STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY
THE OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR THE
PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

APPLICATION OF READ AND STEVENS, INC.,
FOR APPROVAL OF A UNIT AGREEMENT,

)

CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

ORIGINAL

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

EXAMINER HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examine RECEIVED

March 27th, 2003

APR 1 0 2003

Santa Fe, New Mexico

Oil Conservation Division

This matter came on for hearing before the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division, WILLIAM V. JONES, JR., Hearing Examiner, on Thursday, March 27th, 2003, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

March 27th, 2003 Examiner Hearing CASE NO. 13,033

	PAGE
APPEARANCES	3
APPLICANT'S WITNESSES:	
ROBERT H. WATSON (Landman)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	4
Examination by Examiner Jones	10
Examination by Mr. Brooks	11
BILL BRADSHAW (Geologist)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Bruce	11
Examination by Examiner Jones	15
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	19

* * *

EXHIBITS

Applicant's		Identified	Admitted
Land			
Exhibit	1	6	10
Exhibit	2	6	10
Exhibit	3	7	10
Exhibit	4	8	10
Exhibit	5	9	10
Exhibit	6	9	10
Exhibit	7	12	15
Exhibit	8	14	15
Exhibit	9	15	15

APPEARANCES

FOR THE DIVISION:

DAVID K. BROOKS, JR.
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

JAMES G. BRUCE Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1056 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 1 2 9:30 a.m.: 3 EXAMINER JONES: Okay, at this time we'll call Case 13,033, Application of Read and Stevens, Incorporated, 4 for approval of a unit agreement, Chaves County, New 5 Mexico. 7 Call for appearances in this case. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, Jim Bruce of Santa Fe 8 representing the Applicant. I have two witnesses to be 9 sworn in. 10 EXAMINER JONES: Any other appearances in this 11 12 case? There being none, witnesses please stand for 13 swearing in. 14 (Thereupon, the witnesses were sworn.) 15 ROBERT H. WATSON, 16 17 the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon his oath, was examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MR. BRUCE: 20 Would you please state your name for the record? 21 0. My name is Bob Watson. 22 Α. Where do you reside? 23 Q. Roswell, New Mexico. 24 Α. Who do you work for and in what capacity? 25 0.

I'm land manager for Read and Stevens, 1 A. 2 Incorporated. Have you previously testified before the 3 0. Division? 4 No, I have not. 5 Α. Would you please summarize for the Examiner your 6 Q. 7 educational and employment background? I have a BA from Texas Tech University. I've 8 Α. been in the land business for 25 years, working for a 9 number of companies. I've been with Read and Stevens for 10 11 12 1/2 years, 10 of those years as land manager and, like I say, handled all their land and legal matters for Read and 12 13 Stevens. Does your area of responsibility include 14 Q. southeast New Mexico? 15 16 Yes, it does. Α. 17 0. And are you familiar with the land matters 18 involved in this Application? 19 Α. Yes, I am. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I tender Mr. Watson as 20 21 an expert petroleum landman. 22 EXAMINER JONES: He is so qualified. (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Watson, briefly what does 23 Q. Read and Stevens seek in this case? 24 25 We're seeking an order to approve a federal Α.

exploratory unit known as the West Haystack Unit Area.

- Q. Would you refer to Exhibit 1 and describe the lands involved in the unit area?
- A. Yes, the lands in the unit area consist of all of Sections 7, 8 and 18 of Township 6 South, Range 27 East, Chaves County, New Mexico.
 - Q. What type of lands are in the unit?
- A. All lands are federal lands, except for the north half of Section 18, which are fee lands.
 - Q. What is Exhibit 2?
- A. Exhibit 2 is a copy of the proposed unit agreement on the standard form commonly used, and it lists all working, royalty, overriding royalty interest owners in each tract.
 - Q. Okay.

