1 2 3 4	STATE OF NEW MEXICO ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 9 August 1989
5 6	EXAMINER HEARING
7	TN DUE MADDED OF
	IN THE MATTER OF:
8 9	Application of Nassau Resources for CASE compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, 9717
7	New Mexico.
10	
11	BEFORE: Michael E. Stogner, Examiner
12	BEFORE: MICHael E. Scogner, Examiner
13	
14	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
15	
16	APPEARANCES
17	For the Division: Robert G. Stovall
18	Attorney at Law Legal Counsel to the Division
19	State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico
20	For Nassau Resources: Karen Aubrey
21	Attorney at Law KELLAHIN, KELLAHIN & AUBREY
22	P. O. Box 2265 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504
23	
24	
25	

		2	
1	INDEX	_	
2			
3	KENT CRAIG		
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Aubrey	4	
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	10	
6			
7	GARY J. JOHNSON		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Aubrey	14	
9	Cross Examination by Mr. Stogner	18	
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Stovall	26	
11	Recross Examination by Mr. Stogner	27	
12	Recross Examination by Mr. Stovall	28	
13			
14			
15	EXHIBITS		
16			
17	Nassau Exhibit One, Correspondence	5	
18	Nassau Exhibit Two, Plat	6	
19	Nassau Exhibit Three, AFE	15	
20	Nassau Exhibit Four, Certificate of Mailing	9	
21 22			
23			
23 24			
25			
-			

1 MR. STOGNER: Call next Case 2 9717. 3 Application of MR. STOVALL: 4 Nassau Resources for compulsory pooling, Rio Arriba County, 5 New Mexico. 6 MR. STOGNER: Call for appear-7 ances. 8 Karen Aubrey of MS. AUBREY: 9 the Santa Fe firm of Kellahin, Kellahin & Aubrey, appearing 10 for the applicant. 11 I have two witnesses to be 12 sworn. 13 MR. STOGNER: Are there any 14 other appearances in this matter? 15 Will the witnesses please 16 stand to be sworn? 17 18 (Witnesses sworn.) 19 20 KENT CRAIG, 21 being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his 22 oath, testified as follows, to-wit: 23 24 25

4 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. AUBREY: 3 Q Would you state your name and your place of employment for the record? 5 My name is Kent Craig and I'm the Α Yes. 6 land manager for Jerome P. McHugh and Nassau Resources, 7 which is an affiliate of McHugh. 8 Mr. Craig, have you testified previously Q 9 before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division? 10 I have. Α 11 Q And are you familiar with the applica-12 tion of Nassau Resources in Case 9717? 13 Α Yes, ma'am. 14 MS. AUBREY: Mr. Examiner, I 15 tender Mr. Craig as an expert in petroleum land titles. 16 MS. STOGNER: Mr. Craig is so 17 qualified. 18 Craig, would you briefly state what Mr. 19 Nassau Resources seeks to accomplish by its application? 20 Α Yes. We plan to drill a Fruitland coal 21 in the east half of Section 15, that's our designated 22 unit, of Township 32 North, 4 West, and 5/8ths of that east 23

15, as noted on Exhibit Number Two, is owned by

Kindermac Partners, which is our company, and then we have

80 percent of 120 acres, which is also leased by Kindermac

24 25 half of

Partners, and 20 percent of it is unleased and we are not able to obtain leases as to that 20 percent.

Q And what does that -- what working interest does that 20 percent represent out of the proration unit?

A That would constitute 7.5 percent of the unit.

Q When did you first start negotiating with the working interest owners in order to obtain a voluntary participation in this unit?

A Well, as far as mineral owners versus working interest owners, we first contacted them in the spring of 1987 and have been in touch with them since on a continuous basis.

Q Let me have you look now at what is marked as Nassau Exhibit Number One.

A Right.

Q That's an exhibit with a number of pages. Can you tell the Examiner what that represents?

A Yes, ma'am. Page 1, as you see, is a certified letter dated July the 5th of 1989 to, specifically, Joe Martinez, Jr. and Demetrio Martinez. and then two pages below that you'll note we sent an original letter dated June the 29th to Edward Gallegos, Jr., Joe Martinez, and Demetrio.

Mr. Gallegos, who's first listed, leased

with us but Joe Martinez, Jr. and Demetrio have elected not to lease, nor have they elected to join the well. As you'll note, if you'll flip on through there, you'll see a copy of our draft, a ratification of the unit agreement. This lands are contained in a Federal exploratory unit called Carracas Canyon. A copy of our lease that we sent to the Martinez and a copy of our AFE for the proposed test in Section 15, and in our letter we requested that they either lease or join the well at their option.

