1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION				
2	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO				
3	28 September 1988				
4					
5					
6	EXAMINER HEARING				
7					
8	IN THE MATTER OF:				
9	Application of Texaco Producing, Inc. CASE for compulsory pooling, Lea County, 9490				
10	New Mexico.				
11					
12					
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner				
14					
15					
16	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING				
17					
18	APPEARANCES				
19	For the Division:				
20					
21					
22	For the Applicant:				
23					
24					
25					

NATIONAL DE BOC 2017 OLS

840171

BAUCIE.

MR. STOGNER: Call now Case No. 9490, the application of Texaco Producing, Inc. for compulsory pooling, Lea County, New Mexico.

At the request of the applicant this case will be continued to the 10-26-88 Examiner Hearing.

(Hearing concluded)

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9490. heard by me on 28 Sept. 1988.

Oil Conservation Division

1 2 3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 26 October 1988			
4	EXAMINER HEARING			
5 6				
7	IN THE MATTER OF:			
8	Application of Texaco Producing, Inc. CASE for compulsory pooling, Lea County, 9490 Mexico.			
10				
11	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner			
12				
13	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING			
15	APPEARANCES			
16				
17	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division			
18 19	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico			
20	For Texaco: Scott Hall Attorney at Law			
21 22	CAMPBELL and BLACK P. O. Box 2208 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501			
23	Mr. W. D. Dinwiddie, Pro Se			
24				
25	que destrouve transfer de la grande de la gr			

The contract of the property of the contract o

本語語

		2
1		
2	INDEX	
3		
4	STATEMENT BY MR. STOVALL	4
5		
6	CURTIS SMITH	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	6
8	Questions by Mr. Dinwiddie	14
9		
10	DENNIS WEHMEYER	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Hall	15
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	20
13	Questions by Mr. Dinwiddie	25
14		
15	CURTIS SMITH RECALLED	
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Hall	26
17	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	28
18		
19	STATEMENT BY MR. DINWIDDIE	30
20	Questions by Mr. Stogner	32
21	Questions by Mr. Stovall	35
22		
23	STATEMENT BY MR. HALL	39
24		
25	STATEMENT BY MR. DINWIDDIE	41

		3	
1			
2	EXHIBITS		
3			
4	Texaco Exhibit One, Plat	7	
5	Texaco Exhibit Two, Ownership Breakdown	8	
6	Texaco Exhibit Three, AFE	16	
7	Texaco Exhibit Four, Letters	11	
8	Texaco Exhibit Five, Affidavit	12	
9			
10	Dinwiddie Exhibit One, Schematic	34	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MR. Call next Case STOGNER: 2 Number 9490. 3 MR. STOVALL: Application of Texaco Producing, Inc., for compulsory pooling, Lea County, 5 New Mexico. 6 MR. STOGNER: Call for ap-7 pearances in this case. 8 MR. HALL: Scott Hall from Campbell & Black, appearing on behalf of Texaco Producing, 10 Inc. 11 MR. DINWIDDIE: I'm W. D. 12 Dinwiddie, surface and mineral owner and I'd like to speak 13 for myself in this case. 14 MR. STOVALL: Do you under-15 stand basically how a judicial process works? 16 MR. DINWIDDIE: Yes. 17 appearing with the authority to continue this with my at-18 torney to appeal it. Yes, I'm willing --19 MR. STOVALL: Well, by your 20 appearance today you will have the -- you do have the right 21 to appeal it. 22 MR. DINWIDDIE: Yes, sir. 23 MR. STOVALL: In today's 24 hearing what will happen is Mr. Hall will present his 25 witnesses, or Texaco's witnesses, who will explain their

witnesses, Mr. Examiner?

case and what they're seeking for, and they're seeking a compulsory pooling in this case.

As he finishes with each of those witnesses you will have the opportunity to examine them yourself, cross examine them with respect to matters that they've testified to. It's not the time for you to engage in -- in discussion with them or argument, just merely ask them questions to clarify or attempt to show that they haven't testified accurately or that everything they've said is in error or incompletely -- then at the conclusion, after Mr. Hall has finished, you may at that time testify on your own behalf and to make statements of fact to the hearing examiner, which will go into the record.

MR. DINWIDDIE: Thank you.

MR. STOVALL: You may also, if you don't wish to actually testify, you may present argument at the conclusion of the case.

Now if you think you would like to testify and actually present factual statements, we'd ask that you rise at this time and be sworn with the other witnesses.

May I go ahead and swear the

MR. STOGNER: Please, please.

