

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6 20 July 1988

7 EXAMINER HEARING

8 IN THE MATTER OF:

9 Application of Nearburg Producing
10 Company for an unorthodox gas well
11 location and simultaneous dedication,
12 Eddy County, New Mexico, and

CASE
9425

13 Application of Nearburg Producing
14 Company for compulsory pooling and an
15 unorthodox gas well location, Eddy
16 County, New Mexico, and

9426

17 Application of Nearburg Producing
18 Company for an unorthodox gas well
19 location and simultaneous dedication,
20 Eddy County, New Mexico.

9427

21 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

22 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

23 A P P E A R A N C E S

24 For the Division:

25 Robert G. Stovall
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Bldg.
Santa Fe, New Mexico

For the Applicant:

William F. Carr
Attorney at Law
CAMPBELL and BLACK, P. A.
P. O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

I N D E X

MARK NEARBURG

Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4
Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	12

LOUIS MAZZULLO

Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	14
Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	25

E X H I B I T S

Nearburg Exhibit One, Plat	6
Nearburg Exhibit Two, Cross Section	15
Nearburg Exhibit Three, Type Log	17
Nearburg Exhibit Four, Map	20
Nearburg Exhibit Five, Structural Map	22

1 MR. STOGNER: We will call
2 next Case Number 9425, which is the application of Nearburg
3 Producing Company for an unorthodox gas well location and
4 simultaneous dedication, Eddy County, New Mexico.

5 Call for appearances.

6 MR. CARR: May it please the
7 Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the law firm
8 Campbell and Black, P. A., of Santa Fe. We represent Near-
9 burg Producing Company.

10 Initially I would request that
11 this case be consolidated with Cases 9426 and 9427, also on
12 today's docket. They all involve wells offsetting one an-
13 other in a Morrow stringer and the testimony will be very
14 similar.

15 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
16 objections?

17 There being none, I will call
18 Case Number 9426, which is the application of Nearburg Pro-
19 ducing Company for compulsory pooling and an unorthodox gas
20 well location in the same area in Eddy County, and I'll
21 also call Case Number 9427, is that correct, Mr. Carr?

22 MR. CARR: That's correct, Mr.
23 Stogner.

24 MR. STOGNER: Which is the ap-
25 plication of Nearburg Producing Company for an unorthodox

1 gas well location and simultaneous dedication in the same
2 area in Eddy County, New Mexico.

3 Call for appearances in those
4 cases, other than Mr. Carr.

5 There being none, will the
6 witness please stand and be sworn at this time?

7
8 (Witnesses sworn.)
9

10 MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, there
11 is one other initial matter. In Case 9426 Nearburg Produc-
12 ing Company was seeking an order pooling a 320-acre gas
13 spacing and proration unit. An agreement was been reached
14 with ARCO, the interest owner who was subject to pooling,
15 and therefore that portion of Case 9426 can be dismissed.

16 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.
17 Carr.
18

19 MARK NEARBURG,
20 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
21 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
22

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. CARR:

25 Q Will you state your full name and place

1 of residence?

2 A Mark Nearburg, Dallas, Texas.

3 Q Mr. Nearburg, by whom are you employed?

4 A Nearburg Producing Company.

5 Q And what is your position with Nearburg
6 Producing Company?

7 A Land Manager.

8 Q Have you previously testified before
9 this Division and had your credentials as a landman accept-
10 ed and made a matter of record?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Are you familiar with each of the appli-
13 cations filed on behalf of Nearburg Producing Company in
14 this consolidated case?

15 A Yes.

16 MR. CARR: Are the witness'
17 qualifications acceptable?

18 MR. STOGNER: They are.

19 Q Mr. Nearburg, would you briefly state
20 what Nearburg seeks with these consolidated applications?

21 A Nearburg seeks approval of three non-
22 standard Morrow locations drilled on 320-acre spacing units
23 in the Boyd Morrow Pool.

24 Q You also are requesting simultaneous de-
25 dication of -- in two of those units?

1 A Yes, in Case 9425 and Case 9427 we're
2 seeking simultaneous dedication.

3 Q What pool are we talking about?

4 A The Boyd Morrow Pool.

5 Q Let's go to what has been marked as your
6 Exhibit Number One and let's review the development as de-
7 picted on this exhibit.

8 First, would you just note the South
9 Boyd No. 1 and advise the Examiner as to the acreage that
10 is dedicated to that well?

