1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
3	20 August 1986
4	EXAMINER HEARING
5	
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	Application of Tenneco Oil Company CASE for compulsory pooling, San Juan 8979
9	County, New Mexico. 8980)
10	
11	
12	
13	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
16	
17	APPEARANCES
18	
19	
20	For the Division: Jeff Taylor Attorney at Law
21	Legal Counsel to the Division State Land Office Bldg.
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
23	For the Applicant: W. Thomas Kellahin
24	Attorney at Law KELLAHIN & KELLAHIN
25	P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

_			_
		2	
1			
2	EXHIBITS		
3			
4	KEVIN G. HERINGER		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	3	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	20	
7			
8	MICHAEL K. DECKER		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	21	
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	26	
11			
12			
13			
14	EXHIBITS		
15			
16	CASE 8979		
17			
18	Tenneco Exhibit One	4	
20	GAGT 0000		
21	CASE 8980		
22	Tenneco Exhibit One	1.6	
23	Tenneco Exilibit Olle	16	
24			
25			

	3
1	
2	MR. CATANACH: We'll call Case
3	Number 8979 and Case 8980.
4	MR. TAYLOR: The application of
5	Tenneco Oil Company for compulsory pooling, San Juan County,
6	New Mexico.
7	MR. KELLAHIN: If the Examiner
8	please, I'm Tom Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm Kellahin &
9	Kellahin. I'm appearing on behalf of the applicant and I
10	have three witnesses to be sworn.
11	MR. CATANACH: Are there other
12	appearances in this case?
13	Will the witnesses please stand
14	and be sworn in?
15	
16	(Witnesses sworn.)
17	
18	KEVIN G. HERINGER,
19	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
20	oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
21	
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION
23	BY MR. KELLAHIN:
24	Q Mr. Heringer, for the record would you

please state your name and occupation?

25

A My name is Kevin G. Heringer. I'm a landman with Tenneco Oil Company from Denver, Colorado.

Q Mr. Heringer, have you previously testified as a petroleum landman before the Oil Conservation Division?

A Yes, I have.

And have you been employed as a petroleum landman on behalf of your company with regards to both of these compulsory pooling applications that are the subject matter of the cases before this Examiner?

A Yes, I have.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. Heringer as an expert petroleum landman.

MR. CATANACH: Mr. Heringer is considered qualified.

Mr. Heringer, let's open the book of exhibits and describe for the Examiner by using the foldout plat, which is about the third page of Exhibit One, let's use this plat to orient the Examiner as to what you propose to accomplish on behalf of your company.

First of all, would you identify what the acreage is that is to be the subject of the compulsory pooling application in Case 8979?

A Okay. The acreage shown in yellow, to start off, this is the east half of Section 10. The acreage

shown in yellow are tracts within the east half of Section 10 that Tenneco has been unable to either locate, or in the case where we have located an individuals who own these tracts, to get them to lease to Tenneco.

Q The proposed well that's to be drilled on the east half of Section 10 is targeted to what formation?

A It is the Dakota formation.

Q Would you identify for the Examiner the proposed drilling tract or island that Tenneco will utilize for the drilling of this well?

A The proposed tract is in the southeast quarter. It's outlined in red.

I'd point out that we have been before the State before on a directional drilling application that has been approved by the State.

Q That is the drilling island, then, that was approved for the directional drilling of four Basin Dakota gas wells.

A Yes, sir.

Q And this is the application for which well? Do you remember the name?

A This will be the City of Farmington Com
No. 1, which we have a bottom hole location in the southeast
quarter of the section.

Q Turn back one page from the plat, if you

please, Mr. Heringer. Describe for the Examiner in a summary fashion in chronological order what the activities have been on behalf of Tenneco in order to formulate the working interest owners in this half section for the drilling of the well.

A Okay. What I'd like to do is just give you a history, and back in early 1984 Tenneco decided that Section 10 would be a prospective area to drill a gas well.

We put brokers in the field to check the records. It was unknown to us at the beginning of the project that each of the individual homeowners would actually own the minerals underneath their land.

After many months of checking title, we came up with the individual tracts to show who the mineral owners were.

