1 2	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO
3	8 June 1988
4 5	EXAMINER HEARING
6 7	IN THE MATTER OF:
8	Application of Nearburg Producing CASE Company for an unorthodox oil well 9403
10	location, Lea County, New Mexico.
11 12 13	BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner
14	APPEARANCES
16 17	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
18	State Land Office Bldg. Santa Fe, New Mexico For the Applicant: William F. Carr
19 20	Attorney at Law CAMPBELL and BLACK, P.A. P.O. BOX 2208
21	Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
22	
23	
24	
25	

CONTRACTOR ACTUAN A BOLD CONTRACTOR INTO CANDE BUCCOSTO CO

1.2 RM 25. OF 1

NAMED

3 1 MR. CATANACH: Call next Case 2 9403. 3 MR. STOVALL: Application of 4 Nearburg Producing Company for an unorthodox oil well 5 location, Lea County, New Mexico. 6 MR. CATANACH: Are there 7 appearances in this case? 8 MR. CARR: May it please the 9 Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the law firm 10 Campbell & Black of Santa Fe. 11 I have two witnesses in this 12 case, Mark Nearburg and Louis Mazzullo. I would request 13 that the record reflect that they have previously been 14 qualified and remain under oath. 15 MR. CATANACH: The record 16 shall so indicate that, Mr. Carr. 17 You may proceed. 18 19 MARK NEARBURG, 20 being previously sworn upon his oath and qualified, testi-21 fied as follows, to-wit: 22

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. CARR:

23

24

25

Q Mr. Nearburg, are you familiar with the

4 1 application filed in this case? 2 Α Yes. 3 Q Are you familiar with the Doubloon State 4 24-P No. 1 Well and the surrounding area? 5 Yes. 6 Would you briefly state what Nearburg Q 7 seeks with this application? 8 Nearburg seeks approval of an unortho-9 dox oil well location to test the Strawn formation, located 10 400 feet from the south and east lines of said Section 24. 11 Q And in what pool do you project this 12 well? 13 Northeast Lovington Pennsylvanian Pool. А 14 Are you familiar with the rules for that Q 15 pool? 16 Yes, 80-acre spacing and proration units Α 17 and wells located within 150 feet of the center of a 18 quarter quarter section. 19 Q Would you refer to what has been marked 20 as Nearburg Exhibit Number One, identify this and review it 21 for Mr. Catanach? 22 Α This is a land map showing the proration 23 unit in yellow and the well with the red dot. 24 Does this also indicate the offsetting Q 25 ownership?

1 Α Yes, it does. The map does not repre-2 sent all the trades that Nearburg has made to acquire 3 rights to the offset acreage around this unit. 4 And who actually owns the offsetting or 5 controls the offsetting acreage? 6 Α Nearburgy Producing -- Nearburg Petro-7 leum company. 8 Q And the primary producing interval in 9 the subject well will be what formation? 10 Strawn formation. Α 11 Q Would you refer to what has been marked 12 as Nearburg Exhibit Number Two and identify that, please? 13 Α This is the Application for Permit to 14 Drill, Form C-101 and 102, filed with the Oil Commission. 15 And it shows the proposed well location. Q 16 Yes. Α 17 Would you now move to Nearburg Exhibit 18 Number Three and identify that, please? 19 Α I'd like to --20 Okay, there's --Q 21 MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, 22 there's -- there is an error in the C-102 that has been 23 filed and it reflects the location at a 300/300 foot loca-24 tion. 25 It's actually as advertised,

1 400 feet from both the south and east lines. We will file 2 an amended C-102. 3 Mr. Nearburg, would you now refer to 4 Nearburg Exhibit Number Three, identify that for Mr. 5 Catanach, please? 6 These are the notices provided to the 7 offset owners to the well and the registered -- certified 8 mail receipts attached with those. 9 And you've got a notice in there to --10 to whom? 11 Notices were mailed to Tenneco Oil Α 12 Company, OXY USA, which is Cities Service. 13 Q And what is the relationship between 14 Nearburg and each of those entities? 15 Each of those entities has reached Α 16 formal agreement with Nearburg to develop their lands. 17 And so you actually are going to be Q 18 operating the properties in which they have the interest. 19 Α Yes. 20 Q Were Exhibits One through Three compiled 21 by you or prepared under your direction and supervision? 22 Α Yes. 23 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. 24 Catanach, we would offer Nearburg Exhibits One through 25 Three.

