1 2 3 4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO  12 July 1989		
5 6	EXAMINER HEARING		
7 8	IN THE MATTER OF:  Application of Yates Energy Corpor- CASE		
10	ation for an unorthodox gas well 9695 location and a non-standard gas pro- ration unit, Chaves County, New Mexico.		
11 12 13			
14 15	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner  TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
16 17 18	APPEARANCES		
19	For the Division:		
21	For Yates Energy Ernest L. Carroll Corporation: Attorney at Law LOSEE LAW FIRM		
23 24	P. O. Drawer 239 Artesia, New Mexico 88211		
25			

		2	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	SHARON R. HAMILTON		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Carroll	3	
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	7	
6	Recross Examination by Mr. Carroll	9	
7			
8	CURTIS A. ANDERSON		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Carroll	11	
10			
11			
12	EXHIBITS		
13			
14	Yates Energy Exhibit One, Land Plat	5	
15	Yates Energy Exhibit Two, Structural Map	12	
16	Yates Energy Exhibit Three, Cross Section	14	
17	Yates Energy Exhibit Four, Isopach	17	
18	Yates Energy Exhibit Five, Geologic Write-up	18	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1 MR. I'll call next STOGNER: 2 Case 9695, which is the application of Yates Energy Cor-3 poration for an unorthodox gas well location, and a non-4 standard gas proration unit in Chaves County, New Mexico. 5 At this time I'll call for 6 appearances. 7 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, my 8 name is Ernest L. Carroll of the law firm of Losee, Carson, 9 Haas & Carroll of Artesia, New Mexico. 10 I have two witnesses today. 11 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 12 other appearances in this matter? 13 witnesses please Will the 14 stand? 15 16 (Witnesses sworn.) 17 18 MR. STOGNER; Mr. Carroll? 19 MR. CARROLL: We call our 20 first witness, Sharon Hamilton. 21 22 SHARON HAMILTON, 23 a witness and being duly sworn upon her being called as 24 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 25

## 4 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. CARROLL: 3 Hamilton, would you please state Q Ms. 4 your full name and by whom you're employed for the record? 5 Sharon R. Hamilton, Yates Energy Corpor-Α 6 ation. 7 Ms. Hamilton, have you previously Q 8 testified before this Commission hearing? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 Q In the capacity as a petroleum landman? 11 Yes, I have. Α 12 And have your credentials been accepted Q 13 by the Commission? 14 Α Yes, they were. 15 MR. Mr. Examiner, I CARROLL: 16 present this witness as an expert petroleum landman. 17 MR. STOGNER; Ms. Hamilton is 18 so qualified. 19 Ms. Hamilton, are you familiar with the Q 20 has been filed in this particular application that 21 proceeding by Yates Energy? 22 Yes, I am. Α 23 And what is that application for? Q

An unorthodox gas well location and a

24

25

Α

nonstandard proration unit.

```
1
                       All right, would you please summarize
             Q
2
    that application for the commissioner?
3
                       Our proposed location for the Seymour
             Α
 4
    State Com No. 2 Well, 1300 feet from the west line, 660
5
    feet from the south line, Section 18, 9 South, 27 East, for
6
    a proposed Montoya formation test at 6250 feet.
7
             Q
                       All right. Ms. Hamilton, have you pre-
8
    pared an exhibit land plat for today's hearing?
9
                       Yes, I have.
             Α
10
                          ask you to turn then to Yates Energy
11
    Exhibit Number One and please explain that exhibit to the
12
    examiner.
13
             Α
                       It shows the location of our proposed
14
    well in Section 18 of 9, 27, for a proration of the west
15
    half of said Section 18.
16
                       Is that 19 or 18?
             Q
17
             Α
                       Section 18.
18
                       Section 18, all right, and the proposed
19
    location, is it marked in red on this --
20
             Α
                       Yes, it is.
21
                            exhibit.
                                       All
                                           right.
                                                     Would you
             0
22
    please set out the offset operators to this particular pro-
23
    posed well?
24
                       Elk Oil Company is located to the south.
             Α
25
    Fred Pool Drilling Company to the west; Yates Petroleum
```

1 Corporation to the north and east; Plains Radio Broadcast-2 ing Company to the north; and Louisiana Land & Exploration 3 to the north, also. 4 All right. Ms. Hamilton, previous to Q 5 this hearing notice was given to all these offset opera-6 tors of this -- of this application and of the hearing, is 7 that correct? 8 Yes, sir, they've all been notified. Α 9 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, we 10 have filed an affidavit pursuant to Rule 1207 previously 11 showing -- the affidavit of myself and showing the copies 12 of the letter and return receipts. 13 Has Yates Energy also obtained waivers Q 14 from all five of these offset operators? 15 Yes, they have. Α 16 MR. CARROLL; Mr. Examiner, at 17 this time I would like to present the -- for filing, copies 18 of the original waivers from all five of the offset opera-19 tors. 20 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. 21 Carroll. 22 Before we continue, Inexco is 23 Louisiana Land and Exploration? Is that one --24 Α Yes. 25 MR. STOGNER: -- and the same?

