1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
3	28 August 1985
4	EXAMINER HEARING
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	Application of Robert E. Chandler Cor- CASE
10	County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	BEFORE: Michael F. Stogner, Examiner
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
18	APPEARANCES
19	For the Division: Jeff Taylor
20	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
21	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
22	
23	For the Applicant:
24	
25	

3

5

7

10

12

2

Number 8686.

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

MR. STOGNER: Call next Case

Robert E. Chandler Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea

6 County, New Mexico.

MR. STOGNER: Call for

8 appearances.

MR. KELLAHIN: On behalf of Mr.

Chandler, Mr. Examiner, we would request this case be

continued to the hearing on the 28th of September.

MR. STOGNER: Case Number 8686

13 will be so continued to the Examiner's Hearing scheduled

14 for September 28th, 1985.

15

16

(Hearing concluded.)

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Jacque W. Boyd CSR

Michael Some Stanler

Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
25 September 1985
EXAMINER HEARING
IN THE MADDED OF.
IN THE MATTER OF:
Application of Robert E. Chandler CASE corporation for compulsory pooling, 8686
Lea County, New Mexico.
BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
TRANSCRITT OF HEARTING
APPEARANCES
For the Division: Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin Attorney at Law
KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN P. O. Box 2265

Ī

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24 25 MR. STOGNER: This hearing will

come to order for Docket Number 29-85, September 25th, 1985.

I'm Michael E. Stogner, ap-

pointed Examiner for today's hearing.

We will call first Case Number 8686, which is the application of Robert E. Chandler Corporation for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

We will now call for appear-

ances.

other appearances?

and be affirmed?

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, I'm Tom Kellahin of Santa Fe, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the applicant.

I have one witness, Mr. John Savage, who is a Registered Petroleum Engineer.

MR. STOGNER: Are there any

Will the witness please stand

will the withess please sta

(Witness sworn.)

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Kellahin?

MR. KELLAHIN: Thank you, Mr.

Stogner.

2

3 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 4 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

JOHN D. SAVAGE,

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. STOGNER:

Mr. Savage, for the record would you Q please state your name and occupation?

Α My name is John D. Savage and I'm a petroleum engineer.

0 Mr. Savage, have you previously testfied before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division as a petroleum engineer?

> Α Yes, approximately a year ago.

0 And have you prepared the engineering data and testimony for presentation in today's case?

> Α Yes.

Savage, are you and Mr. Chandler the Mr. Q applicant working interest owners in the 40-acre tract that that's the subject of this application?

> Α Yes.

23 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. 24

Savage as an expert petroleum engineer, Mr. Stogner.

25

MR. STOGNER: He is so quali

fied.

Q Mr. Savage, let me direct your attention first of all to Exhibit Number One, which is the plat that we have submitted to the examiner, and if you'll locate for us, sir, where the proposed spacing unit is for which you seek the forced pooling order.

A The unit is the 40 acres comprising the northeast quarter southeast quarter of Section 7, 22 South, 38 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

Q Within that 40-acre tract, Mr. Savage, would you describe for the Examiner what the working interest ownership is?

A Mr. Chandler and I have half; the remaining half if held by Sun.

Q Have you and Mr. Chandler made an effort to form a voluntary unit for the drilling of the subject well on this tract?

A Yes, that was drilled -- attempted last spring.

Q Let me direct your attention, Mr. Savage, to Exhibit Number Two, which is a copy of a letter from Sun to you and Mr. Chandler concerning their participation in the well.

Would you describe generally what efforts you and Mr. Savage -- you, Mr. Savage, and Mr. Chandler have

1 made to obtain voluntary joinder by Sun? 2 Yes. On May 22nd we sent Sun's landman, 3 Mr. Tom Hobbs, a letter asking them to enter into a drilling 4 proposal with us for an 8000-foot test. 5 We sent them an AFE and awaited their de-6 cision. 7 Is that letter marked as Exhibit Number 0 8 Three to this hearing? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 And attached to that letter is an AFE. Is that the AFE to which you refer? 12 Α Yes, that is. 13 Q Would you describe for us what formations 14 you anticipate that you will test in this well? 15 Α There are, in the immediate neighborhood 16 there are five producing zones, actually termed five differ-17 ent fields. One of those we ascribe no recovery possible 18 to, and there are four. Starting from the bottom up is the 19 Wantz Granite Wash, the overlying Drinkard, the Tubb, and 20 then the Blinebry is the upper member. 21 Are you seeking a compulsory pooling or-Q 22 against Sun for the oil production on the 40-acre tract 23 from the surface to the base of the Granite Wash? 24 Yes, sir. 25 Q All right, and in response to the

22nd, 1985 Letter, what has Sun told you?