7 1 MR. CATANACH: Exhibits One 2 through Three will be admitted as evidence. 3 MR. CARR: That concludes my 4 direct examination of Mr. Nearburg. 5 6 CROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. CATANACH: 8 Mr. Nearburg, let's go over this land Q 9 and tell me exactly what -- you have two offset opera-10 tors that you notified. 11 Α Yes. 12 Q Tenneco and OXY. What acreage do they 13 own? 14 Okay. Cities Service has in Section 25 Α 15 due south of the test well, the northeast quarter northeast 16 quarter is Cities Service. 17 The northwest quarter northwest quarter 18 of Section 30 to the southeast of the test well is owned by 19 Tenneco. 20 Okay, what about Section 19 to the east Q 21 there? 22 That's operated by Nearburg. Α

Okay, is that from a farmout from -- my

Oh, Bran Oil has assigned all fo those

23

24

25

lease map indicates Bran Oil?

Α

1 rights to Nearburg and the map does not reflect it. 2 3 4 5 6 Cities 7 8 9 right? 10 Α 11 12 13 state --14 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

As I understand it, you've come to an agreement with Tenneco and OXY to develop their acreage in

Section 25 and 30? Is that right?

In Section 25 we have an agreement with to develop their land, if appropriate, and the same in Section 30 with Tenneco.

I see. This is an oil well location,

Yes. And your notice to offset operatalks about, you stated that you were seeking approval of an unorthodox gas well location. Of course, it does

MR. CARR: It identifies the pool, I think it does, and it -- the location is also present, and in the future we're not going to identify what kind of a well it's going to be.

If it turns out to be salt water, it turns out to be salt water.

MR. CATANACH: Okay, that's all the questions I have.

> Thank you. Α

LOUIS J. MAZZULLO,

being previously sworn upon his oath, and qualified, testi-

1 fied as follows, to-wit:

2

3

4

BY MR. CARR:

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

Mr. Mazzullo, will you refer to what has Q been marked as Nearburg Exhibit Number Four and review this for Mr. Catanach as it relates to the Doubloon Well?

Α Exhibit Number Four is an identical Strawn structure map as presented in the previous case.

It shows the Strawn structure on 50-foot contour intervals, which has been drawn from a combination of subsurface mapping, using existing well logs, and to a limited extent on the basis of seismic and interpretations thereon were also made on the basis of detailed sampler evaluations.

The stippled areas again refer to areas of porous reef development in the Strawn section, which is the local pay interval in this particular part of the pool.

It shows that the No. 1 Soledad, which is the well to the northeast of the proposed location, the Doubloon State No. 24, which is indicated by the blue dot, is up-dip of the No. 1 Soledad, which is, of course, presently producing from this same Strawn pool. It shows also that the No. 1 -- that the 24 -- Doubloon State 24-P No. 1

5

is in a more shelfward position on this patch reef relative to the No. 1 Soledad, and possibly will be a little bit more seaward in position on this patch reef than the No. 1-C Monteith to its north in the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 24.

The productive porosity in this particular pay reef is expected to trend southwestward of the No. 1 Soledad, but how far to the west it's going to extend is highly speculative. I don't know where the exact edge of the -- the landward edge of the reef is going to be, so it's in our -- in our best interest to minimize risk to place the well as far over to the eastern -- towards the eastern section line as is practicable.

The nonstandard location will allow us to get up-dip of the No. 1 Soledad by about 50 feet by my mapping, and lessen the risk of getting out of the fairway by going too far west. We're moving toward the east and the only thing we're doing by moving over to the east is moving towards acreage that is owned or controlled by Nearburg Producing Company.

Q Mr. Mazzullo, in your opinion will a well at the proposed location effectively and efficiently drain the reserves that you hope are present under the proration unit dedicated to the well?