11 A The South Boyd No. 1 is a Morrow well
12 drilled by Nearburg in about 1983. The well is on a north
13 half proration unit in Section 27.

14 Q Is it currently producing?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Now, would you go down to the south half
17 of 26 and just briefly identify the Boyd State 26 M No. 1
18 and review the status of that well.

19 A That's a well, an oil well that was com-
20 pleted two weeks ago. It was approved at a hearing about a
21 month ago. It is a producing well, not yet -- it is com-
22 pleted, it's not yet tied into a pipeline; produces on a
23 south half unit.

24 Q And what is the producing capability of
25 that well, in your opinion?

1 A In terms of volume?

2 Q Yes.

3 A Into the pipeline we're not sure yet,
4 but it looks like that it should produce approximately a
5 million to a million and a half cubic feet a day.

6 Q All right, Mr. Nearburg, now let's go up
7 to the spacing unit that is shaded in yellow, the east half
8 of Section 22. Would you identify the existing well on
9 that unit?

10 A This is Case 9425. It's the east half
11 of Section 22. Shown with the green dot is the B & B No.
12 1, which is a currently producing oil well that is a mar-
13 ginal producer.

14 Q Now, in that spacing unit you've also
15 placed the names Anadarko and Yates Petroleum. Would you
16 explain why their names are included there?

17 A When this exhibit was prepared we had
18 worked with Anadarko and Yates in this area. We simply
19 wanted to show the operators that were -- being active in
20 this area, they are -- they were consulted and have agreed
21 to the development plans for these wells.

22 Q At this time --

23 A And Nearburg now has -- controls 100
24 percent of the interest in the east half of 22 in coopera-
25 tion with Anadarko and Yates.

1 Q All right. Now, in the southeast corner
2 of that unit is a red spot. That's the proposed well?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Toward whom are you moving?

5 A We're only moving toward ourselves. We
6 own 100 percent of the acreage towards which we're moving.

7 Q And what is the proposed location for
8 that well?

9 A 660 from the south line and 660 from the
10 east line.

11 Q All right, now let's --

12 A I'd also like to point out that we're
13 moving toward a currently producing unit.

14 Q Would you now go to the 9-Lives Well,
15 which is the acreage shaded in yellow, Case 9 -- I'm sorry,
16 shaded in orange, Case 9426, and review your plans for that
17 spacing unit?

18 A Okay. This is the 0-Lives 26 B No. 1,
19 also a Morrow test, to be produced -- to be drilled on a
20 north half unit in Section 26.

21 Q And what is the proposed location?

22 A The proposed location is 990 feet from
23 the north and west lines of the section.

24 Q And toward whom is this location being
25 moved?

1 A This location is being moved toward
2 Nearburg production and all of the units it is moving to-
3 ward are currently producing.

4 Q Is there any existing production from
5 the Morrow formation on that spacing unit?

6 A No.

7 Q Will you now go to the area shaded or
8 outlined in green and review your development plans in that
9 area?

10 A This is Case 9427 in which we are seek-
11 ing to drill a new well at a nonstandard location to the
12 Morrow formation and simultaneously dedicate the unit to
13 the currently producing Perino No. 1 Well, indicated by the
14 green dot, which is also a marginal producer.

15 We are only moving toward, again, pro-
16 ducing units that Nearburg controls 100 percent.

17 Q Now, as to the existing wells in the
18 south half of 23 and in the east half of 22, are you pre-
19 pared to plug and abandon those wells at this time?

20 A No.

21 Q And why not?

22 A They are marginal producers. They are
23 holding the units, in effect. We seek the simultaneous de-
24 dication to drill the new wells. We do not want to plug
25 the existing wells due to the potential for marginal wells

1 with the new drilling.

2 If we incur -- if we find that the new
3 wells are marginal, we will want to produce both wells from
4 the unit.

5 Q What are your current plans for drilling
6 the wells which are the subjects of these cases?

7 A I'll start with the B & B Well, Case
8 9425. That well will be drilled following completion of
9 the Perino 23 Well, located in Section 23. The Perino Well
10 is currently drilling. We were in touch with the Commis-
11 sion and had detailed conversations about extending this
12 case from July 6th docket and we received approval from the
13 Commission to go ahead and begin drilling.

14 Q And when will you drill the 9-Lives?

15 A The 9-Lives will be the third well drill-
16 ed and it will be drilled following the B & B, the new
17 well on the east half of Section 22.