A Sun elected not to participate in the proposed well.

MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner please, we'd like to direct your attention to Exhibit Four and Five, which are notices sent to Sun of the case today. They follow the AFE.

Again, Sun has notified the law firm that they have elected not to participate in the forced pooling.

Q Let me direct your attention, Mr. Savage, to the AFE, now.

Have you had an opportunity to review and satisfy yourself that the itemized schedule of estimated well costs is reasonably accurate?

A Yes.

Q And does it represent a reasonable and fair estimate of the actual costs for drilling a well of this type?

A We think so, yes, sir.

Q All right, sir. In terms of the provisions of the forced pooling order, Mr. Savage, do you have a recommendation to the Examiner for an overhead charge to be included in the pooling order?

A Yes. We believe that \$300 a month while

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

\$3000 a month while drilling, are reasonable producing, charges.

Are these overhead rates that have been Q recommended by you to the Division here in other forced pooling cases?

Yes. I think those are identical to the Α ones of about a year ago.

And that was for a well of a similar 0 type.

Α That was for a well in the Hollis Grayburg Field in the immediate area.

I'd like to direct your attention now, Q Savage, to the question of the risk factor to apply against Sun's share of production in the well and have you commence with Exhibit Number Six and describe for each of the four potentially produtive zones what recoverable reserves number you have determined appropriate for each of those zones and how you have made -- how you have reached that opinion.

Α Well, inasmuch as we intend to start from the bottom up (not clearly understood) the first plot, Exhibit Nine, this is the Wantz Granite Wash zone and it appears to be of limited areal extent. There are two wells producing from it in the vicinity of our property there. There should be some -- we expect some production, very possibly,

.

from the zone offsetting John Hendrix's well to the south.

We think that inasmuch as the well to the east, the Gulf Sowders No. 2, was wet, tight, and nonproductive in the zone, we are ascribing possible 30,000 barrels to the Wantz Granite Wash. That takes into account the effect of some, probably some significant drainage by Hendrix's well, which is only 330 feet from the lease line.

The Granite Wash is a very erratic formation and we're just hoping for the best.

Q And this is in terms of what you would believe to be a reasonable recoverable reserve number for that zone.

A Yes, that's a total reserve, 100 percent reserve.

Q Is that the reserve in place or the reserve that you would recover?

A That is the recoverable.

Q All right. Would you turn now to Exhibit Number Eight and discuss for us your opinion of the Drinkard?

A Well, this is -- this one is the least risk, you might say, of the zones in this immediate area.

There are two wells that have produced for some time there, the Sun Belcher l and the (not understood) of Gulf, and that shows that as of May 1st Sun had

produced 21,000 barrels and Gulf had produced 32,000.

The well to the south has just been put on and we have no -- no representative production figures for it.

We think that there's probably been some drainage there from the two wells on either side and the Sun well is -- well, neither one was very big and the Sun well is about down to about two barrels a day.

Here again we ascribe 30,000 barrels recoverable oil in this zone.

Q All right, sir, let me direct your attention to the next zone up the wellbore, the Tubb zone, and have you give us your opinion of the recoverable reserves from this well from this zone.

A Well, you can see here this well, and referring to the contours shown on Exhibit Eight, would be off structure and down dip, you might say, from the surrounding production, and actually no production has been obtained down structure from us.

We think that the drainage which has been going on for some time there, and the down structure position mean 25,000 barrels of recoverable oil we can expect.

Q All right, sir, and finally, then, the Blinebry zone as shown on Exhibit Number Six.

What is your opinion with regards to the

recoverable reserves of oil from that zone?

A Well, we're giving this one also 20,000 barrels. The Sun well was originally completed in the Blinebry many, many years ago. It only got 11,000 barrels until the time it was depleted.

There seems to be a deterioration running east. You can see the Zachary Hinton No. 4 has been a pretty good well and then as you go east they are decreasing.

So again we're down dip and we think 20,000 barrels would be a reasonable figure.

Q Having determined, Mr. Savage, that your best estimate of the total oil recovered from the well is approximately 105,000 barrels of oil, what then did you do in assessing the risk to you and Mr. Chandler of drilling this well?

A Well, we went through an economic analysis of it. We projected each zone and then combined them into a total projection.

