STATE OF NEW MEXICO

ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION FOR THE PURPOSE OF CONSIDERING:

CASE NO. 12,739

APPLICATION OF THE NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING COULTHURST MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENTS, INC., TO BRING 12 WELLS INTO COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 201.B AND ASSESSING APPROPRIATE CIVIL PENALTIES, SANDOVAL COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

ဏ္

COMMISSION HEARING

BEFORE: LORI WROTENBERY, CHAIRMAN JAMI BAILEY, COMMISSIONER ROBERT LEE, COMMISSIONER

March 26th, 2002

Santa Fe, New Mexico

This matter came on for hearing before the Oil Conservation Commission, LORI WROTENBERY, Chairman, on Tuesday, March 26th, 2002, at the New Mexico Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department, 1220 South Saint Francis Drive, Room 102, Santa Fe, New Mexico, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter No. 7 for the State of New Mexico.

* * *

I N D E X

March 26th, 2002 Commission Hearing CASE NO. 12,739

	PAGE
EXHIBITS	3
APPEARANCES	4
APPLICANT'S WITNESS: JANE E. PROUTY (Computer	
Operations Specialist, NMOCD) Direct Examination by Mr. Brooks	7
COULTHURST WITNESS:	
JOHN COULTHURST (President, Coulthurst Management and Investment)	
Direct Examination by Mr. Carr	13
Examination by Mr. Brooks	34
Examination by Commissioner Bailey	51
Examination by Commissioner Lee	54
Further Examination by Mr. Carr	54
Further Examination by Commissioner Lee	54
Examination by Chairman Wrotenbery	56
CLOSING STATEMENT:	
By Mr. Carr	62
By Mr. Brooks	63
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	66

* * *

	EXHIBITS		
Applicant's	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	8	9	
Exhibit 2	9	10	
Exhibit 3	9	10	
	_		
Exhibit 4	9	10	
Exhibit 5	9	10	
Exhibit 6	10	10	
	_ •		
	* * *		
Coulthurst	Identified	Admitted	
Exhibit 1	27	34	
	* * *		

APPEARANCES

FOR THE COMMISSION:

STEPHEN ROSS
Deputy General Counsel
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
2040 South Pacheco
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR THE APPLICANT:

DAVID K. BROOKS
Attorney at Law
Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department
Assistant General Counsel
1220 South St. Francis Drive
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

FOR COULTHURST MANAGEMENT AND INVESTMENTS, INC.:

HOLLAND & HART, L.L.P., and CAMPBELL & CARR 110 N. Guadalupe, Suite 1 P.O. Box 2208
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87504-2208
By: WILLIAM F. CARR

* * *

WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were had at 10:28 a.m.:

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And then the last of the inactive well cases we'll call next is Case 12,739. This is the Application of the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division for an order requiring Coulthurst Management and Investments, Inc., to bring 12 wells into compliance with Rule 201.B and assessing appropriate civil penalties, Sandoval County, New Mexico.

This is being heard by the Commission upon the Application of Coulthurst Management and Investments, Inc., for de novo review of the Division Order.

And I'll call for appearances.

MR. BROOKS: May it please the Commission, I'm

David Brooks, Assistant General Counsel, Energy, Minerals

and Natural Resources Department of the State of New

Mexico, appearing for the New Mexico Oil Conservation

Division. I have one witness.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

MR. CARR: May it please the Examiner, my name is William F. Carr with the Santa Fe office of Holland and Hart, L.L.P. We represent Coulthurst Management and Investments, Inc. I have one witness.

I also think the record should reflect that Mr. Brooks and I have stipulated that the testimony of Charles

```
Perrin that was presented on October the 18th can be
 1
     admitted into the record of this case on stipulation and
 2
     that Mr. Perrin is not required to be here today to re-
 3
 4
     present that testimony.
               MR. BROOKS: That is correct.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you, Mr. Carr and Mr.
 6
 7
     Brooks.
               And your witness is --
 8
               MR. CARR: -- is John Coulthurst.
 9
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Mr. Coulthurst, will you --
10
               MR. CARR: Do you want to go first --
11
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- remain standing and --
12
               MR. CARR: -- I didn't know you had a witness --
13
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- be sworn --
14
               MR. BROOKS: Yes, Ms. Prouty --
15
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- and I assume Ms. Prouty
16
     will be testifying again?
17
18
               MR. BROOKS: Yes, she has previously been sworn.
19
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And she's previously been
20
             But Mr. Coulthurst, we need to get you sworn in.
               (Thereupon Mr. Coulthurst was sworn.)
21
22
               CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you.
               MR. CARR: And is Ms. Prouty going to testify
23
     first?
24
               MR. BROOKS:
25
                            Yes.
```

I think Ms. Prouty will CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: 1 2 testify first, Mr. Brooks will present his case. MR. CARR: All right. 3 MR. BROOKS: Okay. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Coulthurst. 5 6 MR. BROOKS: Call Ms. Prouty. 7 JANE E. PROUTY, the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon 8 her oath, was examined and testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BROOKS: 11 Ms. Prouty, would you state your name for the 12 Q. 13 record, please? 14 Jane Prouty. And by whom are you employed? 15 Q. The Oil Conservation Division. 16 Α. In what capacity? 17 Q. I'm the manager of the area that processes the 18 Α. C-115's, the production reports. 19 And in that capacity are you responsible for --20 Q. 21 you and your staff and people that work under your direction -- responsible for receiving the reports filed by 22 operators concerning production on their wells in New 23 Mexico and maintaining the records of the reports so filed? 24 Yes. 25 Α.

8 In this connection, did I ask you to prepare a 1 report through the most current available data concerning 2 3 production of wells operated by Coulthurst Management and Investment Corp.? 4 5 A. Yes. I'll ask you to look at Exhibit Number 1 and Q. 6 identify it for the record. 7 That's the report for the particular wells you 8 Α. asked me. 9 The Commission has heard the manner -- in the 10 Q. 11 previous case, testimony concerning the manner in which these reports are assembled, so they are familiar with it. 12 But for the record, would you just very briefly review how 13 these reports are assembled? 14 Yes. I ask the database for all oil, gas, water 15 or injection -- oil, gas, water produced, or any injection 16 -- from January, 1997, through the current time for the 17 wells that you listed for me. And this report shows that 18 C-115's were filed for every month, but these particular 19 20 wells didn't have any oil, gas, water produced, or 21 injection volumes. I believe that's correct. 22 Q. Would you review the exhibit and confirm that, in

fact, there was no production reported?

23

24

- Correct, for these wells there is no production. Α.
- Q. And what is the most recent month covered by

those reports? 1 We've received the February -- No, no, excuse me. 2 Α. We've received the January, 2002, report, is the most 3 recent one. 4 And would you conclude from that that the wells 5 listed -- and I forget exactly how many of them -- the 6 7 wells listed on that list, on that report, remain inactive through the month of January, 2002? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MR. BROOKS: No further questions for this 11 witness, pass the witness. MR. CARR: I have no questions of this witness. 12 13 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Commissioners, any questions? 14 15 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 16 COMMISSIONER LEE: (Shakes head) 17 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Ms. Prouty. MR. BROOKS: We'll offer Exhibit Number 1. 18

MR. CARR: No objection.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Exhibit Number 1 is admitted into the record.

