ſ			
1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION		
_	STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG. SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO		
3	5 September 1984		
4	EXAMINER HEARING		
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE MATTER OF:		
9	Application of Yates Petroleum CASE		
10	Corporation for a non-standard 8304 proration unit or in the alter-		
	native compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.		
11			
12			
13	BEFORE: Gilbert P. Quintana, Examiner		
14			
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING		
16			
17			
18	APPEARANCES		
19			
20	For the Oil Conservation Charles E. Roybal		
	For the Oil Conservation Charles E. Roybal Division: Attorney at Law Energy and Minerals Dept.		
21	525 Camino de Los Marquez		
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501 For the Applicant: Chad Dickerson		
23	Attorney at Law		
24	LOSEE, CARSON, DICKERSON, P. A. P. O. Drawer 239 Artesia, New Mexico 88210		
25	ALCESIA, NEW MEXICO 60210		

	2	
	6 .	
INDEX		
JANET RICHARDSON		
Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson		
EXHIBITS		
Yates Exhibit One, Map	5	
Yates Exhibit Two, Completion Report	6	
Yates Exhibit Three, Letter	6	
	JANET RICHARDSON Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson E X H I B I T S Yates Exhibit One, Map Yates Exhibit Two, Completion Report	JANET RICHARDSON Direct Examination by Mr. Dickerson E X H I B I T S Yates Exhibit One, Map Yates Exhibit Two, Completion Report 6

next Case 8304.

MR. QUINTANA: We will call

Mr. ROYBAL: Case 8304, appli-

cation of Yates Petroleum for a non-standard proration unit or in the alternative compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

MR. DICKERSON: Mr. Examiner, I am Chad Dickerson of Artesia, New Mexico, on behalf of the applicant, and we have one witness.

Examiner, because of some discussions with Mr. Ray Graham with the Land Office and Mr. Stamets in your office, I think the portion of this application which seeks compulsory pooling can be dismissed and we'll proceed with just the application for a non-standard proration unit.

MR. QUINTANA: Well, let me ask are there any other appearances in this case?

Let the record show that applicant has requested that the compulsory pooling portion of this application be dismissed, and that portion will so be dismissed.

(Witness sworn.)

JANET RICHARDSON,

being called as a witness and having been duly sworn upon her oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. DICKERSON:

Q Will you state your name, your occupation, and where you reside, please?

A Janet Richardson. I work at Yates Petroleum Corporation and I live at 1108 Yates in Artesia, Mew Mexico.

Q Ms. Richardson, you are a landman and have previously testified before this Division as a landman, have you not?

A Yes, I have.

MR. DICKERSON: Is this witness qualified, Mr. Examiner?

MR. QUINTANA: Yes, she is.

Q Ms. Richardson, would you briefly summarize the purpose of Yates' application in Case 8304?

A Yes. We're here to seek approval of a non-standard spacing unit in the northeast corner of the northeast quarter and the south half of the northeast quarter of Section 36, Township 20 South, Range 27 East.

Q What circumstances gave rise to this request for an non-standard proration unit?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, we drilled a--or we thought we Α drilled a 40-acre oil well, on a standard location, and we inadvertently got a 160-acre gas well.

What is the reason for the request for a non-standard unit of the 120 acres instead of the standard 160-acre gas unit?

Α Cities Service already has an existing deep gas well in the northwest of the northeast quarter, and have requested that we request this 120 acres facing it. They did not want to join with us in the drilling of this well.

0 Ms. Richardson, would you refer to the map that we have marked as Exhibit Number One and tell the Examiner what is shown on that map?

This just shows the spacing unit we requesting and it shows the gas well we have on it, and it also shows Cities Service's well in the northwest of the northeast.

What is the situation concerning the Q derlying title of the 160 acres in question?

> It's all under State Lease K-6261. Α

And title is uniform throughout that 160? 0

Yes, yes. Α

Have any discussions been had between representatives of Yates and the Land Office, the Commissioner of Public Lands, with regard to whether it might have any opposition to Yates' request for a non-standard unit under

A Yes, we've had a conversation with Mr.

Ray Graham with the State Land Office, and he has said that seeing as it is all under one lease and the same title that they have no objection to this.

Q Refer to what you have marked as Exhibit Number Two and tell us what that shows.

A This is a completion report showing the completion of our well, the Citdel ZG State No. 1.

Q And that's the well indicated in the northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 36, is that correct?

A Yes, it is.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Q}}$ And that completion report shows this well to be completed as a gas well in the Bone Springs formation?

A Yes, it is.

Q Refer to Exhibit Number Three and state what that is.

A This is a copy of a letter we received from Cities Service requesting that we get the reduced spacing unit for this well. We had telephoned them and requested a farm-out of their acreage or to know if they would join, and they sent this letter saying that they did not wish to.

Q So this request for a non-standard proration unit is actually at the instance of City Service?

A Yes, it is.

1	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY AND MINERALS DEPARTMENT	
2	OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BLDG.	
3	SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO	
4	22 August 1984	
5	EXAMINER HEARING	
6		
7		
8	IN MUD MARMED OD.	
	IN THE MATTER OF:	
9	Application of Yates Petroleum Cor- CASE poration for a non-standard proration 8304	
10	unit or in the alternative compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.	
11		
12		
13	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner	
14		
15	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
16		
17		
18	APPEARANCES	
19		
20	For the Oil Conservation W. Perry Pearce Division: Attorney at Law	
21	Oil Conservation Commission State Land Office Bldg.	
22	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501	
23	For the Applicant:	
24		
25		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STOGNER: Call next Case

MR. PEARCE: That case is on

the application of Yates Petroleum Corporation for a non-standard proration unit, or in the alternative

compulsory pooling, Eddy County, New Mexico.

County, New Mexico.

Number 8304.

Mr. Examiner, applicant has requested continuance until September the 5th, 1984.

MR. STOGNER: Case Number 8304

will be continued to the Examiner Hearing scheduled for September 5th, 1984.

(Hearing concluded.)

 $\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Solly W. Boyd CER.

do hereby corposited the 12 s a second of the proceedings in the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in the proceedings in heard by me on the proceedings in the proceeding in the proceedings in the proceedings in the proceedings