6 1 2 (Witnesses sworn.) 3 STOGNER: MR. Thank you, Mr. 5 Stovall. 6 Mr. Hall. 7 8 CURTIS SMITH, 9 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 10 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 11 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. HALL: 14 For the record, please state your name. Q 15 Α My name is Curtis Smith. 16 Mr. Smith, by whom are you employed and 17 in what capacity? 18 Α Texaco, Inc., in Midland, Texas. 19 landman. 20 Have you previously testified before the Q 21 Division and had your credentials accepted as a matter of 22 record? 23 Α Yes, I have. 24 Q And are you familiar with the subject 25 application and the subject lands?

1 Α Yes, I am. 2 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, are 3 the witness' credentials still acceptable today? MR. STOGNER: They are. 5 Q Mr. Smith, if you would, please, what is 6 it that Texaco seeks by this application? 7 Texaco seeks an order pooling 8 mineral owners under the east half of Section 17, Township 25 South, Range 36 East, from the surface to the base of 10 the Strawn formation. 11 Q All right, and is this a recompletion or 12 a new well? 13 Α Yes, it is. It's a recompletion in the 14 West Jal "B" Deep Well No. 1, or West Jal -- yes -- "B" 15 Deep Well No. 1. 16 We're recompleting it from the Wolfcamp 17 to the Strawn formation. 18 All right, and have you prepared certain Q 19 exhibits in connection with your testimony today? 20 Α Yes, I have. 21 Q Let's look at Exhibit One and if you 22 would explain what that's intended to reflect, please, sir. 23 Exhibit One is a plat which shows the 24 proration unit, being the east half of Section 17, Township 25 25 South, Range 36 East, and the proposed location, or the,

actually, the Well No. 1-B, in which we're going to re-enter, and it also has a breakdown of the working interest ownership.

Q All right, let's look at Exhibit Two, if you would identify that and explain that to the Examiner.

A Exhibit Two, it's a breakdown of the working interest ownership of the east half of Section 17.

It also shows royalty interest owners which do not have pooling provisions in their leases.

Q And would you identify those for the record?

A Okay. Harry Eldon Smith, with a .00194 percent royalty interest -- or mineral interest, and Eva W. Graham, with a .03100 percent mineral interest.

Q All right. What percentage of the acreage is voluntarily committed to the well today, and would you also state which interests you're seeking to pool today?

A Okay. 68.75 percent is voluntarily joining in on this rework. We're under an operating agreement with the other working interest partners. We're seeking to pool the mineral interest of Mr. W. D. Dinwiddie, which has a 31.25 percent mineral interest. He has a 50 percent mineral interest under the southeast quarter of Section 17 and the northwest quarter of the northeast

quarter of Section 17.

Q All right. Would you please summarize your efforts to seek the voluntary joinder of these parties?

A Okay. On Thursday, May 19th, 1988, Mr. Jim Burnett, who is a broker that Texaco uses, visited Mr. Dinwiddie at his home to propose a lease purchase, and Mr. Burnet offered \$150 per acre and a 3/16th royalty. At that time Mr. Dinwiddie countered with an offer. He wanted \$500 an acre and 25 percent royalty.

Q Was his counter offer acceptable?

A No, it wasn't. On Wednesday, July 13th, 1989, myself and Mr. Jim Burnett again, our broker, visited Mr. Dinwiddie at his home once again and we discussed the proposed lease purchase and at that time he restructured his counter to \$200 an acre and 30 percent royalty.

Q If I understand you correctly, he withdrew his original counter offer?

A Yes. He changed his \$500 per acre, 25 percent royalty to \$200 an acre, 30 percent royalty.

Q All right.

A And then on Thursday, August 4th, -- anyway, we went back to the office and we looked at the numbers, still the \$200 an acre, and especially the 30 percent royalty, would not fit our economics.

 And on Thursday, August 4th, 1988, Mr. Dinwiddie called me at the office and he told me that he did not want to sell a lease to Texaco.

On August 25th, 1988, we went ahead and sent Mr. Dinwiddie an AFE asking for his voluntary joinder in the well and once again we offered him \$150 an acre and instead of a 3/16th royalty this time, we changed it to a 25 percent royalty.

And then the mineral owners that -there were, let's see, there were five mineral owners that
did not have pooling provisions in their leases and we went
ahead and sent -- on September 29th we sent amendments to
authorize pooling to the mineral owners and which we received executed amendments from three of those parties and
two of them have not returned the amendments yet.

Q Which two are those?

A Okay, well, let me name the -- all the mineral owners.

Q All right.