MR. BROOKS: Okay, the remaining exhibits, 2
through -- let's see -- 2, 3 -- there should be a 4, but
I'm not seeing it in my stack -- 5, 6 -- 5 and 6 -- 2, 3, 4
and 5 are copies of documents appearing in the Division's

files. Exhibit Number 6 is a copy of the transcript of Mr. 1 Perrin's testimony at the Division Hearing. I believe all 2 of these documents should be covered by the stipulation 3 between myself and Mr. Carr. If you have any objection, 4 5 please so not. I have no objection to the admission MR. CARR: 6 of these exhibits. 7 MR. BROOKS: Okay, based on the stipulation we'll 8 tender Exhibits 2 through 6 inclusive. 9 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Exhibits 2 through 6 are 10 11 admitted into the record of evidence in the case. 12 And we do have an Exhibit Number 4 that is a note apparently from Mr. Coulthurst to Charlie Perrin. 13 MR. BROOKS: Yes, that's what it should be. 14 15 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. MR. BROOKS: Okay, Mr. Carr, would you further be 16 17 prepared to stipulate that Exhibits Numbers 4, 5 and 6 constitute the only communications received by the Division 18 19 from Coulthurst in response to this proceeding prior to the Division Hearing? 20 21 MR. CARR: I would stipulate that they are the communications received from Coulthurst prior to the 22 There has been communication since that --23 Division. MR. BROOKS: Yes, I believe those communications 24 25 would be in the possession of the Commission, but if not,

1	you may offer them.
2	Thank you very much. With that, the Division
3	rests.
4	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: I do have one question to
5	ask
6	MR. BROOKS: Sure.
7	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: that Mr. Ross has
8	pointed out that the testimony at the Division Hearing was
9	actually given by Frank Chavez instead of Charles Perrin?
10	MR. BROOKS: I believe that is correct. I
11	apologize for that misunderstanding. Does our stipulation
12	also apply to Mr. Chavez's testimony?
13	MR. CARR: Yes, it does.
14	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. And would you
15	explain, Mr. Brooks, who Mr. Chavez is?
16	MR. BROOKS: Okay, I believe
17	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: I think he's
18	MR. BROOKS: the record
19	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: it is explained
20	MR. BROOKS: of the Division Hearing will
21	reflect
22	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: in the record.
23	MR. BROOKS: that Mr. Frank Chavez is the
24	District Supervisor of District Number 1, which includes
25	OCD District Number 1, which includes San Juan and Rio

1	Arriba Counties, New Mexico, among other counties.
2	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And would you also explain
3	who Mr. Perrin is, if there is one
4	MR. BROOKS: I believe
5	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: for Mr. Coulthurst, who
6	Mr. Perrin
7	MR. BROOKS: that the record will probably
8	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: are two
9	MR. BROOKS: also reflect that Mr. Charlie
10	Perrin is a field representative with the Aztec District
11	Office, who works under the supervision of Mr. Chavez.
12	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay, thank you.
13	Anything else
14	MR. BROOKS: No, the
15	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Mr. Brooks?
16	MR. BROOKS: Division would rest at this
17	point.
18	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.
19	Mr. Carr?
20	MR. CARR: May it please the Commission, at this
21	time we call John Coulthurst.
22	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Mr. Coulthurst, thank you
23	for making the trip to testify before the Commission.
24	MR. COULTHURST: It's always nice going to New
25	Mexico. Thank you.

1	JOHN COULTHURST,
2	the witness herein, after having been first duly sworn upon
3	his oath, was examined and testified as follows:
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. CARR:
6	Q. Would you state your full name for the record,
7	please?
8	A. John Coulthurst.
9	Q. Mr. Coulthurst, where do you reside?
10	A. In Berkeley, California.
11	Q. What is your relationship to Coulthurst
12	Management and Investment, Inc.?
13	A. I am the president, sole owner and only officer
14	of that corporation.
15	Q. Would you describe for the Commission the nature
16	of the business of Coulthurst Management and Investment?
17	A. It's a holding company for oil and gas
18	properties.
19	Q. Do you operate any properties other than those
20	which are at issue in this hearing?
21	A. None whatsoever.
22	Q. Have you previously testified before this
23	Commission?
24	A. No, sir.
25	O. Would vou review briefly your educational

background? 1 I have a bachelor of arts in economics out of Α. 2 Haverford College, outside of Philadelphia. 3 And when did you receive your degree? Q. 5 Α. 1959. Do you have any professional training in either 6 Q. petroleum geology or engineering? 7 8 Α. No, I do not. Do you rely on consultants and others for these 9 Q. 10 types of technical services? 11 Α. Absolutely.

- Q. Could you describe just generally your work experience as it relates to the oil and gas industry?
- A. Well, I invested as a limited partner in a bunch of limited partnerships in the 1980s, ran into Gene Wilson during that time and was very impressed by him.
 - Q. And who is Mr. Wilson?
- A. Mr. Wilson is a well known New Mexico geologist.

 He has about 50 years of experience. He's quite a

 character, but an honorable man.
- Q. And is this individual who has provided geological expertise to you in this effort?
 - A. Yes, he has.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. When did you acquire your interest in the South San Luis Pool?

- 15 1991. Α. 1 And are you familiar with the status of the lands 2 Q. in that pool? 3 Well, I'm not a geologist, but I think I know 4 5 what's going on, yes. And are you familiar with the status of the wells 6 7 in that pool? 8 A. Yes. 9 Would you review for the Commission what is the Q. purpose of your testimony here today? 10 11 Well, I'd like to review the history, for one thing, of Coulthurst Management and how we've been trying 12 13 to develop the properties, you know, which are the subject 14 of this Application. You'll provide the Commission with the current 15 status of these properties? 16 Yes. 17 Α. There are 12 wells that are involved in this 18 Q. 19 hearing; isn't that correct? 20 Α. Correct. And Ms. Prouty just testified that none of those 21
 - wells were actively producing at this time. You agree with that, do you not?
 - A. Absolutely.

22

23

24

25

Q. You're also here today to respond to the Oil

Conservation Division's demand to bring certain wells into 1 compliance with these rules, are you not? 2 Absolutely. I just -- That's why I'm here. Α. 3 And you're going to review for the Commission 4 Q. your plans and what you propose to do to meet that 5 objective, bringing these wells into compliance? 6 Correct. 7 Α. Now, when did you acquire these properties? 8 Q. 1991. 9 Α. 10 Q. And from whom? Noel Reynolds. 11 Α. And what was your plan for these properties at 12 Q. 13 that time? The plan, as recommended by a couple of experts, 14 Α. was to acquire the properties and to drill deeper than all 15 those old, shallow wells, to try to find an expected oil 16 zone at around 600 feet. 17 At the time you acquired these properties, there 18 was an engineering report on them recommending waterflood 19 operations? 20 Yes, because of the fact I wouldn't acquire the 21 Α. properties knowing that you can't get any serious 22 production out of reserves at 600 feet without implementing 23

And who was the individual who, in fact, made

24

25

a waterflood, that's correct.

Q.

that recommendation?

- A. Well, I don't really know him well, but he was recommended by Gene Wilson: William Weiss, out of the university at Socorro, I believe.
- Q. And it was on his recommendation that you acquired these properties and intended to implement waterfloods?
 - A. Absolutely, that's from day one.
- Q. We're talking about certain properties at this time. How many acres are we actually dealing with?
- A. Basically, with the exception of the Jenny 1, which is the north of the Section 33, we're basically talking about Section 33 less 80 acres.
 - Q. And these are in Township 18 North, Range 3 West?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. How many wells are there actually on these properties?
- A. Well, the one Jenny well, which is in Section 28, which we intend to plug. And then there are six wells that we drilled in Section 33, and I believe eight ancient wells that have been there for 20 or 30 years, at least.
 - Q. We have eight old wells?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. We have six new wells?
 - A. Correct.