We then employed anywhere from six to eight different individuals who went out and obtained leases on each of these individual tracts.

Q To give the Examiner a perspective on the number of individual mineral owners within the east half of Section 10, approximately how many were involved?

A There would be greater than 440 mineral owners within the east half of Section 10.

Q Based upon your information, Mr. Heringer, do you believe that the plat shown as the east half of

1 Section 10 is reasonably accurate and reliable? Α Yes, I do. 2 And has Tenneco relied upon that plat in 0 3 the efforts to formulate a voluntary unit? 5 Α Yes, we have. Summarize for the Examiner what the cur-6 0 7 rent status is in terms of percentage of interest now voluntarily committed and what percentage interest you're requir-8 9 ing a forced pooling order in order to drill the well. 10 Okay. If we can turn back to page two, 11 it is a summary. Tenneco has taken over 410 leases in the 12 east half of Section 10, and that accounts for 267.746 acres 13 14 which gives us 83.67 percnet lease. 15 Fossil, Associates, an independent based 16 in Farmington has leased 16.6 acres, accounts for 5 percent. 17 Have they -- has Fossil, Associates,

agreed to participate in the well?

A Yes, Fossil, Associates will join in the

Q All right.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

well.

A Parties to lease or participate, which we do not have under lease, which has been a result of our efforts as we get into the back of the book, has accounted for another 2-1/2 acres.

The State of New Mexico, there has been a tract that just two weeks ago escheated into the State because of the mineral owners had died without a will, no heirs, no one, and we have nominated it for the September oral bid.

Unknown acres is a loose number. These are acres that right now we can't account for and it's a very small percent. It's five percent, five acres, 1.79 percent. We are going back through trying to resolve the unknown acres. It may come out that the acreage discrepancies as to the calculations could account for this. Tenneco may already have these under lease. It's just unknown at this time.

The acres that we are aware of who the owners are and that we have tried to contact if they are contactable come up to 22.54 acres, or seven percent of the (inaudible) and it's this 22 acres that we appear before you today.

Q In terms of identifying the owners that go with the corresponding tract numbers that are identified on the plat and colored in yellow, have you made a tabulation of those owners?

- A Yes, I have.
- 24 O And where do we find that?
- 25 A That is right behind the foldout map

listed on Exhibit A.

Q Describe how that tabulation of owners was prepared or compiled.

A Okay. What -- we had a map that showed who everybody was and as we would lease an individual we would just eliminate their name off the list. These are the tracts that we show as unleased. Well, Exhibit A is the name of the person, an awful lot of addresses unknown. The tract is shown in the lefthand column.

If we go to the end you'll see that the CONT is contacted, yes or no. And for all the addresses unknown they are no -- there's been -- this is a summary of quite a bit of work on our part from checking the county records as to see where they're at, we had brokers in the field trying to contact these people, and then a final effort by Tenneco back in July to try to tie up these inter ests.

Q Would you describe the effort that was made in order to locate the addresses for individuals identified or for which you do not have an address?

A It would have been county records, tax records, word of mouth, this is very well known in Farming-ton that this well is going to be drilled. It's a subject that is known by quite a few people. Telephone books.

Q And as of today the parties identified

1 but with which you do not have an address, or for whom you 2 do not have an address, represent your best effort and that 3 of your company to locate these people. Α Yes, it is. noticed, and this may be an 0 Ι 6 would you go down to the number 9 entry -- I'm sorry, I mis-7 sed one, number 6 entry, James Bray, it says there was no contact made with Mr. and Mrs. Bray. 8 What's the explanation? 10 Well. there's no contact and this is the 11 known address that we had for them, and so we went ahead and included the address and --12 13 0 But you could not find them. 14 Α We could not find them. 15 All right. 16 letter was mailed out. The We have 17 stack of envelopes in my office that were undeliverable. 18 Let's turn, then, after the tabulation to 19 the correspondence beginning with a letter under Tenneco's 20 letterhead of July 31st, '86. 21 Is this a letter that you have written? 22 Yes, it is. Α 23 And what's the purpose of this letter? 24 Α This is to notify the State and all non-25 consenting mineral owners that we were coming here today to seek a forced pooling order.