18 Q Mr. Nearburg, do you plan to use the
19 same rig for drilling each of these wells?

20 A Yes, we do.

21 Q And by using the same rig and moving
22 directly from one well to the other, will savings result?

23 A Yes. We've obtained significant savings
24 by signing a contract to drill all three of these wells in
25 sequence.

1 Q What do the red arrows on this exhibit
2 indicate?

3 A We had had some concern expressed to us
4 by the Commission about the proximity of wells to each
5 other. This is simply meant to indicate that we are far
6 more than the standard distance required between wells in
7 this pool.

8 Q And if you were to develop wells, say,
9 the Perino tract in green and the -- a well in the 9-Lives
10 tract outlined in orange, how close could those wells be
11 drilled to one another under the existing statewide rules?

12 A They could be drilled 1320 feet from
13 each other.

14 Q And what you're proposing is locating a
15 well substantially in excess of that.

16 A Yes, at least twice the distance requir-
17 ed by the Commission.

18 Q Mr. Nearburg, will locating the wells as
19 you have proposed result in an effective drainage pattern
20 for this Morrow channel?

21 A We believe so. We do not believe that
22 there will be communication between these wells due to the
23 character of the Morrow sand.

24 Q Mr. Nearburg, inasmuch as Nearburg owns
25 100 percent of all the affected spacing and proration units

1 was notice required to be given pursuant to the rules of
2 the Division?

3 A No. We have been working closely with
4 everyone in here. We control 100 percent of all the acre-
5 age. There has been no problem with obtaining joinder of
6 everyone involved.

7 Q Was Exhibit One prepared by you?

8 A Yes.

9 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr.
10 Stogner, I would move the admission of Nearburg Exhibit
11 Number One.

12 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Number
13 One will be admitted into evidence at this time.

14 Q Will you call -- will we call a geologi-
15 cal witness to present technical information on the forma-
16 tion?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. CARR: That concludes my
19 direct examination of Mr. Nearburg.

20 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.
21 Carr.

22

23 CROSS EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. STOGNER:

25 Q Mr. Nearburg, which well is presently

1 drilling at this time?

2 A The Perino 23, Case 9427, outlined in
3 green on Exhibit One.

4 Q And what is the status today of it?

5 A I've been out of the office all week. I
6 believe it's drilling below 4000 feet. I do not believe
7 it's reached 5000 feet at this time.

8 Q And what is the proposed TD on that
9 well?

10 A 9600 feet subsurface.

11 MR. STOGNER: I have no
12 further questions of Mr. Nearburg.

13 A May I add one additional point for the
14 record, sir?

15 MR. STOGNER: Okay.

16 A This plan of development and the way we
17 have contracted the rig we believe especially protects
18 everyone's correlative rights.

19 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.
20 Nearburg.

21 Is there anything further of
22 this witness?

23 He may be excused.

24 Mr. Carr?

25 MR. CARR: At this time we

1 call Louis Mazzullo.

2

3

DIRECT EXAMINATION

4

BY MR. CARR:

5

Q

Will you state your full name for the

6

record, please?

7

A

My name is Louis Mazzullo.

8

Q

Mr. Mazzullo, where do you reside?

9

A

Midland, Texas.

10

Q

By whom are you employed?

11

A

I'm a geological consultant on retainer

12

to Nearburg Producing Company.

13

Q

Have you previously testified before

14

this Division and had your credentials as a geologist ac-

15

cepted and made a matter of record?

16

A

I have.

17

Q

Are you familiar with the applications

18

filed on behalf of Nearburg in each of these consolidated

19

cases?

20

A

I am.

21

Q

Have you studied the subject area?

22

A

Yes.

23

MR. CARR: Are the witness'

24

qualifications acceptable?

25

MR. STOGNER: They are.

1 Q Mr. Mazzullo, would you refer to the
2 cross section which has been marked Exhibit Number Two,
3 identify that and review it, please?

4 A Nearburg Exhibit Number Two is a cross
5 section which is more or less perpendicular to the direc-
6 tion of flow of the various Morrow channel systems that
7 comprise the Morrow reservoir section in this area.

8 The line of cross section is captioned
9 in a structure map at the bottom of this exhibit and it's
10 indicated in red.

11 The large circle on the index map indi-
12 cates the circle within which the three locations referred
13 to in Cases 9425, 26 and 27 are located. This section
14 again is -- was put together to try to show the lateral
15 discontinuity of the Morrow sandstones in this particular
16 are of Eddy County.

