STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

17 June 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

5

6

١

2

3

IN THE MATTER OF:

7 Application of Texaco Producing, Inc. Case for an unorthodox gas well location, 9152 8

Lea County, New Mexico.

9

10

11

12

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

13

14

15

16

17

18

For the Division: 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

APPEARANCES

Jeff Taylor

Legal Counsel for the Division Oil Conservation Division State Land Office Bldg.

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

For the Applicant:

Scott Hall Attorney at Law

CAMPBELL & BLACK P.A.

P. O. Box 2208

Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

		2	
1			
2	INDEX		
3			
4	GARY KERN		
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	4	
6	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	11	
7			
8	DALE RYAN		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	13	
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	16	
11			
12			
13			
14	EXHIBITS		
15			
16	Texaco Exhibit One, Plat	5	
17	Texaco Exhibit Two, Map	6	
18	Texaco Exhibit Three, Filings	7	
19	Texaco Exhibit Four, Correspondence	9	
20	Texaco Exhibit Five, Waivers	9	
21	Texaco Exhibit Six, Straucture Map	14	
22	Texaco Exhibit Seven, Structure Map	15	
23			
24			
25			

3 ١ STOGNER: Call next Case MR. 2 Number 9152. 3 Application of Texaco Producing, Inc. for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New 5 Mexico. MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-7 ances in this case. 8 MR. HALL: I'm Scott Hall with the Campbell & Black Law Firm, Santa Fe, appearing on behalf 10 of the applicant. 11 We have two witnesses this 12 morning. 13 MR. STOGNER: Are there 14 any other appearances in this case? 15 Will the witnesses please stand 16 at this time? 17 18 (Witnesses sworn.) 19 20 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Hall. 21 22 GARY KERN, 23 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 25

1

2

DIRECT EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. HALL:

For the record please state your name and

5 your place of residence.

6 A Okay. My name is Gary Kern and I'm -- I

7 reside in Midland, Texas.

8 By whom are you employed?

9 A I'm employed by Texaco, Incorporated, as

10 a Division Proration Engineer.

11 Q And you've previously testified before

12 | the OCD or its examiners, have you not?

A Yes, I have.

MR. HALL: Is the witness qual-

15 ified?

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Kern is so

17 qualified.

18 Q All right. Are you familiar with the ap-

19 plication filed in this case?

A Yes, I am.

21 Q And have you made a study of the subject

22 | area?

23 Yes, I have.

24 Q What is it that Texaco is seeking by this

25 | application?

Texaco is seeking an unorthodox location 1 Α for the McKee Gas Pool, which is a wildcat in this area. I 2 3 might add that we are also, or we have applied for orthodox 4 locations in both the Devonian and Pennsylvanian Pools those would be the -- in particular the Vacuum Devonian Pool 5 6 Area and the Vacuum Upper Pennsylvanian Pool. 7 But you have one location, three comple-0 tions, is that correct? 8 That is correct. 9 Α Are you familiar with the pool rules 10 the area? 11 Yes, I am. 12 A Okay, what are the well location require-13 0 ments with respect to the pool rules? 14 15 The well location requirements call 16 locations of 1980 feet from the end boundary and 660 17 the side boundary, without being closer than 330-foot to any 18 quarter/qauarter section. 19 0 All right, let's look at Exhibit One, if 20 you could identify that and explain that to the Examiner. 21 Α Okay. Exhibit One, first of all there 22 are several things I've attempted to show here. 23 In the upper part there under Sections 35 24 and 36 I've shown the areas which are designated, or desig-25 nate the Vacuum Devonian Pool Area, and that's the thinner

hatched line, which includes the northeast quarter of Section 35 as well as the west half of Section 36.

I've also shown in the darker hatching the pool area of the Vacuum Upper Pennsylvanian Pool, and it includes all of Section 36 and the west half of Section 31.