Q In terms of sending that notice out, what address listing was used?

A The address listing was used, if you'll flip back behind the application, and anybody that we have these addresses for they were mailed to.

Q All right. And for the addresses you had you sent those applications and notice letters out certified mail, return receipt?

A Yes, I did.

Q Let's turn to your July 9th, 1986 letter, Mr. Heringer, and have you describe what you had proposed to accomplish with this letter.

A Well, the letter starts off with the first paragraph, because we felt we were dealing with unsophisticated owners it's very detailed.

The first paragraph basically says what Tenneco plans to do and that we've obtained a lot within the City of Farmington to drill this well.

Q Where do you find this letter in the package? It's after the application and the index of owners to be notified?

23 A Yes.

Q And this is the July 9th letter? All right. Describe again for us what was your intent and pur-

pose in writing the letter.

A The intent was to try to make a last contact for these people. This was not the only contact that we made with them. Our broker had been out and talked to some of these people and for various reasons thay had refused to lease.

But what the letter is, it's our last attempt and, as I said, the first paragraph of the letter states what Tenneco plans to do.

The second would be that we are making an offer to lease these people and the terms discussed in the letter are identical to what Tenneco took over, 1100 leases in Section 10.

Secondly, in the third paragraph at the top of the page, is an offer to the people to farmout to Tenneco. It explains what a farmout is. It says that what we've done is we've enclosed a copy of Tenneco's standard farmout agreement with it.

As a third alternative we gave each of the people the option to participate in the well and we went so far as to break out their net acres to the 320-acre proration unit and tell them an estimate cost of what it would be for them to participate in the well.

Finally we state that if we cannot come to an agreement, we let them know beforehand that we may

have to go to the State for relief.

Lastly, asking them if they have any questions to give me a collect call and we can discuss any topics that they would like to.

Q Did this last effort and attempt to get leases or agreements from these owners result in Tenneco acquiring any further leases?

A Yes, it did. Flipping back to the first page, those would be the tracts that parties to lease or participate and we are in the process of obtaining signatures.

Q So that effort realized approximately 2-1/2 more acres of interest being committed to the well.

A Yes, and at this time there are additional parties that would add to that total that are thinking about it and have been in contact with and ongoing dialogue with them.

Q Let's turn to the documents attached to the letter. The first one was a proposed oil and gas lease. Is this Tenneco's standard oil and gas leases utilized for this purpose?

A Yes, it is.

Q And it's the same form that you were able to successfully obtain leases from other working interest owners or minerals owners?

1 Α Yes, that's right. It is identical with the exception on Exhibit A. Before we were taking paid up 2 oil and gas leases and felt -- we knew that we would be getting in there and drill prior to a one year anniversary, so 5 that is excluded. 6 Q Exhibit B represents the farm-in agree-7 ment that you proposed to these people? 8 Α Yes, it is. All right. Let's turn to the AFE, Exhi-10 bit C to the letter. How was the AFE prepared, Mr. Herin-11 ger? 12 Α The AFE was prepared from our Drilling Department. It is in line with what a Dakota well costs to 14 drill it out here. Tenneco drills a number of wells. It's 15 pretty standard. 16 And then after the AFE is a photocopy of 17 return receipt cards? 18 Α Yes. 19 And what does that represent? 20 This would represent an example of 21 certified mail to people of our letter dated July 9th and 22 also of our notice of our application to the State. 23 Following that is the COPAS accounting 24 attachments normally attached onto an operating agreement? 25

Yes, that's correct.

Α

Would you turn to the third page of 1 Q COPAS attachment and identify for us the overhead charges? 2 3 The overhead charges for a drilling well rate, \$4000, and a producing well rate, \$400. 4 5 Are those the proposed rates that Tenneco will utilize or request that the Examiner utilize in 6 the 7 forced pooling order? Yes, and they are in line with what Tenne-8 9 co is charging other parties in the basin. After that is a letter dated August 10 of 1986. Would you identify that for us? 11 Α This is a letter from the City of Farm-12 13 ington acknowledging to Tenneco that our special use permit has been approved, basically Tenneco's go-ahead to drill 14 these wells. 15 16 And finally, the copy of the Division or-17 der approving the directional drilling? 18 Α We were down here in June for the direc-19 tional drilling, the State granting us permission to drill 20 these wells directionally. 21 If you turn to Exhibit A to the order, would you identify for us which of the four wells in invol-22 23 ved in this forced pooling case? It would be the City of Farmington No. 24 25 and the City of Farmington No. 2.