17 The cross -- taking a look at the cross
18 section, I've identified the top of the Middle Morrow,
19 which is more or less the top of the highest stratigraphic
20 reservoir zone in this particular area.

21 I've also indicated the top of the Bar-
22 nett Shale, which is the base of the Morrow reservoir sec-
23 tion in this area.

24 This is a structural cross section; it's
25 hung on a subsea, reference subsea is given at 6000 feet on

1 the righthand side of the diagram.

2 What this cross section shows, that
3 normal or perpendicular to the flow directions, which in
4 this area are primarily north to south or northwest to
5 southeast, that the middle to lower Morrow interval, that
6 is the interval between the top of the middle Morrow and
7 the top of the Barnett Shale, is characterized by a number
8 of laterally moderate -- laterally discontinuous, moderate-
9 ly bedded sandstones, which oftentimes cannot be establish-
10 ed -- you cannot establish stratigraphic equivalents from
11 one well to the other.

12 For example, on this well the third log
13 from the left -- on this cross section, rather, is the new-
14 ly completed Nearburg No. 126 Boyd State. The producing
15 zone, or the zone which has been perforated, is indicated
16 by the perforation symbols below 9400 feet on this log. If
17 you'll notice the RFT pressures indicated on the side of
18 this log vary between 3864 and 4070 pounds. These are con-
19 sidered to be virgin formation pressures as they compare to
20 some of the virgin pressures obtained on drill stem tests
21 on surrounding wells. but were run several years prior to
22 completion of this well. Virgin formation pressures in
23 this area are around 3800 to 3900 pounds, including those
24 in the Coquina well on the lefthand side of the cross sec-
25 tion, which were -- which was completed in an upper part of

1 a Morrow reservoir section within two major sand bodies.
2 That well has made over 2-1/2 BCF of gas since it was com-
3 pleted in 1973. It showed virgin formation pressures of
4 3840 pounds on drill stem tests. Compare that to the simi-
5 lar pressures we have obtained on the Boyd State 26-1, it's
6 clear -- and the fact that the zone that we're producing
7 out of in the 26-1 is stratigraphically lower, this in my
8 mind concludes that the zones are not in communication and
9 that there is lateral discontinuity among the various sands
10 in the area.

11 Q Mr. Mazzullo, will additional develop-
12 ment in this area provide an opportunity to perhaps inter-
13 cept stringers that are not currently being produced?

14 A Yes. The proposed location that I have
15 indicated on this cross section is one example of what we
16 might come across in any one of the three wells that we're
17 proposing to drill. Every well is going to come up with a
18 different section. We're not necessarily going to get the
19 same sands, even though the wells are spaced the way they
20 are. We're not necessarily going to intersect the same
21 sands because there are numerous different thin bedded,
22 moderately bedded packages depending on where you are in
23 this part of the township.

24 Q Would you now refer to what has been
25 marked as Nearburg Exhibit Number Three, a type log, and

1 review that for the Examiner?

2 A Let me preface Exhibit Number Three with
3 a statement regarding the type of work that I've done in
4 this area.

5 I've been involved for several years in
6 mapping the Morrow. I've had numerous publications on the
7 Morrow and I've found through an extensive amount of exper-
8 ience trying to work it that it's a very tough formation to
9 deal with in terms of correlations.

10 The best way that I have found to repre-
11 sent the formation and its producing capabilities is to
12 take a gross isopach of net sand and what I consider to be
13 productive porosity in the Morrow interval and try to --
14 and to generate a map based upon that.

15 In other words, the cross section does-
16 n't tell the whole story. Just because you have a lot of
17 sands doesn't -- doesn't imply that you're going to have a
18 lot of reservoir rock. There are a lot of other factors
19 that go into making a Morrow reservoir, not the least of
20 which are secondary plugging of porosity, structure to a
21 certain extent, things like that.

22 So what I've attempted to do with the
23 type log is to show you how I've gone about mapping the
24 area.

25 This is a type log from the 26-1 Boyd

1 State, which we just completed last week. It shows the
2 same interval that I've captioned on the cross section from
3 the top of the Middle Morrow to the top of the Barnett
4 Shale.

5 It also shows in the lefthand column the
6 gamma ray curve. It shows a 50 unit API gamma ray cutoff
7 that I used to establish a -- what is a clean sand in this
8 area, so anything below 50 units API is considered clean
9 sand. These clean sands are indicated by the color yellow
10 on the gamma ray log.

