NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXAMINER HEARING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO JUNE 11, 1992 -- 8:15 A.M.

JUNE 11, 1992 6:15 A.WI.								
NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION						
Marria Muiner	Byran Co	.SF						
Parffaden	Mendaure Oil to.	Miscerso						
Deste Harmon	Mewbourne Oil	Midland						
Karowinson	Kellah Kellah Amis	Sincipe						
Jatri Ohathan	Comptell Care it al.	Sure						
Come of Zanoll	Lose / Com	Arteria						
Filest Bullack	YAtes Pet	Artesia						
DAVE BONEAU	YATES PETZOLEUM	ARTESIA						
Expert 1 Partille	Pheille & ander							
James Bruce	Hoyale law Rim	Sout =e						
/BUL Morris	BHP Petrdoun	Houston						
Julie Ferrero	BHP Rimbours	HORBON						
Andy McCa.thy	Dekolo Emry	Denver.						
, ,								

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION EXAMINER HEARING SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO JUNE 11, 1992 -- 8:15 A.M.

NAME	REPRESENTING	LOCATION

1	NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION
2	STATE LAND OFFICE BUILDING
3	STATE OF NEW MEXICO
4	CASE NO. 10475
5	
6	IN THE MATTER OF:
7	
8	The Application of Terra Energy, Ltd., for a unit agreement,
9	Chaves County, New Mexico.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	BEFORE:
15	
16	MICHAEL E. STOGNER
17	Hearing Examiner
18	State Land Office Building
19	June 11, 1992
20	
2 1	
2 2	REPORTED BY:
23	DEBBIE VESTAL Certified Shorthand Reporter
2 4	for the State of New Mexico
25	

ORIGINAL

1								A	P	P	E	A	R	A	N	С	E	S					
2																							
3	FOR	ТН	E	ΝE	W	ΜE	ΧI	cc) (II) ز	201	ISI	ZRV	7 A T	'IC	N	DΙ	VI	SI) N :		
4	ROBE Gene	RT	<u>G</u>	Co	ST un	ov se	AI	L	F	SS	2.												
5	Stat Sant	e a	La Fe	nd	0 Ne	ff W	ic Me	e exi	Bu	11:)	1 d :	ing 750]) 4										
6																							
7	FOR	тн	E	ΑP	ΡL	ΙC	AN	IT:															
8	CAMP										&	SI	E	RII	AN	Ι,	P.	Α.					
9	Post Sant										ø,	750	١4.	- 2 2) N A	.							
10	BY:															,							
11															_								
12																							
13																							
14																							
15																							
16																							
17																							
18																							
19 20																							
21																							
22																							
23																							
24																							
2 5																							
	,																						

1	INDEX
2	Page Number
3	
4	Appearances 2
5	
6	WITNESS FOR THE APPLICANT:
7	
8	1. STEPHEN W. SPEER
9	Examination by Ms. Matthews 5
10	Examination by Mr. Stovall 13
11	Examination by Examiner Stogner 15
1 2	
13	Certificate of Reporter 20
14	
15	EXHIBITS
16	Page Identified
17	Exhibit No. 1
18	Exhibit No. 2
19	Exhibit No. 3
20	Exhibit No. 4
21	Exhibit No. 5
2 2	Exhibit No. 6
23	Exhibit No. 7
24	Exhibit No. 8
25	•

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: This hearing will
2	come to order. I'm Michael Stogner, today's
3	appointed hearing officer. Today is June 11,
4	1992, for Docket 17-92.
5	Call Case 10475.
6	MR. STOVALL: Application of Terra
7	Energy, Limited, for a unit agreement, Chaves
8	County, New Mexico.
9	EXAMINER STOGNER: Call for
10	appearances.
11	MS. MATTHEWS: I'm Patricia Matthews
12	here of Campbell, Carr, Berge & Sheridan here for
13	Terra Energy, Limited, in Case 10475.
14	EXAMINER STOGNER: Do you have any
15	witnesses?
16	MS. MATTHEWS: Yes. My first witness
17	is Stephen Speer. He's a geologist.
18	EXAMINER STOGNER: Are there any other
19	witnesses that you will be calling today?
20	MS. MATTHEWS: No, Mr. Stogner.
2 1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Will the witness,
22	please, stand and be sworn at this time.
23	[The witness was duly sworn.]
24	EXAMINER STOGNER: Ms. Matthews.
2 5	MS. MATTHEWS: Would like to take a