1 The City of Farmington No. 1 is for the Q east half --2 East half. Α -- so that will be Case 8979, and the No. 5 2 is going to be Case 8980. All right. Does that complete your pre-7 sentation with regards to the east half of Section 2? Α Yes, it does. Let's turn your attention now to the efforts that you have conducted on behalf of your company for 10 11 the west half of Section 2. you'll turn to that exhibit book, 12 let's use that foldout. This will be Case Number 8980 and 13 the third page is the plat identified as the west half of 14 Section 10. 15 16 Yes, that's correct. 17 All right, sir, would you identify and 18 describe what is depicted on this exhibit? 19 Α The west half map was prepared within the 20 same guidelines as the east half. Again the yellow with the 21 numbers are tracts that are uncommitted to the drilling of 22 this well. 23 Turn back a page to the summary and iden-24 tify for the Examiner the number of mineral owners that were 25 involved in the west half of the section.

A Okay. To date Tenneco has taken greater than 780 leases. You can see by the map that there's not as many large tracts, a little bit -- quite a bit more broken up.

Tenneco Oil Company to date has taken 244 acres worth of leases; that accounts for 76 percent.

Because of our mailings, parties to lease or participate in the west half has been a greater number; it comes to 9.119 acres.

The unknown acres, as in the east half, is a number that Tenneco is trying to resolve at this time. We feel as though our acreage calculations may change this number and some of these tracts we believe are already under lease to Tenneco.

There are some tracts within that 25 that were not given the proper notice of our forced pooling and so we could not keep them in the -- include them in this -- in our forced pooling.

Our force pooled acreage comes to 41.1294 acres. Those acres are broken down on Exhibit A of the tract -- of the map.

Q Let's go to the Exhibit A which follows the map and have you generally describe how you have satisfied yourself and your company that the list of names and the addresses as known are the best effort that you can make

to find these people.

A Yes. It is identical to the east half as to efforts by Tenneco to lease these parties.

Q Let's take for example, there's at least one large tract depicted on the west half of 10, Tract No. 45. Let's turn to the tabulation and find in the index the owners that go with 45 and what owner is that?

A That is Miss Edith Rowland, Care of Howell W. Cobb.

Q All right, what effort -- would you identify for us what efforts Tenneco has made to contact Miss Rowland and to lease or obtain her joinder in the well?

A All right. Howell Cobb, we were informed, handles all of Edith Rowland's dealings.

We had on three different occasions, we sent a broker up the first time. Then we sent our head guy up a second time to Durango. And a third time three of us from Tenneco went up and tried to talk to Howell Cobb, explain the situation to him; decided that he did not want to advise his sister to lease.

Lastly, we have mailed the July 9th letter to him and also a copy of our application.

Q When the tabulatin indicates on the far right margin that a contact was made, can you generally describe to us the type and nature of that contact?

1 Α This is a summary of our contacts. the last -- in this case it's the last, is that they had re-2 ceived this letter of July 9th and a copy of our applica-3 tion. addition we have talked ot Ιn these 5 people prior to our July letter. It was not the only con-6 7 tact that we had with the people. And if the contact says yes, did they also receive the detailed letter that you submitted to them 9 10 dated July 10th, '86 giving forth several options by which 11 they could participate, farmout, or lease? 12 Α Yes. That is correct. Have you gone through a similar process Q 13 with regards to your land efforts for the west half of 10 as 14 15 you did for the east half of 10? 16 Yes, I have. Α 17 Q The surface location for this well is 18 located in the east half of 10? 19 Α Yes, sir, to be drilled -- all wells will 20 be drilled from a 4.680 tract, as outlined in this 21 (unclear). 22 That concludes MR. KELLAHIN: 23 my examination of Mr. Heringer.