Q Now these documents that you just referred to are dated June 29th, 1989, is that right?

A Right. That's correct.

Q But you have had contact over approximately the last two years with these people?

A Starting in the spring of '87, yes, ma'am.

The next thing below there is a letter to the Martinez' mother, Sophia Martinez, and again it was certified. We sent her a copy of the AFE and then a request that she join the unit and a lease along with a plat, which we'll show as Exhibit Number Two, showing where we were drilling the well, and again we asked Mrs. Martinez to join or lease.

The last part of Exhibit Number One, are

leases that we obtained from Edward Gallegos, Ms. Payne, Mr. Lanier, Ms. Troxell, and Ms. Madrid.

Initially, when we started trying to lease these unleased minerals, we had eight mineral owners which would not lease. As you can see from the attached, five of them have elected to lease. The Martinez have elected not to, the three Martinez.

Q What's been your most recent contact with the Martinez?

I called Mrs. Martinez, Sophia Martinez, last Friday to remind her of the hearing today and to ask her again if they had any questions with respect to the lease or the AFE or had they decided anything, and she said we're just not interested.

Let me have you look at the AFE which Q you submitted. What's the date on that AFE, or can you tell me approximately when it was prepared?

Α Yeah. We prepared it around the middle of June this year, 1989.

Q And is it Nassau Resources' position that this is, as far as you know, an accurate and current AFE for the proposed well?

> Α Yes, ma'am.

Q Let me have you look now at Exhibit Number Two, which is an area map.

8 9

1

2

3

5

6

7

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A Okay.

Q Can you explain for the Examiner what the area outlined in yellow is?

A Yes. This entire area, Mr. Stogner, is -- shows the east half of our Federal exploratory unit, and we're right on the Colorado/New Mexico state line, right

east of Navajo Reservoir. That's where our unit is lo-

 8 | cated.

part of 15, shows Dalport Oil Corporation. That's actually

The east half of 15, which -- the blue

McHugh. We bought that lease about a month and a half ago.

And then the east half of the northeast and the

northeast/southeast, which is the 120 acres I have colored

in yellow, are the lands that are covered by the Martinez,

et al, proposed leases.

To the east, in the northwest northwest of Section 14, is one of our original unit wells called the Carracas Mesa 14-1. That well is currently shutin. We think it's a producer but we're waiting for the Forest Service to grant us access to lay a pipeline there.

To the west, in Section 16, you'll note in the northwest/southwest the Carracas 16-B No. 12. That is the closest producer we have to the location and we hooked that well up approximately two weeks ago and it's making between, I think, 60 and 80 MCF a day out of the

9 1 Fruitland coal. 2 Q How far away is that well from your 3 proposed location? It's a mile and three-quarters, roughly. 5 And is that the closest producing well Q 6 in the Fruitland coal to your proposed location? 7 Α Yes, ma'am, it is. 8 Mr. Craig, do you have your proposed Q 9 well on your drilling schedule at this time? 10 We're in hopes of commencing Α We do. 11 this well within the next 3-1/2 weeks. We have a drilling 12 rig on location in 32-5, and then we have one other well to 13 drill and then we hope to drill this well next. It would 14 be about 3 weeks away, 3-1/2 weeks away. 15 Mr. Craig, were Exhibits One and Two Q 16 either prepared by you or under your supervision and 17 direction? 18 Α Yes, they were. 19 MS. AUBREY: Mr. Stogner, I 20 tender Exhibits One and Two and what I've marked as Exhibit 21 Four, which is the original and copies of the certificate 22 of mailing in compliance with Order R-8054 sent out by our 23 office.

MR. STOVALL: Ms. Aubrey, you 25 have another witness? Is that witness going more into the

