1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 17 August 1988			
5	EXAMINER HEARING			
6				
7 8	IN THE MATTER OF:			
9	Application of Nearburg Producing CASE Company for an unorthodox gas well 9436			
10	location, Eddy County, New Mexico.			
11				
12				
13	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner			
14				
15				
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
17				
18	APPEARANCES			
19	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall			
20	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division			
21	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico			
22	For the Applicant: Scott Hall			
23	Attorney at Law CAMPBELL and BLACK, P.A.			
24	P.O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			
25				

BARON FORM 25C20P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOD-227-0120

```
Ī
                                  MR.
                                       CATANACH: Call next Case
2
    9436. The application of Nearburg Producing Company for an
3
    unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.
4
                                  This case was heard July 20th,
5
    1988. It had to be readvertised.
6
                                  Is there any additional evi-
7
    dence or testimony to be presented at this time?
8
                                  MR. NEARBURG:
                                                 No.
9
                                  MR. CATANACH: If not the case
10
    will be taken under advisement.
11
12
                        (Hearing concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

SOBE SOBE SECTIONS TO FREE IN CALEDRY 1 A00 227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOOK

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 943 heard by me on thisuat 17 19 FF

, Examiner Oil Conservation Division

1 2 3 4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 20 July 1988				
5	EXAMINER HEARING				
6					
	IN THE MATTER OF:				
9	Application of Nearburg Producing CASE Company for an unorthodox gas well 9436 location, Eddy County, New Mexico.				
10					
11					
12	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner				
13					
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING				
15					
16	APPEARANCES				
17	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall				
18	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division				
19	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico				
20	For the Applicant: William F. Carr				
21	Attorney at Law CAMPBELL and BLACK, P. A.				
22	P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501				
23					
24					
25					

BARON FORM 25C16P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

			2
1			
2			
3	INDEX		
4			
5			
6			
7	MARK NEARBURG		
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	4	
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	7	
10			
11	LOUIS MAZZULLO		
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	8	
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	15	
14			
15			
16			
17	EXHIBITS		
18			
19	Nearburg Exhibit One, Plat	5	
20	Nearburg Exhibit Two, Affidavits	7	
21	Nearburg Exhibit Three, Type Log	9	
22	Nearburg Exhibit Four, Isopach	10	
23	Nearburg Exhibit Five, Cross Section	12	
24			
25			

MR. STOGNER: Call next Case Number 9436, which is the application of Nearburg Producing Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Eddy County, New Mexico.

I'll now call for appearances.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr, with the law firm Campbell & Black, P. A. of Santa Fe. We represent Nearburg Producing Company. I have two witness and would request that the record reflect that they have previously been sworn in a prior case and remain under oath.

MR. STOGNER: Let the record so show the two witnesses were previously -- their credentials were accepted and sworn in in Cases Numbers 9425 through 9427.

Continue, Mr. Carr.

MR. CARR: Mr. Stogner, there is an error in the advertisement. It is our error, not yours, or the Commission's, I mean. It says Range 25 in the application as well as in the ad, and it should be Range 26.

We, since we are here with witnesses and exhibits, we would request permission to move forward with the hearing. We will request that it be readvertised and we will submit additional notice to the off-

ION FORM 25CIBRS TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA BOQ 227-2434 NATIONWIDE BOO-227-0120

1 Nearburg seeks approval of an unorthodox Α 2 gas well location to test the Morrow formation, located 1340 feet from the south line and 660 feet from the west line of Section 8, Township 19 South, Range 26 East, Unde-5 signated Four Mile Draw Morrow Pool, Eddy County, New 6 Mexico. 7 Would you refer to what has been marked 8 Nearburg Exhibit Number One and identify that, please? 9 This is a land plat showing ownership Α 10 in acreage affected by the unorthodox location request. 11 Q Would you explain what the area outlined 12 in green indicates? 13 The area outlined in green is common Α 14 mineral ownership which has been leased 100 percent by 15 Nearburg. These leases are all held by production by the 16 well indicated with the green dot, which is the Glass --17 Nearburg Glass 7E Well No. 1. This is a producing Morrow 18 well completed earlier, in May or June of this year. 19 The point of this is that the immediate 20 acreage affected by this nonstandard location is currently 21 producing; that the mineral owners are the same; and that 22 they will not be affected by this well. 23 Is the north half of Section 7 the acre-24 age that is dedicated to the Glass Well?