A Based upon my interpretation it will

11 1 most effectively drain these reserves. 2 your opinion will granting the In 3 application be in the best interest of conservation, the 4 prevention of waste, and the protection of correlative 5 rights? 6 Α Yes. 7 MR. CARR: At this time --8 Q Or did you prepare Exhibit Number Four? 9 Α Yes. 10 MR. CARR: At this time, Mr. 11 Catanach, we would move the admission of Nearburg Exhibit 12 Number Four. 13 MR. CATANACH: Exhibit Number 14 Four will be admitted into evidence. 15 MR. CARR: That concludes my 16 direct examination of Mr. Mazzullo. 17 18 CROSS EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. CATANACH: 20 Mr. Mazzullo, you've shown what you --21 what in your opinion is the productive fairway within the 22 Strawn. You testified that you don't know what the west --23 how far west this -- this productive fairway extends. 24 Do you know if -- do you have an opin-

ion as to whether the southwest quarter of the southeast

quarter would be productive in the Strawn?

A If I didn't think it would be, I wouldn't propose the location there to begin with.

The southwest quarter, not the --

A Southwest quarter --

O Southwest of the southeast.

A Oh, the southwest of the southeast. I don't know and I won't be able to tell until this well is down and I've had the opportunity to look at the logs and samples.

But these trends through the area, through these productive reefs, are relatively narrow and they can vary abruptly, as we've -- as experience has shown us before, can very abruptly terminate in either a north/south or an east/west direction.

So what we would like to do is not to go too far afield. We know that the No. 1 Soledad is getting close to the seaward edge, and we know that the No. 2-C Monteith to the north is right at the landward edge. It the No. 1-C was 100 feet west of where it was, it would be totally out of porosity. There wouldn't be a stick of porosity in there.

I'm hoping that by moving the Doubloon closer to the eastern section line, that we will minimize the risk of possibly getting out or getting too far

closer to the eastern section line, that we will minimize the risk of possibly getting out or getting too far landward in this productive fairway. You can see it's not very wide. It might surprise us and develop another porosity pod in a different part of the section in the southwest quarter, but whether or not this will, this particular pod is going to be present there, I can't tell as yet.

MR. CARR: Mr. Catanach, I might point out that if it was a stand-up unit being the east half of the southeast, the ownership would be the same. It is all the same lease. It's a farmout from Yates, so it really wouldn't change the ownership whether it was a laydown or a stand-up in that quarter section.

Q Mr. Mazzullo, what did you use to determine the extent of the fairway, just well control, well log data?

A Well, that, that. As in the previous cross section, you can see where the -- where the pay zone is starting to be interbedded along its margins with non-productive type rock.

Also, by looking at the samples, there are certain types of limestone that are associated with the basinal or the seaward side of these patch reefs in this area versus what is characteristic of shelfward type facies

that are nonproductive.

I could see shelfward type facies inter-bedded with the rocks in the 1-C, or I could see indica-tions that they exist in the 1-C Monteith, whereas, in the Soledad we're getting -- we're picking up interbeds of basinal type carbonates, so those two wells plus the log character in the No. 1 -- in the No. 2 Monteith State in Section 19, all of those factors together allow me to speculate on where the edge of the reefs -- the reef is on either side, both on the seaward side and on the landward It's a very careful sample evaluation that tells me side. that.

Q You're basically only a 110 feet from a standard location. Do you think it makes that much difference?

A Yes, it does. We -- Nearburg has had experience before in being only 100 feet or so from the edge of a reef and getting totally into barren rock, and the experience is shared by many other operators in this area. This area is very notorious for -- for, you know, if you step out 200 feet or 100 feet in one direction or another it could mean the difference between a productive well and barren rock.

Q Do these wells have any natural tendency to drift in any direction that you know of?

1 There's a very limited amount of drift 2 typically in the up-dip direction, but we -- we've taken 3 downhole surveys on wells that Nearburg has drilled and 4 they don't drift more than maybe 35 feet or so in an up-dip 5 direction. 6 7 CROSS EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. STOVALL: 9 On your Exhibit Number Four you've Q 10 sort of indicated the -- I'll say the end of your stippling 11 pattern, anyway, just below the section line. 12 Uh-huh. Α 13 Does that indicate that you think the 14 reef ends there or is that just that you don't have any 15 information about --16 No, we just don't have any information Α 17 over there. 18 MR. CATANACH: That's all the 19 questions we have. 20 Is there anything further in 21 this case? 22

MR. CARR: Nothing further.

MR. CATANACH: If not, Case

9403 will be taken under advisement.

25

23

__

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSP

, Examiner

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9403 heard by me on 1988.

Oil Conservation Division