	10
1	cost factors including the AFE and overhead cost and that
2	sort of thing.
3	MS. AUBREY: Yes, Mr. Stovall.
4	MR. STOVALL: Okay.
5	MR. STOGNER: Exhibits One,
6	Two and Four will be admitted into evidence at this time.
7	MS. AUBREY: I have no further
8	questions of the witness.
9	
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. STOGNER:
12	Q Mr. Craig, you mentioned that you've
13	been about a year and a half trying to get this all this
14	together?
15	A Two years.
16	Q Two years.
17	A Yes.
18	Q Now that was was that time taken into
19	getting a unit agreement also?
20	A No, actually our unit agreement started
21	in October of 1986, so that's been almost three years.
22	Q Okay, so essentially two years you've
23	been working just on this proration unit.
24	A This unit, as well as the unit in Sec-
25	tion 10, which is immediately north of here, that's cor-

```
11
 1
    rect.
2
                        Okay. Now you said that you had bought
             Q
3
    a lease from Dalport --
             Α
                        Correct.
5
                        -- a month and a half ago.
             Q
6
             Α
                        Right.
7
                        And who is the lessee of record on that
             Q
8
    yellow portion?
9
             Α
                        That is Kindermac Partners, which is our
10
    company.
11
             Q
                        And Kindermac has had that tract for how
12
    long?
13
                        We've had that -- the very first lease
             Α
14
    we took in there was in the spring of 1987 from a gentleman
15
    named Albert Gallegos, which is Edward Gallegos brother.
16
             Q
                        And the Martinez' property is all in the
17
    yellow portion, is that right?
18
                        That is correct. That's all fee.
                                                              The
19
    portion, Mr. Stogner, in blue is Federal, minerals and sur-
20
    face.
21
                        And the people that had signed the --
             Q
22
    the -- let's see, five out of the eight have signed, right?
23
             Α
                        Correct.
24
             Q
                        And the five that have signed, are they
25
    in the blue portion or the yellow portion?
```

1 They're yellow. Everything concerning Α 2 this forced pooling is yellow. 3 Okay. Now the Martinez family, is that a divided interest or an undivided interest? No, it's a totally -- the entire 120 6 acres is undivided interest. 7 Undivided, okay, along with the -- so 9 Q 8 of these mineral interests have the undivided interest. 9 That's correct, right. Α 10 Did Mrs. Martinez say why she wouldn't Q 11 sign it? 12 The only thing that she (unclear) to was 13 her son, who was there at the time when I talked to her 14 Friday, and he said, A, they weren't interested in being --15 he said we've always wanted to change this from an undivid-16 ed to a divided interest. 17 And I said, "Mr. Martinez, that's fine, 18 but you're going to have to do that with the other eight 19 people involved in here and that's not -- we -- we have no 20 legal right or authority to -- to do that." 21 And he said, "We just want to know what 22 1.8 or 1.7 acres we own. 23 And I said, "Well, right now you own an 24 undivided interest under the whole 120." And I said, "If 25 you want to change that, you need to get your -- the whole

gang together and you all decide who has this 1.8 acres and who has that 1.8."

3

said, "Irrespective, that won't But I lease at this time because it still shows an affect our undivided interest."

5 6

4

just don't want --And he said, "We we're just not interested in leasing."

8

7

I said, "Okay."

9

Q How long has the Martinez family had this --

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

They received their interest through, Α actually, Sophia's brother, whose name is Felipe, and he got it back in the fifties. They've had it a long time. All the remaining people here that we did lease, the Laurel E. Payne, Edward Gallegos, they're all related. either cousins or second cousins. And Felipe died. He was never married, he was never -- and he didn't have any children and it all went to his brothers and sisters. Sophia is his sister. Demetrio and Joe are his nephews and it goes on down from there.

18 19

20

Okay. Q

21

MR. STOGNER: Well, I have no other questions of Mr. Craig.

22 23

> Any other questions of this witness? He may be excused.

```
14
 1
                             Ms. Aubrey?
2
                                  MR. AUBREY:
                                               Thank you.
3
                          GARY J. JOHNSON,
5
    being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his
6
    oath, testified as follows, to-wit:
7
8
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
    BY MS. AUBREY:
10
                       Would
                                                        for
             Q
                                you state your name
                                                              the
11
    record, please?
12
                       My name is Gary J. Johnson.
             Α
13
                       Mr. Johnson, where are you employed?
             Q
14
             Α
                        I'm employed by Nassau Resources, In-
15
    corporated in Denver.
16
                       And what do you do for Nassau Resources?
             Q
17
                        I'm a petroleum engineer.
             Α
18
                        Have you testified previously before the
19
    New Mexico Oil Conservation Division?
20
             Α
                        Yes.
21
                        And are you familiar with the applica-
             Q
22
    tion of Nassau Resources in Case 9717?
23
             Α
                        Yes.
24
                                  MS.
                                                 Mr. Examiner, I
                                       AUBREY:
25
    tender Mr. Johnson as an expert in petroleum engineering.
```