- A. And the exhibit's attached.
- Q. Exhibit B lists all the tracts and the interest owners?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who are the working interest owners in the unit?
- A. Working interest owners of record are Read and Stevens, Inc.; Lincoln Oil and Gas, L.L.C.; Yates Petroleum Corporation.
- Q. Have any of the working interest owners not ratified or not agreed to ratify the agreement?

7 Yates Petroleum Corporation has not ratified the 1 Α. 2 unit. Okay. With Yates not having ratified, what is 3 Q. the percentage of working interest owners who have ratified 4 5 the unit? Α. I believe it's 85.3 percent of the working 6 7 interest, is -- has ratified. And does that percentage satisfy the BLM's 8 requirement for the operator to have effective working 9 interest control in the unit area? 10 Yeah, to my understanding it does. To my 11 Α. understanding, 85 percent is required. 12 What about the royalty interests? Have they 13 Q. ratified the unit agreement? 14 Yes, they have. The fee owner has ratified the 15 Α. unit, the two current overriding royalty owners have 16 ratified the unit, so we have full ratification by the 17 18 royalty owners. Okay. So at this point, other than Yates 19 Q. Petroleum Corporation, all of the other interest owners 20 21 have ratified the unit? 22 Yes, that's correct. Α. And is Exhibit 3 the letter of preliminary 23 Q.

24

25

approval from the BLM?

Yes.

Α.

Q. What is Exhibit 4, Mr. Watson?

- A. Exhibit 4 are copies of notification letters sent to all owners, working interest, royalty owners, notifying of the hearing, with the attached copy of the Application for approval of the unit agreement.
- Q. Okay. Are some of these, or at least a couple of these entities in-house or related to Read and Stevens?
- A. Yes, First Century Oil, Inc., is an affiliated company of Read and Stevens. President is Charles B. Read. Read and Stevens Employee Royalty Pool is affiliated with Read and Stevens. Lincoln Oil and Gas is owned by an internal employee, William Bradshaw. So yes.
- Q. Okay. Has Read and Stevens made a good-faith effort to obtain the voluntary joinder of the interest owners in the unit area?
- A. Yes, we have, and in accordance with the requirements.
- Q. Okay, but this is a voluntary unit, so anyone who doesn't voluntarily join is just governed by the terms of his own lease; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there an upcoming deadline regarding approval of the unit and drilling of a well?
- A. Yes, there is. The three federal leases all have an expiration date of May 31st, 2003, so we are facing that

deadline.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

- Q. What are your plans for the initial unit well?
- A. We plan to drill the initial well at a legal location in the west half of Section 18 to a depth of 5600 feet to test the Cisco formation.
- Q. What is the cost, estimated cost of the initial unit well?
- A. Estimated total cost is \$450,000. Of that the dryhole cost is approximately \$290,000, and with a completion cost of approximately \$150,000.
- Q. Does Read and Stevens request that it be designated operator of the unit area?
 - A. Yes, we do.
- Q. You are the largest working interest, are you not?
 - A. Yes, we do have the largest interest in the unit.
- Q. And Yates Petroleum Corporation, as you said, did not ratify the unit. Were they notified of this hearing?
- A. Yes, they were notified and have not ratified the unit.
 - Q. Okay. And is Exhibit 6 the notice letter sent to Yates Petroleum?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Were Exhibits 1 through 6 prepared by you or under your supervision or compiled from company business

records?

- A. Yes, they were prepared by me in all instances.
- Q. And is the granting of this Application in the interests of conservation and the prevention of waste?
 - A. Yes, it is.

MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, at this time I'd move the admission of Read and Stevens Exhibits 1 through 6.

EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 1 through 6, Read and Stevens, will be admitted to the record.

EXAMINATION

BY EXAMINER JONES:

- Q. Mr. Watson, none of the Yates parties in that Tract 4 sent you a letter back --
- A. They have -- My understanding is, they have returned the letter, but I did not have it yesterday morning before I left the office, but I understand it's at the office now, so... We had to leave before the mail was delivered, but I have had verbal communication with them to the effect that they will not -- or do not plan to ratify the unit or join in the unit.
- Q. So they want Tract 4, which is Section 8, they want to just be on their own on that one?
 - A. Yes, that's my understanding.

