

**STATE OF NEW MEXICO
ENERGY, MINERALS AND NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE HEARING
CALLED BY THE OIL CONSERVATION
DIVISION FOR THE PURPOSE OF
CONSIDERING:**

**CASE NO. 14010
ORDER NO. R-12835**

**APPLICATION OF JTD RESOURCES, LLC FOR COMPULSORY POOLING,
LEA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.**

ORDER OF THE DIVISION

BY THE DIVISION:

This case came on for hearing at 8:15 a.m. on October 18, 2007, at Santa Fe, New Mexico, before Examiner Richard I. Ezeanyim

NOW, on this 26th day of October 2007, the Division Director, having considered the testimony, the record and the recommendations of the Examiner,

FINDS THAT:

- (1) Due public notice has been given, and the Division has jurisdiction of this case and of the subject matter.
- (2) The applicant, JTD Resources, LLC, ("JTD" or "Applicant"), seeks an order pooling all uncommitted interests in all formations from the surface to the base of the Wolfcamp formation underlying the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 4, Township 20 South, Range 38 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico to form a standard 40-acre oil spacing and proration unit for any and all formations or pools developed on 40-acre spacing within that vertical extent, including the Undesignated West Nadine-San Andres Pool, West Nadine-Paddock-Blinbry Pool, West Nadine-Tubb Pool, and West Nadine-Drinkard Pool.
- (3) The above-described spacing and proration unit ("the Unit") is to be dedicated to the applicant's existing Vinson Well No. 1 (API No. 30-025-34565) that will be re-entered at a standard oil well location 330 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the West line (Unit N) of Section 4 to test all formations from the surface down to the Wolfcamp formation.

(4) Chesapeake Exploration, LLC (Chesapeake) appeared at the hearing through legal counsel to oppose this application. The counsel argued that the statutory prerequisite for pooling does not exist in this case because there is a voluntary agreement between the parties.

(5) The counsel for Chesapeake also argued that JTD Resources and Chesapeake are parties to a farmout agreement. This farmout agreement has a joint operating agreement attached to it; therefore the operator is required to prepare an identical form of operating agreement that is going to govern the reimbursement of costs, but JTD had not done so under the joint operating agreement.

(6) The counsel for JTD Resources argued that there is no valid joint operating agreement under the farmout agreement because there is no provision for the drilling of subsequent wells. He further argued that the old joint operating agreement has expired due to lack of production from this well.

(7) The Division has no jurisdiction to determine the validity of any title, or to interpret any farmout agreement, or joint operating agreement (JOA). Those decisions are left to the District Courts.

(8) This compulsory pooling application should be approved; however; if a court determines that the parties have a binding agreement that governs the terms of their participation in this well, the Division's order will be of no further force and effect.

(9) Two or more separately owned tracts are embraced within the Unit, and/or there are royalty interests and/or undivided interests in oil and gas minerals in one or more tracts included in the Unit that are separately owned.

(10) Applicant is an owner of an oil and gas working interest within the Unit. Applicant has the right to re-enter and proposes to re-enter its Vinson Well No. 1 located at a standard oil well location within the spacing and proration unit.

(11) There are interest owners in the proposed Unit that have not agreed to pool their interests.

(12) To avoid the drilling of unnecessary wells, protect correlative rights, prevent waste and afford to the owner of each interest in the Unit the opportunity to recover or receive without unnecessary expense its just and fair share of hydrocarbons, this application should be approved by pooling all uncommitted interests, whatever they may be, in the oil and gas within the Unit.

(13) The applicant requested that Pierce Production Company, LLC be designated as the operator of the subject well and of the Unit.

(14) Any pooled working interest owner who does not pay its share of estimated well costs should have withheld from production its share of reasonable well costs plus an additional 200% (pursuant to Rule 35.A) thereof as a reasonable charge for the risk involved in drilling the well.

(15) Reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates) should be fixed at \$4,500.00 per month while drilling and \$450.00 per month while producing, provided that these rates should be adjusted annually pursuant to Section III.1.A.3. of the COPAS form titled "*Accounting Procedure-Joint Operations*."

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

(1) Pursuant to the application of JTD Resources, LLC, all uncommitted interests in all formations from the surface to the base of the Wolfcamp formation underlying the SE/4 SW/4 of Section 4, Township 20 South, Range 38 East, NMPM, Lea County, New Mexico are hereby pooled to form a standard 40-acre oil spacing and proration unit for any and all formations or pools developed on 40-acre spacing within that vertical extent, including the Undesignated West Nadine-San Andres Pool, West Nadine-Paddock-Blinbry Pool, West Nadine-Tubb Pool, and West Nadine-Drinkard Pool.

