1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
3	17 February 1988
4	EXAMINER HEARING
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE MATTER OF:
9	Application of Marshall Pipe and CASE Supply Company for an unorthodox gas 9309
10	well location, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner
15	
16	
17	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
18	
19	
20	APPEARANCES
21	For the Division:
22	
23	
24	For the Applicant:
25	

9309, the application of Marshall Pipe and Supply Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Roosevelt County, New

The applicant has requested

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case

that this case be continued to March 2nd.

Case 9309 will be continued to

March 2nd.

Mexico.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9309, heard by me on recommendation 1988.

David R. Catan. Examiner
Oil Conservation Division

1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
4	2 March 1988
5	EXAMINER HEARING
6	
7	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	Application of Marshall Pipe and CASE
9	Supply Company for an unorthodox gas 9309 well location, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.
10	New Mexico.
11	
12	DETORE. Michael B. Charman Brandan
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
14	
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
16	
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	For the Division:
20	FOI the Division.
21	
22	For the Applicant:
23	ror the appricant:
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. ROYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY

CFRTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the

Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;

that the Said transcript is a full, true, and correct record

of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Societ W. Boyd Cor

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9309 heard by me on 1988

Oil Conservation Division

Examiner

1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
3	Narch 1988		
4	EXAMINER HEARING		
5	DAMITADIC IIDARTIAO		
6			
7	THE MARKET OF		
•	IN THE MATTER OF:		
8	Application of Marshall Pipe and CASE Supply Company for an unorthodox gas 9309		
9	well location, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.		
10	Application of Marshall Pipe and CASE		
11	Supply Company for compulsory pooling, 9321 Roosevelt County, New Mexico.		
12			
13	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner		
14			
15			
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
17			
18			
19	APPEARANCES		
20			
21	For the Division: No attorney appearing.		
22			
23			
24 25	For the Applicant: Chad Dickerson Attorney at Law DICKERSON, FISK & VANDIVER Seventh and Mahone/Suite E		
	Artesia, New Mexico 88210		

FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

9		
я		

7	

JAMES GRADY

Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson

Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach

INDEX

LESLIE BENTZ

Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson

Cross Examination by Mr. Catanach

EXHIBITS

Marshall	Exhibit	One, Plat	6
Marshall	Exhibit	Two, Breakdown	8
Marshall	Exhibit	Three, Correspondence	10
Marshall	Exhibit	Four, AFE	13
Marshall	Exhibit	Five, Map	17
	Marshall Marshall Marshall	Marshall Exhibit Marshall Exhibit Marshall Exhibit	Marshall Exhibit One, Plat Marshall Exhibit Two, Breakdown Marshall Exhibit Three, Correspondence Marshall Exhibit Four, AFE Marshall Exhibit Five, Map

Marshall Exhibit Seven, Affidavit of Mailing

Marshall Exhibit Six, Affidavit of Mailing

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOD-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOD-227-0120

3

4

5

6

7 | case?

Mexico.

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case 9309, the application of Marshall Pipe and Supply Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Roosevelt County, New

Are there appearances in this

MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Examiner,

I'm Chad Dickerson of Artesia, New Mexico, on behalf of the applicant and I have two witnesses in this case, one of whom has already been sworn.

I'd also request that Case 9309 and 9321 be consolidated for the purpose of testimony inasmuch as they involve the same acreage, the same proposed same well location.

MR. CATANACH: At this time let me call Case Number 9321, which is the application of Marshall Pipe and Supply Company for compulsory pooling, Roosevelt County, New Mexico.

Are there any other appearances

in either of these cases?

Will the witnesses please stand

to be sworn in?

(Mr. Grady sworn; Ms. Bentz previously sworn.)

JAMES GRADY,

being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY MR. DICKERSON:

Q Mr. Grady, will you state your name, your occupation, and where you reside, please?

A My name is James Grady and I'm a petroleum landman. I reside in Morrison, Colorado.

