1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISON STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.		
2	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
3	1 July 1987		
4	EXAMINER HEARING		
5			
6			
7	IN THE MATTER OF:		
8	Application of Crown Central Pet- CASE roleum Corporation for compulsory 9161		
9	pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.		
10			
11			
12	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner		
13			
14			
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
16			
17			
18	APPEARANCES		
19			
20	For the Division: Jeff Taylor		
21	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division		
22	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501		
23			
24	For the Applicant: James G. Bruce		
25	Attorney at Law HINKLE LAW FIRM P. O. Box 2068 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504		

	2	
T M IN IV		
DOR DEASONER		
	3	
cross Examinación by III. Cacanach	12	
DONALD TURNER		
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	13	
Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach	17	
EXHIBITS		
Crown Exhibit One, Montage	5	
Crown Exhibit Two, Letter	1.0	
Crown Exhibit Three, AFE	10	
	Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce  Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach  E X H I B I T S  Crown Exhibit One, Montage  Crown Exhibit Two, Letter	ROB REASONER  Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 3 Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach 12  DONALD TURNER  Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce 13 Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach 17  E X H I B I T S  Crown Exhibit One, Montage 5 Crown Exhibit Two, Letter 10

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

sworn.

and be sworn in?

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. TAYLOR: The application of

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation for compulsory pooling,

MR. CATANACH: Call Case 9161.

MR. CATANACH: Are there

appearances in the case?

Lea County, New Mexico.

MR. BRUCE: Examiner, my Mr. is James Bruce from the Hinkle Firm in Santa Fe,

representing the applicant, and I have two witnesses to be

MR. CATANACH:

Are there any

other appearances in this case?

Will the witnesses please stand

(Witnesses sworn.)

ROB REASONER,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BRUCE:

Would you please state your name and your

```
4
1
   city of residence, please?
2
                       My name is Rob Reasoner and --
            Α
3
            Q
                       And who is your employer and what is your
   occupation?
5
                       My employer is Crown Central Petroleum
            Α
6
   Corporation and I'm a landman with that company.
7
            Q
                        And have you previously testified before
8
   the OCD?
            Α
                       No.
10
                       Would you please give a brief description
11
   of your educational and work background?
                               I received my Bachelor of Science
12
            Α
                       Okay.
13
   in petroleum land management from the University of Houston.
14
                       I've been in the land business since 1975
15
   and have been a landman with Crown Central since, well, the
16
   previous four years.
17
            0
                        And do your areas of responsibility
18
   include southeast New Mexico?
19
             Α
                       Yes.
20
                        And are you
                                      familiar with the land
             0
21
   matters involved in this case?
22
             Α
                       Yes, sir.
23
                                 MR.
                                      BRUCE:
                                               Mr.
                                                    Examiner, is
24
   the witness considered qualified?
25
                                 MR. CATANACH: He is.
```

Q Mr. Reasoner, would you state briefly what Crown Central seeks in this application?

A Crown Central seeks an order pooling all mineral interests from the surface to the base of the Abo formation at an approximate depth of 8000 feet, underlying the southeast quarter of Section 25, Township 19 South, Range 38 East, to be dedicated to a well at standard location.

crown Central also requests consideration of the cost of drilling and completing the well and the allocation of the cost thereof, as well as all actual operating costs and charges for supervision.

Crown Central requests that it be named well operator and that a charge for the risk involved in drilling the well be assessed against nonconsenting owners.

Q Would you please refer to the land plat portion of Exhibit Number One on the wall and briefly describe what it shows?

A Okay. Our land plat is shown up here in the northeast portion of this map and the southeast quarter is what we're asking to be force pooled as to a gas unit and the southeast southeast quarter, otherwise known as Unit B, as an oil unit.

Q Who does Crown Central seek to force pool?

 A We have eighteen interest owners we seek to force pool, one of them being the leasehold interest owner; the other seventeen being mineral interst owners.

The leasehold owner is Amerada Hess.

The mineral interest owners are Kirby Exploration Company, Sabine Corporation, Emma Pierson, Louis Stromwasser, Thomas H. Ellis, Herebert Seelye, Edna Prodgea, Rachel Cox, Wilber Yeager Estate, J. M. Armstrong Estate, Lita Hodgkins, Asa Permor, Anna Haggard, John T. Peterson, Edna L. Thomas, Cora D. Lash, and Libbie P. Wright.