24

25

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. CATANACH:

Q Mr. Heringer, who holds the mineral interests underneath the streets in that section? Is it -- it's not the city, is it?

A The city owns some of the minerals under the streets, which we have obtained leases on.

It varies. Back when the streets were dedicated to the subdivision the language used was dedicated for public use and it is our opinion that the minerals were then retained by whoever broke up the subdivision and that's why in here you have a number of roads that are unleased or uncommitted, and if you go back to our tract, say, in the -- on the west half, you have number nine, which is leased up in the north -- north half of the section and we show James Cooper. James Cooper is passed away, it would be his heirs and they are unlocated yet at this time.

So the streets do vary. It's not one person and we have obtianed leases from some of the people that have dedicated the streets for public use.

Q And some of the interest is owned by the city, you said that, and that has been leased?

A Yes, it has.

Q Okay.

MR. CATANACH: I have no further questions of Mr. Heringer.

1

2

3

MICHAEL K. DECKER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KELLAHIN:

A My name is Michael K. Decker. I'm a Pro11 ject Geological Engineer with Tenneco Oil Company.

Q Mr. Decker, have you previously testified as a geologist before the Oil Conservation Division?

A Yes, I have.

Q And have you made a review of the geology that is the subject matter of both the forced pooling application in Case 8979 and in Case 8980?

A Yes, I have.

MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr.

20 Becker as an expert petroleum geologist.

MR. CATANACH: Is it Becker or

22 Decker?

23 A With a "D".

MR. KELLAHIN: Decker, I'm sor-

25 ry. I misspoke, Mr. Decker.

1 MR. CATANACH: Mr. Decker is considered qualified. 2 Decker, let me have you turn in the Mr. exhibit book to the geologic exhibit that follows the directional drilling order and we'll commence looking at the last 5 6 three pages in the book. 7 Let's start off with the west half Section 10 and we're looking in the exhibit book for 8 and would you first of all, sir, identify that exhibit for 9 us? 10 11 Α Yes. This is a net pay Isopach on Dakota B-1 Sand. 12 Decker, did you participate in the 13 0 Mr. 14 preparation of this exhibit or the evaluation of information 15 that is depicted on the exhibit? 16 Α Yes, I did. 17 With regards to the geologic risk invol-18 ved in drilling this well, do you have an opinion as to what 19 percentage risk you would recommend to the Examiner so that 20 he may include that that percentage in the forced pooling order? 21 22 Α Yes, I would recommend that a 200 percent 23 risk factor be applied in this case. 24 What the basis and reasons upon which you

assess that percentage risk to the geology found for this

25

prospect?

A This is based on the geologic risk that is being taken in drilling these development wells.

Q Let's take the exhibit and have you identify an explain the information on the exhibit and then let me ask you some questions about the basis for your opinion.

A Okay. This net pay Isopach has a contour interval of four feet. The scale is one inch equals 4000 feet.

The wells with circles are data point wells. These are wells that have penetrated the Dakota formation. From those wells we have evaluated the electric logs, porosity logs, and so on of these wells and used our net pay criteria and tied this back to production characteristics.

This or these values were then contoured using a fluvial, deltaic environment of deposition and the contouring represents that type of model that you see in this map.

Q Mr. Decker, would you describe for us the relationship to the geology as determined by actual geologic information to the specifics of this section in terms of the risk?

For example, can you draw any correlation or conclusion in the terms of the thickness of the Dakota $\mbox{\ensuremath{B-}}$

1 sand as depicted on the Isopach?

A Okay, the way this is contoured is hypothesized, because as you can see in Section 10 and Section 9, we have no data point wells, and this is where the risk enters in.

We are anticipating 20 or approximately 20 feet of net pay; however, because of the lack of control, as you head towards the west from our original Irvin Com No. 1-E, which is in Section 11, you can see that we are losing thickness, net pay thickness.

Q What happens to the Dakota sand interval as we move from east to west?

A The Dakota sand interval deteriorates. We lose sand thickness.

Q Let's turn to the cross section that's shown on this Isopach. If you'll turn to the next page and demonstrate to us what's happening with the general thickness of the formation.