A Richard L. Cormartie, Junior, which he signed -- executed the amendment and returned it; Jane Cormartie Williams executed and returned the amendment; Harry E. Smith executed by Jane Cormartie Williams, his attorney in fact, executed and returned the amendment; Harry Eldon Smith did not return the amendment; and Eva

١ have not received a signed amendment from her Graham, we 2 yet. 3 All right, Mr. Smith, in your opinion Q have you made a good faith effort to secure the voluntary 5 joinder of all these individuals? 6 Yes. Α 7 Q Let's look at Exhibit Four if you have 8 that in front of you, please? 9 Α Okay. 10 Would you identify that, please, sir? Q 11 Ά Okay. One of these -- one of these 12 letters is a letter to Pitco Production Company in which 13 Pitco Production Company is a working interest owner in 14 this unit with us in Well No. 1-B. 15 Pitco Production Company is the lessee 16 of the lease that did not include the royalty provision --17 the pooling provisions. 18 So we went ahead and sent Pitco Produc-19 tion Company an amendment to get their signature also, and 20 also there's a letter to the five mineral owners who do not 21 have the pooling provisions in their leases. 22 Attached to the letters is the actual 23 amendment to the oil and gas lease authorizing pooling. 24 Q All right. Anything further with

25

respect to Exhibit Four?

1 Α No. 2 If you would, look at Exhibit Five and 3 ask you, is Exhibit Five an affidavit prepared by your counsel whereby they sent notice of this hearing to 5 the affected parties at your direction? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Do you have anything further you wish to 8 add at this time? No, I don't. Α 10 MR. HALL: We'd move the ad-11 mission of Exhibits One, Two, Four and Five. 12 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One, 13 Two, Four and Five will be admitted into evidence at this 14 time? 15 Dinwiddie, do you wish to Mr. 16 ask this witness any questions pertinent to his testimony 17 today? 18 19 QUESTIONS BY MR. DINWIDDIE: 20 Q Do you have evidence of any royalty, any 21 minerals you have leased in the near past at \$200 an acre? 22 Do we have evidence that we've bought Α 23 leases for \$200 an acre? 24 Q In that particular area --25 Α No.

1 -- at \$200? Q 2 Α No, I don't have evidence of that. 3 Have you bought any minerals or leased any minerals in that area in the near past? 5 Α No, sir. No, sir, I haven't. 6 Q Then how can you determine this is a 7 fair offer? 8 Well, based on the economics with Α 9 Dennis, our engineer, will get into the numbers more than I 10 will. He's more familiar with that than I am. 11 Based on what the well could support as 12 far as the total expenditure expenditure on the -- the re-13 work and lease purchases. That's what we figured it on. 14 Q Has this Jal West Deep Strawn formation, 15 was it ever produced by Getty or Texaco? 16 Not out of this well. 17 Isn't it a fact that you have evidence 18 here on this, let's see, that they, Getty, estimated this 19 formation would pay out in two months? 20 I can't answer that. I don't know. Α 21 don't have that information. 22 MR. HALL: Ιf I might ask a 23 questions, which formation are we talking about? The 24 Strawn? 25 MR. STOGNER: Could you be

specific? Are you're referring to?

MR. DINWIDDIE: The Strawn

you -- about your formation, which

3

formation, isn't that --

5

MR. HALL: Is that what Getty

6

stated to you? Is that your question?

7

MR. DINWIDDIE: It's in one of their statements here to the oil and gas commission.

8

MR. HALL: For this prospect?

9

MR. DINWIDDIE: When they

10 11

passed -- when they -- see, this well is already drilled

12

through the Fusselman and it's produced out of the Fussel-

13

man.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When Getty come up to the Wolfcamp formation there was more than one reason for that. One was to cut us out of any participation in the -- in that particular 40-acre spacing. They come back to a 40-acre spacing instead of a 320. When they did that, they relinquished this half of Section 17 as well as some other mineral in the area that we own. So now they're wanting to

the But well is already drilled in there but they released -- relinquished and released all mineral interest other than the Strawn when they come up to the Wolfcamp, I mean other than the Wolfcamp,

go back and dictate to me what it's worth.

1 when they come to the Wolfcamp. 2 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Dinwiddie, 3 do you have any other questions of this particular witness at this time? 5 MR. DINWIDDIE: Not of this 6 witness. 7 MR. STOGNER: Okay, are there 8 any redirect questions of Mr. Smith? MR. HALL: No, sir. 10 MR. STOGNER: You may be ex-11 cused. 12 13 DENNIS WEHMEYER, 14 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 15 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. HALL: 19 Q For the record, Mr. Wehmeyer, please 20 state your name. 21 Α Dennis Wehmeyer. 22 Q And by whom are you employed and in what 23 capacity? 24 Α I'm employed by Texaco, Incorporated. 25 I'm the District Operations Engineer in the Hobbs District.