11 On the righthand side of this log is a
12 compensated neutron formation density log and because of
13 the affects of neutron crossover with the presence of gas
14 in these sands, I take the density porosity as a measure of
15 reservoir quality and I use a cutoff of 8 percent density
16 porosity. It's arbitrary to a certain extent; the 8 per-
17 cent cutoff seems to be what is the best producing -- any-
18 thing above 8 percent seems to produce best in this area
19 and anything over 8 percent porosity in those same clean
20 sands is indicated by red on the density log. So you can
21 see that although there are something on the order of 38
22 feet of clean sand, that there's only about 16 feet of what
23 I consider to be potentially productive porosity in this
24 well, and that 16 feet is confined more or less to the in-
25 terval that we've actually perforated in this well from

1 9420 to 9435, approximately.

2 I've done a similar type of log analysis
3 on every Morrow well in this area. As a matter of fact,
4 I've done it in an area comprising approximately four town-
5 ships around this area and have taken each well in turn and
6 figured net clean sand, as shaded in yellow on this log,
7 and net porosity over 8 percent, indicated by the red, and
8 have mapped a data point for each well based on these cut-
9 off criteria.

10 Q All right, would you now go to Exhibit
11 Number Four and identify that, please?

12 A Exhibit Number Four is a portion of the
13 net sandstone porosity quality map that I've generated as a
14 result of this type of log analysis I've just described
15 from the previous exhibit.

16 It shows the total net sand from each
17 well, the value -- each value on each well is the total net
18 sand in the Lower to Middle Morrow interval.

19 The dotted pattern on the map indicates
20 those areas where there is greater or -- greater than 10
21 feet of 8 percent porosity in that same net sand package in
22 each well.

23 The blue dot and blue arrow point to the
24 proposed location for the No. 2 B & B Well, which I believe
25 is Case 9425.

1 The red dot with the flaming orange
2 arrow points to the 9-Lives 26-1 Well, which is believe is
3 9426, is that right, Mr. Carr?

4 MR. CARR: Yes.

5 A And the black arrow pointing to the open
6 triangle signifies the currently drilling No. 2 Perino Well
7 which Mr. Nearburg has said is drilling somewhere below
8 4000 feet at the current time.

9 The proration units assigned to each one
10 of these proposed or drilling wells are indicated by the
11 yellow outlines. The arrows, the blue arrows that you see,
12 indicate the throw directions of the various -- the primary
13 flow directions of the various sands that make up the mid-
14 dle to lower Morrow interval. These flow directions are
15 based on dipmeter data that we've acquired at least two of
16 the wells in this area, including the No. 26 (unclear).

17 What this shows is that in each case,
18 each proposed location is assumed to be located within the
19 potentially productive fairway, porosity, net sand fairway
20 defined by the dotted pattern, and that movement of any one
21 of these locations away from the location -- away from the
22 proposed locations, may result in getting out of the fair-
23 way and into an adverse situation where we'd get into
24 either thin sands or tight sands.

25 For example, in the case of the No. 20

1 -- the well in Section 22, the No. 2 B & B, if we were to
2 move that well any -- to the west any distance at all, we'd
3 risk the chance of being completely out of the porosity
4 fairway.

5 If we moved the 9-Lives 26-1 to the
6 east, we'd not only be out of the fairway but we'd be get-
7 ting into a down dip position, regional dip being to the
8 east, where we might chance -- risk the chance of getting
9 into the water, as these reservoirs do contain water.

10 The same situation applies to the No. 2
11 Perino in Section 23.

12 Q Now, Mr. Mazzullo, if we look at those
13 exhibits there are triangles in Section 22 and 23 for the
14 existing Nearburg wells on those --

15 A Right.

16 Q -- spacing units, and both of those
17 would be outside the Fairway, as you had interpreted.

18 A Yes. Those -- those two wells, the No.
19 1 B & B and the No. 1 Perino, bracket the fairway. The No.
20 26 Boyd State, which is in the southwest quarter of Section
21 26, just defines the edge, or close to the edge of the
22 fairway. As I've already stated, it's only got about 14 or
23 16 feet of porosity in it.

24 Q Would you now refer to what has been
25 marked Nearburg Exhibit Number Five, the structure map, and

1 review that, please?

2 A Exhibit Number Five is a structure map
3 that was drawn using the top of the Middle Morrow, as I
4 have captioned it in the previous Exhibits Number Two and
5 Three, the top of the Middle Morrow. The wells that are
6 shown by the triangular symbols are wells that are operated
7 by Nearburg Producing Company. All the other wells in the
8 area are wells that have penetrated the Morrow. There are
9 certain shallow wells that are not shown on this map; wells
10 that did not TD in the Morrow.