1 seat, please. STEPHEN W. SPEER 2 Having been duly sworn upon his oath, was 3 examined and testified as follows: EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. MATTHEWS: 6 Would you state your full name and 7 place of residence, please? 8 My name is Stephen W. Speer, and I live 9 Α. in Roswell, New Mexico. 10 By whom are you employed? 11 Q. I'm an independent geologist working as 12 Α. 13 a consultant for Terra Energy. Have you testified before the Division 14 or any of its examiners on a previous occasion? 15 No. I haven't. Α. 16 Would you, please, briefly summarize 17 Q. your educational background? 18 19 Α. I got a bachelor of geological sciences degree at New Mexico State University in 1981, a 20 21 master of arts degree in geology at the University of Texas, Austin, in 1983, and I 22 worked for Yates Petroleum from 1983 to 1990. 23 All right. Are you familiar with the 24 Q. application in this case. Mr. Speer? 25

1	A. Yes, I am.
2	Q. Are you familiar with the proposed
3	South Lone Wolf Unit?
4	A. Yes, I am.
5	MS. MATTHEWS: I would request that the
6	witness' qualifications be accepted by the
7	Commission at this point.
8	EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Speer is so
9	qualified.
10	MS. MATTHEWS: I have the exhibits for
11	our hearing. I'll present them to you at this
12	time.
13	Q. (BY MS. MATTHEWS) Would you, please,
14	briefly state the purpose of the South Lone Wolf
15	agreement?
16	A. We're seeking approval of South Lone
17	Wolf Unit, which is a voluntary exploratory unit
18	containing approximately 1,960 acres of state and
19	federal lands in Chaves County, New Mexico.
20	Q. Have you prepared or have there been
2 1	prepared under your direction and supervision
22	certain exhibits for introduction in this case?
23	A. Yes, there have.
2 4	Q. I'm going to hand to you what's been
2.5	marked Exhibit No. 1, and could you explain to me

what that exhibit shows, please?

- A. Exhibit 1 is a State/Federal Fee
 Exploratory Unit form on a voluntary, the
 standard voluntary unit agreement filled out for
 the South Lone Wolf Unit. It includes an
 amendment requested by the BLM concerning
 payments to the federal government. Otherwise
 it's a standard form.
 - 0. Is that a standard state form?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Would you refer to Exhibit A of the unit agreement and describe what Unit A shows -- I mean Exhibit A shows?
- A. Exhibit A is a map of the unit area, which is located in Township 13 South, 29 East, Chaves County, showing all the lands to be unitized delineating federal versus state minerals in the unit. There's only federal and state minerals in the unit, no fee acreage.

MS. MATTHEWS: At this time I'd like to make a clarification. The application indicates that there are fee lands included, and that's incorrect. There are just federal and state lands included.

Q. Would you also now refer to Exhibit B

of the unit agreement and describe what that exhibit shows?

- A. Exhibit B goes with Exhibit A, and it's a schedule of the lands showing ownership over royalty interests and working interests percentages for each of the various tracts within the unit, proposed unit. There's 1280 federal acres in the unit, 680 state acres within the unit for a total of 1960 acres.
- Q. Has the proposed unit area been designated by the Bureau of Land Management as an area adequately suited for unit development?
- A. Yes, it has. Exhibit No. 2 is a letter from Armando Lopez with the Roswell office BLM giving us preliminary approval of the unit area.
- Q. I'm going to hand to you Exhibit No.

 2. Is that the exhibit you were referring to in your answer just previously?
 - A. Yes, it is.

- Q. Has the Commissioner of Public Lands given his preliminary approval to the proposed unit agreement?
 - A. Yes, he has, and that's Exhibit No. 3.
- Q. Is Exhibit No. 3 a letter dated June 9, 1992, which Grants Terra Energy, Limited, a

1	preliminary approval of this unit?
2	A. Yes, it is a preliminary approval
3	letter with the condition that the third well
4	drilled within the unit should be drilled on
5	state lands.
6	Q. To your knowledge has Terra Energy
7	agreed to do that?
8	A. I believe so.
9	Q. What percentage of the interest owners
10	in the unit area are committing their acreage to
11	the unit agreement?
12	A. One hundred percent. All the lands are
13	controlled by Terra Energy.
14	Q. Is it Terra's desire to be designated
15	unit operator?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What is the primary objective of this
18	unit?
19	A. The primary objective will be the
20	Devonian-Fusselman Formation at approximately
21	9600 feet.
2 2	Q. I'm going to hand to you what's been
23	marked as Exhibit 4. Would you review that
24	exhibit and describe what it shows?

A. Exhibit 4 is an electric log on the

Kerr-McGee State A-1, which is immediately south of the unit in Section 32, showing the objective horizons, the Devonian and Fusselman underlying the Mississippian and Pennsylvanian section.