25

Α

Yes.

ly has been given to Yates.

1 What is outlined in yellow? Q 2 Α Outlined in yellow is the proration 3 unit, 320 acres in the south half of Section 8, which will be dedicated to the proposed well. 5 And is Yates participating with you in 6 the development of this property? 7 Α Yes, they are. 8 To whom -- toward whom are you moving Q 9 from a standard location with the proposed well? 10 Α We are moving toward leaseholds that we 11 own that are held by production. 12 What is Yates interest in the offsetting Q 13 property? 14 As indicated, they own 100 percent of Α 15 the west half southwest quarter of Section 7 and they own 100 percent of the southwest quarter southeast quarter of 17 Section 7. Otherwise, Nearburg owns 100 percent of the 18 acreage. 19 What interest does Ralph Nix have in Q 20 this acreage? 21 Mr. Nix has leased his interest to Yates Α 22 and Yates controls all of Nix' interest. 23 So at the time notice was given in this Q 24 case Mr. Nix had an ownership interest but that subsequent-

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

great detail on this as I did on the last hearing, but the same type of analysis was done on the wells in this area in that a arbitrary 50 unit API gamma cutoff was used to define the clean Morrow sands here, again indicated by yellow on the gamma ray portion of this exhibit, and a cutoff of 8 percent density porosity used to define the minimum cutoff for productive -- gas productive Morrow sand. This is indicated by the red coloration on the -- on the CNL/FDC log. In this particular case you'll note that a lot of the clean sand that is present between 9100 and 9150 feet does contain what I consider to be productive porosity, as does the little sand stringer down around 9245. So here's a case where -- where a lot of the sand that is present is also porous. Of course, that's not always the case in the Morrow and you can get a freak section of sand in the Morrow that isn't porous, or that's marginally porous.

Q Would you now refer to Exhibit Number Four, your isopach map?

A Exhibit Number Four, then, takes this type of net sandstone treatment of each well in this area and assigns an isopach value based on total net clean sand below 50 API gamma for each of the Morrow wells around the subject location.

The subject location is indicated by the red arrow and the -- that's pointing to the red dot at the

proposed location.

_

_

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

The red envelope denotes areas that I expect to see greater than 10 feet of 8 percent porosity within the interval defined on Exhibit Number Three between the top of the Middle Morrow and the top of the Barnett Shale.

The heavy blue arrow denotes sediment transport directions as determined from detailed dipmeter data acquired in the No. 7-1 Glass. Now, you'll note the arrows are going off in a lot of different directions. In this particular area the Morrow section between the top of the Middle Morrow and the top of the Barnett is comprised of a number of different sands which each seem to have different transport directions. There is one part of the thick sand in the No. 1 Glass that actually shows a meandering pattern coming down from the southeast quarter of Section 6. It loops around the northwest of 7 and then loops back into the east half of 12. That's one example of a transport direction in one of the sands.

Another sand comes in from the northwest and trends due southeast, whereby another one comes in from the north and trends more or less north to south through the east half of Section 12.

So there are a number of different trends established by the dipmeter data, and the Glass, I

TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434

mean the proposed location for the well in Section 8, hopefully, will take advantage of maximum development of sands which, hopefully, will coincide with maximum development of productive porosity.

Q In your opinion is the proposed location the most efficient place to locate a well to produce the reserves?

A Would you now to go Exhibit Five, your structure map? I'm sorry, your cross section.

A Okay.

Q Will you review this for Mr. Stogner, please?