EXAMINER JONES: And David, do you have any questions?

	11
1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. BROOKS:
3	Q. Well not really pertinent questions, but the name
4	West Haystack Federal is ringing a bell with me. I was
5	wondering if this is in the same area as the inactive wells
6	that Read and Stevens had that were the subject of Case
7	Number 12,733?
8	A. I'm not familiar with the specifics of that case,
9	but we do have two producing wells in the area, the West
10	Haystack Number 1, Federal Number 1, and the West Haystack
11	Federal Number 4, which are still currently producing
12	wells, to the south of this acreage.
13	Q. Okay, but this proceeding doesn't have anything
14	to do with these other inactive wells that we've been
15	looking at?
16	A. I don't know.
17	MR. BROOKS: Okay, thank you. Nothing further.
18	EXAMINER JONES: I have nothing further of the
19	witness, Mr. Bruce.
20	BILL BRADSHAW,
21	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
22	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION

STEVEN T. BRENNER, CCR (505) 989-9317

Would you please state your name and city of

BY MR. BRUCE:

Q.

24

1 residence? Bill Bradshaw, Roswell, New Mexico. 2 Α. Who do you work for? 3 Q. Read and Stevens. 4 Α. What's your job with Read and Stevens? 5 Q. I've been a petroleum geologist for about 15 6 Α. 7 years. Have you previously testified before the 8 Q. Division? 9 Yes, I have. 10 Α. And were your credentials as an expert geologist 11 Q. accepted as a matter of record? 12 Α. Yes, they were. 13 And are you familiar with the geology involved in 14 Q. 15 this Application? 16 Α. Yes. 17 MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd tender Mr. Bradshaw as an expert petroleum geologist. 18 EXAMINER JONES: Mr. Bradshaw is so tendered. 19 (By Mr. Bruce) Mr. Bradshaw, could you identify 20 Q. Exhibit 7, describe it for the Examiner and discuss the 21 primary zone of interest in the proposed unit? 22 We've included a -- This is a structure map, it's 23 made on the Mississippian formation, and your control out 24 here is, we're using subsurface control, and we've also 25

purchased 2-D seismic. So basically we're looking at a seismic structure map on top of the Mississippian.

The primary objective is the Cisco formation.

This is about 200 to 300 feet deeper -- the map is 200 to 300 feet deeper than the Cisco, so the Cisco is reflected by the Mississippian structure.

- Q. Mr. Watson mentioned some wells in this area.

 Are those depicted on this map, existing wells?
- A. Yes, we've drilled -- Read and Stevens drilled four wells, two wells in Section 19: There's a dryhole that we've drilled most recently, the Number 5 in the north half of 19; we also have the Haystack Number 1 which is in the south half of 19. The north half of 30 is the Number 4 Haystack. Those wells are going to make about 1.3 BCF per well. And then we have the Number 2 Haystack in the southwest quarter of Section 20, which was a marginal producer. It came on making quite a bit of gas, and basically it watered out, and we believe that that defines more or less the downdip edge of the field.
- Q. Looking at this map, at the Number 5 well in the north half of the section, why did that well not produce --
- A. It came in the highest of all wells out there, and the Cisco sometimes is -- when you get too high on the structure sometimes it can be tight. It's a stratigraphic problem. As you move off the edge of the structure you

pick up porosity, like we picked porosity in the Number 1 and the Number 4 and the Number 2 wells. So your downdip wells have got all the porosity, and the Number 2 well was the downdip wet well.

