1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT
- [OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
3	21 November 1985
4	EXAMINER HEARING
5	
6	
7	IN MUD MAMMED OF.
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	Application of Reading & Bates Pet- CASE roleum Corporation for compulsory 8766
10	pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
18	
19	APPEARANCES
20	
21	For the Division: Jeff Taylor Attorney at Law
22	Legal Counsel to the Division Energy and Minerals Dept.
23	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
24	For the Applicant: Scott Hall
25	Attorney at Law CAMPBELL & BLACK P. A.
	P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1		2			
1					
2	INDEX				
3					
4	BUD SCOTT				
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	4			
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	14			
7					
8	BRUCE PETITT				
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	15			
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	20			
11					
12					
13					
14	EXHIBITS				
15					
16	R&B Exhibit One, Resume	4			
17	R&B Exhibit Two, Plat	5			
18	R&B Exhibit Three, Letter	7			
19	R&B Exhibit Four, Notices	8			
20	R&B Exhibit Five, Letters	9			
21	R&B Exhibit Six, Annual Report	10			
22	R&B Exhibit Seven, List	10			
23	R&B Exhibit Eight, AFE	16			
24					
25					

2

MR. STOGNER: This hearing will

3 come to order.

4

Call Case Number 8766.

5

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

6

Reading & Bates Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pool-

7

ing, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

8

MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-

9 a

ances in this matter.

10

MR. HALL: Now?

11

MR. STOGNER: Please.

12

MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, my

13

name is Scott Hall from the law firm of Campbell and Black,

14

P. A., Santa Fe, New Mexico, on behalf of Reading and Bates

15

Petroleum Company.

16

I have two witnesses to be

17

sworn today.

18

MR. STOGNER: Are there any

19

other appearances?

and be sworn.

20

Will the witnesses please stand

21

22

23

(Witnesses sworn.)

24

25

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Hall, please

continue.

_]

BY MR. HALL:

Q Please state your name for the record.

A I'm Bud Scott.

Q And by whom are you employed and in what capacity?

DIRECT EXAMINATION

A I'm with Reading & Bates Petroleum Company and I'm a landman.

Q Mr. Scott, have you ever testified before the Commission of one of its examiners before?

A No, I have not.

Q All right. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as Exhibit One and ask you to identify that, please.

A This is a copy of my resume. I graduated from the University of Texas in 1976 with a BBA in petroleum land management.

I have functioned as a landman since that time, for a year with Harlow Corporation in Amarillo; with AMAX Petroleum Corporation for two and a half years in Houston; and have been employed with Reading & Bates for five years.

Q All right. What are your geographical

subject

1 areas of responsibility for Reading & Bates? 2 I'm now working in our Northwest Division 3 and have been working in Wyoming and the Gulf Coast is in our Northwest Division and also working New Mexico. 5 All right, and I take it that these sub-6 ject lands are within your area of responsibility. 7 Α Yes, they are. 8 Okay, are you familiar with the applica-9 tion filed in this case? 10 Yes, I am. 11 Q And are you familiar with the 12 lands? 13 Α Yes. 14 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, 15 would offer Mr. Scott as a qualified witness. 16 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Scott is so 17 qualified. 18 All right, Mr. Scott, what is it that 19 Reading & Bates seeks with this application? 20 Α are proposing to drill a well on a 21 unit comprising the east half of Section 15, 25 North, 22 West, and to that extent we are seeking the compulsory pool-23 ing of Mountain States Natural Gas Corporation. 24

Q All right. If you'd refer to Exhibit Two and identify that, please, what is that exhibit intended to

1 show? 2 This is our proposed unit and the inter-Α 3 est in each of the tracts within that unit. Okay, does it show the proration unit on 5 there? 6 Α Yes, it does, as the east half of Section 7 15. 8 Q And is the well location reflected on 9 there, as well? 10 Α Yes, it is located in the northeast 11 the southeast and you will note that this is a change from 12 our original location, which was to be in the southeast of 13 the southeast, as we were unable to obtain a designation of 14 operator from Mountain States. 15 Q And that's a standard location, it 16 not? 17 Α Yes, it is. 18 Does that exhibit also reflect the owner-19 ship for the party you're seeking to pool today? 20 Α Yes, it does. 21 And where is that? 22 Α In the southeast of the southeast Moun-23 tain States owns a 50 percent interest. 24 Q All right, what's the primary objective 25 of the well?