Q Mr. Grady, you've not previously testified before this Division as a petroleum landman, have you?

A No, I haven't.

Q Will you briefly summarize your educational and employment history for the Examiner?

A I have a BA degree from the University of Missouri. I've been in the oil business since 1952; 13 years of Stanoline, Amoco, and Creede Companies; 7 years Depco Petroleum; and since then independent.

And in connection with your profession as an independent landman, have you been employed on behalf of Marshall Pipe and Supply, the applicant in these cases --

A Marshall, that's correct.

Q -- or one of its --

FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

```
5
1
             Α
                       Yes.
2
                       -- partners in this area?
             0
3
             Α
                       All the partners.
             Q
                        And have you performed land work and are
5
   you familiar with the land situation in the area of
                                                               the
6
   proposed well?
7
             Α
                       Yes, I am.
8
                                  MR.
                                        DICKERSON:
                                                       Tender
                                                               Mr.
9
   Grady as an expert petroleum landman.
10
                                       CATANACH: He is so quali-
                                  MR.
11
   fied.
12
                       Mr. Grady, will you briefly summarize the
             0
13
   purpose of Marshall Pipe and Supply in Case 9309?
14
                       Here we're attempting to have an unortho-
             Α
15
   dox well drilled at the location in the north half of Sec-
16
    tion 34 an pool that half section and test the Canyon forma-
17
    tion and Ordovician formation.
18
             Q
                       So you're seeking an unorthodox --
19
             Α
                       Uh-huh.
20
             0
                        --gas well location 330 feet from
21
    north --
22
                       Right.
             Α
23
                       -- and 1980 feet from the east lines --
             0
24
             Α
                       Right.
25
                       -- of Section 34.
             Q
```

1	A Uh-huh.
2	Q And in Case 9321 what is requested?
3	A It's an unorthodox pooling style (sic)
4	that will pool the north half of Section 34 in a standard
5	320-acre gas spacing and proration unit; all formations and
6	pools drilled on the 320-acre spacing unit.
7	Q So you're seeking compulsory pooling of
8	parties
9	A Right, right.
10	Q in that case who have
11	A Right, uh-huh, right.
12	Q not agreed to participate in the well.
13	Mr. Grady, will you refer to what we have
14	submitted as the applicant's Exhibit Number One and orient
15	the Examiner with respect to the location of this proposed
16	well?
17	A The yellow acreage indicates the acreage
18	that's held by the operating group, Marshall, et al.
19	We intend to test a well in the northwest
20	of the northeast quarter of Section 34.
21	We have wells in the south half of Sec-
22	tion 27 and the north half of 27.
23	All of the acreage in there is leased to
24	the Marshall, et al, group, excepting the Texaco Spieght in-
25	terest, which I have just received an indicated letter from

```
1
        Spieghts that they are sending their leases in, and we
2
3
            Q
                      Okay, let me --
                                     We're attempting to pool
            Α
                       -- qo ahead.
5
        Texaco, the northwest quarter of Section 34 and the
6
   Spieght interests, the northeast quarter of Section 34.
7
            Q
                       Okay.
                               Before we leave Exhibit Number
8
   One, the proposed well location indicated by the red spot in
   the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of Section
10
   34, is 330 feet away from that north line, is it not?
11
                      Yes.
12
                        So
                            it
                                is crowding acreage of
                                                             the
13
   applicant and certain other parties as far as shown by
                                                            this
14
   land map.
15
            Α
                      Yes.
16
            Q
                      Do you know, based on your experience in
17
   this area, the other parties indicated within the offsetting
18
   acreage to the north, which is being crowded by this
19
   proposed well? Are they participants with Marshall Pipe and
20
   Supply --
21
                      Yes.
            Α
22
                      -- in the well you indicated in the south
            0
23
   half --
24
            Α
                      Yes.
25
            Q
                      -- of Section 27?
```