- Q. I'm going to now hand to you Exhibit 5, and would you, please, describe what that exhibit shows?
- A. Exhibit 5 is a map showing well information on tests, various deep tests, the Pennsylvanian and older formations in the unit area showing that there have been a few tests down to the Devonian in this area, several of which have found to be productive for oil. And basically it's just giving well information on the various tests to depth there.
- Q. All right. Would you, please, describe what Exhibit 6 contains?
- A. Exhibit 6 is a seismic structure map on the Mississippian horizon, which mimics the Devonian structure. This is the map we use to delineate the unit outline. The structure we're looking for is bound by faults on all sides, except the northeast side where the structure is plunging off to the northeast.
 - Q. And I'm going to hand to you Exhibit

No. 7. Would you describe what that exhibit contains?

2.5

- A. Exhibit 7 is the same map that was shown in Exhibit No. 6, the Mississippian structure map. We've superimposed the unit outline over the structure to show that all of the structure that we believe to be productive at this point is encompassed within the unit.
- Q. All right. And finally Exhibit 8, would you look at that and tell me briefly what that exhibit shows?
- A. Exhibit 8 is a geological-geophysical discussion giving a synopsis of the various maps and exhibits we've shown previously and describing the reservoir that we're going to be drilling to in this well.
- Q. What other horizons are being unitized by this unit agreement?
- A. The unit agreement unitizes all depths, all horizons.
- Q. What are your plans for development of this unit, that is, when are you going to or when do you plan to spud the initial well?
- A. The initial well will be spud approximately June 18, depending on the date of

1 the final approval of the unit agreement. 2 Q. What are your plans or what are Terra's plans for additional development? They'll be implemented as required by Α. the unit agreement. 5 Does Terra intend to comply with the 6 continuous drilling requirements as set forth in 7 the unit agreement? 8 Α. If there are continuous drilling options in the unit agreement, they will. 10 Are you requesting that the order by 11 Q. 12 the Commission be expedited in this case? 13 Α. Yes, we are. 14 Q. Does the unit agreement provide for periodic filings of the plans of development? 15 Yes. 16 Α. 17 Does this provision provide that these 18 plans will be filed with the Commissioner of Public Lands and the Oil Conservation Division? 19 20 Α. Yes. 21 Q. How often are these plans to be filed? 22 Well, again it will be as set forth in 23 the unit agreement. Does the unit agreement state that 24 Q.

these plans will be filed within six months after

1 completion of the initial well capable of producing substances in paying quantities and 2 thereafter from time to time before the 3 expiration of the existing plan? Α. . Yes. 5 In your opinion will granting this 6 application be in the best interests of 7 conservation, the prevention of waste, and the 8 protection of correlative rights? 10 Α. Yes. Were Exhibits 1 through 8 prepared by 11 Q. you or under your direct supervision? 12 Α. 13 Yes. MS. MATTHEWS: I'd like to offer those 14 exhibits at this time. 15 MR. STOVALL: Mr. Examiner, I've got a 16 question before we do that. 17 EXAMINER STOGNER: Okay. Mr. Stovall. 18 EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. STOVALL: 20 21 When I look at the back behind the Q. 22 geologic description, I think that's Exhibit 8, I've got what appear to be other copies. 23 24 looks like the same copy of Exhibit 2 as was

introduced and appears earlier in my exhibit

1	package from the BLM. Then I have a document
2	marked Exhibit 3, which is a letter from the
3	State Land Office to Terra Energy, but it is
4	different from the letter which is the prior
5	exhibit.
6	MS. MATTHEWS: Mr. Stovall, if I may
7	look at those, I may have clipped inappropriate
8	exhibits to your packet.
9	MR. STOVALL: It looks like it may be
10	just a problem with my packet. Did you go across
11	the street and learn this from Mr. Kellahin?
12	MS. AUBREY: I'm going to tell him you
13	said that.
1 4	MS. MATTHEWS: This was in fact my
15	error. Other than that is the packet complete?
16	MR. STOVALL: Yes. Everything else was
17	in it. Just a couple of extra things. I didn't
18	know if the others were that way or not.
19	MS. MATTHEWS: I apologize. Are there
20	any questions from the panel?
2 1	MR. STOVALL: With that resolved I
2 2	don't have any concerns about the exhibits.
23	EXAMINER STOGNER: I believe all of our
24	exhibits are the same now. So let's admit
25	Exhibits 1 through 8 into evidence at this time.