1 A This is a Gavilan-Greenhorn-Graneros-Da-2 kota Pool. 3 0 Okay. If you would, tell us what percentage of the acreage is voluntarily committed to the project? 5 93.75 percent. 6 All right. I'll hand you what's been Q 7 marked Exhibit Three and ask you to identify that, please. 8 This is a letter that I sent to Mountain Α 9 States, dated October 9th, with a copy of our proposed AFE 10 and an invoice for the dry hole cost of Mountain States' 11 share of the well. 12 Was this part of your efforts to seek 13 voluntary joinder for --14 Yes, it was. 15 -- the interest owners? O 16 All right. Would you just briefly sum-17 marize all of your efforts to seek voluntary joinder? 18 We have obtained a farmout from the A. G. 19 Hill/Margaret Hill interest in the northeast quarter of Sec-20 tion 15. 21 have obtained a farmout of the E. We 22 (not clearly understood) land interest in the northeast 23 the southeast. 24 The remaining interest in the southeast 25 southeast being Ralph Gilliland and (not clearly understood)

Wagner and (not clearly understood) Wagner III have all exe-2 cuted operating agreements and are going ot participate in 3 the well, and --0 All right, sir. 5 -- then the remaining parties in the west 6 half of the southeast have also signed operating agreements 7 and are going to participate. 8 Q All right, so Exhibit Three shows the 9 letter seeking the participation of Mountain States. 10 AFE attached to that request? 11 Yes, it was. 12 In your opinion, Mr. Scott, have you made 13 a good faith effort to locate all the parties and seek their 14 volountary joinder? 15 Α Yes, I have. 16 Q Including Mountain States? 17 I have. Α 18 All right. If you would refer now 19 what's been marked as Exhibit Four and identify that for me, 20 please. 21 Α This is the application of Reading & 22 Bates Petroleum for compulsory pooling for the Mountain 23 States interest. 24 Q All right. Are those, indeed, notices of 25

the application sent to the interest owners?

1 Yes, they are. Α 2 And does that notice have attached to Q 3 an AFE showing the projected well costs? Yes, it does. 5 Okay, did you request your counsel 6 send copies of the notification and copies of the AFE --7 Yes, I did. Α 8 Q -- and docket to the interest owner? 9 Now is this the same AFE that was 10 attached to Exhibit Three earlier? 11 Yes, it was. 12 If you would, at this time refer Okay. 13 to Exhibit Five and identify that for me, please. 14 This is a letter also sent to Mr. Α Albert 15 Blair (sic) of Mountain States, application of Reading & 16 Bates Corporation for compulsory pooling for the docket for 17 the hearing. 18 It is a batch of letters, is it not, sent 19 to various addresses? 20 Yes, it is. Α 21 All right. Q 22 All of the known addresses that we know 23 for Mr. Albert Jack Blair. 24 Q All right. I'm going to hand you what's 25 been marked as Exhibit Six and ask you to identify it,

1 please. 2 A This is the corporate annual report for 3 Mountain States to the State Corporation Commission of Mexico. All right, does that particular form evi-6 the officers and members of the board for Mountain 7 States Natural Gas Corporation? 8 Yes, it does. A 9 O And who are some of those individuals? 10 J. (not clearly understood) and Ruth Α 11 Ann Blair. 12 All right, does that also indicate who 13 the registered agent for service is in New Mexico? 14 A Yes, W. Thomas Kellahin. 15 And is that shown at that column Q marked 16 Roman Numeral III? 17 Α Yes. 18 It's a little illegible there. 0 19 Α Yes. 20 All right. Now are some of these indivi-21 the same individuals upon whom Exhibits Four and Five 22 were served? 23 Α Yes. 24 Okay. Scott, I'll hand you what's Q Mr. 25 marked as Exhibit Number Seven and ask you to identify that,

please.

_

 Q This is a list of phone calls in which I attempted to contact Mr. Blair. After talking with other operators in the area who had had problems contacting Mr. Blair, I decided to keep accurate records of my attempts to reach him.

Starting October 25th I obtained a phone number for his house and he was unable to answer the phone at that time so I left a message with his wife to please have him call me in regards to our proposed well.

I also called his office and left a message with Mr. Mark Pearson at the office.

Q Who is Mark Pearson?

A He is an employee of Mountain States and Coventry Homes and he was the gentleman who had picked up the correspondence that we had sent to Mr. Blair; said that he had received it and that it was sitting on Mr. Blair's desk.

Q You understood that he was representing Mr. Blair?

A Yes.

Q Okay, is there anything else on this document?

A Yes, I continued to contact him on November 6th. I again called his house and left a message with

- · his wife and explaining the situation to her again and she said at that time she would try and see that he contacted me.

I called his office again and left a message on the phone recorder.

On November 7th I contacted his house again and again he was not there. I left a message with his wife; called his office again and left a message on his recorder.