In addition, I've shown in the middle part of the page under the New Mexico R State NCT 3 TN Lease, which is the south half of Section 1, it's a 320-acre lease, I've shown the areas where Texaco can drill both Devonian Pennsylvanian wells which the pool rules are the same for, and -- as well as the McKee wildcat test.

The McKee wildcat test would have legal locations where the squares are indicated and those match the field rules that I have previously testified to.

The circle areas match where we could drill a Devonian or Pennsylvanian Pool well. I might note that the red arrow to the dot indicates where our proposed well location is.

There's only two real areas there where we could drill orthodox locations in all three pools. The location we have proposed is orthodox for the Devonian and the Pennsylvanian, which are our primary objectives.

Q All right, let's look at Exhibit Two. If you would identify that and explain that to the Examiner.

A Exhibit Two is a map. This just basical-

bearings straight, Section 1 is located in the upper left corner and it shows the wells that produce, or really the formations that produce in this area. There are the Grayburg-San Andres, the Glorieta, the Drinkard, the Abo, and the Devonian. Some Devonian production is shown here in the southeast — southeast quarter of Section 7. There are also some to the north, which this map doesn't encompass, but which are — which are encompassed in the pool areas which I've previously testified to in Exhibit One.

Q All right, and what's the primary producing interval in this area?

A Primarily the Grayburg-San Andres and it's under waterflood in this area.

Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit Three, which I believe are your OCD filings.

Exhibit Three constitute the approved copies of the C-101s and 102s which were filed in the Hobbs OCD Office. They were approved, let's see, I guess it was on May 21st and signed by -- the originals were signed by Paul Kautz, the geologist, and they were approved with the notation that no production for McKee gas would be authorized until the unorthodox location is approved, which is what we're seeking here today.

Q While we're on the C-101, is there some

sort of discrepancy with the well name for this location? 1 Yes, there is. There was -- when we ori-2 ginally made application we had had some discussions with 3 the OCD here in Santa Fe, and they indicated that the well 4 should -- should be classified as the New Mexico R State NCT 5 3 Well No. 24 TN, and that's how we originally filed the application. 7 And then when it got to Hobbs they vised us that TN could not be in the well name, so we 9 changed the lease name to the New Mexico R State NCT 3 10 TN Well No. 24. 11 There may be a little confusion even on 12 some of the exhibits here today in regard to that well 13 lease designation. 14 15 MR. STOGNER: What's the proper name of it? New Mexico R State NCT 3 TN Well No. 24. 16 Yeah, thqt's -- that's what was approved 17 at the OCD Office in Hobbs. 18 19 Now, I understand that your location is 20 standard with respect to a Devonian, is that correct? 21

That is correct. The Devonian and Pennsylvanian also.

Because you're also completing in the McKee, is that what's necessitating the unorthodox applica-

25 tion?

22

23

24

```
1
            A
                       That is correct.
                       All right. Do you believe that produc-
2
            O
3
   tion from the McKee should be penalized due to the unortho-
   dox location?
                       No, I do not.
5
6
                       Okay.
                              Well, if it is penalized, what --
            C
7
   will that affect Texaco's plans at all?
            Α
                        Yes.
                               The geological witness who will
8
   come on after me will testify probably more to this, but the
9
   McKee is a high risk test, and in fact, the only reason
10
11
   we're really testing it is because we are drilling a well to
   the Devonian and Pennsylvanian. Really the Devonian is our
12
13
   primary objective, but due to the proximity to the McKee, we
   feel like that a test of the McKee is actually warranted
14
15
   here.
16
                       All right.
                                    Let's look at Exhibit
17
   Have you directed that a notice be provided to all offset
18
   operators are required by the OCD rules?
19
                       Yes, we have.
            A
20
                       And does Exhibit Four reflect that?
                       Yes, it does.
21
            A
22
                       Exhibit Five, if you would look at that,
23
            Who are the offset operators?
   please.
24
                       Okay.
                               The offset operators are Marathon
25
   Oil
        to the -- which is due east of our location, which is
```

the direction which is the unorthodox location, as well as Exxon Corporation, which is immediately to the south.