1 MR. CARROLL; Yes, sir. 2 Inexco, let's see, it is -- Inexco is a wholly owned sub-3 sidiary of Louisiana Land and Exploration Company. 4 MR. STOGNER: Okay, so 5 Inexco's name shows up on the plat and Louisiana Land and 6 Exploration covers it. 7 MR. CARROLL: That's correct. 8 MR. STOGNER: Thank you, Mr. 9 Carroll, you may proceed. 10 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, I 11 have no further testimony from this witness and would 12 tender her to you. 13 MR. STOGNER: Okay, let's 14 see, you wish to offer Exhibit Number One at this time? 15 MR. CARROLL: Yes, I would 16 offer Exhibit Number One at this time. 17 MR. STOGNER: Exhibit Number 18 One will be admitted into evidence at this time and we'll 19 also admit the waivers which you have made a part of the 20 record. 21 22 CROSS EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. STOGNER: 24 Now, Mr. -- that looks like a J. J. Q 25 Grynberg's name appears on the north portion of Section 18.

Where does he fall in that, Ms. Hamilton?

A He's to be a part of our well under com-

Q Okay, so he is communitized.

A Yes, sir.

Q Or will be. Now looking to the south, there appears to be several names. Is that a Byrum in the northwest quarter of the northeast quarter of 19?

A Yes, sir, I believe that well is operated by Elk Oil Company.

Q Okay. Now that Elk Oil Company well, is that a 320-acre spacing unit in which that belongs to?

A I'm not certain of that.

MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, just for the record, that is a laydown in the top half of that section.

Elk Oil has worked with Yates Petroleum -- Yates Energy, excuse me, and in fact, there is an agreement. We had not proposed to present it to the commission as an exhibit but Yates Energy has entered into an agreement with Elk Oil that if they wish to drill a well at an unorthodox location to take advantage, that we will also grant a waiver to Elk Oil, and that is part of the behind the scenes negotiation with -- with Elk Oil and Yates Energy.

```
1
                                 MR. STOGNER: Let me make sure
2
            this right. They will not oppose your unorthodox
3
    location and you agree not to oppose them if they drill one
    in the north half, is that correct?
5
                                 MR.
                                      CARROLL:
                                                 That's correct.
6
    Mr. Examiner. In fact, waivers to that effect have already
7
    been signed and presented to Elk Oil.
8
                       Could you tell me what the status of
             Q
9
    each of these state leases are in Sections 18, 19 and 24,
10
    Ms. Hamilton? Is this all one lease?
11
             Α
                       I'm -- I'm not sure of that, sir.
12
                       Was the State Land Office involved in
             Q
13
    such an agreement, Ms. Hamilton, between Elk and your com-
14
    pany?
15
             Α
                       No, sir.
16
                                 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, if
17
    I might ask one question.
18
19
                       REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20
    BY MR. CARROLL:
21
                       There is a producing well in the west
             Q
22
    half of Section 18 at the present time, is there not?
23
                       Yes, there is.
             Α
24
                       And what is the name of that well?
             Q
25
             Α
                       The Seymour State Com No. 1.
```

```
1
             Q
                       That's located to the north of the pro-
 2
    posed location, is it not?
 3
                       Yes, sir, in the northwest of the -- in
 4
    the southwest of the northwest of 18.
 5
                       That well is presently producing holding
6
    that particular lease, is that correct?
 7
             Α
                       Yes, it is.
 8
             Q
                       And that well is not producing from the
9
    proposed objective of the State -- the Seymour No. 2, is
10
    that correct?
11
             Α
                       That's correct.
12
             Q
                       Do you recall what objectives that No. 1
13
    Well is producing from?
14
             Α
                          believe it's producing from the Abo
15
    formation.
16
                                  MR.
                                        STOGNER:
                                                   Mr.
                                                        Carroll,
17
    will your next witness have more information on this parti-
18
    cular well?
19
                                  MR.
                                       CARROLL: Yes, Mr. -- our
20
    next witness will be a geologist which will explain -- pre-
21
    sent additional exhibits and explain this particular well.
22
                                  MR.
                                       STOGNER:
                                                  Does he have
23
    knowledge in the Seymour State Com Well No. 1 and what its
24
    present completion is?
25
                                  MR.
                                       CARROLL:
                                                  Ι
                                                     believe he
```

```
11
 1
    does.
 2
                                  MR.
                                       STOGNER:
                                                  Okay, at this
 3
    time I have no other questions of Ms. Hamilton.
                                  Thank you, Mr. Carroll.
5
                                  MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
                                                             Curt
6
    Anderson, please.
7
8
                         CURTIS A. ANDERSON,
9
    being called as witness and being duly sworn upon his oath,
10
    testified as follows, to-wit:
11
12
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
13
    BY MR. CARROLL:
14
                        Would you please state your name and by
             Q
15
    whom you are employed for the record?
16
             Α
                        My name is Curtis Anderson and I'm em-
17
    ployed as a geologist with Yates Energy Corporation.
18
                        Mr. Anderson, have you previously testi-
19
    fied before the Oil Conservation Division?
20
                        Yes, I have.
             Α
21
                        And have your credentials been previous-
             Q
22
    ly accepted by this commission as an expert witness?
23
             Α
                        Yes.
24
                                  MR.
                                       CARROLL:
                                                 Mr. Examiner, I
25
    would tender Mr. Anderson as an expert witness.
```

