

1 STATE OF NEW MEXICO
2 ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
3 OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
4 STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
5 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

3 August 1988

6 EXAMINER HEARING

7 IN THE MATTER OF:

8 Application of Pennzoil Exploration & CASE
9 Production Company for an unorthodox 9449
10 oil well location, Lea County, New
11 Mexico.

12 BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner

13
14 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

15
16 A P P E A R A N C E S

17 For the Division: Robert G. Stovall
18 Attorney at Law
19 Legal Counsel to the Division
20 State Land Office Bldg.
21 Santa Fe, New Mexico

22 For Pennzoil: W. Thomas Kellahin
23 Attorney at Law
24 KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY
25 P. O. Box 2265
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

23 For LDM and Nearburg
24 Producing Company: Scott Hall
25 Attorney at Law
CAMPBELL & BLACK, P.A.
P. O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

JIM L. BARR

Direct Examination by Mr. Kellahin	4
Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	12

E X H I B I T S

Pennzoil Exhibit One, Isopach	6
Pennzoil Exhibit Two, Certificate of Notice	18

1 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case
2 Number 9449.

3 MR. STOVALL: Application of
4 Pennzoil Exploration and Production Company for an unortho-
5 dox oil well location, Lea County, New Mexico.

6 MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-
7 ances.

8 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,
9 I'm Tom Kellahin of the Santa Fe law firm of Kellahin,
10 Kellahin & Aubrey.

11 I'm appearing on behalf of the
12 applicant and I have one witness to be sworn in this case.

13 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
14 other appearances in this matter?

15 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, Scott
16 Hall from the Campbell and Black law firm on behalf of LDM
17 and Associates and Nearburg Producing Company.

18 MR. STOGNER: Are there any
19 other appearances?

20 Do you have any witnesses?

21 MR. HALL: No, sir.

22 MR. STOGNER: Will the witness
23 please stand and be sworn at this time?

24

25

(Witness sworn.)

1 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,
2 my witness in this case is a geologic expert. His name is
3 Jim Barr. He spells his last name B-A-R-R.
4

5 JIM L. BARR,
6 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
7 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
8

9 DIRECT EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. KELLAHIN:

11 Q Mr. Barr, for the record would you
12 please identify yourself and describe for whom you work and
13 in what capacity?

14 A My name is Jim L. Barr. I'm Senior Ex-
15 plorationist for Pennzoil Exploration and Producing Company
16 of Houston, Texas.

17 Q Mr. Barr, have you previously testified
18 on behalf of your company with regards to Shipp Strawn
19 production and exploration in Lea County, New Mexico?

20 A Yes, sir, I have.

21 Q As a geologic expert have you made an
22 investigation of the geology and have you reached certain
23 conclusions and recommendations concerning this application
24 by Pennzoil?

25 A Yes, I have.

1 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,
2 we tender Mr. Barr as an expert geological engineer.

3 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Barr is
4 considered qualified.

5 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner, I
6 apologize that I've neglected to bring a larger display
7 that shows this well in relation to other Shipp Strawn
8 development, and I will attempt to have Mr. Barr locate
9 this for us verbally.

10 Q Would you take a moment, Mr. Barr, and
11 orient us as to where this particular unorthodox well loca-
12 tion is in relation to other Shipp Strawn development?

13 A This well is in Section 1, Township 17
14 South, 37 East, and it's approximately, I would say, about
15 four miles east of Lovington, New Mexico. It is due east
16 of production in Section 3 of the Pennzoil No. 1 Byers, the
17 Pennzoil No. 2 Waldron, the Pennzoil No. 1 Byers, which is
18 in the Shipp Strawn field there, and that would be the west
19 of this map by one section.

20 Producible zones in the area by Sohio,
21 just to the northeast of us they have production there.
22 It, I take it, is also in the Shipp Strawn Field there.

23 We're north of the Humble City Field
24 about three, four miles.

25 Q You are currently beyond the eastern

1 edge of the current development in the Shipp Strawn Pool?