1 MS. MATTHEWS: I have no further 2 questions of the witness. EXAMINER STOGNER: Thank you, Ms. 3 Matthews. EXAMINATION 5 6 BY EXAMINER STOGNER: Mr. Speer, now there's been some 7 acreage delineated from this unit as what was 8 9 applied for. Do you have any idea why some of the acreage was taken out? 10 It was based pretty much again on the 11 Α. 12 Mississippian structure map under the feeling 13 that it was nonproductive based on our 14 interpretation. Q. So what was advertised was bigger than 15 16 what is being applied for? 17 A. Yes. It was initially, I believe, was over 2100 acres. 18 So there will be no problem with the 19 20 difference there since what was advertised was bigger than what is being requested. 21 22 In looking at, looks like the Exhibit B 23 of your first exhibit that was admitted, Terra Energy is the lessee of record; is that correct? 24

Α.

25

Right.

- Q. And the percentages of the state and federal lands are denoted on that particular page; is that correct?
 - Α. Yes, sir, down on the lower left 65 federal, 35 state approximately. there:
 - Q. In Terra's development of this acreage, you might have said it and I'm sorry if I missed it, where is the first well going to be drilled?
 - Α. I never specifically pointed that out. The first well will be drilled in the southeast of the northwest of Section 29 in about the center of the unit, which is a federal tract.
 - Now, when I'm looking at Exhibit No. 7, I show that you have, looks like what appears to be two seismic lines crossing; is that your proposed well?
 - Yes, sir. There's a north-south line Α. there too that runs right through the well locations.
 - How about the second one, if there be 0. one?
 - The second well? Α.
- Q. Uh-huh.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Well, obviously, I think it's pretty Α. 25 obvious it goes south, probably into the

- southwest of 29 would be my guess. Again, that's to be determined.
 - Q. Now, I guess there was one stipulation in there that a third well must be on state land. I guess that would be --
 - A. The state land would probably be in Section 32 would be my guess.
 - Q. Okay.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. The operator has indicated they may want to shoot additional seismic in the event they're successful to help delineate subsequent well locations also.
- Q. I'm looking at your Exhibit No. 5.

 There seems to be some wells in there. Now, the Kerr-McGee well that you used for the type log in Section 32 has been plugged and abandoned?
 - A. Yes, sir. It was drilled in 1953.
- Q. How about the two sections, it looks like Section 29 has a well in it or -- I'm referring to Exhibit No. 5.
- A. That is not a well. It's part of that description for the well in 28. The one that looks like a producing well in the southeast?
- 24 Q. Yes.
 - A. Yeah, that's an "at" -- I don't know

1	the term for the
2	MR. STOVALL: "a" with a circle around
3	it?
4	THE WITNESS: It's an "a" with a circle
5	around it.
6	Q. (BY EXAMINER STOGNER) I'm sorry. Go
7	ahead.
8	A. There are no wells in Section 29.
9	There are no wells within this unit area.
10	Q. Okay. In Section 29 there is the word
11	spud, and right above is it a dot. Is that the
12	proposed well?
13	A. Right. That's the proposed location.
14	The rest of that wording in Section 29 all goes
15	to the well in the southeast of 28.
16	Q. And the "at" is not oh, yes, is not
17	a well?
18	A. Right. Not yet anyhow.
19	EXAMINER STOGNER: I have no other
20	questions of Mr. Speer.
2 1	Are there any other questions of this
22	witness? If not, he may be excused.
23	Ms. Matthews, do you have anything
24	further?
25	MS. MATTHEWS: No.

1	EXAMINER STOGNER: Does anybody else
2	have anything further in Case No. 10475? If not,
3	this case will be taken under advisement. Thank
4	you.
5	[And the proceedings were adjourned.]
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	I do hereby certify that the foregoing is modele record of the proceedings in
1 2	I do hereby certify that the foregoing in a complete record of the proceedings in the Examiner hearing of Care 12. 10415, the Examiner hearing of Care 1992
13	the Examiner hearing of the Examiner heard by me on function that the examiner hearing of the heart he
14	Michael Horn
15	Oil Conservation Civilian
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
2 2	
23	
2 4	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 2 STATE OF NEW MEXICO 3 SS. COUNTY OF SANTA FE 5 I, Debbie Vestal, Certified Shorthand 6 Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that 7 8 the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; 9 that I caused my notes to be transcribed under my 10 personal supervision; and that the foregoing is a 11 true and accurate record of the proceedings. 12 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a 13 relative or employee of any of the parties or 14 15 attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of 16 17 this matter. WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL JUNE 19, 1992. 18 19 20 21 22 VESTAL, DEBBIE RPR NEW MEXICO CSR NO. 3 23 24