- 18 We have 14 wells that are now in this reservoir? 1 Q. 2 Correct. Α. Twelve of them are inactive? 3 Q. Well, actually only six of the wells that were 4 Α. 5 drilled actually are in the reservoir that we're talking 6 The old wells are in a tiny reservoir that was the 7 first wells, you know, to make that field development originally. I don't know, it might have been back in the 8 9 1950s. I don't have any well files on them. 10 What are your current plans for these wells? Q. 11 It's never changed and that is, now that we have Α. two good wells that are now winding down as far as initial 12 production because of gas -- not gas but pressures -- we 13 14 intended, and I do intend, to implement a waterflood. That's what our intention is. 15 Two wells will be producing in that waterflood? 16 Q. At the beginning, yes, sir. 17 Α. 18 Q. And how many wells are you initially hoping to 19 plug and abandon? 20 We need to plug -- This is what the geologist 21 recommended. We needed to plug four of those old eight
 - Q. So four plugged, two producing, and the balance of those you intend to bring into temporarily abandoned status or convert to water wells?

wells as soon as possible.

22

23

24

1	A. Right, due to the fact that we don't need them
2	for water purposes. In other words, we're not using those
3	wells for injection wells. We're going to need water to
. 4	inject the new injection well that we had hoped to do this
5	fall, and those are going to be the sources. And actually
6	four of those old wells we are hoping to keep as potential
7	water sources for wells to be drilled in the future, deeper
8	in that old area of the field.
9	Q. What volumes have been produced from this area
10	prior to your acquisition of the property?
11	A. I saw prior to purchasing the property that the
12	old wells produced over a period of 20 to 40 years

- old wells produced over a period of 20 to 40 years something in the neighborhood of 2000 barrels.
- Q. And since you acquired the properties, what's the cumulative production?
- A. The cumulative production is exactly 1000 percent more than that over the last nine years. We have produced over 20,000 barrels and are producing at the rate of 2700 barrels a day -- I mean a year, I wish it was a day -- right now, and we are extremely proud of our record.
 - Q. How old are these wells?
 - A. Which wells?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. The wells that you acquired from Mr. Reynolds.
- A. Well, I don't have well files on them, but I am told they're between 20 and 50 years old.

1	Q. And how deep are the wells that you initially
2	acquired?
3	A. Almost all of them are around 350 to 400-and-
4	something feet.
5	Q. And the wells that you've drilled, are they
6	deeper than that?
7	A. They're down to about 700 feet, yes, sir.
8	Q. Are there freshwater zones in the area?
9	A. Yes, there are.
10	Q. Is water being used, fresh water, for any purpose
11	in this area?
12	A. Yes, we offered at the request of the farmer, or
13	the cattle farmer in the area we have been allowing him
14	to use water that we get from our wells that are producing,
15	and that we had the water tested and we had the BLA
16	approval at no cost to the farmer and at no cost to the BLM
17	so that the farmer could get water.
18	Q. Is he using it for stock water?
19	A. Yes he is, sir.
20	Q. What is the character of the land upon which all
21	of these wells are located? State, federal or fee?
22	A. It's all federal.
23	Q. And have you been working with the Bureau of Land
24	Management concerning these properties?

A. Very closely.

Q. What sort of bond have you in place to cover your operations on these properties?

- A. We have a \$25,000 Bank of America CD in the BLM name.
- Q. Could you just briefly review your efforts with the Bureau of Land Management to deal with these wells in their current status?
- A. Around nineteen ninety- -- I was going to say -Let me go to the next page, because I want to be as
 accurate as I can. 1998, the BLM sent me a certified
 letter at my current address, which has been the same for
 ten years, giving me the same -- I'm not a lawyer, but it
 looked like the same letter that I got from the OCD, with
 the same typical 30 days put up or -- you know, or you're
 going to get shot, which is very exciting when you're not a
 lawyer, let me tell you. I've never received a letter like
 that in my life, and it's very frightening.

and when I got that letter I immediately called up the BLM and talked with both Pat Hester and Brian Davis, who is a geologist for the BLM, and reviewed what we were doing and telling them in no uncertain terms that we were in the same boat that they were, in the same direction, and that we're spending 100 percent of our cash flow to expand the production of the field, and had done so.

Q. They told you basically you had to do something

with these inactive wells, did they not?

A. That's right, and they said that what we had to do -- they said, Well, what do you want to do?

And I said, We want to spend 100 percent of our cash flow to get the production up and going and to form a waterflood on the BLM land, and if we spent money getting rid of the plugging of some of these old wells before we were ready to drill the injection well, then we would have to -- because we were working with our cash flow, we're a small company, and that you couldn't have it both ways. If we wanted to expand the production, then would they be so kind as to let us do so and put off for a while, while we did the good work for the waterflood?

- Q. Did they require that you submit a written proposal for the implementation of a waterflood?
- A. That is correct, it took me 90 days. In fact, I came to see you just before that at the recommendation of a friend. And you said, Fill out the paperwork, they're good people to work with. And I did that, it took me 90 days.

 And I'm not saying --
- Q. Who assisted you in that regard, with technical work?
- A. Well, the technical work was all work done in the past by Gene Wilson and Mr. Weiss.
 - Q. And that report provided that you would be

drilling an injection well hopefully in the fall of this year?

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. When were you contacted by the Oil Conservation Division?
- A. Well, the records show that they sent me a letter on May the 2nd, I had in my file. Amazing, because I'm not the world's best filer. But anyway, I did have that in my file, and it said something about Bradenhead tests and to submit a plan within 30 days on this BLM lease.
 - Q. Did you respond directly to the OCD?
- A. No, I did not, because -- and that was a big, big mistake -- because I'd already answered that same basic question of what we were going to do with the wells, with the BLM.
- Q. You're aware that there was a hearing in September of last year concerning these wells?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And when did you learn about that hearing?
- A. I learned of it Thursday afternoon, five o'clock
 west coast time, when the phone rang and Mr. Perrin was on
 the phone.
- Q. And that's Mr. Perrin from the Aztec office of the Division?
 - A. That's what he said, yes.

And that was the Thursday afternoon immediately Q. 1 preceding the hearing; is that correct? 2 That is correct, a very frightening thing to get. 3 Α. And what happened at that time? Q. He said, Why didn't you respond to our letter? 5 Α. And I said, What letter? 6 7 And he said, The one we sent you by certified 8 mail. And I said I had not received any notices in my 9 box that I had a certified letter, from anybody. 10 11 Q. You did not receive a letter? No, sir. 12 Α. 13 You would agree that when certified mail is sent Q. to someone, people normally receive it? 14 Not only that, if they don't receive it when 15 they're -- you know, if they're not home, they're supposed 16 to get a receipt saying, you know, we have tried to deliver 17 a letter. 18 But you were unaware of the hearing till the 19 Q. Thursday before? 20 21 Α. That is absolutely correct. And what did you do? 22 0. I asked Mr. Perrin, what the heaven's name could 23 Α. 24 I do? He said, Give me a timetable so that -- when you 25

could take care of these requests. And he told me the requests. He was very helpful on the phone.

And I said, Well, how much time do I have to figure this out?

And he said, Two and a half days.

- Q. And were you able to meet that deadline?
- A. I sure tried, I called everybody I could, and the answer is, I couldn't even -- I don't have files on it, the BLM said it's impossible to give you a date as to when you could even get the answer back if you could fill out the forms and get them to us in two days.
- Q. And when you say that, you're talking about a plugging program approved by the BLM; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. I finally got ahold of somebody in the second day, which was Monday, I believe, who would call me back since I have no -- I've never dealt with a plugging company in my life and didn't expect to do so until they got into this waterflood.

And I finally -- They called back and they said, We can't give you a date, we're very busy. We'll give you a date after you give us the form that's been approved by the BLM.

- Q. And that was when you requested the continuance of the hearing; is that correct?
 - A. I didn't know what else to do, yes.