2 A I believe so. We're on the eastern edge
3 of it.

4 Q When we look at your Exhibit Number One,
5 orient us to what was we're seeing. Where do we find the
6 outlines for Section 1 itself?

7 A Section 1 is. you'll see in the upper
8 righthand corner up there below T 16 South, you'll see the
9 1. That is the full section. Since we're dealing with in-
10 dividual mounds here, we're gone to a scale of one inch is
11 equal to 1000. That is the full section. That under
12 color, the yellow and the green together, is actually the
13 west half of the full section and they are subsequently
14 divided into 80-acre units.

15 Q Within the Section number 1 there is
16 currently existing a well in the west half of the northwest
17 quarter of Section 1.

18 A This is correct. That's the Pennzoil
19 No. 1 Price Family Trust, which was recently completed as a
20 top allowable well.

21 Q When we look at the working interest
22 ownership in Section 1 and look at the west half of the
23 section, are we dealing in a portion of this section in
24 which Pennzoil is the operator?

25 A Yes. Pennzoil is operator in the north

1 west quarter as well as the southwest quarter.

2 Q And do you operate the Price Family
3 Trust No. 1 Well?

4 A Correct. We do operate that well.

5 Q Would you describe for us what are the
6 geologic facts of significance that you have interpreted
7 from information derived from the Price Family Trust Well?

8 A What we have here is a -- let me explain
9 this map here is an isopach map of the Lower Strawn Lime,
10 and in this particular area, I might add across the Loving-
11 ton - Shipp area, the Lower Strawn Lime increases in thick-
12 ness from west to east and in this particular area we have
13 right here, the Lower Strawn Lime itself averages around
14 200 feet thick. It is in those areas that we use seismic
15 to delineate and detect the mounds. We look for the abnor-
16 mal thickening of the Lower Strawn Lime, and in this parti-
17 cular case our seismic, which is defined by three -- I have
18 three thin lines on here, these are traces of seismic line,
19 not the full trace, but it's the traces of seismic that re-
20 fer to this particular map, and it is through the seismic
21 that we identify the mounds. Subsequent drilling such as
22 the No. 1 Price Family Trust, shows us that we do have a
23 mound and it can be used with the geological data that we
24 have from the well itself, we appropriate (sic) that in the
25 seismic and further define and delineate these mounds. They

1 Price Family Trust. We've integrated the two and we've
2 come up with the location that we've selected to the south
3 of the No. 1 Price Family Trust.

4 Q Do you and other operators in the Shipp
5 Strawn Pool encounter difficulty in developing and produ-
6 cing spacing units within the confines of a standard well
7 location for that spacing unit?

8 A Yes, we do encounter problems. Unfortu-
9 nately nature didn't grow the mounds within an 80-acre
10 spacing. They do overlap.

11 Q What is the optimum location then within
12 the west half of the southwest quarter, in your opinion,
13 from which to test and develop the Shipp Strawn production
14 underlying that spacing unit?

15 A The most optimum location would be 2310
16 feet from the south line and 810 feet from the west line.

17 Q What's the basis for that opinion, Mr.
18 Barr?

19 A This is based upon the, primarily on the
20 two seismic lines. You see a line running through the
21 proposed location that runs northwest/southeast, and we
22 also have a seismic line that runs to -- that's tangent to
23 the green circle of the proposed location that runs north-
24 south.

25 Q Within the contours shown on the display

1 what is the importance to you as a geologist in being at a
2 certain thickness in the Shipp Strawn reservoir?

3 Q As we can see on this map, Amerind had a
4 well over 233 feet thick which was a dry hole.

5 We're trying to go for the maximum
6 thickness that we can in order to, hopefully, get the mound
7 core, and we're really trying for at least 240 feet here.
8 Seismic can't tell us the thickness but it can show us the
9 outline and this is what it's showing us: They're very
10 sharp, they're steep on the side, they've been marked by
11 the subsequent (unclear) and burial, but we still feel that
12 if we can get at least the 240 feet, we'll have a very good
13 chance of hitting the mound complex itself, the core.