1 MR. STOGNER: Mr. Johnson is 2 so qualified. 3 Mr. Johnson, let me have you look first at the AFE which is a portion of Exhibit One which Mr. 5 Craig has talked about. Did you prepare that AFE? 6 Yes, I did. Α 7 Will you review that briefly for the Q 8 Examiner? 9 This Authority for Expenditure is Α Okay. 10 on actual costs that we have incurred in like wells 11 that we have drilled in the Carracas Unit over the past, 12 approximately, a year, year and a half, and this covers all 13 of the tangible and intangible costs for drilling and com-14 pleting a well that we propose at the location in Section 15 15. 16 Mr. Johnson, in your opinion is this AFE Q 17 a fair and reasonable one for a well of this depth in this 18 location? 19 Yes, it is. 20 This AFE has been submitted to those Q 21 mineral owners who have not leased or otherwise joined the 22 proposed unit, is that correct? 23 That's correct. Α 24 0 Has this AFE been accepted by the other 25 mineral owners which Mr. Craig referred to?

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

A Yes. As far as I know, the other people, all of the other people that had the AFE submitted to them as working interest owners have accepted that AFE and signed it.

Q In its application Nassau Resources has asked for a 200 percent risk penalty factor. Can you give the Examiner your justification for that request?

We've -- we've drilled a number of Α Yes. wells in the Carracas Unit and have found that the risk that we encounter in here is not whether we will encounter the Fruitland coal or not, because we feel like we will encounter the Fruitland -- Fruitland coal. The risk is in the producability of the well. We have drilled, as you can see on Exhibit Three, a number of wells in the unit. I've outlined on there the well number and the net feet of coal, and we have wells that have the exact same net feet of coal that have very much differing producabilities. And so what we feel the risk of this well is, is that we might drill a well and encounter the coal and have a well that is not a good producer, and therefore would be not real economic.

Q In your expert opinion you feel that there is a substantial risk of obtaining commercial production in this well, is that right?

A At this time, that's correct.

17 1 Q And your proposed location is shown by 2 the diamond, is that correct? 3 A triangle. Q Triangle, I'm sorry, triangle in Sec-5 tion 15? 6 Correct. Α 7 And the closest producing wells Q 8 shown on Exhibit Number 3, is that correct? 9 Α That's correct. 10 Johnson, what rate for overhead Q Mr. 11 while drilling and producing are you asking the Examiner to 12 (unclear)? 13 In our operating agreement that we sent 14 out on all of the wells in the unit we've asked for \$3500 15 drilling well rate and \$350 per month producing well rate. 16 And what do you estimate the operation Q 17 cost of this well would be? 18 Our experience now has shown us that 19 initially the operating costs on these wells are quite 20 high, even approaching the magnitude of \$4800 a month, but 21 as the wells mature and the coal fines quit moving, the 22 operating costs appear to drop and we're estimating that 23 over the life of the well they should probably average in 24 the neighborhood of \$1800 a month. 25 In your opinion are the drilling and Q

1 producing overhead rates that you're requesting approval of 2 fair and reasonable? 3 Yes. Q Mr. Johnson, did you prepare Exhibit 5 Number Three? 6 Α Yes. 7 MR. AUBREY: Mr. Examiner, I 8 tender Exhibit Number Three and I have no more questions of the witness. 10 Exhibit Number MR. STOGNER: 11 Three will be admitted into evidence at this time. 12 13 CROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. STOGNER: 15 Mr. Johnson, let's refer to Exhibit Num-Q 16 ber Three here. 17 Α Okay. 18 And you show quite a few wells on here. Q 19 That's correct. Α 20 Q The gas wells that are shown on here, 21 are they to be taken as coal gas wells? 22 Α Yes. All of the wells that are shown by 23 the standard gas well symbol are wells that we have drilled 24 through the Fruitland coal that are -- have either com-25 pleted or they're in the process of being completed in the

Fruitland coal.

Q Okay, now when you say that are being -- or being in the process of becoming coal gas wells, has the producability of those wells been determined yet?

A Not all of them. We have some wells that we have drilled and set pipe on that we've not fraced or, you know, put on production yet. We're still in the process of completing and producing these, or getting these wells ready for production.

Q Okay. Now you alluded back to, in your 200 percent risk penalty, the producability of the well. Could you be a little more specific on what you mean by the producability of a well? Are we looking at amount of production or it's ability to dewater? What actually were you talking about?

A Well, what I was referring to is the wells' producability of natural gas, the volume and daily -- daily volume in MCF of natural gas. There seems to be a correlation with water but since we don't sell water, it's a liability more than a -- than an asset.

We have wells, just to give you a for instance, if you'll look in Section 18 of 32, 4, we have two wells drilled and completed and producing in Section 18.

The 18 B-15 with 22 feet of net coal

produces in the order of 175 MCF per day.

The 18 B-13, with 24 feet of coal, produces in the neighborhood of 70 MCF per day.

So there's a significant difference even from the same -- nearly the same net feet of pay.

Q Would you call the one, the No. 13, is that producability adequate?

A Well, right now it probably isn't but we have evidence from other coal wells that these will improve over their life and we've hoping that that's the case with this well.

Q Is there any wells in which you've drilled out here in the Carracas Unit that is not producing in the coal?

A No, they all produce gas in differing volumes. It's a little early in the life of the whole reservoir to determine if there's any of them that would be totally noncommercial over the life, but there are some that are producing at very low rates right now that, if they don't improve over their life, they will be noncommercial.

Q How many of them do you think there are?

A We've only got about, let's see, 15

wells on production. I would say about 10 of those are below commercial rates right now.

	21
1	Q Okay, let's look at the wells immediate-
2	ly around Section 15. The one to the east in Section 14 is
3	the 14-1?
4	A Right.
5	Q How is that well doing?
6	A We haven't got that well tied into a
7	pipeline yet. It should be tied into a pipeline soon and
8	we'll have it on test.
9	The initial tests on that well are bas-
10	ically inconclusive, I would say, because we haven't been
11	able to test it long enough to justify any initial rates or
12	anything.
13	Q Okay, how about over in Section 16
14	A Okay, the
15	Q 16 B-6, No. 6 and No. 12.
16	A Right, the 16 B-6 was put on production
17	the last few days of July and its current rate is approxi-
18	mately 70 MCF per day.
19	The 16 B-12 was put on production in May
20	and it's also producing about 70 MCF per day.
21	Q So all the wells in which Nassau has
22	drilled and plans to produce from the coal is essentially
23	completed at this time, is that correct, or has been com-
24	pleted?
25	A Would you repeat that question?

ì

Q Yeah, let me repeat that. Of all the wells in the Carracas Canon Unit Area that you propose to have producing from the coal gas wells have had production casing ran and in the process of either producing or waiting for a gas line hookup, is that correct?

That's correct. We -- we have some of the wells that we have gas lines into that we just haven't got them fraced yet and brought them on production. Our normal schedule out here is to drill the wells, try to get the gas line completed to them and set up the producing equipment, frac the well, and bring it immediately on, as quickly as we can, onto line to keep it producing. Our experience has been that if you frac a well and then leave it shut in for a period of time, that the producability may be damaged.

Q Now off this map to the north is, of course, Colorado. Do you have any information or any knowledge of any wells, oh, within about two miles of this particular well in the Colorado side that are producing or completed at this point?

A There -- there are none within a 2-mile strip of -- north of here. There has been some wells drilled that penetrated the Fruitland coal but they were never completed in the Fruitland coal.

Q Has there been any unusual problems or

situations encountered in drilling these coal gas wells in this area?

A The 14-1, we ran into a pretty severe deviation problem in that well with the deviations in the well approaching 10 degrees, and that well was extremely expensive because of the time it took to straighten the hole back out and the slow drilling trying to keep it straight. We finally had to bring in directional drilling tools and straighten that well back out.

We did drill that well to the Dakota formation initially, as a Dakota test, and then completed it in the Fruitland, or are completing it in the Fruitland, but we did have some pretty severe deviation problems in that well.

Q Were these deviation problems above the coal or below the coal?

A They started right out from under surface pipe.

Q Did you encounter any other problems with your wells back to the west of this magnitude?

A No, we didn't. The wells back to the west seem to be on a -- in an area geologically where the coals and the other formations lie flat. Somewhere in the neighborhood of the 15-7, our proposed location, is there the formations start to incline to the northeast. We don't

1

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

know exactly where that is. So there is some risk in the 15-7 that it also will be a well that we might have to control deviation in.

Now, you -- you testified and requested Q 200 percent risk penalty factor. Let's look at this risk. What is your definition, or what do you think we should look at assigning a risk in a particular well?

guess normally a person would look at Α the risk of whether you would encounter a pay zone or not. In a coal well I think the risk is whether the well will produce in commercial quantities throughout its life and that the producable reserves will be economic. I think that, in my estimation, that's what a person should look at in evaluating risk on a coal well, is whether the wells will produce in commercial quantities and whether they will recover a commercial amount of reserves over their life.