On November 8th I again called his home and left a message with his wife; called him again at his office there and left a message with his son and gave him my home phone number to call me to let me know, any time of the day or evening.

On November 12th I went by his office. Nobody was there so I left a business card attached to the door and the following day Mark Pearson returned my call and, you know, again stated he had received all the correspondence but it did not appear that (not understood.)

This past Monday, November the 18th, I went by his house. He was unable to see me and I discussed the situation again with his wife and asked her to please have him give me a call and then there is another phone call that is not listed on here. I called back to him Tuesday morning and he finally agreed to talk to me and at that time

1 said that he had not looked at any geology for the prospect. 2 He would like to be able to review that. Our Division Geo-3 logist was out of town at the time so I told him I would have to get back with him and see when we could set an ap-5 pointment up. He said Friday would be the earliest he would 6 be available. We contacted our geologist and attempted to 7 His son answered the phone, said he call Mr. Blair back. 8 was unable to come to the phone at that time but would call me back that afternoon and he never returned my call. 10 All right, are there any other efforts to 11 contact Mr. Blair that aren't reflected on this exhibit? 12 We had originally sent out AFE's to all 13 the parties back in the first week of August, which I do not 14 have a cover letter for. We had a temporary secretary 15 that time and apparently copies had failed to be made. 16 But it's your testimony that you sent Q 17 AFE to Mr. Blair? 18 Α Yes. 19 When did you say, in August? Q 20 Α That's right, in the first week of 21 gust. 22 Q All right. Does Reading & Bates seek to 23 be designated operator of this proposed well? 24 Α Yes, they do. 25 Q All right, Mr. Scott, in your opinion

	14
1	will granting this application be in the best interest of
2	conservation, the preventio of waste, and the protection of
3	correlative rights?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And were Exhibits One through Seven pre-
6	pared by you or under your direction?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. HALL: That concludes my
9	direct of this witness, Mr. Examiner.
10	MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One
11	through Eight?
12	MR. HALL: Seven.
13	MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One
14	through Seven will be admitted into evidence at this time.
15	Will you have somebody
16	MR. HALL: Yes. If there is
17	nothing further, I'd call Mr. Bruce Petitt.
18	MR. STOGNER: I have one more
19	question.
20	MR. HALL: All right.
21	
22	CROSS EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. STOGNER:
24	Q You mentioned you contacted or sent an
25	AFE to Mountain States in August?
	1

		. 15			
1	А	Yes.			
2	Q	Was that by letter?			
3	A	Yes, sir.			
4	Q	How come you didn't put that in with			
5	as an exhibit today?				
6	A	Well, I did not have any way of verifying			
7	that corresponden	ce and had left it off of this exhibit I			
8	had prepared as to	the phone calls.			
9	Q	Okay.			
10		MR. STOGNER: I have no further			
11	questions of this witness.				
12		Are there any other questions			
13	of Mr. Scott?				
14		If not, he may be excused.			
15		Mr. Hall?			
16		MR. HALL: At this time we'd			
17	call Mr. Bruce Petitt.				
18					
19	BRUCE PETITT,				
20	being called as	a witness and being duly sworn upon his			
21	oath, testified as follows, to-wit:				
22					
23		DIRECT EXAMINATION			
24	BY MR. SCOTT:				
25	Q	For the record please state your name.			

16 1 Α My name is Bruce Petitt. 2 Mr. Petitt, how are you employed? Q 3 I'm employed by Reading & Bates petroleum Α as the Division Engineer in the Northwest Division 5 in Denver, Colorado. 6 Does that Division include New Mexico and Q 7 the subject lands? 8 Α Yes. 9 You have previously testified and Q Okay. 10 had your credentials accepted before the Examiner, have you 11 not? 12 Yes. Α 13 Are you familiar with Q Okay. the 14 application filed by Reading & Bates in this case? 15 Α Yes. 16 Q If you would, at this time I'll ask you 17 look at Exhibit Eight and ask you to identify that, 18 please. 19 Α Exhibit Eight is a copy of the 20 Authorization for Expenditure and well cost estimate for the 21 well that's the subject of this hearing. 22 Q All right. Is that the same AFE that was 23 previously mailed to all the interest owners 24 Exhibit Three? 25 Α Yes.