Q All right, and have you sought and obtained waivers from those offset operators?

A Yes, we have.

Q And are they evidenced by Exhibit Five?

A Yes, they are.

Q Mr. Kern, do you believe that granting Texaco's application will be in the best interests of conservation, the protection of correlative rights and the prevention of waste?

 λ Yes, I do.

Q What is the present status of the well?

A Okay, the well, in fact I checked this morning, the well is actually drilling at -- well, we've made -- we've drilled 14-1/2 inch, what we call a pilot hole. This is in an area of severe waterflows, and so we're setting -- we're going to set a 20-inch surface casing, or a 20-inch casing here down to 1563 feet.

We've made the 14-1/2 inch or 14-3/4 inch pilot hole and we're now coming in and drilling that hole out to 24 inches in which we're currently at 859 feet.

Q Well, because drilling is underway is an expeditied order necessary in this case?

25 A We would like it to be expedited as we

```
1
   feel that we could conceivably be at the McKee, or
                                                         testing
   the McKee, sometime around mid-July.
2
3
                       All right, were Exhibits One through Five
4
   prepared by you or at your direction?
5
                       Yes, they were.
            Α
                                      HALL: We offer Exhibits
6
                                 MR.
7
        through Five and that concludes our direct of this
8
   witness.
9
                                 MR.
                                       STOGNER:
                                                    Exhibits One
10
   through Five will be admitted into evidence.
11
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
12
13
   BY MR. STOGNER:
14
                       Mr. Kern, when was this well spudded?
             Q.
15
                                 MR. RYAN: 6-8-87.
16
                       On June 8th, '87.
             A
17
                       June 8, '87. So this well was spudded
18
   between the time you made the application until today.
19
                       We originally thought that we would -- we
            A
20
   could get an administratively approved unorthodox location.
21
   We found out different.
22
                        Okay, that's due to it only being 660
23
    foot from the end boundary, is that correct?
24
                       That's correct.
             Α
25
                       When I refer to Exhibit Number Two --
             \bigcirc
```

1 A Okay. 0 -- you show over there in your legend Abo 2 3 production is shown in the purple and Devonian production is shown with a circle. What is the one between that, the orange field with a little black dot? 5 Do you mean the unlabeled? Yeah, the unlabeled production. 7 0 MR. RYAN: That's Abo Reef 8 and the one that says Abo production is Abo Back Reef. They're both Abo production. 10 MR. STOGNER: I've 11 got some questions from you, or answers from you. Would you identify 12 yourself? 13 14 MR. RYAN: My name is Dale Ryan and I work for Texaco as an exploration geologist in Denver, 15 16 Colorado. 17 MR. STOGNER: All right, just 18 for the record. 19 Okay, I have no further questions for Mr. Kern at this time. 20 21 He may be excused. Mr. Hall? 22 23 DALE RYAN. being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 24 25 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

DIRECT EXAMINATIN

3 BY MR. HALL:

4 Q Mr. Ryan, have you ever testified before

5 the OCD before?

6 No, sir, I have not.

Why don't you give the hearing examiner a brief summary of your educational background and work

9 experience?

A I have a Master's degree in geology from the University of Akron. I've worked for Texaco as an exploration geologist for slightly longer than seven years, all of which time in the Permian Basin, West Texas and New Mexico.

15 Q All right. Are you familiar with the application in this case?

17 A Yes, I am.

18 Q And are you familiar with the subject

19 | well?

A Yes, I am.

MR. HALL: We'd offer Mr Ryan

22 | as a qualified geologist.

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Ryan is so

24 | qualified.

25 Q Mr. Ryan, have you prepared certain

exhibits and conducted a study in connection with this application?

A Yes, I have.

All right, let's look at those exhibits.

If you'd turn to your Exhibit Six and explain that to the Examiner.

A Exhibit Number Six is a base of Woodford structural map, which represents the structural top of the Devonian. It demonstrates the structural closure on the prospect that is currently being drilled by Texaco.