1 All right, and are you familiar with the Q 2 subject application and subject lands --3 Α Yes, I am. -- we're talking about here today? Q 5 Α Yes. 6 Q And you've previously testified before 7 the Division or one of its examiners and had your cre-8 dentials accepted as a matter of record? Α Yes, I have. 10 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, are 11 the witness' credentials still acceptable today? 12 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Wehmeyer is 13 so qualified. 14 Q Mr. Wehmeyer, if you would, do you have 15 Exhibit three in front of you? 16 If you would, please identify Exhibit 17 Three. 18 Exhibit Three is the -- on the back of Α 19 it is a copy of the AFE for the rework that was sent to the 20 working interest owners. 21 All right. Would you review the cost Q 22 totals on the AFE, please? 23 Α Well, the cost totals, we are esti-24 mating the cost to recomplete the well at \$90,000. This in-25 cluded 12 days pulling unit time, \$15,000; rentals, which

1 includes reverse unit time and other equipment rentals at 2 \$17,500; stimulation cost was estimated at \$20,000 for 3 acidizing the well in the Strawn; wireline work was estimated at \$8500 for running a correlation log and perfor-5 ating the well; cement and hardware, which includes 6 cementing, squeezing off the Wolfcamp, and, of course, re-7 tainer, et cetera, is estimated at \$6000; trucking, outside 8 labor, \$9000; chemicals estimated at \$1500 for packer 9 fluid, et cetera; estimated to buy an additional 1000 foot 10 of tubing since the Strawn is below the Wolfcamp, at \$4000; 11 and miscellaneous costs at \$8500.

Q Has Texaco drilled or does Texaco operate other Strawn wells in the area?

A We have in the past. We are not now.

Q Are the costs shown on Exhibit Three in line with what's being charged in the area for Strawn recompletions?

A Yes, it is.

Q Mr. Wehmeyer, are you prepared to make a recommendation to the Examiner as to the risk penalty that should be assessed against nonconsenting interest owners?

A Yes, we are recommending cost plus 200 percent. The reason for that is we feel the risk is justified, the risk penalty is justified, due to the mechanical risk primarily. We're having to go into the well. Like I

18

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20 21

22

23

said, the well's in the Wolfcamp right now. You have to squeeze the Wolfcamp is below the Wolfcamp The biggest risk is squeezing the Wolfcamp since Wolfcamp perfs are approximately 500 foot below the 7-3/4 inch liner. other words, it's below -- below 11,000 foot. There the risk is, again, mechanical. The well is thirteen years old. We don't exactly know what condition the casing is We haven't re-entered the well or tested it for quite in. a long time. The risk is possible collapsed casing when we squeeze the Wolfcamp plus any other casing holes or anything else we could encounter up the hole. We don't anticipate anything, any problems at this time but we just don't know.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q All right, do you believe that there's a chance that you could recomplete the well in the Wolfcamp and you could lose the well because of the recompletion operations?

A Oh, yes, we could.

Q Where is the Wolfcamp formation with respect to its economic limits right now?

A The Wolfcamp right now is virtually at its economic limit. The Wolfcamp produces approximately 2-to-5 MCF per month. Of course, we're talking 10/15 MCF a day is what it averages. The reason it's at its economic limit is we have to go in and swab the well every month,

month and a half, at the present time. The well does load up with fluid, primarily water. We have to swab at approximately \$800 a swabbing job. It's just not making enough production to pay the expenses right now. We're just barely.

Q In your view do the royalty burdens exacerbate the risk that this well will not be commercially successful?

A That's correct.

Q Mr. Wehmeyer, have you made an estimate of the overhead and administrative costs while drilling or doing the workover and also while operating the well after the recompletion?

A No, I haven't.

Q All right. Mr. Wehmeyer, let me ask you, in your opinion will the granting of this application be in the best interests of conservation, the prevention of waste, and protection of correlative rights?

A Yes, it will, because we feel like we've got approximately 4000 barrels of condensate reserves and approximately in the neighborhood of a half a BCF we can re- cover from this well. So we feel like it's definitely in the interest of conservation if we do this well.

Q If the pooling is not granted will Texaco's plans for going forward with the recompletion

20 1 change at all? 2 It definitely could. All right. Is there a chance that you might walk away from the recompletion? 5 Α Definitely. 6 And will that result in the loss of re-Q 7 serves in the ground? 8 Α Yes, it would. Q Were -- was Exhibit Three prepared by 10 you or at your direction? 11 Α Yes, it was. 12 MR. HALL: We'd move the ad-13 mission of Exhibit Three and that concludes our direct of 14 this witness. 15 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Three 16 will be admitted into evidence at this time. 17 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. STOGNER: 20 Mr. Wehmeyer, what -- what was your Q 21 overhead charges, your proposed overhead charges? 22 Well, I did not figure those, Mr. Stog-Α 23 ner. 24 Q We can provide those. Usually it's the 25 land department that has handled those matters and I, as