MR. STOGNER: Mr. Anderson is

so qualified.

Q Mr. Anderson, you have prepared certain exhibits, have you not, for presentation to the examiner today?

A Yes.

Q All right, starting with Exhibit Number Two, would you please explain to the Examiner just exactly what this exhibit purports to portray?

A Okay. Exhibit Number Two is a structure map drawn on top of the Montoya formation and the Montoya formation is the primary objective at the proposed location.

On this map, which is a scale of 1-to-2000. The proposed proration unit is outlined in stipple. The gas wells that are colored brown are wells that are producing from the Montoya formation.

The brown colored area near the south or the lower center of the map is an area where the Montoya formation is absent and the blue color on the map is the approximate area of the Montoya reservoir that carries the natural gas.

This area is characterized by PrePenn-sylvanian structuring resulting in sharp structural knobs.

At the end of Mississippian time these knobs were eroded

exposing a central core of PreCambrian. At the central core, of course, there was an apex of the structure. All of the Montoya and Mississippian structure were removed. This central core of PreCambrian rock now is encircled by a rapidly outward thickening interval of Montoya rock.

This Montoya dolomite carries the natural gas and forms a doughnut shaped reservoir around the Paleo structure, after which the Pennsylvanian formation was deposited on top, and providing the trap.

The purpose of this map, of course, is to show the structure. Our proposed location in the southwest quarter of Section 18 is up dip from the Yates Energy Seymour State Com No. 1, located in the northwest quarter of Section 18, and it should be well within the productive limits of the Montoya formation.

This location is also on strike with several producing and offset wells; the well in the southwest quarter of Section 13 of 9 South, 26 East, which gas produced 1.2 BCF, and it's also on strike with two Elk Oil Company wells located in the northeast quarter and the southwest quarter of Section 19 of 9 South, 27 East.

Q Mr. Anderson, just to clarify for the record, there are certain notations on -- with respect to certain of these wells, abbreviations. One is N-D-E. Would you explain to Mr. Stogner what that stands for?

1 It's just that that borehole was not Α 2 drilled deep enough to penetrate the objective formation. 3 All right, and then there is the abbre-Q 4 viation "NP". 5 In that the well was drilled deep enough Α 6 but the Montoya formation was not present. 7 All right, now your Exhibit Number Two Q 8 does depict the other producing well that is located on the 9 west half of Section 18, does it not? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Q Could you tell the examiner a little 12 more about that well, what the objective -- original objec-13 tive was, where it's producing now, the history that you --14 you recall from it, and I may -- would that -- would it be 15 helpful to go to your cross section to explain that well? 16 Α Yes, it would. 17 On this Exhibit Two you do have a line Q 18 marked showing the location of the cross section which 19 you've prepared, do you not, and it's marked A-A'? 20 Α Yes. 21 All right, and then your cross section Q 22 is Exhibit Number Three, is it not? 23 Yes, it is. Α 24 Well, then, if you will please explain Q 25 exhibit for the Examiner and in particular dwell upon

the producing well, the Seymour No. 1 that's located in this half section.

A Sure. Structural cross section A-A', Montoya interval, from left to right goes from south to north. We'll begin on the right end, which will be the north.

The end well is the Yates Petroleum Corporation Whitworth RU State No. 1, located in the north-east quarter of Section 12 of 9, 26.

It's representative of a -- of a well that is well off structure and you can see there that the pink color includes a thick section of Mississippian formation and the blue color, a thick section of Montoya formation.