And that's what has been included as Exhibit 5 in Q. 1 the exhibits of the Oil Conservation --2 I believe so. 3 Α. No extension was granted, correct? Q. 5 Apparently not. Α. And the matter went to hearing. Did you, 6 Q. following the hearing, have further conversations with the 7 Oil Conservation Division? 8 9 Yes, I was away on business the week the hearing Α. I remember -- think that was a Thursday, and 10 11 Charlie Perrin left his office Tuesday, so he needed every answer by Tuesday mid-day. 12 And the week I got back, I think Monday morning, 13 I started calling around, trying to figure out what to do. 14 15 And I called Mr. Perrin, called the BLM, called the plugging company and went from there. 16 Did you submit to the Oil Conservation Division a 17 Q. written proposal for this work? 18 Yes, I did. 19 Α. And when did you do that? 20 Q. I'm looking back in my records on these notes, 21 because I really don't remember. 22 I believe it was November the 8th. 23 Is that right, Bill? Do you have that in your file someplace? 24 There is a copy of it, I believe, in front of you 25 Q.

there. 1 Well, there's been more than one, there's been Α. 2 a lot of -- Oh, yes, 11-8 was the date that I got it by 3 e-mail to Mr. Perrin --4 And that, may it please the Commission --5 Q. -- and Pat Hester, by the way. 6 Α. And that has been marked as Coulthurst Exhibit 7 Q. 8 Number 1. What action did the Division take as a result of 9 10 the Examiner Hearing? 11 Α. I'm not sure I understand your --12 Q. Was an order entered following the Examiner Hearing? 13 14 Α.

- Well, I got a fine in the mail which said you're fined and an order with it, I believe. Is that what --
- And did that order direct you to plug or return Q. the wells to beneficial use?
 - Absolutely, and I had no argument with it. Α.
 - And it imposed a \$12,000 fine, did it not? Q.
- Yes, I'm a little upset by that. Α.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- What have you done since the Division entered the Q. order?
- Well, I guess I would call it playing ping-pong between the plugging company and the BLM and talking to Charlie Perrin. I haven't talked to Charlie in about

probably a month and a half, because our first -- We had two different types of filings with the BLM.

The first one was with a request to integritytest four wells. That went back and forth twice or three
times, and I finally got the approval in writing about two
weeks ago from the BLM.

The other with the plugging, with the wells on it, that's gone back twice now to the BLM, and they've changed the ground rules on what we had intended, which is a greater problem.

- Q. Did they increase the cementing and perforating requirements for the proposed plugging?
- A. Yes, I actually haven't talked to them in detail, because I wanted to get the form reviewed and filed and agreed to as fast as possible so that I could give it to everybody here and Mr. Perrin and then talk to the BLM as to what they were talking about. But they suggested that we need to, on these 400-foot, roughly, old wells, to perforate and to squeeze cement behind them to protect, I suspect, the environment. And we're in favor of doing anything they recommend, but it increases the cost dramatically.
- Q. Did you meet with the BLM concerning their additional requirements for the plugging of these wells?
 - A. Well, not in the sense of -- I asked them when I

Hester yesterday and I said, Pat, have you had a chance to review with the engineer as to whether or not we could do this just by having professionals cement the old wells in, just like Noel Reynolds did, I think maybe a mile north of us, so that we could do it cheaper and better and leave us more funds to complete our process on the waterflood?

And she said she hadn't done it yet, she'd be out this week and expected to be able to come back in the next couple of weeks with -- either signed off on the plugging or something to help us.

- Q. Now, who is the contractor that you're working with?
 - A. It's Phoenix Well Service.

- Q. And they're in Lindrith, New Mexico
- A. Yes. I've never been there, but that's what they tell me.
- Q. What are the -- at this point in time, the estimated costs you're looking at for plugging each well?
- A. Noel Reynolds did his for about -- something like \$1500 a well, and the professional engineer at the Lindrith Company says that they are estimating close to \$5000 because of having to go into each well, perforate, squeeze, et cetera.
 - Q. And what are the estimated costs for integrity

testing?

- A. They are suggesting that it's going to cost something in the neighborhood of \$2500 per well.
- Q. At this point in time, where are you in the process of trying to bring wells into compliance with OCD rules?
- A. Well, we're waiting for the last bit of approval from the BLM, and then -- that's what we're waiting for.
- Q. And then when you get that approval from the BLM, what do you intend to do?
- A. I intend to, as soon as possible, plug the four wells, and to get the OCD to talk to the BLM so that we can have a meeting of the minds on the waterflood also.
- Q. Are you then intending to go forward with the other work required to bring the wells into compliance with OCD Rules?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. And what do you request be done here today?
 - A. You mean, when will the written request be done?
- Q. No, what are you asking the Commission to do today?
- A. Well, I'm asking them to give me enough time so that I can get the BLM to be happy with the OCD and the OCD to be happy with the BLM, because I've given my word on the development of the property through the waterflood, which

is why I filled out that paperwork a year and a half ago.

- Q. And you'd for everybody, then, to be happy with you?
- A. Heavens, yes, I'm not fighting with anybody. You're preaching to the choir.
- Q. Are you requesting that this case be continued for a period of time?
- A. We're going to need enough time to get the paperwork from the BLM, talk with Charlie Perrin, talk with Pat Hester and see if there can't be a legitimate compromise so the BLM is happy, the OCD is happy, and we can get on with our job.
- Q. If this case is continued, will you plug four wells?
 - A. Absolutely.

- Q. If this case is continued, will you go forward with Mr. Perrin's coordinating efforts to bring this property into compliance with OCD Rules?
- A. Absolutely, and may I also say in Mr. Perrin's defense that Mr. Perrin and the OCD had absolutely no knowledge of the waterflood applications that I filed with the BLM, the BLM never said that I should file it jointly with the OCD, and so consequently they didn't know.
- Q. During this period of time will you also file the forms for the waterflood effort with the Oil Conservation

Division?

- A. Yeah, you're getting tough. You know, if I have to, I certainly will slug my way through it, yes.
- Q. And we've discussed what that will entail, have we not?
- A. Actually, you've only said that it's pretty complex. It sounds a little frightening, but that's why they have engineers.
- Q. All right. During this period of time will you coordinate your activities with Mr. Perrin?
- A. Absolutely, because if we don't get an agreement with the BLM and OCD on this, then if I do everything that Charlie Perrin wants me to do -- if I had the money, all of it -- then I'm going against the BLM promise that I made to them and the filing that I did to them.
- Q. Now, money is a critical factor in this matter, is it not?
- A. Yeah, we're just a small -- we are, we're a small company.
- Q. What is the impact on your efforts to bring these wells into compliance with the \$12,000 fine?
- A. Well, obviously it would cripple it because we don't have a lot of money.
- Q. Are you requesting that if you meet regulatory requirements within the continuance period, that no fine be

imposed?

- A. I sure hope so. I'd like to spend the money intelligently, rather than just spend it on fines.
- Q. How much time are you going to need to get this thing going forward, realistically?
- A. Well, let's say -- you know, I'm a hopeful person -- let's say it takes two to four weeks to get the paperwork signed and everybody happy with whatever they need to get done on the plugging paperwork with the BLM.

Then I'm hoping that we can start immediately with Charlie Perrin and Pat Hester and get some agreement fast, and then during that time get the plugging company to go in there and plug those four wells as fast as possible. And the last time I asked that question they said four to eight weeks, maximum.

- Q. How much time do you think you need?
- A. Well, my problem is, I can't tell you how long it will take Mr. Perrin and the BLM to have an agreement that they both can live with. But I'm hopeful that, you know, way in advance of six months we can get everything done, everybody happy, and we'd be off and running on the development.
- MR. CARR: That concludes my examination of Mr. Coulthurst.