14 Q Does Pennzoil as operator and the other
15 working interest owners or royalty owners with regards to
16 the subject spacing unit, gain any unfair advantage over
17 any other spacing unit as a result of the unorthodox loca-
18 tion?

19 A I don't think so.

20 Q And why not, sir?

21 A All we're trying to do is develop this
22 particular mound and we're only encroaching upon ourselves
23 here.

24 MR. KELLAHIN: That concludes
25 my examination of Mr. Barr, Mr. Examiner.

1 We would move at this time the
2 introduction of his Exhibit Number One.

3 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Number
4 One will be admitted into evidence at this time.

5 Any questions of Mr. Barr?
6

7 CROSS EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. STOGNER:

9 Q Mr. Barr, you said seismic work was done
10 or utilized.

11 A Utilized.

12 Q Was that Pennzoil's seismic work or was
13 it done by somebody else that you had purchased?

14 A The seismic that we do. It's proprie-
15 tary seismic in terms of the acquisition and the proces-
16 sing.

17 Q And how many lines are we talking about
18 that was run in this general vicinity that you were able to
19 draw this mound in?

20 A I've only put three of the lines on here
21 and they --

22 Q Are those those lines in blue ink?

23 A Yes, sir, very light. Unfortunately I
24 forgot to put them on when I prepared this map and Mr.
25 Kellahin refreshed my memory last night, so I put on the

1 three important lines because they are pertinent to this
2 location but there are other lines within the area, yes, to
3 the east, to the west, and some of them run north/south and
4 some run east/west.

5 Q Was the seismic work done after the No.
6 1 Price Family Trust Well?

7 A No, these, these lines here, these three
8 lines here were done prior to the drilling of the No. 1
9 Price Family Trust and it is on those seismic lines that we
10 picked the initial location because that was the optimum
11 location for that mound and the subsequent delineation by
12 the seismic bounded by the proposed location makes that the
13 optimum location for that 80-acre spacing tract.

14 Q Has there been any evidence of a gas-
15 water contact?

16 A In this well, no. We have not encount-
17 ered any water contact and we have not encountered any
18 delineation of a gas contact in here.

19 We do have two wells that we drilled
20 last year and the early part of this year that are north of
21 here that we did encounter water. We had a mound up there
22 but they were wet.

23 Q In your opinion what is the limit of
24 the production from this particular mound in correspondence
25 with an isopach line?

1 A That is a very difficult question to
2 answer because the reservoir -- I can contour, generalize
3 and simplify the isopaching of the porous Strawn lime; how-
4 ever, a reservoir with any mound or any reef complex is
5 highly irregular and we could very well have risk. Even
6 though we show an optimum location here we have a very high
7 risk in this particular location, as you will see in the
8 subsequent case that's to follow here, 9450, in which case
9 we have a very similar situation. We thought we would have
10 a good well and it was dry.

11 So it's very difficult to really delin-
12 eate the reservoir itself in this area. They're highly
13 irregular. Only the isopaching can be simplified. This is
14 very -- I mean it's very simplistic, at best.

15 We hope that the reservoir does extend
16 to the location, is what we're hoping.

17 Q Did you take into consideration that
18 Amerind No. 1 State MTS Well directly to the west, as far
19 as being a portion of this mound? Now you show it to be in
20 the general area but segregated from this particular mound.

21 A It could be an isolated satellite mound
22 or it could be part of the mound itself. As I understand
23 from the Amerind well, it did have the mound facies in it.
24 It had no permeability.

25 They did attempt, as I understand, two

1 DST's over the particular interval and it just had no per-
2 meability at all. It could be part of the mound complex or
3 it could be a satellite little mound off to the side of it.
4 And I think this is exemplified by some wells to the west
5 of here drilled by Union Texas Petroleum, and their Union
6 Texas No. 3 in Section 34, 16 South, 37 East, they're in a
7 complex there which has the Myers No. 2 and the Waldron No.
8 2, Pennzoil wells, that well produces very well.