On a party by party basis, would you please describe your efforts to get these interest owners to join in the well?

A Okay. On April 7th we sent a letter to Sabine Corporation requesting their joinder in the well and/or their offer to lease.

We received a letter on May 14th from Sabine counter-offering our terms.

On June 11th we sent another letter to Sabine advising them of force pooling hearing.

June 23rd we sent another letter to Sabine requesting changes to their lease offer. We consider their lease to be inoperable.

We have, however, verbally agreed to take a lease from Sabine but have yet to receive a letter from

them seeing their new lease terms.

Okay, on April 7th we also sent a letter to Kirby Exploration requesting their joinder in the well or their offer to lease.

We received a letter from them on May lith offering a counter-proposal to our lease terms.

On June 11th I advised them of the forced pooling hearing in Santa Fe; sent them another letter on June 18th requesting changes to their lease and have had no response to this date. I have called twice and have had no return calls.

On June 11th I sent a letter to the Wilbur Yeager Estate advising of the forced pooling proceedings to be held in Santa Fe. I received a letter -- no, I sent another letter to the Yeager Estate on June 16th requesting their joinder in the well or lease. Received a phone call from the Yeager Estate. They said that they would send us a lease, which I have received and at this time we are still working out some of the negotiations on that lease and have not received anything in writing.

I sent the same letter to the Yeager, I mean the Armstrong Estate on June 11th and advised about the forced pooling proceeding; another letter on June 16th requesting their joinder in the well or lease.

They have also advised they'd send me a

lease but I have not received that yet.

Okay, on May 29th I sent a letter to Amerada Hess requesting joinder in a well or a possible farmout, and then on June 11th I followed up with a letter advising them of the forced pooling hearing.

I have not to this date received anything in writing from Amerada Hess. I have received two or three phone calls from them but nothing firm from them at this time.

On June 9th I sent a letter to Thomas H. Ellis offering to lease. It was returned to me with no forwarding address. We consider them to be a lost owner.

Also on June 11th I sent a letter to Thomas H. Ellis advising of a forced pooling proceedings. It was also returned no forwardng address.

On June 9th I sent a letter to Grace Seelye, offered to lease.

June 11th I sent a letter to the Seelye Estate advising of force pooling proceedings.

I did receive a letter from them and have a tentative agreement to lease (unclear).

On June 9th I sent a letter to Edna Prodgea offering to lease. It was returned. No address, no forwarding address.

Sent a letter June 11th advising of the

pooling hearing. It was also returned.

Sent a letter June 9th to Rachel Cox offering to lease and also a letter on June 11th advising of force pooling proceedings.

We at this time have a tentative lease agreement from them but nothing in writing.

On May 28th sent a letter to Emma Pierson offering to lease; also a letter on June 11th advising of the force pooling hearing; have not received anything from them at this time.

Sent another letter on May 26th to the Louis Stromwasser offering to lease.

Sent a letter on -- received a letter from Louis Stromwasser agreeing to lease on June 4th.

Sent a lease, let's see, on June 12th and then we also sent a letter on the 11th advising them of a force pooling proceedings; however, we have not received anything from him at this time.

The other seven interest owners that we seek to force pool we cannot locate. We've had a diligent search through the county records, through our broker, and have not been able to come up with any addresses. Most of these owners have been lost since the thirties and therefore request that they be force pooled.