1 engineer, do not. We do not handle that. But we can 2 provide that. Okay, let's scratch that question just right yet and we'll recall Mr. Smith at that time. 5 But I need to check something, Mr. 6 Wehmeyer. Is presently -- this well is presently 8 producing from the Wolfcamp, is that correct? Α That's correct. 10 Q is this a gas well or an oil well out of 11 the Wolfcamp? 12 Α It's in the Jal Wolfcamp West Pool. 13 It's probably classified as an oil well. 14 Q So it has a 40-acre proration unit. 15 Α It is a 40-acre proration unit. 16 And now you mentioned the Wolfcamp pre-17 sently had how -- what kind of production? 18 I varies guite a bit. 200 to 500 MCF 19 per month. I looked up the production from January through 20 August of this year off the C-115's. 21 Q All right, now how much oil are we 22 talking about? 23 Α One month it produced eight barrels of 24 condensate and did not produce any condensate all the other 25 months.

2.2 1 When you say condensate --Q 2 Well, I should say oil. Α 3 -- is it a gas well or an oil well? Q I should say oil. 5 Well, is it oil or gas? Is it a gas Q 6 well in an oil pool? What does the latest C=116 show this 7 well to be? 8 Α I'll have to provide that. I don't know 9 off the top of my head. 10 Is the GOR over 10,000? Q 11 Yes, it is, at the present time it is, Α 12 yes. 13 Do you have any documentation of a non-Q 14 standard proration unit or anything such as that since ac-15 cording to what you're telling me it sounds like it's a gas 16 well. 17 Α I don't have anything to provide you. I 18 can provide it. I don't have anything to provide you right 19 now. 20 Q How long has this well been producing in 21 the Wolfcamp? 22 Since 1984. Α 23 MR. STOGNER: I'm going to 24 take administrative notice of the well files and all these 25 production records that are available on file for this

particular well at this time.

Q Mr. Wehmeyer, now, the way the advertisement is, we're talking about all mineral interest from the surface or all mineral interest to the Strawn formation underlying 320 acres, forming a gas proration unit.

What other formations are you proposing or is Texaco proposing to test and possibly produce gas out of, since that has 320-acre spacing?

A The only -- the only zone that has 320-acre spacing would be the Strawn that we propose to test.

Q So you're not proposing to test the Morrow or the --

A No, we've already produced the Atoka from this well and the Fusselman. The only 320-acre proration unit would be the Strawn that's left.

Q So we're just limiting ourself at this time to the Strawn formation, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q I think I need some history of this well. When was it -- when was it spud?

A I think it was in the fall of 1975. I want to say December, if I recall when.

Q Okay, and how about -- what formations has it produced from?

1 It's produced from the Fusselman, the Α 2 Atoka, and the Wolfcamp. 3 What kind of production was it from the Fusselman, oil or gas? 5 Α Gas. And what was that spaced on? Q 320. Α 8 Q And how about the Atoka? Α It was also spaced on 320, gas well. 10 When those two zones were -- were pro-Q 11 duced, was there a compulsory pooling on those, on those 12 particular formations? 13 Α I don't know. I think there was but 14 Curtis would be better -- better to answer that question. 15 And how about the 40-acre oil on the Q 16 particular 40 acres on which this well is located, is that 17 all Texaco? 18 Α Again I would refer that to Curtis, 19 since he's the landman. 20 MR. STOGNER: I have no fur-21 ther questions of Mr. Wehmeyer at this time. 22 Mr. Dinwiddie, do you have any 23 questions of this witness on anything that he has testified 24 to today? 25

QUESTIONS BY MR. DINWIDDIE:

Q I believe Mr. Wehmeyer is aware that this definitely is an oil well, seeing all this information here.

A Well, I agree. I was trying to remember I guess, the best I recollect, when the well was completed it did come in making oil and reasonably --

Q Well, the Wolfcamp is an oil zone. The Wolfcamp is an oil zone.

A Well, primarily oil, it is, and that's why --

Q You can't have a 40-acre spacing unit -- MR. HALL: If you'll allow him to answer his questions, please, sir.

A To the best of my recollection it is an oil well because it did come in making oil, and that's what I -- I'm pretty sure it is. I'll just have to check the records. I don't have the records to back it up, that's all.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ STOGNER: Do you have any other questions at this time?

MR. DINWIDDIE: I certainly object to the risk factor that's involved here in this thing because the well is already drilled and the plugs are there. It's drilled through the Fusselman. This plat

1 right here would be the pool drilling information where all 2 the plugs are set; TD. 3 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Dinwiddie, do you have any questions as far --5 No, no. MR. DINWIDDIE: 6 MR. STOGNER: this as 7 particular witness? MR. DINWIDDIE: No questions, 9 just telling --10 MR. STOGNER: You'll have your 11 testify to that when Mr. Hall is through, but if 12 you have no further questions of this witness, he may be 13 excused. 14 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, with 15 respect to the overhead and administrative costs for the 16 recompletion on this well, and while producing, we would 17 offer to supplement the record by correspondence, of course 18 copies to Mr. Dinwiddie, if that's acceptable to you. 19 MR. STOGNER: You're not 20 prepared to present that in this testimony? 21 MR. HALL: We have some 22 figures available today. They are somewhat aged and we 23 believe they may have been amended since their original

We'd like an opportunity find that out before

24

25

inception.

we submit the evidence.

