CAMPBELL & BLACK, P.A.

LAWYERS

JACK M. CAMPBELL
BRUCE D. BLACK
MICHAEL B. CAMPBELL
WILLIAM F. CARR
BRADFORD C. BERGE
J. SCOTT HALL
PETER N. IVES
JOHN H. BEMIS

DRC MS.

GUADALUPE PLACE

SUITE I - 110 NORTH GUADALUPE

POST OFFICE BOX 2208

SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504-2208

TELEPHONE: (505) 988-4421
TELECOPIER: (505) 983-6043

H

RECEIVED

MAR 1 : 1987

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

HAND DELIVERED

William J. LeMay, Director Oil Conservation Division New Mexico Department of Energy and Minerals State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503

Re: Case 9089: Application of David Petroleum Company for Compulsory Pooling, Unorthodox Oil Well Location, and the Rescission of Division Order No. R-8398, Lea County, New Mexico.

Dear Mr. LeMay:

David Petroleum Company hereby requests that the above-referenced case scheduled for hearing on March 18, 1987, be dismissed.

Your attention to this request is appreciated.

Very truly yours,

WILLIAM F. CARR

ATTORNEY FOR DAVID PETROLEUM COMPANY

WFC/ab

cc: Bill Owen

W. Thomas Kellahin

KELLAHIN and KELLAHIN

Attorneys at Law

El Patio - 117 North Guadalupe
Post Office Box 2265

Santa Fe. New Mexico 87504-2265

Telephone 982-4285 Area Code 505

W. Thomas Kellahin Karen Aubrey

Jason Kellahin
Of Counsel

et CE. AED

February 26, 1987

FEB 96 1987

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

Mr. William J. LeMay Oil Conservation Division P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

"Hand Delivered"

Re: Application of David Petroleum Corporation for Compulsory Pooling and Unorthodox location NMOCD Case 9089

Dear Mr. LeMay:

On behalf of Amerada Hess Corporation, please find enclosed for your consideration a Motion to Dismiss and a Motion for a Continuance in the referenced case which is now set for hearing on the Examiner's docket of March 4, 1987.

We would appreciate you advising us of your decision on this question prior to Tuesday, March 3, 1987 so that we might avoid the expense of bring witnesses to a hearing that, in our opinion, should not be held.

Very truly yours,

W. Thomas Kellahir

WTK:ca Enc.

cc: William F. Carr, Esq. ("Hand Delivered")
Attorney for David Petroleum Corporation

Chad Dickerson, Esq.
Attorney for Yates Petroleum Corporation

David Castro, Esq. Attorney for Amerada Hess Corporation

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

PEGEIVED

FEL 23 1987

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DAVID PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

GIL COMSERVATION DISTRIB

CASE: 9089

MOTION OF AMERADA HESS CORPORATION FOR DISMISSAL OF APPLICATION AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF THE HEARING

COMES NOW AMERADA HESS CORPORATION, by and through its attorneys, Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey, and moves that the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico continue the hearing in Case 9089 now set for March 4, 1987 on the grounds that the applicant has failed to comply with Notice Rule 1207, and further, that the application must be dismissed because the Division has already entered a compulsory pooling Order R-8398 in Case 9058, thereby deciding this issue, and in support of its motion would state:

- 1. Applicant, David Petroleum Company, has filed for a hearing to compulsory pool the interest of Amerada Hess Corporation in the N/2NE/4 of Section 14, T17S, R37E, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. The Division has set the application for hearing on March 4, 1987.