The circled well with a vertical slash indicates the location of the currently drilling well which Mr. Kern referred to.

The triangular location demonstrates the position of the orthodox location for both McKee and Devonian, which Gary referred to on his previous exhibit.

This map demonstrates that the orthodox location for both McKee and Devonian would be structurally down dip by approximately 160 feet to the position of the well currently being drilled for the Devonian.

Q All right, so structure was one of the most important considerations?

λ Yes, sir.

Q In spotting your location?

A That's correct.

All right. Again we've asked Mr. Kern ١ this, so why is the unorthodox location necessary? 2 We feel that this is the best location to 3 test both the McKee and Devonian horizons and in addition, the Pennsylvanian horizon and that we may be sacrificing a 5 commercial well by drilling the initial test at an unortho-6 dox location for both zones. 7 All right. Let's look at Exhibit Six. 8 Oh, I'm sorry, Exhibit Seven. 9 Would you identify that and explain that 10 to the Examiner? 11 Exhibit Seven, yeah, Exhibit Seven is a 12 McKee sand structure map and it demonstrates 13 structural configuration at the McKee horizon. 14 Also indicated on the map are a number of 15 Simpson and specifically McKee penetrations, of which there 16 are only two McKee penetrations in this entire area, which 17 the basis for wanting to take -- to justify taking the 18 19 Devonian well, which is te primary target, into the McKee. 20 All right, oh, well, do you -- if a pro-21 duction penalty is imposed, what will Texaco's plans be?

A I would think that we would re-evaluate the program and there's a possibility that we would not take this well to the McKee.

22

23

25

Q All right, would a penalty of any kind

1 render the McKee completion uneconomic? Yes, I believe it would. 2 3 Mr. Ryan, in your opinion will 4 granting of Texaco's application be in the best interests of 5 conservation, the prevention of waste and protection of correlative rights? 6 7 Yes, it would. 8 Q Were Exhibits Six and Seven prepared you or at your direction? Yes, they were. 10 11 MR. HALL: We'll offer Exhibits Six and Seven and that concludes our direct of this witness. 12 13 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits Six and Seven will be admitted into evidence at this time. 14 15 16 CROSS EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. STOGNER: 18 Mr. Ryan, referring to Exhibit Number 19 Six, you show the well up in Section 26 in the far upper 20 lefthand corner to be a dry hole, but referring to the well 21 down in Section 35 in the extreme lower righthand corner --22 Are you referring to Exhibit Six or 23 Seven? 24 I'm sorry, Exhibit Seven. Q 25 Α Okay.

1 My apology. 0 2 Α Could you please ask that again? 3 Yeah. I'm referring now to the well down Ω 4 in Section 35 in the extreme lower righthand corner. 5 Yes, sir. Is that well presently producing? 7 Λ I believe the well is producing from the Devonian formation. 8 Was it ever commercially completed in the McKee? 10 11 No, sir, it was not. It tested subcommercial quantities of gas and quite an amount of condensate 12 13 from the McKee formation. 14 And how about the two wells above that? Q 15 Λ Those wells penetrated the Simpson, did 16 not penetrate the McKee, which is located at the base of the 17 Simpson formation, and were not productive from -- from that 18 horizon and are productive from shallower zones. 19 So you only have these two wells as well control in the McKee, right? 20 21 I have the well to the north in Section A 22 26 and the well in Section 35, that's correct, as McKee pen-23 etrations. 24 Okay. To help you evaluate this did you 25 utilize geophysical data to come up with all those frac-

18 tures? 1 Yes, we did. Α 2 Q Okay. 3 MR. STOGNER: Are there any other questions of Mr. Ryan? 5 If not, he may be excused. 6 Mr. Hall, do you have anything 7 further in this case? 8 9 MR. HALL: Nothing further. 10 MR. STOGNER: Does anybody else have anything further in Case Number 9152? 11 If not, this case will be taken 12 under advisement. 13 14 (Hearing concluded.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sallyles, Bagd CSR

o co the man special for the second s