A Exhibit Number Five is a structural dip cross section. In other words, it goes from an up dip location to the west and more or less follows regional dip in an easterly direction.

It also -- it's also drawn more or less across the depositional -- perpendicular to depositional direction, although in this area, as I just said, the streams meander so much you cut across depositional trend quite a bit on this cross section.

The cross section is captioned on Exhibit Number Four by the red line that's labeled W on one side and E on the other side, west to east cross section.

It starts from an up dip position in the Amoco No. 1 Alley

Unit, which is approximately a mile northwest of the No. 7-1 Glass. It proceeds into the well in the southeast quarter of Section 1, the Anadarko No. 1 Anderson, and then proceeds over to the nearly completed No. 7-1 Glass, and finally into the northeast quarter of Section 7.

The intent of this cross section again was merely to show the lateral discontinuity of the various sands which make up the interval that I've isopached in the Exhibit Number Four, and Exhibit Number Four is actually a composite of the various sand packages that we see on the cross section.

Again in an up dip position the Amoco No. 1 Alley is producing from a sand which to the untrained eye or if you were to try to correlate very quickly, may appear to be correlative to the pay zone in the No. 1 Glass, but in fact, chances are that it's not correlative at all if there's no stratigraphic equivalents between the pay in the Alley and the pay in the No. 1 Glass. This again is substantiated by virgin formation pressures that we've required out of the producing zone in the No. 1 Glass, compared to the fact that the No. 1 Alley, which is -- which is up dip, has produced nearly 2 BCF of gas since 1980, and the RFT pressures that we've gotten in the No. 1 Glass are similar to virgin pressures, in fact higher than virgin pressures, of 3670 pounds acquired in the down

1 dip well, the No. 1 Secrest. 2 again we're dealing with laterally So 3 discontinuous reservoirs which internally have -- internally permeability barriers, as well as vertical permeability 5 They're not only separated from each other latbarriers. 6 erally but vertically through shales and other tight sands. 7 Mr. Mazzullo, in your opinion based on 8 your study of this area, will a well located at the propos-9 ed unorthodox location result in the recovery of hydrocar-10 bons that otherwise would not be produced? 11 If we were to locate the well any A Yes. 12 further to the east, we would be in a perilously down dip 13 position and run the risk of producing water instead. 14 Do you believe that development of this Q 15 acreage with the proposed well will be in the best interest 16 of conservation, the prevention of waste, and the protec-17 tion of corrective rights? 18 I do. Α 19 Were Exhibits Three, Four and Five pre-Q 20 pared by you? 21 A Yes, they were. 22

MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. Stogner, I move the admission of Nearburg Exhibits Three, Four and Five.

MR. STOGNER: Exhibits Three,

25

23

24

Four and Five will be admitted into evidence at this time. ${\tt MR.\ CARR:\ That\ concludes\ my}$ direct examination of Mr. Mazzullo.

CROSS EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. STOGNER:

Q Mr. Mazzullo, is there any reason why you didn't continue your cross section over and include that well in the north half of Section 8?

A In the north half of Section 8?

Q Yes.

A No. I was just trying to make the point, Mr. Stogner, that -- just to show the lateral discontinuity of the sands, as well as showing that between the No. 1 Glass 7E and the No. 1 Secrest, as you get down dip you begin to pick up water as shown when you go to that drill stem test in the No. 1 Secrest.

I could have -- if I were extending it over to Section 8, you would have seen the sand -- the sand quality deteriorate. As you can see, there's only 5 feet of sand in the No. 1 -- in that well in Section 8.

You would have sand deteriorate to next to nothing on the cross section, and they would have (unclear) been tight. That well did have tight sand in it.

Q And what zone is this zone producing

BARON FORM 25CI 6P3 TOLL FREE IN CALIFORNIA 800-227-2434 NATIONWIDE 800-227-0120

CERTIFICATE

SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSTZ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9436 heard by me on 26 July

_, Examiner Oil Conservation Division