		Page	1
1		OF NEW MEXICO MINERALS DEPARTMENT	
2	OIL CONSE	RVATION DIVISION ND OFFICE BLDG.	
3	SANTA F 10 De	E, NEW MEXICO ecember 1980	
4 5	EXAMIN	ER HEARING	
6)	
7	IN THE MATTER OF:)	
8	Application of Mesa for a unit agreement New Mexico.		CASE
9			7097
10	BEFORE: Richard L. Stamets		
11			
12	TRANSCRIP	T OF HEARING	
14			
15	APPEA	RANCES	
16	For the Oil Conservation	Ernest L. Padilla	, Esq.
17	Division:	Legal Counsel to State Land Office	the Division
18		Santa Fe, New Mex	ico 87501
20	The the Accident	Randolph M. Richar	rdson Esc
21	For the Applicant:	Roswell, New Mexic	
22			
23			

1		2
2	INDEX	
3		
4	CHARLES PRESTON	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Richardson	3
6	Difect Examination by Mr. Kichardson	J
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	EXHIBITS	
14		
15	Applicant Exhibit One, Report	5
16		
17	Applicant Exhibit Two, Map	6
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 28		
20		

1	3 `	
2	MR. STAMETS: We'll call next Case 7097.	
3	MR. PADILLA: Application of Mesa Pet-	
4	roleum Company for a unit agreement, Lea County, New Mexico.	
5	MR. RICHARDSON: Randolph M. Richardson,	
6	Roswell, New Mexico, appearing on behalf of the applicant.	
7	You have the Division has already been	
8	furnished one copy of the unit agreement, which is not com-	
9		
10	plete and not correct as to the exhibits, so I will now give	
11	you another unit agreement to substitute for the one that was	
12	sent in, and also a written and mapped geologic report.	
13	We do have one witness to be sworn.	
14		
15	(Witness sworn.)	
16	(NECTIONS SINOETTY)	
17		
18	CHARLES PRESTON	
19	being called as a witness and being duly sworn upon his oath,	
20	testified as follows, to-wit:	
21		
22	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
23	BY MR. RICHARDSON:	
24		
25	Q. Mr. Preston, would you please state your	
26	name and present occupation?	
27	A. Charles Preston. I am working for Mesa	
28	Petroleum as a geologist.	

1	4
2	Q Could you please tell the Division your
3	educational and professional background which will enable you
4	to testify in this case?
5	A. I have already testified once before.
6 7	It's been several years.
8	Q. How long? It's been several years?
9	MR. STAMETS: If he's been previously
10	qualified as a geologist before the Commission or Division,
11	that will be acceptable.
12	MR. RICHARDSON: It will be acceptable?
13	Real fine.
14	Q. Are you familiar with the Jackson Unit
15 16	Area and the matters contained in the application to the Divi
17	sion for approval of the unit agreement?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Is the form of unit agreement prescribed
20	by Federal regulations, and as recently approved by the Com-
21	missioner of Public Lands?
22	A. Yes.
23	MR. RICHARDSON: Here again, it is 100
2 4 25	percent State formed; there is no Federal land, and
26	Q. Has a unit area been designated by the
27	United States Geological Survey?

No, it's all -- no Federal land.

28

A.

1	5
2	Q Right. Please tell the Division the
3	total number of acres within the unit area, the number and
4	percentages of ownership of the different lands.
5	A. 2560 acres, all State.
6	Q. Would you please tell the Division the
7	
8	township and range in which this unit is located and the ap-
9	proximate location with reference to the nearest town?
10	A. It's in Township 24 South, Range 33 East.
11	It's 21 miles northwest of Jal.
12	Q Would you please refer to the geological
13	report, which has been handed to the Division, and was this
14	report prepared with your cooperation in connection with
15	another geologist with Mesa Petroleum?
16 17	A. Yes.
18	Q. In other words, you worked with Mr.
19	Jeffers who actually signed the report?
20	A. Yes. Right.
21	Ω In preparing it, so you are familiar with
22	it?
23	
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. Could you briefly review the report,
26	which I have marked Exhibits One and Two. The map is marked
27	Exhibit Two. And go through the geological report, referring
28	to the map and the fact that it is a composite map, and the

2 report briefly.

A. The map is a composite map. It shows the structure on top of the Mississippian with an Isopach overlay of the Morrow B Prime sandstone, as we call it.

It shows that we will be on a structural nose in the unit area with an Isopach pick of this Morrow B Prime sandstone running across the unit.

The productive wells are color-coded according to the legend on the map, Exhibit Two, showing that there is Morrow production around the unit area. There is also Atoka, Wolfcamp, and Bone Springs, and Devonian production in the area.

Q. Would you please tell the Division your conclusions as to the formations likely to be encountered and considered prospective for production?

A. Our main objective is the Morrow B Prime sandstone. On the way down we will go through Wolfcamp.

We think we've got a possible Wolfcamp back across the unit area. There is Strawn production off of this map but we think there is some chance that we'll get Strawn production.

There is Atoka production offsetting the unit that is possibly productive, and then finally we'll go through the main — the main productive, proposed productive, being the Morrow B Prime sandstone.

7
Q. Could you please tell the Division the
projected depth and location of the initial test well?
A. The location will be 1980 feet from the
north and east lines of Section 22, Township 24 South, Range
33 East. Proposed TD will be 16,000 feet.
Q. 16,000 feet total depth. Have the other
working interest owners within the unit area been contacted?
A. Yes, they have.
Q. In your opinion what percentage of the
working interest will be committed and what percentage of the
royalty will be committed?
A. It will be 100 percent of the working
interest and the royalty.
Q. In your opinion will the operation of
this area under the proposed unit plan of operation be in the
interest of conservation and the prevention of waste?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Will the different institutions of the
State, if any, receive their fair share of production?
A. Yes.
Q. In the event of production will the cor-
relative rights of all parties to the unit agreement be pro-
tected?
A. Yes.

1	8
2	MR. RICHARDSON: We would like to enter
3	the geological report into evidence.
4	MR. STAMETS: The exhibits will be ad-
5	mitted.
6	MR. RICHARDSON: And I have nothing fur-
7	ther.
8	
9	MR. STAMETS: Are there any questions of
10	the witness? He may be excused.
11	Anything further in this case?
12 13	The case will be taken under advisement.
14	
15	(Hearing concluded.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE

I, SALLY W. BOYD, C.S.R., DO HEREPY CERTIFY that the foregoing Transcript of Hearing before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that the said transcript is a full, true, and correct record of the hearing, prepared by me to the best of my ability.

Sarry W. Boy C.S.R.

Richard & Stamt

í