As you move up dip and on the flanks of the structure, to the subject well, which is the Yates Energy Corporation Seymour State Com No. 1, which is located again in the northwest quarter of Section 18 of 9, 27, you can see that the Mississippian rocks have been eroded away and we have Pennsylvanian -- Pennsylvanian rocks directly overlying the (unclear) from bottom up.

Three completion attempts were made in the Montoya formation, all of which resulted in either formation water or formation water plus noncommercial quantities of gas, and this is primarily because it was off structure too far.

Subsequently the well was perforated and completed in the Pennsylvanian formation and dually completed from the Abo formation, and that's the current production from that well. It's dually completed from the Pennsylvanian and the Abo.

MR. STOGNER: Let's stop on that for a second. Is there any plans on abandoning the Pennsylvanian zone in this particular well in the near future?

A Yes, sir, the Pennsylvanian zone is -is nearly depleted and the plans there are to, upon completion of this next well, to abandon the Pennsylvanian and
just produce from the Abo in the Seymour State No. 1.

MR. STOGNER: In fact, in May of 1989 was there a C-103, that's a sundry notice report, on this particular well, stating such a plan?

A That's correct. We had -- we had planned on abandoning the Pennsylvanian because of its noncommercial rates or right at the noncommercial breakover point
and attempting a completion in the Wolfcamp formation.

MR. STOGNER: I'll take administrative notice of the well file that we have on record on the Seymour No. 1. I appreciate you clearing that up for me, --

1

Yes, sir. Α

2

3

you may continue.

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOGNER: -- Mr. Anderson,

Α Moving from right to left again on the cross section, we move considerably up dip and on structure to the proposed location and then left of the proposed location we have the Fred Pool Eastham State No. 2, which is on strike, structural strike to the proposed location. You can see that well was perforated in the upper 50 feet of the Montoya formation and has produced 1.2 BCF.

And then the final well on the south end of the cross section is the Elk Oil Runyan State No. 1, which is located in the northwest quarter of Section 24, and you can see we're on to the exposed PreCambrian core of the structure at which there's -- there's no Montoya formation present.

The purpose of this particular exhibit was to further identify and describe the particular geologic structure that we're dealing with.

Q All right, Mr. Anderson, you've prepared another exhibit which we have marked as Exhibit Four. Would you please describe that exhibit for the examiner?

Exhibit Number Four is -- is an isopach Α map of net clean dolomite within the Montoya formation and this is to demonstrate the rapid thickening away from the

central core where the Montoya is absent.

Q All right, and your last exhibit, Exhibit Number Five, would you please explain what this exhibit is and its significance?

A Exhibit Number Five is just a geological write-up explaining the geology that we're dealing with at this location.

Q Is this what you've just previously testified to, the same -- same information is contained?

A Only probably better stated.

Q All right. Now, Mr. Anderson, with respect to the proposed location, based upon your experience as a petroleum geologist and your review of this area, is this proposed location the best location to drill a well within this -- the west half of Section 18?

A Absolutely. It's imperative, and you can see that it's very -- I'm trying to search for a word, it's -- I hate to use risky, but it's difficult to predict where the actual edge of this structure is, where you'll maintain a thickness of Montoya and not produce and we are here, of course, moving up structure. We see that down in the north half of Section 19 that -- that the Montoya is -- is absent and we should be optimally located to drain the -- the west half of Section 18.

Also within this field, in particular

1 the well located in the southwest -- southeast quarter of 2 Section 24, the producer located in the northwest quarter 3 of Section 30, the northeast quarter of Section 26, all are unorthodox locations for 320-acre proration units. Of 5 course, further defining the -- the nature of the particu-6 lar geology that we're dealing with and the critical part 7 of where to locate the wells. 8 Q Mr. Anderson, then, in your expert 9 opinion, were the Commission to grant this, do you feel 10 that the granting of such an application by Yates Energy 11 would be in the interest of conservation, present waste, 12 and protect correlative rights? 13 Α Yes. 14 MR. CARROLL: Mr. Examiner, I 15 would tender the witness to you. I have no further ques-16 tions. 17 And I would also move admis-18 sion of Exhibits Two through Five. 19 MR. STOGNER: Exhibits Two 20 through Five will be admitted into evidence at this time. 21 I have no further questions of 22 Mr. Anderson. 23 Are there any questions

If not, he may be excused.

24

25

this witness?

Mr. Carroll, do you have any-thing further in this case? No, sir, I do MR. CARROLL: not. MR. STOGNER: Does anybody else have anything further in Case Number 9695? This case will be taken under advisement. (Hearing concluded. 

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Svery W. Boyd CSR

do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9695, heard by me on 12 July 1989.

Oil Conservation Division, Examiner

Oil Conservation Division

8/2/89