A Okay. This is, first of all, this is a stratigraphic cross section on the Dakota formation. Going from west to east the wells that are on this cross section are the Tenneco Oil Irvin Com No. 1, Tenneco Oil Irvin Com No. 1-E, you see a proposed location, and then we also have the Redfern and Hurd, Incorporated, Airport No. 2.

As you go from east to west you can see

that the Dakota B interval does decrease in quality sand thickness.

We are getting out of what has been interpreted as the main channel within the area and you are stepping out from the known productive limits of the Dakota B interval within this area.

Q Does your assessment of the geologic risk significantly change in relation between the first and the second well?

In other words, you have reached the opinion that a 200 percent risk factor penalty is justified for the well depicted in the west half of Section 10. When we go to the east half of 10, have you utilized the same information in that exhibit book?

A Yes, I have utilized the same information.

Q As we move to the second well, which is the subject of 8980, do your opinions as to the geologic risk significantly change?

A No, they do not. Because of the nature of environment and deposition of these sands being channels, we feel that the risk still applies to the No. 1 Well, also.

Q Regardless of the outcome of the first well, it will take at least two wells to satisfy you that the risk involved in this area is less than 200 percent?

١ That is correct. Α 2 Was the cross section depicted in the ex-3 hibits books, the stratigraphic cross section, prepared by you as well as the Isopach? 5 They were prepared under my supervision. 6 Have you examined this information and 0 7 satisfied yourself that they're true and accurate to the best of your belief? Yes, I have. Α 10 And have you relied upon these exhibits 11 in formulating your opinion? 12 Α Yes. 13 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes 14 my examination of Mr. Decker. 15 have a third witness who 16 an engineer and will talk about production information. 17 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. CATANACH: 20 Mr. Decker, the Dakota B-1 Sand, that 's 0 21 the main productive sand in the Dakota Pool? 22 Yes, it is. 23 How close are you to known production in 24 either of these wells? 25 Α Okay. You are within half to three-quar-

```
1
   ter of a mile, approximately, of the Irvin Com l-E, which is
2
   in Section 11.
3
                                 MR.
                                      CATANACH:
                                                  That's
                                                          all I
4
   have for Mr. Decker.
5
6
                         TIMOTHY L. HOWER,
7
   being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
8
   oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
10
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
    BY MR. KELLAHIN:
11
12
             0
                        All right, sir, would you please state
    your name and occupation?
13
14
             Α
                         My name is Timothy L. Hower and I'm a
15
    petroleum engineer with Tenneco Oil in Denver.
16
                       Mr. Hower, would you spell your last name
17
    for the record?
18
             Α
                       H-O-W-E-R.
19
                       Have you previously testified as a petro-
             Q
20
    leum engineer before the Division?
21
             Α
                       No, sir.
22
                        Would you summarize your educational
23
    background by giving the examiner the date at which you
24
    graduated and what degree you obtained?
25
             Α
                       I received a Bachelor's degree in 1981 in
```

1 petroleum engineering from Penn State University and then a 2 Master's degree in 1983, also from Penn State University. Subsequent to graduation, would you sum-3 marize for us, Mr. Hower, what has been your employment ex-5 perience as a petroleum engineer? I've for the past three years worked as a Α 7 petroleum engineer for Tenneco Oil Company in the Willison 8 Basin and the San Juan Basin. All right, sir. Mr. Hower, have you made 10 a study and have you formulated an opinion about the risk 11 factor penalty that you're willing to recommend to the exa-12 miner to be included in the forced pooling orders involved 13 in these two wells? 14 Yes. 15 MR. KELLAHIN: We tender Mr. 16 Hower as an expert petroleum engineer. 17 MR. CATANACH: Mr. Hower is 18 considered qualified. 19 Let's turn to the last page in either of Q 20 the exhibit books. I assume that they're the same? 21 Yes, sir. Α 22 Have you examined the production informa-0 23 tion tabulated on that exhibit and satisfied yourself 24 it's accurate? 25 Yes.