9 Their No. 3 Well in the same section has
10 water in it. It's for all practical purposes a well that
11 is depleted, and if you drew an isopach it would look like
12 it's in the same mound, but it is a completely separate
13 reservoir.

14 And so this is why I showed the Amerind
15 No. 1 MTS as being outside of this complex.

16 Q Now you show some yellow marks, or 80-
17 acre prorations --

18 A Right.

19 Q -- in yellow --

20 A Right.

21 Q -- to the east. Are those presently
22 producing or drilling or are those just proration units?

23 A They're just -- this is Pennzoil's pro-
24 cedure for showing their land positions.

25 Q In your experience out here, if produc

1 tion was encountered in this well, would that production
2 necessarily follow, say, the contour line of 220 all the
3 way around as being producing or would that be giving and
4 take to a certain degree, or could we see nonproduction
5 within the top of this mound to the west of your Number One
6 Price Federal (sic) trust?

7 A I think in this particular case here the
8 question you're trying to ask is that we'd have to show --
9 somehow include the Amerind well into the complex, and we
10 see here in the Amerind well 233 feet, it was dry, so we do
11 not have reservoir where we have a 233-foot thickness, so
12 we're trying for 240 or 240+ and we would like to see maybe
13 that -- what we would like to see and what we've seen ex-
14 periencewise, a typical well will have a thicker mound
15 facies, will generally have a better reservoir potential.

16 Q So it would follow the 240.

17 A We hope, hope it would be larger.

18 Q If production was encountered at 240
19 would correlative rights, in your opinion be violated in
20 Section 2, or over there to the east in those two immediate
21 standup 80-acre proration units?

22 A I don't think it would be violated at
23 all. I think they'd be protected.

24 Q Now does Pennzoil own all the west half
25 of Section 1?

1 A We have 100 percent working interest in
2 the southwest quarter and we have 93 percent working inter-
3 est in the northwest quarter.

4 Q 93 percent?

5 A 93. some odd percent. There's supposed
6 to be land plat here --

7 Q Okay.

8 A -- but unfortunately I don't have it
9 here.

10 Q So common ownership throughout -- well,
11 there again I'll wait and ask your landman that question.

12 MR. STOGNER: I have no
13 further questions of Mr. Barr.

14 MR. KELLAHIN: I don't have a
15 landman here.

16 My understanding is that
17 Amerind has the balance of the interest in the northwest
18 quarter.

19 A Yes.

20 MR. KELLAHIN: And makes up
21 the last 5 or 6 percentage of that working interest share
22 in the northwest quarter.

23 When we move to the southwest
24 quarter, that's all Pennzoil.

25 So the only other operator,

1 participant, working interest owner to participate in the
2 west half is going to be Amerind.

3 MR. STOGNER: I'm sorry, I
4 misunderstood you.

5 MR. KELLAHIN: Well, we didn't
6 make it clear. I'm sorry.

7 MR. STOGNER: Is it your
8 opinion that the No. 1 Price Family Trust Well is draining
9 from the southwest quarter of this section?

10 A Should I defer that to you?

11 MR. KELLAHIN: If you can an-
12 swer it, you can answer it.

13 A I think that it could be possibly drain-
14 ing from the southwest quarter. We're still trying to
15 understand how these mound wells do drain and I think in
16 order to protect that southwest quarter, though, we need to
17 drill that well, so we will protect correlative rights.

18 MR. STOGNER: Okay, I have no
19 further questions of Mr. Barr.

20 Are there any other questions
21 of this witness?

22 He may be excused.

23 I'm sorry, Mr. Kellahin?

24 MR. KELLAHIN: Mr. Examiner,
25 Exhibit Number Two is our certificate of notification to

1 the other potentially interested parties. I think I have
2 given you a copy of the certification.

3 We have nothing else to
4 present in this case, Mr. Examiner.

5 MR. STOGNER: Is there anything
6 further in Case Number 9449 at this time?

7 This case will be taken under
8 advisement.

9
10 (Hearing concluded.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9449,
heard by me on 3 August 1988.
Michael [Signature], Examiner
Oil Conservation Division