We'd move the admission into evidence of

1 Coulthurst Exhibit Number 1. 2 MR. BROOKS: No objection. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Coulthurst Exhibit Number 1 3 4 is admitted as evidence. And --5 MR. CARR: And I pass the witness. 6 7 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- Mr. Brooks? 8 MR. BROOKS: Thank you. 9 EXAMINATION BY MR. BROOKS: 10 Mr. Coulthurst, let me retrieve OCD Exhibit 11 Q. Number 1 from that table, if I may. Thank you. 12 A. 13 Sure. To clarify the record, because you have testified 14 concerning the amount of production you have in this area, 15 16 the evidence has been offered by the Division that the Ann Number 3, 5, 6 and 15, and the Darla Number 1, 2, 7, 16 and 17 18 the Erin Number 1, 3, 4 and the Jenny Number 1 -- all of 19 those wells are inactive and have been inactive continuously for a period of five years. 20 21 Do you dispute that? 22 What was your phrase about -- for the period of Α. five years they were what? 23 24 Inactive, there's been no production from those Q. wells? 25

- A. Absolutely correct. And not only that, but I'm going to tell you that we never intended to produce the old wells because they're not economic.
- Q. Okay. Did you say that you -- and are these -- the wells that I listed, are those all old wells?
- A. No, sir. The Erin wells are all in that group of wells that we drilled looking for oil, but unfortunately we only hit two good oil wells out of those Erin wells. But two of them are offsetting the producing wells and they're perfect, absolutely perfect, water sources for the water injection well that will be put in between the Erin 2 and the 9.
 - Q. Now, the Erin 1, 3, 4 --
- A. The 3 and 4 are going to be used for the injection -- for water sources --
 - Q. Okay.

A. -- for our hopefully new water injection well.

The Erin 1 happens to have a bad cement job behind it, and it looks like it hit the oil zone. We didn't want to spend the money on trying to remediate the cement job until after we got the water injection well started, because that would be very important to the revenues of both the state, the BLM and yours truly.

Q. Now, the five Ann wells that I listed, are those among the old wells that you never intended to produce?

Absolutely. 1 Α. And what do you intend to do with those? 2 Q. Well, the Ann wells and -- what are the other oil Α. 3 4 wells called? And then there are -- there are five --5 0. Α. Darla --6 7 -- Ann wells --Q. -- the Darla and the Ann wells. 8 Α. -- and there are three Darla wells --9 Q. Okay, look --10 Α. -- actually four Darla wells. 11 Q. Yeah. First of all --12 Α. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: May I ask a question --13 MR. BROOKS: Sure. 14 THE WITNESS: 15 Sure. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- quickly? What are the 16 five Ann wells? Because on the list in front of me I see 17 18 four Ann wells. MR. BROOKS: Okay, I may be mistaken. 19 the Number 3, the Number 5, the Number 6 and the Number 15. 20 21 I stand corrected, there are four Ann wells --CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Okay. 22 THE WITNESS: And four Darla. 23 MR. BROOKS: -- and there are four Darla wells, 24

the Number 1, the Number 2, the Number 7 and the Number 16.

1 Go ahead. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you. 2 THE WITNESS: And I'm sorry, what was the exact 3 question? 4 (By Mr. Brooks) Well, I don't remember for sure, 5 Q. 6 so let me go back --Well, do you want to know what we want to do with 7 Α. those wells? 8 What are you going to do with the four Ann wells, 0. 9 first off? 10 11 Well, the list -- My original request to Charlie was to plug the Ann 5, the Ann 6, the Darla 16. And I 12 changed that a couple days later; I wanted to put the Jenny 13 Number well [sic] in that plugging group. So I want to 14 plug the Ann 5, the Ann 6 and the Darla 16 of the old 15 wells, okay? 16 17 Q. Yes. The Jenny Number 1 is a new well that's north of 18 there. And we want to keep the rest of the old wells as a 19 20 water source, not to pressurize them or to, you know, use 21 them as an injection well. 22 Why? Because we can't tell yet -- Until we drill a deeper well, right in that area -- nobody's ever done it 23

suggesting it, that if we -- when we drill a well there, we

-- we are hoping, reasonably, and the geologists are

24

could use those wells as a water source for another injection well, in fact, maybe two injection wells.

Now, if we plug all of these old wells, then by definition it's going to cost us a lot more money when we drill a well. Now, if we drill a well in that area and it's no good, then obviously we should -- and we would volunteer to plug those wells immediately because we don't have any use for them.

- Q. Well, let me get back to where I was here, to make sure I understand this correctly.
 - A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. The Ann wells and the Darla wells, are those all old wells?
 - A. They're ancient.
 - Q. And the Erin wells --
- 16 A. -- are all new.
- 17 Q. -- are new wells that you've drilled?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the Jenny wells are also new wells?
- A. There's one Jenny well and that was a new well to the north. We were hoping to get lucky and extend the field, but it didn't work.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. Although we tried. It looked pretty good on the --

Now, according to your schedule, which I had not Q. 1 focused on and I should, two of the Ann wells, the Numbers 2 5 and 6, are to be plugged? 3 Yes, sir. 4 Α. Q. One of them is to be converted to a water well. 5 That's the Ann Number 3, correct? 6 7 Α. Yes, sir. Now, then there's also on our list the Ann Number 8 Q. 9 15. What is your intended disposition of that? I have no idea what the Ann 15 even is. You have 10 Α. 11 me. Okay, so you're not acquainted with the Ann 12 Q. 13 Number 15? I certainly am not, no. 14 And that would be located according to our 15 records in Unit Letter A, which would be the northeast 16 quarter of the northeast quarter of Section 33, Township 18 17 18 North, Range 3 West. That's the Ann 15? 19 Α. 20 Q. Yes. 21 Α. Okay. Okay. Now the Darla wells, there are four of 22 23 You plan to plug one and you plan to convert three to water wells, correct? 24

Right. Not water injection, water wells.

25

Α.

40 Water-producing wells? 1 Q. Water-producing, just like if somebody drilled a 2 Α. 3 water well for you. Okay. Now, the Erin wells, what do you plan to Q. do with those? 5 Erin 3 and 4, we've already checked the viability 6 -- their waterflow potential, and they both should be 7 combined -- the idea is to combine them jointly with one 8 9 pipeline directly to the water injection well north of there, which we haven't drilled yet. 10 11 Q. Now, what function will these serve? Will these 12 be --13 Α. Just like the rest of those old ---- water wells? 14 Q. -- water wells. 15 Α. 16 Q. Okay. They're not water injection wells, there will be 17 Α. 18 no pressure on them. And which wells will be your producing wells? 19 Q. At the moment the Erin 2 and the Erin 9. 20 Α. They're not on our list, right? 21 Q. No, because they're producing. 22 Α.

So would all three of the Erin wells be water

Two of them are going to be water wells, the Erin

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

wells, water-production wells?

1 Number 1 should be worked on by squeezing and then tested. And then we'll know whether it should be either plugged, or, it would be a wonderful water injection well downdip from the Erin Number 2. So then we would hit it from both sides, and that would be nirvana.