- Q. Okay, so for your initial unit well, you are moving -- you are not drilling at the top of the structure?
- A. That's correct. We're drilling a different structure also, to the north. We feel like we have kind of a different block to the north.
- Q. Based on your geology, is the outline of your unit area, the three-section area, fair and reasonable?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- Q. Briefly, what is Exhibit 8?
- A. I've included a structural cross-section, D-D'. I just put it in there, just to show you what the section looks like. We have a type log. It goes through the producing wells.

This is a cross-section, and it goes through
the -- If you look at your key at the bottom here, there's
a well on the west side here, the left side in Section 30.
That was a well that we re-entered and it's a commercial -We ended up re-entering that well and producing.

We have the West Haystack Number 1, which is the type log, is really what I wanted to show you. It shows

the Cisco section at 5600 feet. You can see that it's a 1 tight limestone, it's fractured, it doesn't really show a 2 lot of porosity in the logs, but that's the kind of type 3 log that I wanted to include in the testimony. 4 Okay. Mr. Watson mentioned that the test well 5 0. will be drilled to what, at least 5600 feet? 6 7 Yes, that's right. A. And that will adequately test the Cisco? 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. Okay. Finally, is Exhibit 9 simply a summary of 10 Q. your geologic estimate? 11 Yes. 12 Α. Were Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 prepared by you? 13 Q. Yes. 14 A. 15 And in your opinion is the granting of this Q. 16 Application in the interests of conservation and the 17 prevention of waste? Α. 18 Yes. MR. BRUCE: Mr. Examiner, I'd move the admission 19 20 of Read and Stevens Exhibits 7, 8 and 9. EXAMINER JONES: Exhibits 7, 8 and 9 are admitted 21 to evidence. 22 23 EXAMINATION BY EXAMINER JONES: 24 Mr. Bradshaw, you don't show much porosity at all 25 Q.

in --

- A. I know.
- Q. Are you just going for fractures, or is the porosity just now showing up on the log?
- A. Well, the way that you have to deal with this formation is, you drill stem test it.

If you're drilling through it, like you said, there's not much to see on the logs. But through drill stem testing I think they've discovered that zone out there.

- Q. So the drill stem tests would be in the scout ticket data that someone could find?
 - A. Right.

Like for instance over here, I put a DST on this type log right in here. They drill stem tested it. It was gas to surface, 950 MCF a day.

As you continue back to the east on the crosssection you can see you have the same porosities, and
there's a downdip log. And basically that's the one that
was -- it tested some gas and was wet. But you can see you
have the same section present all the way across there,
just on the electric logs.

- Q. Okay. You came out with 1.3 BCF per well --
- A. Yes.
 - Q. -- based on a decline curve or --

1	A. On Yes, our engineer did that for both
2	producing wells. And they've made around about a BCF right
3	now.
4	Q. Oh, really?
5	A. Uh-huh.
6	Q. And did you already say what pool these are in,
7	the Cisco? Is it pre-Permian?
8	A. It's the Pennsylvanian.
9	Q. Cisco-Pennsylvanian, but do you know what pool?
10	I can look that up.
11	A. I don't know.
12	Q. Okay, so basically you're going to drill to the
13	On the well you're going to drill, you're not going to
14	drill as deep as these on the
15	A. We'd like to take the well to basement, but I
16	mean, you've got a couple more hundred feet to go, but the
17	bottom lines is, you know, that we're drilling it primarily
18	for Cisco.
19	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, Mr. Brooks, do you have
20	any questions?
21	MR. BROOKS: Nothing further.
22	EXAMINER JONES: Okay, Mr. Bradshaw, thank you.
23	THE WITNESS: Okay.
24	EXAMINER JONES: Any more witnesses, Mr. Bruce?
25	MR BRUCE: I have nothing further. Mr. Examiner.

```
EXAMINER JONES: Okay. With that, Case Number
 1
      13,033 will be taken under advisement.
 2
                 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at
 3
 4
      8:46 a.m.)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
                                        The harmy county months for any
10
11
                                       heard by me on
12
                                        Oil Conservation Division
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Division was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 1st, 2003.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 16th, 2006