1	А	Common ownership.
2	Q	Okay. Mr. Grady, identify for us what
3	we've submitted a	s Exhibit Number Two and tell us what that
4	list is.	
5	А	Exhibit Two, the first page is a
6	breakdown of the m	ineral interest owners in the west half of
7	Section 34.	
8		Pages 2 and 3 are an exhibit of the min-
9	eral owners in the	east half of Section 34.
10	Q	And is this based on your work in the
11	A	Yes, it is based on my
12	Q	area?
13	A	work in the area.
14	Q	And this instrument was prepared by you?
15	A	Yes, uh-huh.
16	Q	Okay. For the Examiner, describe for him
17	and locate for us	on these ownership lists the interests of
18	the parties which	ch are sought to be pooled by this
19	proceeding.	
20	A	In the Section 34 we're attempting to
21	pool Texaco in the	northwest quarter and also Texaco in the
22	northeast quarter.	
23	Q	And Texaco's interest is uniform
24	throughout the nor	th half, is it?
25	A	Throughout the whole north half.

NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120
TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434
FORM 25CIGP3
BARON

```
9
                       15.806 --
             Q
1
             Α
                       Yes.
2
                        -- net acres in each --
             Q
3
             Α
                       In each --
                       160?
             Q
5
                       Yes.
             Α
6
                       All right.
             0
7
             Α
                       No, in each 320 there.
8
             Q
                       Well, correct, but we're only --
9
             Α
                       Yeah. Okay, right, right.
10
                       -- pooling the northwest quarter --
             Q
11
             Α
                       Right.
12
                       -- in the west half.
             Q
13
                       Right, it would be above that. Uh-huh.
             Α
14
                        And what other interest is sought to be
15
   pooled by this proceeding?
16
             Α
                         Okay,
                                 the
                                        other
                                                interests
                                                            we're
17
   attempting to pool is the June Spieght interest, which
18
   18.883 net acres.
19
                        And that interest that we're seeking
20
   pool is only in the northeast quarter --
21
             Α
                       Only in the northeast quarter.
22
                       -- is it not? Your first page of Exhibit
             Q
23
   Number
            Two
                 indicates that the Spieght interest
                                                          in
                                                              the
24
   northwest quarter is -- or do I have it backwards?
25
                                                          No, her
```

```
In the northeast --
5
                      -- acres --
            Q
6
                      Right, uh-huh.
            Α
7
                      -- is unleased and that's the subject
            Q
8
9
                      Right.
            Α
10
                      -- proceeding.
            Q
11
            Α
                      Right.
12
                       With the exception of Texaco and June
13
   Spieght, are all other interest owners committed by lease or
14
   other arrangement --
15
                      They are all committed to lease.
            Α
16
                      -- to the proposed well?
            Q
17
            Α
                      Everything has, yes.
18
            Q
                      Okay.
                              Mr. Grady, refer to the packet we
19
   have submitted as Exhibit Number Three and briefly tell us
20
   what it consists of and summarize some of the contacts
21
   you've had with Texaco and June Spieght regarding the
22
   drilling of this proposed well.
23
            Α
                       Packet Three contains copies of
24
                                                              mγ
  correspondence to Texaco and Spieght interests.
25
```

interest in the northwest quarter is leased, is it not?

And so the June Spieght interest in

Right.

1

2

3

Α

Q

other 160 --

in

indica-

in

My first contact with Texaco was made De-

Okay, what, if you know, is the -- is the

cember the 11th and then two other telephone contacts were

1

2

25

Q

```
1
   current status of Texaco's requested either lease of
2
   acrage or invitation to participate in the drilling of
   well?
             Α
                       It is my understanding that they in a re-
5
   cent conversation Texaco advised that they would not be in a
6
   position to decide whether to join in and drill or to farm
7
   out, or what, and they could not reach the decision as of
8
    this date.
9
                       Just could not get an answer from manage-
            Q
10
   ment --
11
                       Just couldn't get an answer.
             Α
12
                       -- as of yet.
            0
13
             Α
                       Uh-huh.
14
                       All right, what is the current status of
            Q
15
        requested lease covering the June Spieght interest
                                                               in
16
    the northeast quarter of the section?
17
                       I've been advised by the secretary in the
18
   Spieght office that they were going to submit their lease
19
    for payment and it should be received; as of this date
20
   has not been received by our bank.
21
                           think that they're going to go ahead
22
    and lease but we're not positive.
23
            Q
                       You have been informed verbally that
24
25
            Α
                       I have been informed by letlter.
```