- Q. Okay, what's the disposition, then, of the Jenny Number 1?
 - A. We want to plug that as fast as possible.
- Q. Okay. You indicated that the estimate you had received for plugging these wells in accordance with the BLM specifications was approximately \$5000 per well; is that correct?
- A. That's the second estimate. The first estimate was much cheaper.
 - Q. Okay. If the \$5000-per-well estimate were accurate for all of these -- It looks like 12 wells --
- A. You mean -- you're suggesting plugging the water wells?
- Q. Well, no, I'm just doing some mathematics here, we're dealing with 12 wells --
 - A. Well, that's a lot of money, if you --
 - Q. -- at \$5000 per well --
- A. -- wanted to plug them all at once, that's \$60,000, yes.
 - Q. Correct. And that is considerably more than the

\$25,000 in security you've posted with the BLM, is it not? 1 It certainly is. That's why I believe we need to Α. 2 plug some wells, so that you don't have any financial risk. 3 Now, you are aware, are you not, that the Q. commencement of waterflood requires approval by the Oil 5 Conservation Division as well as the BLM? 6 I am as of today, even though --7 Α. And you're --8 Q. -- no one ever mentioned that. 9 Α. You are aware that that requires approval, but 10 Q. that approval must be made by the Santa Fe Office --11 I don't know. 12 Α. 13 -- specifically? Q. That's why we have that gentleman over there. 14 Α. I'm sure he'll help me. 15 Okay. And he has advised you that there is a 16 Q. fairly extensive procedure involved? 17 A. He says it's awful. 18 Well, I think the Division Examiners might agree 19 Q. 20 with him. 21 A. You know, the BLM told me, David, that they had not had an approval done or asked for on a waterflood in a 22 lot of years. 23 24 Q. Well, okay. You had indicated -- Your testimony

seemed to indicate that there was some conflict between

what the OCD District Office was requiring or expecting and what the BLM was requiring and expecting. Could you elaborate on that?

A. There is a huge problem.

- Q. And what is the nature of that problem?
- A. The problem is, is that we've been working for two and a half years with the BLM, we filed the papers that we thought were needed, and along comes the OCD and says drop everything and spend all your money and plug all the wells or do something with them instantly. And we don't have the money to do both, that's the problem.

And by the way, the BLM prior to this has been extremely helpful. They are wonderful people, and we'd like to get the same relationship with you gentlemen.

Q. Well, has the OCD indicated -- has anyone with the OCD indicated to you that following through with your plan that you have told us you've submitted and -- Well, let me back up.

Has the plan that you've submitted to the BLM been approved by the BLM?

- A. Has the plan that I submitted to the BLM been approved yet?
 - Q. Been approved by the BLM?
 - A. Which plan?
 - Q. Well, I don't know because I haven't seen your

plan. I'm just asking --

- A. Actually, that was requested by Charlie Perrin, and I had it specially e-mailed to him from a computer center, and unfortunately he was not at his office prior to the hearing and you never saw it.
 - Q. I never did see it, but --
 - A. -- but he's got it.
- Q. Has this plan that we're talking about, has it been approved by the BLM?
 - A. The waterflood plan?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. No, it has not, they've been sitting on it for a year and a half, at, partially, my request because I told the geologist, Look, in fact, we're not going to have enough money to drill the injection well until approximately this fall -- that's prior to all the OCD stuff -- and so I know you're having fun and you're overworked, but can you get it done by the spring of this year?

And he said sure. They've been very helpful.

But they haven't finished kicking the tire around on that plan.

Q. Okay. Could you explain to us why -- Well, back up.

There are four wells you're going to plug in any

event, correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you explain to us why it's necessary to hold off on plugging those wells until the entire plan is approved?
 - A. Well, it's necessary for two reasons.

One, I don't have the money to plug all the wells all at once. That's the practical aspect of it, if anybody cares.

And the other reason is, the practical aspect is, it would seem to be a pretty sad thing to plug the wells before you know you're going to need them or not, the other four wells, because if -- you want to get as much production going as fast as you can economically, and if you plug those wells and then drill a well -- pick a number; two years from now? -- and you hit and you'd like to have the water use from the wells that you're suggesting that we -- you know, all eight plugged immediately -- then what you're going to do is, you're going to increase the cost dramatically and slow the development.

- Q. But my question was specifically tailored to the four wells that you're going to plug in any event. What reason is there not to go ahead and plug those wells?
 - A. What reason there is to plug the four wells?
 - Q. Not to plug. If you're going --

46 1 Α. Oh, why wouldn't ---- you have four wells you know you need to plug, 2 Q. why not go ahead and plug them? 3 I'm going to. A. Can you tell us when? 5 0. Well, it will be after we get the approval from 6 Α. 7 the BLM. What approval from the BLM? 8 Q. They have to sign off on the -- they said, and 9 Charlie said so too. You have to put an application in --10 11 a sundry notice, I believe it's called -- to the BLM, and they have to okay the engineering of it. 12 Okay, I agree with that, but the only thing 13 Q. you're waiting for --14 -- is their approval. 15 -- is the -- on plugging these wells is the 16 Q. approval of your C-103 request to plug; is that correct? 17 18 Α. I guess that's what you call it, yes, sir. 19 Q. Has --20 Α. Pat Hester ---- Notice of intent to plug --21 Q. 22 Α. Yes, sir. -- is what it's called. That has been filed? 23 Q.

was filed a few weeks ago, and Pat Hester says it has to

24

25

It's been filed twice, actually, and the last one

have a little adjustment to it, so she hoped to have the actual sign-off on that application in the next couple weeks.

- Q. Okay. And after that is done, you will proceed to plug those wells?
- A. Faster than -- If I could do it myself, I'd do it the next day.
- Q. Okay. So would it be fair to say that you probably could have those four wells plugged within the next 60 days?
 - A. I sure hope so, I'm tired of hearing about them.
- Q. Okay. Now, implementing your plan as to the remaining eight wells will require the approval of both the BLM and the OCD, correct?
- A. I am hoping and keeping my fingers crossed that the OCD and the BLM will come to some reasonable compromise so that we can allow the waterflood to go sooner rather than never --
 - Q. Well, are you --
 - A. -- and it requires your help too.
- Q. Is there -- This goes back to the question I asked before. Is there any conflict that you're aware of between what the OCD wants and what the BLM wants, other than that the OCD has this inactive well program, and they're trying to get all the inactive wells taken care of

as soon as possible?

- A. The only conflict is not in intent but in timing.
- Q. You're not aware of anything the BLM wants to require you to do that the OCD disapproves of?
 - A. I hope not. No, I'm not.
- Q. Or anything that the OCD wants you to do that the BLM disapproves of?
- A. Well, "disapproves", that's an interesting word. The BLM agreed that we should do everything we could with our cash flow to expand the production of the field. And so only if the OCD doesn't allow the BLM a little latitude on timing -- If they do allow a latitude on timing, we're going to have a lot of fun and increase the revenues for both the State and the BLM.
- Q. Has the BLM given you any indication of the timing that they will require to get approval on these matters?
 - A. Approval on the plugging?
 - Q. No, on the waterflood operation?
- A. Not really, because I haven't asked the question.

 I was going to ask the question this spring, but I've been kind of busy with the OCD, and so consequently I haven't asked Brian Davis that question.
- Q. So you're not in a position -- Even given the question about how long the OCD approval will require,

you're not in a position to give us an indication of how long it would take to get this implemented, this --

A. The waterflood, you mean?

- Q. -- the waterflood project implemented?
- A. I can only guess that if we use enough money to plug the four wells, that that will delay us about six months. So if you add six months to the fall, which was our original estimate, then theoretically we should be putting in the water injection well and keeping our fingers crossed in the spring of this coming year. That's the theory behind it. And if I had more money I'd do it tomorrow.
- Q. Now, just a few housekeeping matters here. Mr. Carr referred to a hearing in September. If you have copies of your correspondence and refer to them, would it not be accurate to -- I don't know, do you have copies of your correspondence with Mr. Perrin?
 - A. Actually, I gave them all to my lawyer.
- Q. Okay, I will show you what's been marked as OCD Exhibits Numbers 5 and 6 --
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. -- and ask you if that does not refresh your recollection that in fact these events transpired in October of 2001?
 - A. That's the letter I wrote. Therefore, that

should be completely accurate --1 Okay --2 Q. -- as far as I know. 3 Α. -- Mr. Coulthurst, your address is 1990 Marin 4 Q. Avenue, Berkeley, California 94707; is that correct? 5 Not only that, I've been there 13 years. 6 Α. Okay. I will show you a copy of what has been 7 Q. marked as OCD Exhibit Number 3. That appears to be a 8 letter addressed to the address I just read, does it not? 9 10 Α. Absolutely. And would you look at the second page there, and 11 Q. does that appear to be correctly addressed on the envelope? 12 I realize it's a little hard to read because the postal 13 service apparently scratched through it. 14 I don't know why they did that. It looks like 15 the correct address. 16 Can you give us any explanation why that letter Q. 17 was returned unclaimed? 18 The only -- well, I'm guessing. First of all, I 19 never saw -- the post office -- I get about 20 certified 20 21 letters a year from all kinds of people. I have absolutely no knowledge when it comes who it's from, because there's 22 23 no name on them.

