

Docket No. 32-86

DOCKET: COMMISSION HEARING - THURSDAY - OCTOBER 23, 1986
OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION - 9 A.M. - ROOM 205
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

- CASE 9009: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 0.1 to define fresh water in a manner consistent with the designation of the State Engineer.
- CASE 9010: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for the adoption of a new Rule 118. The Division seeks the adoption of said rule to provide for the regulation of hydrogen sulfide gas in such a manner as to avoid endangering human life.
- CASE 9011: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 402. The Division seeks to eliminate the need for filing Form C-125 with Division district offices.
- CASE 9012: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 701.D. The Division seeks to amend said rule to eliminate the requirement for a hearing when a disposal well is to be located within 2 miles of oil or gas production in the same formation.
- CASE 9013: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 704. The Division seeks the amendment of said rule to provide for the conducting of step-rate tests, requests for injection pressure limit increases, and notice to the Division.
- CASE 9014: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for the adoption of a new Rule 1207(a)1.(ii). The Division seeks the adoption of said rule to provide for approval of unopposed compulsory pooling applications without oral testimony and based on information submitted with the application.
- CASE 9015: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for the adoption of new Rules 315, 413, and 903 to establish a gas priority production schedule. The Division seeks adoption of a hierarchy of classes of gas production in times of severely restricted demand for gas from New Mexico wells. Also to be considered will be the application of such rules to purchasers with marketing affiliates.
- CASE 9016: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for the adoption of a new Rule 414 to regulate sales of gas by separate owners in a well. The Division seeks the adoption of a rule to prohibit such sales in the absence of agreements or conditions which protect the correlative rights of all the owners in any affected well.
- CASE 9017: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Rule 902. The Division seeks the amendment of said rule to provide for notice by purchasers to producers when such purchasers are unable to take gas in accordance with the provisions of such rule.
- CASE 9018: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion to consider the amendment of Order No. R-8170. The Division seeks the amendment of Rule 10(a), 11(a), and 11(b) of the General Rules for the Prorated Gas Pools of New Mexico to provide for two-year balancing periods and for a twelve times overproduced limit for the prorated gas pools of northwest New Mexico.
- CASE 8960: (De Novo) (Continued from September 18, 1986, Commission Hearing)
- Application of Marathon Oil Company for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Siluro-Devonian formation underlying the SE/4 SE/4 of Section 14, Township 16 South, Range 38 East, to form a standard 40-acre spacing and proration unit for any and all formations and/or pools developed on 40-acre spacing, said unit to be dedicated to a well to be drilled at a standard location thereon. Also to be considered will be the cost of drilling and completing said well and the allocation of the cost thereof as well as actual operating costs and charges for supervision, designation of applicant as operator of the well and a charge for risk involved in drilling said well. Upon application of Marathon Oil Company, this case will be heard De Novo pursuant to the provisions of Rule 1220.