1	Q	Spieght interest will execute a lease.
2	A	I have been informed by letter.
3	Q	Okay.
4	A	That I just received yesterday.
5	Q	And in the event you subsequently reach
6	an agreement with M	rs. Spieght or with Texaco, you will not-
7	ify the Division of	that fact.
8	A	Immediately, right. Uh-huh.
9	Q	Okay. Mr. Grady, identify for us what we
10	have submitted as t	the applicant's Exhibit Number Four.
11	A	Applicant Exhibit Four is the AFE pre-
12	pared by Marshall F	Pipe and Supply for the proposed drilling
13	and this based on t	the wells previously drilled in the area.
14	Q	How many wells, if you know, has the ap-
15	plicant previously	drilled in the vicinity of this proposed
16	well?	
17	A	I believe he's drilled three producers
18	or shut-in gas well	s and one dry hole.
19	Q	Okay. And what are the anticipated dry
20	hole costs reflecte	ed by the first page of Exhibit Four?
21	A	\$249,180.67 for the dry hole and comple-
22	tion costs based or	the previous other wells is \$123,341.
23		And again, these are based on previous
24	wells drilled in th	ne area.
25	Q	So the separate AFE setting forth the an-

```
1
   ticipated
               completion costs were actually -- costs actually
2
   incurred in the previous well --
            Α
                       Right.
            0
                       -- and assumed to be similar to the wells
5
   anticipated to be incurred in this well if the completion
6
   prorgram is --
7
            Α
                       Yes.
8
                       -- similar.
            Q
9
            Α
                       Correct, uh-huh.
10
                       And what are those anticipated completion
            0
11
   costs again?
12
            Α
                         The
                               completion costs are
                                                        $249,180
13
   drilling and completing is $123,341; approximately $372,000
14
   completed.
15
            Q
                       Okay, and the applicant, Marshall Pipe
16
   and Supply, seeks the issuance of an order, among other
17
   things appointing it as operator of this proposed well, does
18
   it not?
19
            Α
                       Correct. Correct.
20
            Q
                        Based on its experience in drilling the
21
   other wells that you referred to --
22
            Α
                       Uh-huh.
23
            0
                       -- in this area, what overhead rates does
24
   the applicant request be imposed by any pooling order issued
25
   by this Division?
```

```
1
             Α
                        We're estimating the -- we're estimating
2
   them on the previously drilling wells. Overhead on the dril-
   ling rate is $5000 a month; $500 a month completion well
   operating rate.
5
                       The reason Marshall has not agreed to the
6
   -- does not have a contract and operating agreement on this
7
   yet is they have not gotten the entire thing signed by the
   partners.
9
             Q
                       It does not yet have prepared an operat-
10
   ing agreement --
11
             Α
                       Right.
12
                       -- by the parties voluntarily participat-
             Q
13
   ing --
14
             Α
                       Right.
15
             Q
                       -- on the north half.
16
             Α
                       Uh-huh.
17
                       That will be done in the normal course of
             0
18
   business --
19
                       Yes.
             Α
20
             Q
                       -- and sent to these parties.
21
                                 MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Examiner, I
22
   move admission of Exhibits One through Four and I have no
23
   further questions of Mr. Grady.
24
                                 MR.
                                       CATANACH:
                                                    Exhibits
                                                              One
25
   through Four will be admitted as evidence.
```

I'll call Ms.

MR.

CROSS EXAMINATION

I've just got one question.

Leslie Bentz at this time, if you have no questions.