1 Would you briefly review the AFE for us? Q 2 Α This AFE shows the estimated cost to 3 the subject well. We've got an estimated dry hole cost of \$267,000.40 and an estimated total cost of \$679,665. 5 The second page is the well cost estimate 6 showing the breakdown of the individual categories of expen-7 ditures. 8 Q Okay. Are these costs shown on the AFE 9 line with what has been charged in the area by other 10 operators? 11 Yes. Α 12 Q Okay. Now, do you know if Readin & Bates 13 drilled other wells in the vicinity of this proposed 14 well? 15 Α We've both drilled other wells and parti-16 cipated in other wells in the immediate vicinity. 17 0 Including Dakota wells? 18 Α Yes. 19 All right. Are you prepared to make Q 20 recommendation to the Examiner as to the risk penalty that 21 should be imposed for non-joining interests? 22 Α Reading & Bates feels that the risk Yes. 23 should be 200 percent as authorized under penalty the OCD 24 rules. 25 And what's the basis for that risk penal-Q

ty?

A Reading & Bates Petroleum Company feels that 200 percent is a reasonable charge for supervision and drilling operations and that such a charge is reasonable for the risk involved.

Q Now, do you believe there is a chance that this well could be completed and it would not be a commercially successful well?

A Yes.

Q Have you made an estimate of overhead and administrative costs while drilling this well and also while producing the well?

A Yes, I have. We estimate that the overhead and administrative costs should be \$3500 a month while drilling and \$350 a month while operating.

Q Okay, is that shown on Exhibit Eight at all?

A No, it's not. It's reflected, the drilling overhead charges are reflected on the second category
from the bottom under Overhead, showing that it would take
approximately two months to drill and complete this well and
a little bit less than one month to drill a dry hole at this
location.

Q All right. Are those administrative and overhead costs in line with what you understand to be

19 1 charged for wells in the area? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q And do you recommend that these estimated 4 costs be incorporated into any order pursuant to this pro-5 ceeding? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q And does Reading & Bates also seek to be 8 designated operator of this well? 9 A Yes. 10 All right, Mr. Petitt, in your opinion Q 11 will granting this application be in the best interest of 12 conservation, the prevention of waste and protection of cor-13 relative rights? 14 Α Yes. 15 Now, did you prepare Exhibit Eight or was Q 16 it prepared under your direction? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Okay. 19 MR. HALL: At this time we move 20 the admission of Exhibit Eight. 21 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Eight 22 will be admitted into evidence at this time. 23 24 25

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. STOGNER: 3 Mr. Petitt, could you be a little more Q specific on what you're basing your 200 percent risk on? 5 Basically that drilling of any oil and 6 gas well is risky. This is a risky well to drill. We feel 7 like a 200 percent risk penalty is a reasonable amount to ask in this case. What are you basing your opinion 0 Why? 10 Can you be a little more specific on what you --11 Field practice. 12 Usual in a -- well, I mean is this a rank 13 wildcat, a wildcat, or development, or is there any produc-14 tion offsetting it? 15 Α There is some offsetting production. 16 Q In what direction? 17 To the southeast. Α 18 About how far? 19 Α Can't be exact but I'd say a half mile to 20 three-quarters of a mile. 21 Q Are you on the outskirts of this pool 22 are you in the middle of it or --23 I'd say we're on the outskirts. A 24 Do you know if drilling out Q Okay.

this area has any particular problems, any unique situations

1 that arise? 2 Α Most nearly every well has severe lost 3 circulation. 0 Okay, thank you, Mr. Petitt. 5 MR. STOGNER: Does anybody else 6 have any questions of this witness? 7 If not, he may be excused. 8 Is there anything further in 9 Case Number 8766? 10 MR. HALL: For the record, Mr. 11 Examiner, we note that the official advertisement for this 12 application is for the Basin Dakota Pool. We were since ad-13 vised that the correct pool designation is the Gavilan-14 Greenhorn-Graneros Dakota, so we'd request that this case be 15 readvertised for the next docket. 16 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. 17 Hall. 18 Fortunately, this was caught 19 earlier and this case will be readvertised and continued for 20 the Examiner's Hearing scheduled for December 4th, 1985. 21 the record on this case And 22 will be open pending that hearing. 23 Anything further at this time? 24 MR. HALL: Nothing further. 25 MR. Being nothing, STOGNER: thank you.

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Salley W. Boyd COR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 8766, heard by me on 2660 weeker 1995.

Oil Conservation Division

STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT 1 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. 2 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 4 December 1985 3 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: The disposition of cases called in CASE 8751 9 which no testimony was presented. 8773, 8774, 8753, 8775. 8744, (8766 10 Transcript in Case 8751 11 12 13 14 15 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner 16 17 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 18 19 APPEARANCES 20 21 For the Division: Jeff Taylor Attorney at Law 22 Legal Counsel to the Division Energy and Minerals Dept. 23 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 24

For the Applicant: