

DOCKET: EXAMINER HEARING - WEDNESDAY - JANUARY 4, 1967

9 A.M. - OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM,
STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING, SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

The following cases will be heard before Daniel S. Nutter, Examiner, or Elvis A. Utz, Alternate Examiner:

CASE 3439: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966 examiner hearing and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Scanlon and Shepard and all other interested parties to show cause why the following Scanlon and Shepard wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Santa Fe Pacific Railroad Lease: Wells Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8, all in Unit P, No. 10 in Unit H, and No. 2 in Unit L, all in Section 21; Well No. 6 in Unit L and Nos. 9 and 12 in Unit M of Section 22 and Nos. 11 and 13 in Unit D of Section 27, Ray Well No. 1 in Unit C, State Wells Nos. 1 and 2 in Unit A, and State K-1883 No. 1 in Unit B, all in Section 28.

CASE 3440: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966, examiner hearing and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit Osborn & Weir, and all interested parties, to show cause why the following Osborn & Weir wells in Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program: Scanlon Well No. 17 in Unit P of Section 21 and Nos. 14 and 18 in Unit M of Section 22, Scanlon Ray Wells No. 5 in Unit A and No. 6 in Unit C of Section 28.

CASE 3441: (This case continued from the October 11, 1966, examiner hearing and will be dismissed).

In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to permit LaMar Trucking, Inc., and all interested parties, to show cause why their State Well No. 1 located 495 feet from the North and West lines of Section 28, Township 20 North, Range 9 West, McKinley County, New Mexico, should not be plugged and abandoned in accordance with a Commission-approved plugging program.

CASE 3506: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval of the Maljamar-Grayburg Unit Area comprising 3,441 acres, more or less, of Federal, State and Fee lands in

(Case 3506 continued)

Sections 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, and 15, Township 17 South, Range 32 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3507: Application of Standard Oil Company of Texas for a water-flood expansion, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to expand its Maljamar-Grayburg Waterflood Project in its proposed Maljamar-Grayburg Unit Area by the injection of water into the Grayburg formation through thirteen additional injection wells. Applicant also seeks administrative procedure for further expansion of said project at a later date.

CASE 3508: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico; Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the approval of the Vacuum Abo Unit Area, comprising 3640 acres, more or less, of State and Fee lands in Townships 17 and 18 South, Range 35 East, Lea County, New Mexico.

CASE 3509: Application of Phillips Petroleum Company for a pressure maintenance project, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks authority to institute a pressure maintenance project in its Vacuum-Abo Unit by the injection of gas into the Abo Reef formation through two wells located in Section 33, Township 17 South, Range 35 East, and Section 4, Township 18 South, Range 35 East, Vacuum-Abo Reef Pool, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant further seeks the promulgation of special rules to govern operation of said pressure maintenance project.

CASE 3278 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3278 being reopened pursuant to the provisions of Order No. R-2944, which order established 80-acre spacing units for the Stateline-Ellenburger Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, for a period of eighteen months. All interested parties may appear and show cause why said pool should not be developed on 40-acre spacing units.

CASE 3277 (Reopened)

In the matter of Case No. 3277 being reopened to consider the necessity for the continuance of the special allowables assigned to wells in the Stateline-Ellenburger Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.

- CASE 3510: Application of United States Smelting Refining and Mining Company for an unorthodox gas well location, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for its Federal Well No. 2 at an unorthodox location 760 feet from the South line and 2080 feet from the West line of Section 11, Township 20 South, Range 34 East, Lea-Pennsylvanian Gas Pool, Lea County, New Mexico.
- CASE 3511: Application of Thomas A. Dugan for an unorthodox gas well location, San Juan County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks approval for the Navajo Federal Well No. 1 completed in the Gallup formation at an unorthodox gas well location 660 feet from the North and West lines of Section 26, Township 28 North, Range 15 West, San Juan County, New Mexico, said well to be dedicated to the NW/4 of said Section 26.
- CASE 3512: Application of Pubco Petroleum Corporation for force-pooling, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks an order force-pooling all mineral interests in the Basin-Dakota Gas Pool underlying the S/2 of Section 21, Township 26 North, Range 6 West, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, and allocating well costs including a risk factor for a well to be drilled on said spacing unit.
- CASE 3513: Application of Midwest Oil Corporation for special pool rules, Lea County, New Mexico. Applicant, in the above-styled cause, seeks the promulgation of special pool rules for the Vada-Pennsylvanian Pool, Lea County, New Mexico, including a provision for 160-acre proration units.
- CASE 3514: In the matter of the hearing called by the Oil Conservation Commission on its own motion to consider suspending the scheduled cancellation of underproduction which accrued to certain wells in the Eumont Gas Pool during the first six months of 1966 and which was not made-up during the second six months period and was therefore subject to cancellation January 1, 1967. The underproduction being considered for suspension of cancellation accrued as a result of the sale of the connecting pipeline for said wells from an intra-state company to an inter-state company resulting in necessity for FPC approval of sales. The wells, which were shut-in and not produced during the period FPC approval was being obtained, are certain wells formerly connected to Southern Union Gas Company and owned by the following operators: North Central, Me-Tex, Penrose, Tidewater, Fields, Atlantic, Skelly, Clark & Christie, Aztec, and Great Western Drilling.