So a risk in a coal well and a risk in a conventional gas well, are you telling me should be two different -- two different situations or looked at two different ways?

Well, I -- in my opinion they would be. Α Geologically, with the coal well, it's not a matter of whether the coal will be here as it would be, say, in a Mesaverde well, whether you would encounter Mesaverde formation or, you know, whether the porosity would be

1	there. The coal is going to be here. We just don't know
2	what the producability is going to be until you're even
3	maybe two years into the life of the well, because you have
4	to pump the water off of them and, you know, these coal
5	wells have, we hope, an increasing decline curve for at
6	least a couple of years.
7	Q On either a conventional well or a coal
8	gas well should the risk involved in the actual drilling
9	operations of a well be be considered?
10	A Well, I think so. That's that's
11	where the majority of your costs come in, is in your
12	drilling and completion. Once you once you've got the
13	well drilled and completed normal operations are pretty
14	much as they are in any well.
15	Q With the danger still existing, though,
16	in your case, severe deviation, blowouts, lost circulation
17	
18	A Yeah, we have
19	Q any number of things.
20	A Yeah, we have all of those things out
21	here.
22	Q Okay. Overhead charges of 3500 drilling
23	and 350 producing, is that correct?
24	A That's correct.
25	MR. STOGNER: Are there any

May I

just

other questions of this witness?

follow this line of questioning a little bit further on the

MR.

STOVALL:

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. STOVALL:

risk factor?

Q Are you familiar with operations further to the west in the Fruitland Coal area by other operators?

A Somewhat. I would profess to be an expert on their operations.

Q Do you have any sense, or feel, as to whether your operations out here are significantly different or substantially the same in terms of these factors that you're considering as risk factors as compared to the more westerly wells?

A If you look at wells that are in a normal pressure regime, like we feel we're in, we're probably the same.

If you look at the well in the overpressured regime, for instance, the Meridian 400 Field Area, we have a different, you know, a whole different problem. Where they're overpressured and they have a pretty severe danger of blowout, of losing a well to, you know, blowout conditions, their -- their risk is probably greater for

that.

But, on the other side of that, with an overpressured reservoir, their chances of getting a commercial well are probably 100 times better than ours are because of the overpressure, and just the nature of the way their wells IP and the reserves that they get.

Typically our wells are IPing at around 60 to 70 MCF per day, whereas a well in the Meridian 400 Field is probably approaching a million to 2-million feet a day.

Q Where is the Meridian 400 Field, approximately? Do you know?

A It's in 30 -- 30 North, 6 West, I believe.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. STOGNER:

Q You said the initial potential of 60 to 70 is what we're seeing out there. For one of these wells to be economical what will the production have to build up to?

A Well, I think from the calculations that I have made, that a well will be commercial if it will produce at 100 to 120 MCF per day, and will produce for about 20 years.

1 Is the tax credit that a coal gas well Q 2 gets included in these figures? 3 No. No, the tax credit is why you can stand the 60 or 70 for a little while while you're waiting 5 for them to improve. 6 7 RECROSS EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. STOVALL: 9 Q I do have one question on an exhibit 10 just to -- just to make sure there. 11 It appears you're relying on the AFE 12 that's in Exhibit One, is that correct? 13 Α That's correct. 14 And there appear to be multiple copies Q 15 of it in the Exhibit One I've got, apparently sent to 16 different people. 17 Are they, to your knowledge, all the 18 same with the exception of the identification of the in-19 terest owner and his share of the --20 Α As far as I know they're all exactly the 21 same. 22 So it doesn't matter which one we refer Q 23 to? 24 Α No. 25 I have no other MR. STOVALL:

```
29
 1
    questions.
2
                                  Are there other questions of
3
    Mr. Johnson?
                                  MS.
                                       AUBREY:
                                                 I have no more
5
    questions.
6
                                  MR.
                                        STOGNER:
                                                     Не
                                                          may be
7
    excused.
8
                                  Anything further, Ms. Aubrey?
9
                                  MS. AUBREY: No.
10
                                        STOGNER: Does anybody
                                  MR.
11
    else have anything further in Case Number 9717?
12
                                  This case will be taken under
13
    advisement.
14
15
                        (Hearing concluded.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C. S. R. DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division (Commission) was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Shaley W. Boyd CSTZ

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is

a complete renerd of the proceedings in
the Exercise hearing of Case No. 9717.

heard by me on franchist the foregoing is

[19 89]

[19 89]

Oil Conservation Division