DICKERSON:

Mr. Grady, what's the association of Dep-

1

2

3

5

7

BY MR. CATANACH:

tion of Artesia, New Mexico.

MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Examiner,
may the record reflect that Ms. Bentz has previously been
sworn this morning?

MR. CATANACH: Let the record so indicate.

Q Ms. Bentz, are you familiar with the -or have you undertaken the study of the available geological
data in the area of the proposed well for the purpose of
forming an opinion as to a risk?

A Yes, I have.

Q Let me ask you, based on that examination -- I'm getting out of order, Ms. Bentz, I apologize. Let me ask you another question.

Will you refer to what we've submitted as Exhibit Number Five and with respect to the portion of this consolidated proceeding dealing with Case 9309 tell us what you have shown on this map?

A Okay. Exhibit Five is a map depicting the subsurface structure on top of the Pre-Penn unconformity. The contour interval is 50 feet. Datum points are noted by circles and the appropriate datum is listed.

Well spots colored in red indicated Ordovician producers. Well spots colored in red and blue indicate dual completions in the Ordovician and the Pennsylvan-

 $\label{thm:condition} The \ dashed/dot \ line \ marks \ the \ termination$ of the Mississippian formation.

The structure map shows a north/northeast south/southwest trending horst block, which is fault bounded to the east, west and south. Throw on the bounding faults is probably around 200 feet. Closure into the west fault provides the trapping mechanism.

Porosity and permeability are enhanced in the Ordovician reservoir as erosion has completely stripped away the Mississippian sediments providing an opportunity for the Ordovician dolomite to be exposed subaerially and develop Karst topography.

The overlying Pennsylvanian section provides the source for the hydrocarbons.

No gas/water contact has yet been established in the Ordovician reservoir, but the Stoltenburg No.

1, at a datum of -2788, did not encounter any hydrocarbon shows in a tested interval in the Ordovician formation.

The other main pay, a Pennsylvanian Canyon carbonate porosity zone, tested water in the Stolten-burg No. 1.

The Canyon is also structurally controlled. The structure on the Canyon formation mirrors the Ordovician structure.

ORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOO-227-2434 NATIONWI

TOLL

17 18

16

21 22

20

24

25

23

Q Can you summarize for us, Ms. Bentz, the necessity for this requested unorthodox location?

A Yes. The proposed unorthodox location is the best allowable location in the north half of Section 34 which would enable the borehole to encounter the structure at its highest point possible and not cross the bounding fault.

It is anticipated that structurally high penetration of the Ordovician would enhance earlier and greater recovery of gas reserves.

The well will be drilled through to the Precambrian to ensure that the complete section is tested.

Q Ms. Bentz, was Exhibit Number Five prepared by you?

A Yes, it was.

Q And in your opinion will the granting of this application be in the interest of conservation, the preventon fo waste, and the protection of correlative rights?

A Yes, it will.

Q Now with respect to the requested risk penalty to be imposed in any order issued by this Division, Ms. Bentz, based on your examination of this geological data, have you formed an opinion as to an appropriate risk penalty?

A Yes, I have.

Q And what factors do you take into consideration for that purpose?

A The first factor would be that this is the lowest penetration of the Ordovician to date with the exception of the Stoltenburg No. 1, which was nonproductive.

Also, in order to penetrate the structure at its highest point, we are very near a major fault to the west. If we have any deviation problems we could possibly cross the fault and penetrate the Ordovician on the downthrown side.

Long term risks could include the economics of the entire area. There is no production to date due to the distance to a pipeline. A pipeline must be constructed.

We believe that the wells will be good producers from production tests, but these tests were only over a short period of time. It will take over a year before we have an estimate on the reserves in the area and see if the whole area is economic.

Q Based on your study of these factors, have you formed an opinion as to what would be an appropriate risk penalty to be imposed?

A Yes, I have.