1 Let's use the exhibit and first of 0 all have you identify the exhibit for us. 2 3 This is -- this map represents the cumulative production through May 1st of this year in millions of cubic feet for the Dakota interval. 5 6 The Dakota wells are indicated with green 7 dots. There is next to each of the well symbols 9 information depicted above and below a horizontal line. 10 Would you identify what that information is? Α Yes. The number at the top represents 11 the cumulative production from the Dakota zone in millions 12 of cubic feet and below it is the date of first production. 13 14 Have you formulated an opinion, Q 15 Hower, as to the risk factor penalty that you would recom-16 mend as a petroleum engineer to be included in the forced 17 pooling orders? 18 Α Yes. It's my opinion that given this 19 production information and prevailing economic conditions, 20 that the 200 percent risk factor is (unclear). 21 Can you give us an example of the basis 22 upon which you have reached that opinion by looking at the 23 information depicted on this exhibit?

Yes.

indicated earlier, the production quality tends to deter-

Similar to the net pay map that you

24

25

iorate in an east to west fashion, as evidenced by the two plugged and abandoned wells in Section 8, as well as some of the production in the directly adjacent sections, Section 3, for example, and it is this deterioration of production from east to west along with the prevailing economic conditions in the San Juan Basin with regards to the gas market.

Q I was looking for the AFE. We're looking at, I believe, a completed well costing just a few thousand dollars under half a million.

A That's correct. That's for the City of Farmington No. 2. The City of Farmington Well No. 1 is right around \$450,000.

Q When we talk about wells of that cost range, approximately what cumulative quantity of gas must you recover in order to pay for the well one time?

A The wells of that cost, you're talking around 500-to-600 million cubic feet.

Q When we look in the immediate area, how would you quantify the risk in terms of the cumulative production you are beginning to see on areas involved as shown on the exhibit?

A Well, for example, if you look -- if you take the adjacent -- sections adjacent to Section 10, Section 3 to the north and Section 15 to the south, there's two -- two wells there. Both have current cumulatives of around

600,000, and those wells will, you know, based on that they're just around payout, so they will not -- they are not what would be considered economic ventures.

Q All right.

A As well as the wells that have been plugged and abandoned in Section 8 and in Section 4.

Q Well, let's look at the Section 3 to the north, the immediate offset to this section is the well that was apparently drilled in February, or completed in February of '65, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q And it's total cumulative production is only 643,000 cubic feet, or MCF?

A Yes.

Q All right. Under your econmic conditions, then that well over twenty years has only recovered the cost of a current well one time.

A That's correct, under -- under current conditions.

Q Under current conditions. Does the risk involved insofar as you've assessed it as a petroleum engineer, does it change materially between the first and second wells that are proposed for this section?

A No, sir, in my opinion it would not change at all.

1 Q Why not? On top of the geologic reasons that 2 3 Decker spoke about, the current economic conditions, mainly those -- those are not expected to change, we at this time 5 do not have a gas contract for either of the two wells. that certainly presents a problem in itself. 7 Do you find wells depicted on the exhibit in the same section for which one well has produced a suffi-8 9 cient quantity of gas to be economic while the other well on that section has not? 10 11 Α Yeah, yes, I think Section 3 that we just looked at is a good example of that. You have the well 12 we talked about with 600-million and then in the northeast 13 quarter over a BCF. 14 15 So the fact that there would be two wells 16 in the same section and be in proximity to each other 17 not insure that both of them are going to be econmic. 18 That's correct. Α 19 Q you took those factors into consid-20 eration in formulating your opinion of risk? 21 Α Yes, sir.

We now move the introduction of our exhibit booklets, marked as Exhibit One for Case 8979

my examination of Mr. Hower.

MR.

KELLAHIN:

That concludes

22

23

24

25

and marked as Exhibit One for Case 8980. MR. CATANACH: Exhibit One for Case 8979 and Exhibit One for Case 8980 would be admitted into evidence at this time. And I have no questions for Mr. Hower. Is there anything further in Case 8979 or in Case 8980? MR. KELLAHIN: No, sir. MR. CATANACH: If not, they will be taken under advisement. (Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY

CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Soegles. Boyd CSTZ

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 697, 890 neard by me on August 1986.

Dandk Catand, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division