of my exhibit and I had to correct it.

Q Yes.

A This well was stimulated by fracture treatment and is producing less than 10 barrels of oil perday.

The top of the cement on this well was calculated at about 933 feet. They almost circulated cement on this well. They had about 3500 feet of fill and they cemented with 960 sacks of cement.

The third well on the exhibit is the Equitable Petroleum Ohio State No. 1. It was drilled as an oil well and is currently producing less than 10 barrels a day.

It was drilled in March of 1982. It's located in Unit A of Section 16, 26 South, 22 East, Lea County.

It was drilled to a total depth of 4449 feet and completed by running 5-1/2 inch casing to 4425 and demented with 300 sacks of cement.

The well was perforated and stimulated by fracture treatment and is currently producing less than 10

harrels a day.

The top of the dement was calculated at 2025, which was well up into the salt section, being demented with 200 sacks of dement.

The next exhibit is the Equitable Ohio State No. 2. This well was drilled as a producing oil well in June of 1982.

It's located in Unit H of Section 15, 26 South, 32 East, Lea County.

It was drilled to a depth of 4508 feet and completed by running 5-1/2 inch casing to total depth and cemented with 150 sacks of cement.

The well was perforated in the Delaware Sand from 4442 to 4465 and stimulated with a fracture treatment.

The cement was calculated to come up to 3755 feet, which was well up into the salt section there, being cemented with 150 sacks.

The only other dry hole in the area is on the exhibit. It was the Holt and Hissom Drilling Company State No. 1.

This well was drilled as a dry hole and never produced any oil or gas. It was drilled in January of 1963 and I've prepared -- it's located in Unit A of Section 16, 26 South, 32 East; was drilled to a depth of 4584 feet

and it was plugged in accordance with State requirements and I've drawn a schematic sketch of the way the well was dril-2 led and cased and plugged and abandoned. All right, sir, turning to the next page, there is an Affidavit of Publication. 5 That's the publication of your intend to convert this well to salt water disposal, is that correct? 7 Α That is correct. The next page of the exhibit is a letter 9 signed by you to five parties. Are those the parties oper-10 ating withing the area of review? 11 That's correct. 12 And also attached to that on the follow-13 ing two pages are the return receipts from each of those ad-14 dressed parties, is that correct? 15 Α That's correct, yes, sir. 16 O Thank you. Do you have anything further 17 at this time? 18 Α I believe that's all unless the examiner 19 has some questions. 20 21 MR. PEARCE: Mr. Examiner, I have nothing further of the witness. 22 23 MR. QUINTANA: I have a couple 24 of questions.

MR. PEARCE: Yes, sir.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. OUINTANA:

Q Mr. Garber, is it your testimony that offset operators have all agreed to this disposal well, that they're in favor?

A Yes, sir, they're in favor of it. In fact, they will participate with us in the system, as I said, to perpetuate their production by being able to inject water in this well rather than to have to truck the water.

Q So they don't anticipate any adverse effects on their production?

A No, sir, I don't think so.

I have one quick question, and I know that you showed the notice of -- proof of the notice of application, but now I was looking at the map there, the southwest quarter of that half a mile circle --

A Uh-huh.

Q where it says, I quess, is that owned by Highland Production Company, or somebody?

A Yes. Down in Section 17?

Q Yes.

A Yes. In fact Highland is going to participate in this well with us, also.

Q Okay. I was looking to see if there was

notice to them. I didn't notice in there if there was a notice to Highland. Have they been notified?

A Well, yes, they have, because they're going to participate in the well, but I didn't include a copy of it since they had no wells in that area.

Q All right.

A But they are going to participate in the disposal well with us.

MR. PEARCE: Just --- just for clarification, if I may, Mr. Examiner.

Down in that Section 17, Mr. Garber, there's some lettering indicating Conoco HBP and some numbers. There is not a well at that location, is there?

A No, there is not.

MR. PEARCE: So there is not -- Highland does not have a well within the area of review.

A That's correct.

MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir.

MR. QUINTANA: I would like to point out that the rules state everybody within the half mile of the well should be notified, all operators, and an operator is considered somebody that is in the business to operate whether they have a well or not, and if you -- if you could provide me with some -- I just don't want it to

come back and hit me --Okay. 2 MR. QUINTANA: -- say, hey, --3 I'11 check. I think Highland farmout from Conoco on that and Highland is going to participate but I'll -- I'll clear that up for you, yes, sir. MR. QUINTANA: I've just run into some problems in the past where I -- and I can think of a couple of cases to mind where we had a whole bunch of 10 hearings after that to clarify some -- I granted somebody 11 some water disposal well and never actually clarified notice 12 of publication and I just don't want to -- just in case; I 13 just want to make sure. I think that's a good point. That was my 15 oversight. I thought they had to have a well in there to be 16 notified; however, coincidentally, as I say, Highland is 17 going to participate, but -- and I think they have a farmout 18 from Conoco on that, and I'll clear it up and write you a 19 letter on it. 20 21 MR. QUINTANA: Okay, thank you. I have no further questions of 22 the witness. 23

Are there any other

questions

25 of the witness?

```
17
                                  If not, Mr. Garber, you may be
1
   excused.
2
                                  Case 8613 will be taken under
3
   advisement.
                                  MR. PEARCE: Thank you, Mr.
5
   Examiner.
7
                        (Hearing concluded.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8613 heard by me on TUNE 5 1985.

Oil Conservation Division