

STATE OF NEW MEXICO  
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT  
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION  
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING  
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

20 July 1988

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called      CASE  
by the Oil Conservation Division on      9442  
its own motion for an order creating  
and extending certain pools in Lea  
County, New Mexico.

BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division:      Robert G. Stovall  
Attorney at Law  
Legal Counsel to the Division  
State Land Office Bldg.  
Santa Fe, New Mexico

## I N D E X

JAMI BAILEY

Direct Examination by Mr. Stovall

3

## E X H I B I T S

Division One, Documents

4

1 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case  
2 Number 9442, which is in the matter of the hearing called  
3 by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion for an  
4 order creating and extending certain pools in Eddy County,  
5 New Mexico.

6 I'll call for appearances.

7 MR. STOVALL: Robert G. Sto-  
8 vall appearing on behalf of the Oil Conservation Division.

9 I have one witness.

10 MR. STOGNER: Are there any  
11 other appearances in this matter?

12 There being none, will the  
13 witness please stand?

14  
15 (Witness sworn.)

16  
17 JAMI BAILEY,  
18 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon her  
19 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

20  
21 DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. STOVALL:

23 Q Would you please state your name, by  
24 whom you are employed, and in what capacity?

25 A I am Jami Bailey, geologist, with the

1       OCD in Santa Fe.

2                   Q               Mr. -- I mean Ms. Bailey, have you pre-  
3       viously testified before the Commission or its examiners  
4       and had your credentials accepted?

5                   A               Yes, I have.

6                   Q               Ms. Bailey, are you prepared to make  
7       recommendations to the Examiner today concerning the nomen-  
8       clature of certain pools in Lea County, New Mexico?

9                   A               Yes, I am.

10                  Q               Are your recommendations prepared in the  
11       form of an exhibit?

12                  A               Yes, they are.

13                  Q               And how is that exhibit denominated?

14                  A               As Exhibit One.

15                  Q               Would you please refer to Exhibit One  
16       and to the docket that has been distributed to the hearing  
17       today, and point out any differences? Are there any dif-  
18       ferences?

19                  A               There are no differences.

20                  Q               Was Exhibit One in this case prepared by  
21       you or under your supervision and control or do you have --  
22       have you examined the contents of the documents and assured  
23       yourself of their accuracy?

24                  A               Yes.

25                  Q               Do you have anything further to add to

1 your testimony?

2 A No, I don't.

3 MR. STOVALL: Nothing further,  
4 Mr. Examiner.

5 MR. STOGNER: I have no ques-  
6 tions of Ms. Bailey. He may -- I'm sorry, she may be ex-  
7 cused.

8 Is there anything further in  
9 Case Number 9442?

10 This case will be taken under  
11 advisement and the hearing is adjourned.

12  
13 (Hearing concluded.)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY  
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the  
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;  
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record  
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is  
a complete record of the proceedings in  
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9442  
heard by me on 20 July 19 88.  
Michael E. Rogers, Examiner  
Oil Conservation Division