_

Q.

24

25

Right.

A. The only way that I don't get something is if the

postman doesn't leave a slip saying he tried to deliver it. 1 Well, do you ever get those slips and not follow 2 Q. up on them and go to the post office and collect the mail? 3 Not only have I never done that, I implore you 4 5 and request you, please call the BLM and ask them. MR. BROOKS: Okay. I believe that concludes my 6 7 examination. I'll pass the witness. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Commissioner Bailey? 8 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I never did hear clearly what the name of the 11 0. field is. 12 13 It's called the South San Luis Field. There is a little North San Luis Field, which is about a mile and a 14 half or something like that, which Noel Reynolds has kept. 15 Noel Reynolds had this field, and basically was not 16 successful in doing anything with it before I bought it. 17 Do you know right off the zone of production? 18 Q. It's the Menefee zone at around 650 feet, 19 Α. depending on which well you're talking about. 20 I listened very carefully when Mr. Carr 21 Q. enumerated all of the commitments that you did commit to, 22 and there was a commitment to plug and abandon the four 23 24 wells as soon as the BLM gives approval for the plugging 25 program?

A. That is correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

- Q. That you will file the correct forms with the OCD as far as the unit, application and the plugging and abandonment?
- A. Yes, with Mr. Carr's help, because it sounds complex, yes.
- Q. That you will coordinate with the OCD on all matters in which the OCD is involved?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. But I did not hear any commitment on Bradenhead tests for the remaining wells.
- A. That is because at the moment I don't have enough
 money to do everything at once, that's correct.
 - Q. So you're not making any commitment at all to --
 - A. I will make a commitment with --
 - Q. -- test these --
- 17 | A. -- yes --
- 18 Q. -- remaining wells?
- A. -- yes, ma'am, I will do that as soon as financially feasible.
 - Q. In the meantime, you testified that there are zones of fresh water within the wells that you are saving as water wells?
 - A. Correct, that's why they're water wells.
 - Q. However, the producing zone is not being isolated

from those water --

- A. That's because those wells --
- Q. -- freshwater --
- A. -- were not cemented properly, that's correct.
- Q. Which means that there is potential contamination of the fresh water in that area by these wells that you are not going to be testing?
- A. That is correct, and that -- however, we have taken water out of the zones, and not only were they not contaminated, but they were okayed by the BLM after being tested in a laboratory.

And also they're very low-pressure zones, and the problem is, the only thing that flows out of them is not the oil but the water.

Q. I'm sure the environmentalists will be interested in this one.

I would also like to ask you if you intend to take any other measures to protect the freshwater zones until you have time to perform these Bradenhead tests.

- A. I don't know what else we could do, with the --
- Q. I'm sure that's a discussion between you and the BLM and Charlie Perrin --
 - A. I would hope so.
- Q. -- that needs to take place.
 - A. Absolutely.

1	Q. So there's a commitment to have that type of
2	conversation with the
3	A. Much more than that.
4	COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Okay, those are the only
5	questions I have right now.
6	CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Commissioner Lee?
7	EXAMINATION
8	BY COMMISSIONER LEE:
9	Q. Did you pay Gene Wilson and William Weiss as a
10	consultant?
11	A. Did I pay them as a consultant? Absolutely.
12	FURTHER EXAMINATION
13	BY MR. CARR:
14	Q. Mr. Coulthurst, did Mr. Weiss work for you, or
15	was that work done prior to your acquiring the property?
16	A. I paid him as a consultant to give me an opinion
17	on the waterflood potential for the project before I bought
18	the project, yes, sir.
19	MR. CARR: All right, thank you.
20	FURTHER EXAMINATION
21	BY COMMISSIONER LEE:
22	Q. Do you realize What time is that? What year
23	is that?
24	A. What year that I paid him as a consultant? 1990.
25	Q. Do you realize William Weiss was a Commissioner

of this body?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. I have no idea what his background is, except I heard he was very good and he was a professor at the school in Socorro --
 - Q. So you are willing --
 - A. -- and that was before I bought the property.
- Q. So you are willing to ask them to come over here to present your plan?
 - A. You want me to hire him to do what?
- Q. Are they willing to come over here to -- under oath, to tell us what their plan is?
- 12 A. They don't have a plan. He gave me a suggestion
 13 that --
 - Q. But he did the consulting with you?
 - A. That is correct. I'm sure they would be willing to come over anywhere and tell you that he was a consultant.
 - Q. You didn't know this consulting activity is legal or illegal?
 - A. I don't even know why -- Why would it be illegal?

 I guess the answer is no, I don't know.
 - Q. Okay, thank you.
 - A. And by the way, I hadn't bought it yet. All I was asking was geological advice.
 - Q. But you did pay them?

Absolutely, he wouldn't do it for nothing. Α. he was supposed to be n expert in waterfloods, that's all I know. Q. Okay. And he's not participating in this field at all. Α. I just want to know whether you paid him or not. Q. Absolutely, yes, sir. Α. COMMISSIONER LEE: Okay, thank you. CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you. EXAMINATION BY CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Q. I would just like to follow up on some of the questions Commissioner Bailey had, because I heard two different things at two different times, because I understood when you were responding to some of Mr. Carr's questions that you intended to temporarily abandon eight of the 12 wells. Let me ask first of all, make sure I heard the testimony right. There are a total of 14 wells; is that correct? Including two producing. Is that right? Α. sounds right. Yes, and two of those are producing. I think you Q.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

other producer?

mentioned one of those is the Erin Number 2. What's the

- A. 2 and 9, Erin 2 and 9, they're both --
- Q. Erin Number 9.
- A. They're ten acres apart, east-west.
- Q. And Erin Number 2, Erin Number 9 are producing, they're not part of this proceeding?
 - A. No.

- Q. The other 12 wells, I believe, are part of this proceeding?
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
 - Q. They're all inactive, you've said that.
 - A. Yes, ma'am.
- Q. Four of them you intend to plug and abandon. And at one point I thought I heard you testify that the other eight you intended to temporarily abandon under the Commission's procedures, which involve a pressure test and the setting of a bridge plug or some type of --
- A. Well, it would be wonderful if we could temporarily abandon those wells until we need to use them and test them for the waterflood, but I don't know whether the OCD will allow me to do that. That seems to be a terrible waste of money, to spend money on them before you need them, but...

And plus the fact, I don't have enough capital to do all the testing and all the plugging instantly. I do over a period of time --

Q. Okay.

- A. -- because our investors have been superb on this, and they have not gotten one penny on their -- the only people that have made any money on this deal are the OCD and the BLM.
- Q. Do you understand that you have several options for bringing these wells into compliance?
 - A. I'd like to hear a clarification of it, please.
- Q. Okay. One of them would be to return them to production.
- A. It's not going to happen, because they're not economic.
- Q. One of them would be to plug and abandon them, and you've identified four that you do plan to plug and abandon.
 - A. Right, and they should be plugged.
- Q. Okay, and another would be to temporarily abandon them under the provisions of the Division's Rules, which would involve some testing to ensure that the wells are not a hazard to the environment.
- A. I would need some time to do all the testing on all the wells, because I don't have enough capital to do all the testing and the plugging at the same time. But remember, please, that the BLM does have a \$25,000 bond. So I'm not asking the OCD to take any financial risk in

this once we do the four wells.

