

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS, AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

24 September 1987

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

The hearing called by the Oil Conser- CASE
vation Divison on its own motion for 9229
creating and extending certain pools
in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New
Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:

Jeff Taylor
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1

2

I N D E X

3

4

MICHAEL E. STOGNER

5

Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

E X H I B I T S

12

13

14

Division Exhibit One, Documents

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case

9229, which is the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an order creating and extending certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

Are there any appearances in this case?

MR. TAYLOR: May it please the Examiner, I'm Jeff Taylor, Counsel for the Division and I have one witness to be sworn.

MR. CATANACH: Will the witness please stand and be sworn in.

(Witness sworn.)

MICHAEL E. STOGNER,
being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAYLOR:

Q Would you please state your name, position, and place of residence?

A I'm Michael E. Stogner. I'm a petroleum

1 engineer in Santa Fe. I reside in Las Vegas, New Mexico.

2 Q Mr. Stogner, you've previously testified
3 before the Commission or its examiners and had your creden-
4 tials accepted?

5 A Yes, I have.

6 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Examiner, is
7 the witness qualified?

8 MR. CATANACH: The witness is
9 qualified.

10 Q Mr. Stogner, are you prepared today to
11 make recommendations to the Examiner concerning the nomen-
12 clature of certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New
13 Mexico?

14 A Yes, sir, I am.

15 Q And how are your recommendations pre-
16 pared?

17 A In the form of an exhibit marked Number
18 One.

19 Q Okay, would you please then refer to Ex-
20 hibit One and to the docket that's been prepared and distri-
21 buted at the hearing and point out any differences between
22 the two?

23 A Everything is the same and correct.

24 Q So the docket correctly delineates the
25 nomenclature to be adopted.

1 A Yes, sir.

2 Q Do you have anything further to add to
3 your testimony?

4 A I do not.

5 Q Was Exhibit One either prepared by you or
6 under your supervision and control or have you reviewed the
7 contents thereof and assured yourself of its accuracy?

8 A Yes, I have.

9 MR. TAYLOR: I move the admis-
10 sion of Exhibit One.

11 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number
12 one will be admitted into evidence.

13 Are there any questions of this
14 witness?

15 If not, he may be excused.

16 MR. TAYLOR: That's all we have
17 in this matter, Mr. Examiner.

18 MR. CATANACH: There being no-
19 thing further in Case 9229, it will be taken under advise-
20 ment and this hearing for Docket No. 28-87 is hereby adjour-
21 ned.

22

23 (Hearing concluded.)

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 7209,
heard by me on Sept 23, 1987.

David R. Catamb, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division