._

put it on.

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Hall, in as

much as this is a contested case today, that particular piece of evidence should be presented at this time --

MR. HALL: All right, we'll

MR. STOGNER: -- so the party that's being contested can have a chance to cross examine.

Let the record show that Mr. Curtis Smith has been recalled to the stand and that we was previously sworn.

CURTIS SMITH,

being recalled as a witness and being previously sworn and remaining under oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. HALL:

Q Mr. Smith, do you have an estimate of overhead and administrative costs while performing the recompletion and also while producing the well?

A Well, we have an operating agreement that covers this acreage and other acreage with the working interest owners that I've listed earlier, with the exception of Mr. Dinwiddie, and under the COPAS it has \$300 for

drilling well rate and \$50 for the monthly overhead rate, and this is a 1962 operating agreement.

Q All right, do you believe that these costs are perhaps in line with what's being charged in the area?

A I -- to the best of my knowledge this is the only documentation I have for the overhead rates. I have not seen amendments. To the best of my knowledge this is what we're charging.

Q All right, do you recommend that these figures be incorporated into any order that results from these proceedings?

A Yes.

Q Okay.

CROSS EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. STOGNER:

Q I want to ask you the same questions about the Fusselman and Atoka formations.

When that has 320-acre spacing, were those compulsory pooled or did everybody volunteer to --

A Well, there were some mineral owners, as in our case, that did not have pooling provisions in their leases. The only documentation I could find in our file that those mineral owners were included in the pooling, in

1 forced pooling, I don't know if Mr. Dinwiddie or any 2 other working interest owners were included in a compul-3 sory pooling because with the -- like, again, with the exception of Mr. Dinwiddie, we have an operating agreement 5 with all the other working interest partners. 6 Q But you don't know if those were, indeed 7 -- had a forced pooling order written on them? 8 Α No. MR. STOGNER: Mr. Dinwiddie, 10 do you have any questions? 11 MR. DINWIDDIE: For the re-12 cord, we were not force pooled. They had a -- they had a 13 lease agreement in effect at that time on our minerals and 14 all of the Section 17, not just the east half, and the east 15 half has a 320-acre spacing and we were included in that 16 from, I believe, 1962. 17 MR. Thank you, Mr. STOGNER: 18 Dinwiddie. 19 Are there any other questions 20 of this witness? 21 MR. HALL: No. sir. 22 MR. STOGNER: He may be ex-23 cused. 24 Mr. Hall, do you have anything 25 further?

MR. HALL: That concludes our

2 case.

MR. STOGNER: All right, Mr.

Dinwiddie, at this time you may present your case.

MR. STOVALL: If you have documents or other that you'd like to enter into the record, then you may do so during the course of your presentation.

MR. DINWIDDIE: I believe no further questions at this time.

MR. STOVALL: Is there anything you'd like to say in terms of factual statements amplifying your statement with respect to leases or any information you have about the well or you've objected to the risk factor, is there any evidence you'd like to support to show that they -- that that risk factor is not appropriate?

MR. DINWIDDIE: I believe the risk factor request is excessive, grossly excessive, because the well is already drilled, cased. The only they've got -- they'll have to do is take the plug out and go, and should we decide to become a participating partner in this thing with a 200 percent risk factor added to our 100 percent they're already going to take for our pooling interest, that makes it 300 percent involvement we have in this thing to go with, and I just object to that 200 per-

cent risk factor since they already have the hole there, and it hasn't been that long since they've come up out of it.

In fact they were producing below the Strawn. This Fusselman is -- I have a diagram here if anyone would like to see it, where all the formations are, where they're plugged to in this well, all the way to the top, from top to bottom. This was furnished to me by Getty Oil Company when they drilled it, so --

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Dinwiddie, is this the only copy that you have?

MR. DINWIDDIE: Yes, sir, but you can have it to make a copy and return mine.

MR. STOGNER: I'd like that for the record. I would like to make some copies of this.

Let's take about a five minute recess. This is the cnly thing that we need copies of that you're referring to right now?

Let's get some copies of this.

MR. DINWIDDIE: Yes, sir, I believe that's right.

MR. STOGNER: I need to make copies of it, if I may, copies of this well schematic and I believe you were fixing to describe it and should do so at that time and state your case.