- 3. Applicant, David Petroleum Corporation, by letter dated February 18, 1987, and received by Amerada Hess Corporation on February 23, 1987, notified Amerada Hess of the hearing set on March 4, 1987.
- 4. The notice to Amerada Hess was mailed only 14 days prior to the hearing and received by Amerada Hess only 9 days prior to the hearing.
- 5. Division Rule 1207(b) requires that an applicant shall give notice at least 20 days prior to the date of hearing.
- 6. As a result of failure to comply with Division Rule 1207(b), Amerada Hess is unable to adequately prepare for a hearing to be held on March 4, 1987.
- 7. On February 4, 1987, the Division held a hearing on the application of Yates Petroleum Corporation in Case 9058 to compulsory pool the interest of David Petroleum Company and Amerada Hess Corporation in the same acreage as is involved in the David Petroleum Company application.
- 8. On February 18, 1987, the Division entered Order R-8398 approving the Yates Petroleum Corporation application in that case and has decided the issues raised in the David Petroleum Company application in Case 9089.
- 9. The application of David Petroleum Company constitutes a collateral attack on a valid effective

Division Order decided on the same facts and issues now before the Division in Case 9089 and is in direct conflict with Order R-8398.

- David Petroleum Company to contest Order R-8398 has not yet expired and should they decide to contest that Order they should do so with a DeNovo application rather than by filing a new compulsory pooling application which constitutes a collateral attack on that prior Division Order.
- 11. That David Petroleum Corporation has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies in Order R-8398.

WHEREFORE, Amerada Hess Corporation moves that the application of David Petroleum Corporation be dismissed and the hearing set for March 4, 1987 be vacated.

Respectfully submitted:

W. Thomas Kellahin

Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey

P. O. Box 2265

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

STATE OF NEW MEXICO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AND MINERALS OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

RECEIVED

FEB 26 1987

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DAVID PETROLEUM CORPORATION FOR COMPULSORY POOLING AND UNORTHODOX OIL WELL LOCATION, LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

CASE: 9089

MOTION OF AMERADA HESS CORPORATION FOR DISMISSAL OF APPLICATION AND FOR CONTINUANCE OF THE HEARING

COMES NOW AMERADA HESS CORPORATION, by and through its attorneys, Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey, and moves that the Oil Conservation Division of New Mexico continue the hearing in Case 9089 now set for March 4, 1987 on the grounds that the applicant has failed to comply with Notice Rule 1207, and further, that the application must be dismissed because the Division has already entered a compulsory pooling Order R-8398 in Case 9058, thereby deciding this issue, and in support of its motion would state:

- 1. Applicant, David Petroleum Company, has filed for a hearing to compulsory pool the interest of Amerada Hess Corporation in the N/2NE/4 of Section 14, T17s, R37E, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico.
- 2. The Division has set the application for hearing on March 4, 1987.

- 3. Applicant, David Petroleum Corporation, by letter dated February 18, 1987, and received by Amerada Hess Corporation on February 23, 1987, notified Amerada Hess of the hearing set on March 4, 1987.
- 4. The notice to Amerada Hess was mailed only 14 days prior to the hearing and received by Amerada Hess only 9 days prior to the hearing.
- 5. Division Rule 1207(b) requires that an applicant shall give notice at least 20 days prior to the date of hearing.
- 6. As a result of failure to comply with Division Rule 1207(b), Amerada Hess is unable to adequately prepare for a hearing to be held on March 4, 1987.
- 7. On February 4, 1987, the Division held a hearing on the application of Yates Petroleum Corporation in Case 9058 to compulsory pool the interest of David Petroleum Company and Amerada Hess Corporation in the same acreage as is involved in the David Petroleum Company application.
- 8. On February 18, 1987, the Division entered Order R-8398 approving the Yates Petroleum Corporation application in that case and has decided the issues raised in the David Petroleum Company application in Case 9089.
- 9. The application of David Petroleum Company constitutes a collateral attack on a valid effective

Division Order decided on the same facts and issues now before the Division in Case 9089 and is in direct conflict with Order R-8398.

- David Petroleum Company to contest Order R-8398 has not yet expired and should they decide to contest that Order they should do so with a DeNovo application rather than by filing a new compulsory pooling application which constitutes a collateral attack on that prior Division Order.
- 11. That David Petroleum Corporation has failed to exhaust its administrative remedies in Order R-8398.

WHEREFORE, Amerada Hess Corporation moves that the application of David Petroleum Corporation be dismissed and the hearing set for March 4, 1987 be vacated.

Respectfully submitted:

W. Thomas Kellahin

Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey

P. O. Box 2265

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504