25

BEFORE THE 1 NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION 2 OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION CONFERENCE ROOM STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING 3 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO Wednesday, June 6, 1973 EXAMINER HEARING 5 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF: 8 Application of Union Oil Company of California for Case No. 4985 9 a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico. 10 11 12 BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets Examiner 13 14 15 16 17 18 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING 19 20 21 22 23 24

MR. STAMETS: Call next Case 4985. 1 MR. CARR: Case 4985, application of Union Oil Company 2 of California for a unit agreement, Lea County, New 3 Mexico. MR. CHRISTY: Sim Christy, Roswell, New Mexico, for 5 the Applicant, Union Oil Company. We have one witness. 6 Would you stand and be sworn, please? 7 J.B. JORDAN, 8 was called as a witness and after being duly sworn, according 9 to law, testified as follows: 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. CHRISTY: 12 Q Please state your name, by whom you are employed, and in 13 what capacity. 14 I am J.B. Jordan. I live at Roswell, New Mexico. Α 15 employed by the Union Oil Company of California as 16 geologist. 17 I believe you previously testified before this regulatory Q 18 body and had your qualifications as a Petroleum Geologist 19 accepted? 20 Α Yes, sir. I have. 21 Are you familiar with the area involved in the application 22 in Case 4985 and what it seeks?

A Yes, I am.

23

25

Q All right, sir. Basically, what is sought by the

		PAGE 4
1		application?
2	A	Union seeks to form a six-section unit comprised of
3		Federal land. There is approximately 3,860 acres in the
4		unit.
5	Q	All of which are Federal lands, as I recall?
6	A	It is 100 percent Federal lands.
7	Q	And the area sought to be included in the unit is shown
8		on your Exhibit 1?
9	A	Yes, it is.
10	Q	All right, now, I notice Let me turn to Exhibit 2;
11		and if I may briefly summarize for the Commission, the
12		unit agreement itself which is Exhibit 2. It is a
13		standard Federal unit on the revised 68 form. It
14		provides for the drilling of a test well which the
15		witness will testify to.
16		It allocates production on a surface-acreage basis

It allocates production on a surface-acreage basis. It provides for the usual participating areas, the expansion and contraction of participating areas. It has attached to it "A", being the plat and "B" being the ownership.

With respect to that ownership, Mr. Jordan, I notice that there are two working-interest owners and that the unit agreement, Exhibit 2, has been signed by Union only. Could you tell us the status of the significance on the other working-interest owners, which I believe is Getty

209 SIMMS BLDG. TO. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-6691 PALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST PALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

1		Oil?
2	A	Getty Oil, and the agreement is in their Houston office
3		at the present time. And our land man talked to their
4		land man in Midland yesterday, and he thinks it's just
5		a matter of them stamping the agreement down there, but
6		they haven't actually signed it yet.
7	Q	So I would understand you are of the opinion that it
8		would be 100 percent approved by the working interest?
9	A	That is right.
10	Q	Has it already been approved 100 percent by the overriding
11		royalty interest?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Now, with respect to the royalty interest, which I believe
14		is all Federal, has it had preliminary approval by the
15		USGS?
16	A	Yes. We have preliminary approval as designated as the
17		Pipeline Deep Unit.
18	Q	That is your Exhibit 3, the Preliminary Approval?
19	A	Right.
20	Q	Now, what formations are proposed to be unitized?
21	A	All formations below the waist of the Wolfcamp. That is
22		at 11,825 feet on the Union Pipeline Federal A sonic
23		log.
24	Q	Below that depth?

Below that depth.

A

25

Q 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108 Α 209 SIMMS BLDG. P.O. BOX 1092 PHONE 243-8691 ALBUQUERQUE.

Q Now, you propose a test well, as I understand; is that correct?

- A Yes. We propose to drill a well in the Southeast corner of Section 17. It will be 13,500 feet on a Morrow test.
- Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the proposed unit area logically encompasses the geological formation proposed to be tested and is therefore logically subject to expire and development under the unitization provisions of the least in the Mineral Leasing Act of February 25, 1920 as amended?
- A Yes, it is.
- Q Let me refer you to your Exhibit Number 4, I believe it is. That is a structure map; is it not, of the area, of the unit area proposed to be the test and so forth?
- A This is the structure contour map contoured on top of the lower Morrow sand which is the main objective in the area. There are other Morrow sands which will possibly be productive, but the lower sand is the main objective in the area.
- Yes, sir. By such unitization under the proposal, would this serve to avoid waste including economic waste and yet protect the correlative rights of the interested parties owning mineral interest in the unit area?
- A Yes, it would.
- Q Is there anything further that I have failed to ask you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SIMMS BLDG. • P.O. BOX 1092 • PHONE 243-6691 • ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103

that you think would be of interest to the Commission in consideration of this application?

I don't think of anything else. A

> That's all we have from this witness. MR. CHRISTY:

MR. STAMETS: Are there any questions of this witness? He may be excused.

MR. CHRISTY: At this time we offer into evidence Applicant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4.

MR. STAMETS: Without objection, these exhibits will be admitted into evidence.

MR. CHRISTY: We would like to make one statement to the Commission. This is the second situation of this date, and I personally have witnessed it for a number of years in this Commission.

I think the Commission ought to give consideration to amend Rule 507 in respect to approval of units in instances where no privately owned lands are involved or instances in which all the privately owned people have agreed to the unit.

It appears to me that this type of Hearing could be handled administratively such in the situation you had this morning of all State and Federal lands, and both derogatory bodies there had approved it.

In the situation here, where we have all Federal lands and the overriding royalty units have approved it, 209 SIMMS BLDG. # P.O. BOX 1092 * PHONE 243-6691 * ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 1216 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG. EAST * ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87108

it seems to me the Commission should consider some type of change in the Rule to grant administrative approval in this type of situation. Thank you. MR. STAMETS: Thank you, sir. We will take the case under advisement.

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss
COUNTY OF BERNALILLO)

I, JANET RUSSELL, a Notary Public, in and for the County of Bernalillo, State of New Mexico, do hereby certify that the foregoing and attached Transcript of Hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; and that the same is a true and correct record of the said proceedings to the best of my knowledge, skill and ability.

NOTARY PUBLIC

the limit of Langue G. 18 1985, heard of Leave L. 1985, washer New Mexico Oli Consonvacion Comission

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	J.B. JORDAN	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Christy	3
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	EXHIBITS	
11	Applicant's Exhibit #1	7
12	Applicant's Exhibit #2	7
13	Applicant's Exhibit #3	7
14	Applicant's Exhibit #4	7
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		