Q And what is that risk penalty?

```
Α
                        I recommend the maximum penalty of
                                                             200
1
   percent.
2
            Q
                            Bentz, identify briefly for us what
3
   we have submitted to Mr. Catanach as Exhibits Six and Seven.
                       Exhibit Six is an affidavit of mailing in
5
   the matter of the unorthodox well location.
                       Attached to it are the certified returns.
7
            Q
                       And Exhibit Number Seven?
8
                        Exhibit Number Seven is an affidavit of
            Α
9
   mailing for the compulsory pooling. Again, attached to it
10
   are the certified returns.
11
                                 MR.
                                      DICKERSON:
                                                  Okay, Mr. Exa-
12
   miner, I move admission of the applicant's Exhibits Five,
13
   Six and Seven at this time.
14
                                 MR.
                                      CATANACH:
                                                  Exhibits Five,
15
   Six and Seven will be admitted as evidence.
                                 MR.
                                      DICKERSON: And I have no
17
   further questions of this witness.
18
19
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
20
   BY MR. CATANACH:
21
                       Ms. Bentz, tell me a little bit about the
            Q
22
   producing capabilities of the Wells Nos. 22 and 27.
23
            Α
                        Yes, sir.
                                     The discovery well was the
24
   Wendell Best in the southeast quarter of 27, completed as an
25
```

ways.

```
1
   Ordovician producer with flow rates over a 24-hour period of
2
   2.8-million cubic feet of gas per day and 2.7 barrels fo
3
   condensate per day.
                       The next well drilled was the Stolten-
5
   burg, which was the dry hole, and I feel like most of that
6
   is structurallly related, is low.
7
                      The J. T. McGee was the third well dril-
   led and it was completed in the Ordovician for 1.3-million
8
   cubic feet of gas per day plus 14.40 barrels of condensate
10
   per day.
11
                       Then we completed in the Canyon,
   for a rate of 918 MCF gas per day and 3.36 barrels of con-
12
13
   densate per day.
14
                       The Spieght No. 1, which was just recent-
15
   ly completed in the past month and a half, completed in the
16
   Ordovician for 587 MCF gas per day, 6 barrels of condensate,
17
           the Canyon and a Strawn zone it was completed for
   and in
18
   1719 MCF gas per day and 14.4 barrels of condensate.
19
                      Okay, are those the only producing wells
20
   in the -- in the general area here?
21
            Α
                      Yes it is.
22
                       You do have plans at this time to build a
            0
23
   pipeline, is that correct?
24
                       Yes.
                              We are working on the right-of-
```

```
1
                       So
                          these -- these other
            Q
                                                   three wells
2
   haven't produced any long term --
3
                      No, and due to the high production rate,
   you don't want to spend four or five days flowing
5
   wells and wasting the gas.
6
                       Why does your proposed location have to
7
   be so close to the north line?
8
            Α
                       Well, I'm -- as you noticed, this is
   going to be the lowest penetration to date. From the way
10
   that the Ordocivian produced in the Spieght, we
                                                      actually
   recovered some water on the drill stem test and did not
11
12
   think we would make a well there.
13
                      I'm really afraid that we're going to --
14
   if we get much lower, that we might encounter a qas/water
15
   contact. Also, the structure here, a lot of it is based on
16
   seismic and you've got a plus or minus 50 feet in there for
17
   error,
           and if we end up being 50 feet low -- we could be
18
   quite a bit lower than the way it's mapped.
19
                      So we felt that this was by far the best
20
   location in Section 34.
21
                                MR.
                                     CATANACH:
                                                 That's
                                                        all
                                                             Ι
22
   have of the witness at this time. She may be excused.
23
                                   there anything further
24
   this case? Case 9309 and Case 9321?
```

MR.

DICKERSON:

Nothing

```
further, Mr. Examiner.
```

MR. CATANACH: If not, they will be taken under advisement.

(Hearing concluded.)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Saly b. Boyd CSR

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 939.9321 heard by me on Wack 14, 1988

Oll Conservation Division