But I also know that you apparently have a concern of testing them as quickly as possible so that there's no potential -- environmental-disaster potential, although I think they've been there for 20 to 40 years.

I've not heard anybody say there is one, but we're certainly not encouraging it.

- Q. And at this point we really don't know --
- A. Well, we're really not --
- Q. -- what the integrity --
- A. Nobody's --
 - Q. -- of the casing is on those wells?
- A. No, the only testing we ever did for the fresh water came out clean enough to drink.
- Q. When you answered Mr. Carr's question about how long you would need to bring these wells into compliance, I think at one point you mentioned six months. What work --
 - A. Well, six months --
- Q. -- were you proposing to do within that six-month or 180-day period?
 - A. Right, I'd like to -- With the capital that we have, I would like to plug those wells as fast as possible and ask the -- and I'm going to ask. I'd like to have the OCD and the BLM have some agreement so that we can have some serious time, maybe a year or two, to do the integrity

testing, so that we have some money available to implement the waterflood as we were doing with the BLM.

You know, it takes a long time to get the money up when you're producing nine barrels a day. I wish it was faster.

- Q. And I also had one question for you about the Ann Number 15, because you were saying you really don't know which well that is. In your plan that you submitted to Charlie Perrin, you described a well about 200 feet west of the Ann 19 --
 - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. -- and you said you had no record of it.
- A. I could find -- Nobody could find a record for me. That's right, that may be the Ann 15.
 - Q. And then you asked whether that was the Ann 15.
 - A. And he said he --
- Q. How did you know about this well 200 feet west of the Ann 19?
- A. Because we asked the plugging company to go out there and kick the tires and tell us the status of the wells, those old wells, and they came back with one well that they couldn't identify.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. There's obviously an old well that's been there for a lot of years, and I'm guess- -- We can all guess but

don't know. It may be the Ann 15. And something should be done about --

- Q. What is your plan for that particular well?
- A. I have no plan, I haven't gotten that far, but obviously it has to be done. That will be part of the discussion over the next month or so with Mr. Perrin.
- Q. What has the BLM told you, if anything at all, about the compliance status of these wells? Because BLM has similar rules to the Oil Conservation Division's concerning the --
- A. Yeah, they basically said as long as you're rolling ahead as fast as possible to increase production, they were willing to wait to plug the wells and integrity test until after the injection well was put in. That's what they said to me.

And that's when they said, Please file immediately the waterflood application, which took me about two and a half months to wade through that package. So I was trying to follow their advice.

And thank heavens they said that, because otherwise we'd never get to do the waterflood. Well, not never, but it would take probably three years.

- Q. Do you own or operate wells in any other state besides New Mexico?
 - A. No, nothing.

Those are all the questions CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: 1 Did you have anything else, Commissioners? I had. 2 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: No. COMMISSIONER LEE: (Shakes head) 4 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Mr. Carr, did you have any 5 follow-up? 6 7 MR. CARR: No, no questions. I have just a brief statement. 8 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Go ahead. 9 10 MR. CARR: May it please the Commission, obviously we have an operator who isn't trying to hide from 11 12 his responsibilities here. We would like to get into the position that Mr. Coulthurst said where -- you know, not 13 only happy with the BLM and the OCD but with him. To do 14 that, there are a certain amount of resources available. 15 To access the bond as a regulatory agency would leave you 16 in the position of Mr. Coulthurst, with something you want 17 to do without the funds to do it. And yet at the same 18 time, Mr. Coulthurst is ready to go after and address the 19 first four by plugging them. 20 And then as to these other compliance issues, in 21 these water problems which no one is trying to avoid or 22

And then as to these other compliance issues, in these water problems which no one is trying to avoid or duck, address those and coordinate that activity with not just the BLM but with the Aztec Office of the OCD. We've requested a continuance of the case. It isn't that we

23

24

would suggest you wait six months and hear from us again in six months. We commit to you to stay in close contact with Mr. Perrin and with your offices in Aztec and come forward with a program that will not be putting these wells -- leaving your concern sometime in the distant future, but getting us on a timetable so that we're together going after this problem, so that it isn't a problem for your or for Coulthurst Management and Investment.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Carr.

Mr. Brooks?

MR. BROOKS: Yes. First of all, I will represent to the Commission that -- calling your attention to OCD Exhibit Number 2, the affidavit of notice, that the letter which was sent to Mr. Coulthurst giving him notice of the Division Hearing in October, although it was correctly addressed, was neither -- so far as I have been able to ascertain after examining my files, the Division's case files and talking with those people who receive the mail, I have been unable to ascertain that either the return receipt has ever been returned to the Division, or the letter itself has ever been returned to the Division, and that's a most unusual situation. So far as I can tell, I can't ascertain that either has ever been returned to the Division. So there does seem to be a reasonable possibility that Mr. Coulthurst did not have notice of the

Division Hearing, as he has so stated.

In view of that, and in view of the plans he has announced, I believe that allowing him some time to bring these wells into production should be -- is not inappropriate.

However, I believe that if the proceeding is to be continued, it should be continued not in excess of 60 days, because it should not take longer than that to plug these four wells. And I believe that if the commitment to plug these four wells promptly is not complied with, then the mitigating circumstances in Mr. Coulthurst's favor would be largely exhausted.

The Commission probably has a better idea than I do, because I'm relatively new here, how long all this procedure will take, so far as getting these applications approved by both the BLM and by us. But I would suggest that the appropriate time to revisit this would be in 60 days to see if the plugging has been done. and I would suggest to the Commission that if it is, the Division would not have any objection to a further reasonable continuance to give an opportunity to determine if the plans that he has submitted are feasible. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: Thank you, Mr. Brooks.

We recognize, then, that we have a request here from Mr. Coulthurst for a continuance in this case. I

believe the Commission needs to deliberate on that question 1 before we make a decision whether to continue the case or 2 whether to take it under advisement at this time. We will 3 do that later today, and then we'll make an announcement 4 about our next step in this particular matter. 5 Is there anything else, then, from --6 MR. BROOKS: Nothing further, your Honor. 7 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: -- at this time? 8 Thank you very much, and thank you for your 9 testimony, Mr. Coulthurst. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you for your time. 11 I'm sorry 12 it took so long. (Off the record at 11:41 a.m.) 13 (The following proceedings had at 4:40 p.m.) 14 CHAIRMAN WROTENBERY: And then I think I need to 15 make an announcement. In Case Number 12,739 we did leave 16 open the question of whether we would continue that case or 17 take it under advisement at this time, and I believe based 18 19 on our deliberations we had decided to take this case under advisement at this time, and we will do our best to issue 20 an order in that case, along with the other cases we heard 21 today, at the next Commission hearing on April 26th, 2002. 22 (Thereupon, these proceedings were concluded at 23 24 4:41 p.m.) 25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
) ss.
COUNTY OF SANTA FE)

I, Steven T. Brenner, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public, HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing transcript of proceedings before the Oil Conservation Commission was reported by me; that I transcribed my notes; and that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative or employee of any of the parties or attorneys involved in this matter and that I have no personal interest in the final disposition of this matter.

WITNESS MY HAND AND SEAL April 9th, 2002.

STEVEN T. BRENNER

CCR No. 7

My commission expires: October 14, 2002