Would you like for me to read that again?

```
1
                      Briefly read those names.
            Q
                       Okay, that's Lita Wolfe (unclear) Hodg-
2
   kins; last known address was Alameda, California, and that
3
   was in 1947.
5
                       Asa Permor, Anna Haggard, last address
6
   for her was Lewes, Delaware, in 1947.
7
                       John T. Peterson, last record we have was
   1930.
8
                       Edna L.
                                Thomas, Core D. Lash, and Libbie
   P. Wright.
10
11
                        And was notice of this hearing sent by
             Q
12
   certified mail to the locatable nonconsenting interest own-
   ers?
13
14
             Α
                      Yes.
15
                       And is that submitted as Exhibit Number
             Q
16
   Two?
17
                       Yes.
             Α
18
                        And would you please refer to Exhibit
             Q
19
   Number Three and discuss the cost of the proposed well?
20
             Α
                       Okay, let's see. Exhibit Number Three is
21
   an AFE for the drilling of this well.
22
                       Dry hole cost for the drilling of this
23
   well is $189,500. Completed well would be $400,000.
24
                        And are these costs in line with those
25
   normally encountered in drilling wells to this depth in Lea
```

```
1
   County?
                       Yes, sir.
2
            Α
3
                        And what is your recommendation for
            0
           which Crown Central should be paid for supervision
5
   and administrative expenses?
6
            Α
                       Okay, we request that the drilling rate
7
   be $4000 and a producing rate, $400.
8
                       Per month?
            0
9
                       Yes, sir.
            Α
10
                        And are these amounts in line with those
11
   normally charged by operators in Lea County?
12
                       Yes, sir.
            Α
13
             0
                        Were Exhibits Two and Three prepared
   you or compiled from company records?
14
15
            Α
                       Yes, sir.
16
                       And in your opinion will the granting
             0
17
   this application be in the interest of conservation and the
18
   prevention of waste?
19
             Α
                       Yes, sir.
20
                                 MR. BRUCE:
                                                     Examiner,
                                                Mr.
                                                                Ι
21
   move the admission of Exhibits Two and Three.
22
                                 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits Two and
23
   Three will be admitted into evidence.
24
                                      BRUCE: I have no further
                                 MR.
25
   questions of this witness at this time, Mr. Examiner.
```

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

20

## CROSS EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. CATANACH:

Q Mr. Reasoner, Exhibit Number Two is a letter dated June 11th. Is that -- was that letter sent to all interest, locatable interest owners?

A Yes. I believe that's the letter advising of a forced pooling hearing, so that would -- that was sent to all 18 interest owners.

Q But before you had sent this letter you had made an attempt to verbally secure an agreement between the interest owners?

13 A Yes.

Q Mr. Reasoner --

15 A Sir?

16 Q -- have the interest owners -- how does
17 the interest ownership compare between the 40 and the 160?
18 Is it --

19 A It's common under the whole 160.

O It is common?

21 A Yes, sir.

22 Q The -- are the drilling costs pretty much
23 in line with what's being charged nowadays?

A I consider it so for this area.

MR. CATANACH: I think that's

1 all I have at this time for the witness. 2 You may be excused. 3 4 DONALD TURNER, being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon 5 6 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 7 8 CROSS EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. BRUCE: 10 Would you please state your name and city 0 of residence, please? 11 12 Donald Turner, Midland, Texas. 13 Q And what is your occupation and who is 14 your employer? 15 Α Senior Geologist, Crown Central Petro-16 leum. 17 And have you previously testified before Q 18 the OCD as a geologist? 19 No, I have not. Α 20 Q Would you pleae discuss your educational 21 and work background? 22 I have a Bachelor of Science degree 23 geology from the University of South Alabama and a Master of 24 Science degree in geology, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. 25 Began working offshore Louisiana for Gulf

1 Oil, New Orleans.

Then went to Conoco, offshore; moved to
Lafayette, Louisiana, started working on shore.

Kind of a long history, but went to Belco Energy, worked on shore Louisiana and Mississippi, Alabama, (unclear) Basin.

Then came with Crown Central Petroleum; was moved to Permian Basin; have been here for five years in Midland. I've worked pretty much extensively southeastern New Mexico for that period of time.

Q And are you familiar with the geological matters involved in this case?

A Yes, I am.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, are the witness' credentials acceptable?

A They are.

Q Mr. Turner, would you please refer to Exhibit Number One and discuss it?

A Okay. Exhibit One is a cross section showing proposed location and the -- I can show you probably better on this map here, coming through a producing well out of the Abo-Drinkard formation, which is the Shenandoah Furneaux, which is French, but it's an Abo-Drinkard producer and then you come through the (not clearly understood) Well, which is a dry hole, then down through our proposed location

tion, then over to the Stanoline Jones Well, which is also dry.

There's a well, I'm sorry, I skipped one, the Leach -- Stanoline Leach Well, which is a dry hole, through our proposed location, the Stanoline Jones.

Basically this is the Nadine Field, which exists where the Shenandoah well is. It's a -- in the Abo, which is what our primary objective is. Appears to be lagunal environment and there's a paleo high which sits from the center of Nadine Field, appears to be from looking at the seismic in here about Pennsylvanian age.