MR. DINWIDDIE: Well, I have no other comments other than we have -- you have a map here to look at and, of course you can see they were down at the Atoka, Fusselman first, Atoka, and then up to the Wolfcamp and now they wish to go back down below to the Strawn. So I have no other comments.

MR. STOGNER: I'm going to mark this as Mr. Dinwiddie's Exhibit Number One.

It is a schematic of the West Jal "BD" Well No. 1. I'll present this as a record at this time.

Mr. Dinwiddie, do you have any other comments you'd like to make?

MR. DINWIDDIE: I think no. I

QUESTIONS BY MR. STOGNER:

think not. I've --

Q Mr. Dinwiddie, I have a question of you. Do you think Texaco has -- has approached you in a fair and reasonable manner trying to get you to volunteer your mineral interest leased to them?

A Sir, I can't answer that but if they would want to come out there and buy my house for \$5000 I just wouldn't take it. They might think that was all my house was worth. That's the same thing as this mineral

I have, and that's mine. It belongs to me and my wife; has in our family since 1950, and these companies, Getty, Shell first had it; Skelly first had it; then Getty; now Texaco, and of course they're assuming any liabilities or responsibilities or anything that the other companies -- previous companies had, and I just -- he can't go anywhere in our area and lease any minerals for \$200.

Q Mr. Dinwiddie, do you think that you have been able to speak and correspond with Texaco adequately in trying to get your position across to them? Do you think you've had adequate correspondence and contact with them?

A Well, when the top dog just evidently relays to you through a Texaco man that he has nothing to add to what's already been offered, it sounds to me like that's kind of closing the door, when they think they can come up here and force pool you anyway.

Q And you were willing at that time to go to Texaco in Midland and correspond with you and your law-yer to the people, the landman and Texaco at their offices?

A I called the head Texaco landman, Kenneth Nix, whom I've dealt with since the day he come to Midland; had a real good relationship. That's the reason I called him. I asked him if there was anyone else there that I might talk to. He told me who the top man was and

we talked a little bit. He said he would talk to him, see if he could get us in, arrange an appointment. I told him I would bring my attorney, who lives in Midland, with me if he would like. We talked about it. He called me back the next day and said that he had talked to -- I keep wanting to call him Tibbits (sic).

MR. SMITH: Tidwell.

A Tidwell?

MR. SMITH: Tidwell.

A He said Mr. Tidwell had said he would be glad, happy, to talk to us if we'd like to come in but he had nothing else to add to what had been offered.

Q When was this correspondence or this contact that you are referring to? Do you have a date or a particular time that this happened?

A It's since I got this last letter from the other Texaco, it's probably been maybe six weeks now because it was before our September 26th -- this hearing, which was the reason we set it for September 26th.

Q So for the last six weeks you have not had any contact with Texaco.

A No. I did have a contact with Texaco here back when they were advising me that that they had contacted a law firm here to file this application and they reiterated that was -- of course that was before this.

•

Q And starting in 1975 in this particular well, you have had good relationships with Getty, Skelly, the companies that were operating the well before Texaco, is that correct?

A Well, I've had no other reason to have anything else because we had a lease agreement and all we had to do was to each one abide by our agreement, which has never -- is not -- there's been no -- all you have to do is go read your contract.

This has been --

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Hall.

A -- leased since 1962, I believe. No, it was before that a little bit, maybe in the late fifties originally, but '62 is when they drilled the first well on that to hold the lease, which was not this one, it was another one down on the south end, and they held this West Jal D under another well, which was the West Jal Strawn Unit, and that was West Jal A.

MR. STOVALL: If I may, a couple questions.

QUESTIONS BY MR. STOVALL:

Q First I'd like to clarify with respect to the land. You say this acreage has been under lease when it was being produced from the deeper formations.

1 Α Right. 2 Q And that lease, has that lease expired? 3 Yes, sir. Α When did that lease expire? Q 5 When they drilled this West Jal D. Α 6 drilled it before the West Jal A was in place and by that 7 they continued to hold this lease in effect, this east 8 half. Q And then when they plugged back, if I 10 understand correctly, they plugged back the West Jal to --11 Α In '84 they plugged up to the Wolfcamp, 12 which is designated an oil well, 40-acre spacing. That 13 shut us out of any participation and that relinquished all 14 other mineral interest in that east half of 17. 15 Q With respect to your lease. 16 Α Yes, sir, that's correct. 17 Okay. One other question that 18 differing a little bit, in your negotiations with Texaco, 19 do you understand that rather than leasing to Texaco, that 20 you could join them as a working interest owner in the well 21 and pay your share of the costs and --22 Yes, sir. Α 23 Q -- participate? 24 Α Yes, sir, I'm aware that we have three 25 options. I can lease it to them. I can go in as a nonparticipating partner or a participating partner.

And I just, the only -- I would prefer to take the participating partner, really, but I don't trust everybody. I've gotten older. I've been in the oil field 55 years in Lea County.