Q All right, this projection you're looking at is marked as Exhibit Number Ten, and it's labeled at the top Reserves and Economics as of January 1, 1986.

A I might add here that to do this no one zone will really stand by itself and we would anticipate commingling in the hole at intervals after the first zone, which is the Granite Wash, is on production.

Q And assessing the risk, Mr. Savage, have you taken into consideration the approximate time at which each of the zones will be producing over the life of the well?

A Yes. We made a rather -- a master, we might say a master compilation, bringing in the upper zones as the production dropped in the well at that time, or had dropped in that time.

Q In making your economic assessment, will you describe for us the parameters used in the calculations on Exhibit Number Ten?

A Yes, Exhibit Ten shows a gross production. That is the first, second and third columns. That is the total lease production and then we reduce that down to the net production according to the Sun's share.

We used \$27.50 for oil. We made a lot of these projections and we found that when we increase them or decrease them we're invariably wrong, so we just left it at \$27.50 throughout the life.

That figure we obtained from Permian who is the oil buyer in the immediate area.

The gas figure is \$2.00 an MCF. That we obtained from Warren who had to take the gas in that area.

Q What conclusions do you reach from making your economic analysis of this well in terms of the risk in-

volved for you and Mr. Chandler?

A Well, it's certainly not going to be a big profit well. We estimate a modest percent profit, around 260 percent from this tabulation, and payout in about 41 months, as I remember. Yes, 41 month payout.

Those are minimum figures in the industry we find at the moment. We polled a number of operators in the Midland area and they stated further that to put investment capital in a well they want to see 40 month payout, or less, and at least 200 percent profit on their investment.

Q All right, let's look at Exhibit Number Eleven, which is the tabulation of your efforts to determine what other operators are using for a maximum payout time.

You've indicated to us the anticipated payout time for this well is about 41 months, or in excess of three years.

A Yes, 40 months, 41 months, that's a good figure.

Q And in terms of the minimum profit after payout, the percentage of investment, which is the last column, what is your opinion of that percentage for this specific well?

A Well, if we don't get it, we're going to -- I mean we're going to have to have assurances for our investors of something like 200 percent before they will in-

 vest, and if that happens we won't -- if that doesn't happen we won't get the well drilled and that oil will never be recovered.

Q Let me ask you, sir, to define for us what you mean by this 200 percent.

A Well, 200 percent is the profit after all costs, investment and operating costs.

Q In terms of a forced pooling order, Mr. Savage, the Commission by statute is allowed a risk factor penalty not in excess of 200 percent plus recovery of the actual costs of the well for production. It's a three times number.

A It's three times, yes.

Q In terms of that statutory formula, how do you assess the risk for this well?

A Well, it, in effect, is the 200 percent here plus the cost of the wells, and we think with that we can probably get this well drilled, but without the 200 percent we -- money's, frankly, money is hard to get in Midland right now.

And of the other operators that you have polled and tabulated on Exhibit Number Eleven, do you find any of them that are willing to invest their money under an economic analysis that's equal to the analysis that you've made for this well?

1 Well, these are what they tell us their Α 2 maximum payout and minimum profit standards are, and on that 3 basis, falling short of that they won't invest. 4 For you and Mr. Chandler directly, 5 are you prepared to drill this well with less than 6 the 200 percent risk factor set forth in the pooling order? 7 I don't think we are, no. 8 Except for the correspondence in the Q 9 hibits, Mr. Savage, were the exhibits prepared or compiled 10 under your supervision and direction? 11 Yes, indeed. Α 12 MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner 13 please, we move the introduction of applicant's Exhibits One 14 through Eleven. 15 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One 16 through Eleven will be admitted into evidence. 17 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes 18 our examination of Mr. Savage. 19 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 20 questions of this witness? 21 I have none. 22 Mr. Savage, I apologize for my 23 affirmation and oath today, I was a little rusty. 24 Please accept my apology. 25 MR. SAVAGE: Oh, I wouldn't

```
16
1
   worry about it.
2
                                 MR. STOGNER:
                                                 If there are no
3
   questions of Mr. Savage, he may be -- he may step down.
                                 Is there anything further in
5
   Case Number 8686, Mr. Kellahin?
6
                                 MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir.
7
                                 MR. STOGNER: If not, this case
8
   will be taken under advisement.
9
10
                        (Hearing concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```


5

2.2

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Jarry W. Boyd CSRZ

I do here by certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8686 neard by me on 15 Marions 1985.

Michael & Stoffwe, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division