

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

26 July 1989

EXAMINER HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF:

In the matter of the hearing called by CASE
the Oil Conservation Division on its 9711
own motion for an order creating and
extending certain pools in Chaves and
Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

BEFORE: David R. Catanach, Examiner

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING

A P P E A R A N C E S

For the Division: Robert G. Stovall
Attorney at Law
Legal Counsel to the Division
State Land Office Building
Santa Fe, New Mexico

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I N D E X

ROY JOHNSON

Direct Examination by Mr. Stovall 4

E X H I B I T S

Exhibit One, Data 4

1 MR. CATANACH: At this time
2 we'll call Case 9711.

3 In the matter of the hearing
4 called by the Oil Conservation Division on its own motion
5 for an order creating and extending certain pools in Chaves
6 and Eddy Counties, New Mexico.

7 Are there appearances in this
8 case?

9 MR. STOVALL: Robert G.
10 Stovall of Santa Fe on behalf of the Division.

11 I have one witness.

12 MR. CATANACH: Any other ap-
13 pearances?

14 Will the witness please stand
15 and be sworn in?

16
17 (Witness sworn.)

18
19 MR. CATANACH: You may pro-
20 ceed, Mr. Stovall.

21
22 ROY JOHNSON,
23 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
24 oath, testified as follows, to-wit:

25

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOVALL:

Q Would you please state your name, by whom you're employed and in what capacity?

A My name is Roy Johnson. I'm employed by the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division here in Santa Fe as a Senior Petroleum Geologist.

Q Have you previously testified before the Commission or its examiners and had your credentials accepted?

A I have.

Q Are you prepared to make recommendations to the Examiner today concerning the nomenclature of certain pools in Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico?

A I am.

Q And are your recommendations prepared in the form of an exhibit?

A They are. The exhibit is labeled Exhibit Number One.

Q And would you please refer to Exhibit Number One and to the docket that's been distributed for the hearing today and -- and identify any differences between the two, if there are any?

A There are no differences between the exhibit and docket; however, we request that paragraphs (e)

1 and (h) be dismissed.

2 Q Have you examined the contents of Exhi-
3 bit Number One and assured yourself of the accuracy?

4 A I have.

5 Q Do you have anything further to add to
6 your testimony?

7 A I do not.

8 Q Have a good time in Farmington.

9 A I will.

10 MR. STOVALL: I have nothing
11 further.

12 MR. CATANACH: There being
13 nothing further in this case, Case 9711 will be taken un-
14 der advisement.

15

16 (Hearing concluded.)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY
CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the
Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me;
that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record
of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sally W. Boyd CSR

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is
a complete record of the proceedings in
the Examiner hearing of Case No. 9711.
heard by me on July 26 1959.
David R. Catamb, Examiner
Oil Conservation Division