11 Again the blue dot refers to the well
12 referenced in Case 20 -- 24 -- 9425, the No. 2 B & B.

13 The red dot and flaming orange arrow,
14 the 9-Lives 26 Well; and the black arrow open triangle, the
15 Perino No. 2.

16 Again, structure is -- these are prim-
17 arily stratigraphic traps that we're involved in in the
18 Morrow. Structure's role, the role of structure in this
19 area is to define the gas/water contacts which appear to be
20 significant, a significant factor in several of the sands.

21 Movement of -- particularly the No. 23,
22 the well in Section 23, the No. 2 Perino, the No. 1 9-Lives
23 in Section 26, movement of those wells anywhere to the east
24 of where we're proposing them increases the risk of being
25 in wet reservoir. As it is right now, we might -- we might

1 get some water production in either one of those locations
2 but if you move it to the east any more you'd certainly
3 increase your risk substantially.

4 So I'm -- part of the intent of locating
5 these wells where they are is to stay as far up-dip as
6 possible.

7 Q Will approval of the applications and
8 the locations proposed by Nearburg in your opinion result
9 in an effective drainage pattern?

10 A Yes, I believe it would.

11 Q Will it result in the production of
12 hydrocarbons from this Morrow sand that might not otherwise
13 be produced?

14 A Yes, it would increase the number of
15 potential pay zones that we'd be able to tap.

16 Q And do you believe that granting these
17 applications would be in the best interest of conservation,
18 the prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative
19 rights?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Were Exhibits Two through Five prepared
22 by you?

23 A They were.

24 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr.
25 Stogner, we would move the admission of Nearburg Exhibits

1 Two through Five.

2 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits Two
3 through Five will be admitted into evidence at this time.

4 MR. CARR: That concludes my
5 direct examination of Mr. Mazzullo.

6

7

CROSS EXAMINATION

8

BY MR. STOGNER:

9

Q Mr. Mazzullo, the call in Case 9426
10 states to the Pennsylvanian formation. Is it your intent
11 to check or test any of the other formations in the Penn-
12 sylvanian zone in this area or are they known to be pro-
13 ductive, such as the Cisco?

14

A Yeah, the Cisco Canyon in this area is
15 productive off to the west/northwest and there may or may
16 not be potential in that particular formation, which we
17 probably wouldn't test on the way down because it's an ex-
18 tremely porous formation and probably it would be very hard
19 to test, but we are going to have a mudlogging unit on the
20 well at that time.

21

We don't foresee any other potential in
22 the Pennsylvanian between the Cisco Canyon and the Morrow.

23

I might add that the nearest Cisco
24 Canyon producer is in the northeast quarter of Section 21.
25 It's operated by Anadarko and it's been a marginal producer

1 since it went on stream several years ago. That's the
2 nearest production. I don't know whether or not that's
3 going to help set up anything for us.

4 MR. STOGNER: I have no fur-
5 ther questions for this witness.

6 Is there anything further in
7 any of these three cases, Mr. Carr?

8 MR. CARR: Nothing further,
9 Mr. Stogner.

10 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Mazzullo,
11 you may step down.

12 If there is nothing further in
13 these three cases, 9425, 9426, 9427, these cases will be
14 taken under advisement.

15

16 (Hearing concluded.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case Nos. 9425, 9426, 9427
heard by me on 10/10/88 1988.

[Signature]
Oil Conservation Division

Examiner

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

6
7 6 July 1988

8 EXAMINER HEARING

9 IN THE MATTER OF:

10 Application of Nearburg Producing CASE
11 Company for compulsory pooling and 9426
12 an unorthodox gas well location,
13 Eddy County, New Mexico.

14 BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17 For the Division: Robert G. Stovall
18 Attorney at Law
19 Legal Counsel to the Division
20 State Land Office Bldg.
21 Santa Fe, New Mexico

22 For the Applicant:
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. CATANACH: Call next Case
Number 9426

MR. STOVALL: Application of
Nearburg Producing Company for compulsory pooling and an
unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

The applicant has requested
that Case No. 9426 be continued.

MR. CATANACH: Case No. 9426
will be continued to the Examiner Hearing July 20, 1988.

(Hearing concluded.)

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete and correct transcript of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 4426,
heard by me on July 6, 1988.
David R. Catant, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division