Q Your -- your preference would be to participate in the well with Texaco as a working interest owner?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Is that correct?
- A I think so. I don't --

Q And your reservation about that has to do with being given adequate information and participation, if you will, on --

A I don't want Texaco's pumper taking care of 100 wells and me having to pay his total -- his total fee just to see about that one, and everybody else paying him.

Q Have you discussed that with Texaco at all?

A No. sir. But this, when I recall, talked to Mr. Smith the last time, I -- when I'd offered to lease him the 100 acres for \$200 and 30 percent, I think it was approximately a month that I didn't hear from him or maybe about -- between four and six weeks, so I called him

one day and he still said that that was all the economic thing showed that that would be worth to them, so I told him that I was withdrawing my offer.

Now, in Texaco's letter of August 25th to you, Exhibit Number Three, they reference an operating agreement. Have you ever seen a copy of that operating agreement, had a chance to review it?

A No, sir, not that I'm aware of.

Q Do you know what would normally be contained in an operating agreement? Do you have any idea yourself of what --

A No, sir, because when we write leases I write my own lease.

Q You understand the difference between an operating agreement and a lease.

A No, sir.

Q And you have, if I understand you correctly, stated you have never discussed with the Texaco people under what terms you could participate as a working interest owner rather than a -- rather than leasing to Texaco?

A My attorney talked to me. He told me that was the three options that I had.

Q But did he -- have you, either you or your attorney talked to Texaco or anybody with Texaco, or

1 any representative, and discussed under what terms you 2 would participate, actually discussed entering into some 3 sort of agreement as a working interest owner with Texaco? Α Not that I'm aware of, --5 All of your negotiations have been in Q 6 the nature of purchasing -- Texaco purchasing your minerals 7 or purchasing a --8 Right, right. A -- lease from you. Q 10 A lease, no minerals; just a lease. Α 11 Okay. Q 12 MR. STOVALL: I have no fur-13 ther guestions. 14 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Hall. 15 MR. HALL: No questions. We 16 might have a brief statement. 17 MR. STOGNER: There are no 18 further questions by either party? 19 Hall, you may make your Mr. 20 closing statement at this time. 21 MR. HALL: Mr. Examiner, the 22 only contested issue in this pooling application is whether 23 or not Texaco made a good faith effort to secure voluntary 24 joinder of the nonparticipating mineral interest owners, 25 including Mr. Dinwiddie.

 Testimony establishes that some believe we did make the good faith effort and they participated.

With respect to Mr. Dinwiddie, I believe we have established through the testimony of Mr. Smith that we first approached him with \$150 an acre and a 3/16th royalty lease. That, according to Mr. Smith's testimony, is what the current going rate is for leases in the area.

Mr. Dinwiddie countered with \$500 an acre and 25 percent royalty. Before Texaco was even able to act on that counter offer, that counter offer itself was withdrawn and upped to a 30 percent royalty.

Mr. Dinwiddie has offered no testimony to establish what he believes the going rate is for lease bonuses or royalties for like recompletions in the area, or anywhere else, for that matter.

Mr. Dinwiddie again made the next move. He told Texaco he simply did not want to lease and the deal was off. Accordingly, Texaco responded, sent him an AFE and an operating agreement and another offer; didn't act on it.

There were subsequent follow-ups by Texaco to Mr. Dinwiddie, several telephone calls. Mr. Dinwiddie said he would take \$250 an acre and 25 percent royalty.

As the testimony of Mr. Wehmeyer has

established, royalty burdens that excessive threaten the economics of the project and may cause Texaco to reassess its plans with the prospect that they will walk away from the recompletion leaving in the ground with nobody participating at all.

With respect to Mr. Dinwiddie's position I understand what he is trying to say. He, throughout, has negotiated with Texaco with the prospect of giving them a lease. Now at the hearing for the first time we hear that he is really not interested in leasing at all but is more interested in participating in the well.

Texaco has given him that opportunity through every avenue it knew how. That is evidence of good faith. It has fulfilled its obligation of good faith, both to secure his joinder through leasing or participation. Mr. Dinwiddie has declined.

MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr.

Hall.

Anything -- anybody have any-

thing further?

Mr. Dinwiddie?

MR. DINWIDDIE: I didn't know this was going to be another presidential debate; get into that type thing.

The reason I withdrew the

offer is because Texaco had said that was the final -- that was it. MR. STOVALL: Mr. Dinwiddie, we at this point don't need to get into any more factual discussion about it. The record is complete, I would sug-gest. If nobody else MR. STOGNER: has anything further in Case Number 9490, I'll take it under advisement. Thank you, gentlemen. (Hearing concluded.)

- -

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY

CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9490, heard by me on 26 October 1988.

charle Slopers, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division