These dry holes progressively, if you look at them on the cross section, this is the Furneaux here, you just bring it in on a cross section, you look like you're coming in pretty much flat, all the dry holes to our proposed location.

Then work in the area and knowing that it was a paleo high, the idea came up that possibly there could be patch reef development off of this paleo high (unclear).

So we basically came in here and shot, oh, what, about 40 or 50 miles of seismic through here trying to delineate if there was indeed any type of reefal development on the flanks of the structure, and we did encounter what appears to be -- this is mapped on the Tubb, but what appears to be a reef formation, or some sort of

carbonate bank formation down here in the southeast corner of Section 25, which is where our proposed location is.

The only interpretion we could give to this, if you look at it from -- on the seismic is a predominantly seismic play, is that the regional dip coming off the structure tends to be -- this is on subsurface-- it's just coming monoclinally off of the Nadine high, but we -- on seismic it flattens and comes back up, so we interpret this as being a patch reef off the flank of the old Nadine high. That's basically the play. It's predominantly seismic play.

The Stanoline Jone Well through the interval that we're interested in did have -- did encounter shows in core but it was pinpoint porosity. We think we'll get a facies change over here and have a reef development in that same interval.

And that's basically it.

- Q Is your proposed well a wildcat well?
- A Yes, sir, it is.
- Q And what penalty do you recommend to be assessed against the nonconsenting interest owners?
- $\Lambda$  200 percent.

- Q Was Exhibit One prepared by you?
- A Yes, it was.
- 25 And in your opinion will the granting of

this application be in the interest of conservation and the
prevention of waste?

A Yes.

MR. BRUCE: I have nothing further, Mr. Examiner, and I move the admission of Exhibit One.

7 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number 8 One will be admitted into evidence.

10 CROSS EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. CATANACH:

5

6

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12 Q Mr. Turner, why have you recommended a 200 perent risk factor?

A Because I consider this to be entirely a seismic play. We're straddled by dry holes on all sides. It's a facies play, and I consider it fairly high risk.

17 Q What is the exact location of the proposed well, do you know?

A 990 out of the south, 660 out of the east.

Q What Abo pool is to the west of you?

A To the west? I'm not sure there is an Abo pool to the west of us. There are some -- there's some Queen production over that way. I think there's some Blinebry production down -- oh, to the west, I'm sorry. I'm

1 thinking east. To the west of us it's the Nadine 2 3 It's the Nadine Drinkard-Abo. Is that classified as an oil pool? 5 Yes, sir. Α 6 40 acre spacing? Q 7 Α Yes, sir. Are there any Abo gas pools in this area? 0 Α In the immediate area, no, sir. 10 How far away, do you have any idea? 11 There's possbily some Abo gas about Α 12 miles south and towards the southern flank of the House 13 Field to the south of us. 14 gets -- you get a fairly -- you 15 into some terminology problems down here as to what people 16 are calling what down there. There are some fairly loose 17 interpretations of what Abo was. By my correlation some of 18 the Abo down there is Blinebry, but it's being called Abo, 19 so I don't know. 20 0 So what's the reason for trying to pool 21 160 acres, then, just in case you --22 In the event that it is. This is a sepa-23 rate feature and in the event it is gas we would like that. 24 Is that likely? 25 Α From my -- from my work in here, yes,

```
1
   sir, it is as much as not.
                       We've produced gas down dip to oil in the
2
   Blinebry one location away flowing to a pumping well in the
3
   exact same formation, so I, you know, carbonates get fairly
   squirrly.
5
6
            Q
                       In the event you do hit gas, I guess that
   would be a standard location for a gas well.
7
8
                       Yes, sir, I believe so.
                                 MR. CATANACH:
                                                  I think that's
   all we have at this time.
10
11
                                 The witness may be excused.
                                 MR.
12
                                       BRUCE: I have nothing
13
   further in this case, Mr. Examiner.
14
                                 MR.
                                     CATANACH:
                                                    There
                                                           being
   nothing further in Case 9161, it will be
15
                                                    taken
                                                           under
16
   advisement.
17
18
                        (Hearing concluded.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO

HEREBY CERTIFY the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly WiBoyd CSR

Oil Conservation Division