The above-described spacing and proration unit ("the Unit") shall be dedicated to the applicant's existing Vinson Well No. 1 (API No. 30-025-34565) that will be re-entered at a standard oil well location 330 feet from the South line and 2310 feet from the West line (Unit N) of Section 4 to test all formations from the surface down to the Wolfcamp formation.

(2) Pierce Production Company, LLC, is hereby designated the operator of the subject well and of the Unit.

(3) The operator of the Unit shall commence re-entering the proposed well on or before February 10, 2007 and shall thereafter continue drilling the well with due diligence to test the Wolfcamp formation.

(4) In the event the operator does not commence re-entering the proposed well on or before February 10, 2007, Ordering Paragraph (1) shall be of no effect, unless the operator obtains a time extension from the Division Director for good cause.

(5) Should the subject well not be re-entered and completed within 120 days after commencement thereof, Ordering Paragraph (1) shall be of no further effect, and the Unit created by this Order shall terminate unless the operator appears before the Division

Director and obtains an extension of time to complete the well for good cause demonstrated by satisfactory evidence.

(6) Upon final plugging and abandonment of the subject well, or of other wells subsequently approved within this Unit, the pooled Unit created by this Order shall terminate, unless this order has been amended to authorize further operations.

(7) After pooling, uncommitted working interest owners are referred to as pooled working interest owners. ("Pooled working interest owners" are owners of working interests in the Unit, including un-leased mineral interests, who are not parties to an operating agreement governing the Unit.) After the effective date of this order, the operator shall furnish the Division and each known pooled working interest owner in the Unit an itemized schedule of estimated costs of re-entering, completing and equipping the subject well ("well costs").

(8) Within 30 days from the date the schedule of estimated well costs is furnished, any pooled working interest owner shall have the right to pay its share of estimated well costs to the operator in lieu of paying its share of reasonable well costs out of production as hereinafter provided, and any such owner who pays its share of estimated well costs as provided above shall remain liable for operating costs but shall not be liable for risk charges. Pooled working interest owners who elect not to pay their share of estimated well costs as provided in this paragraph shall thereafter be referred to as "non-consenting working interest owners."

(9) The operator shall furnish the Division and each known pooled working interest owner (including non-consenting working interest owners) an itemized schedule of actual well costs within 90 days following completion of the proposed well. If no objection to the actual well costs is received by the Division, and the Division has not objected within 45 days following receipt of the schedule, the actual well costs shall be deemed to be the reasonable well costs. If there is an objection to actual well costs within the 45-day period, the Division will determine reasonable well costs after public notice and hearing.

(10) Within 60 days following determination of reasonable well costs, any pooled working interest owner who has paid its share of estimated costs in advance as provided above shall pay to the operator its share of the amount that reasonable well costs exceed estimated well costs and shall receive from the operator the amount, if any, that the estimated well costs it has paid exceed its share of reasonable well costs.

(11) The operator is hereby authorized to withhold the following costs and charges from production:

- (a) the proportionate share of reasonable well costs attributable to each non-consenting working interest owner; and
- (b) as a charge for the risk involved in re-entering the well, 200% of the above costs.

(12) The operator shall distribute the costs and charges withheld from production, proportionately, to the parties who advanced the well costs.

(13) Reasonable charges for supervision (combined fixed rates) are hereby fixed at \$4,500.00 per month while drilling and \$450.00 per month while producing, provided that these rates shall be adjusted annually pursuant to Section III.1.A.3. of the COPAS form titled "*Accounting Procedure-Joint Operations*." The operator is authorized to withhold from production the proportionate share of both the supervision charges and the actual expenditures required for operating the well, not in excess of what are reasonable, attributable to pooled working interest owners.

(14) Except as provided in Ordering Paragraphs (11) and (13) above, all proceeds from production from the well that are not disbursed for any reason shall be placed in escrow in Lea County, New Mexico, to be paid to the true owner thereof upon demand and proof of ownership. The operator shall notify the Division of the name and address of the escrow agent within 30 days from the date of first deposit with the escrow agent.

(15) Any unleased interest shall be considered a seven-eighths (7/8) working interest and a one-eighth (1/8) royalty interest for the purpose of allocating costs and charges under this order. Any well costs or charges that are to be paid out of production shall be withheld only from the working interests' share of production, and no costs or charges shall be withheld from production attributable to royalty interests.


(16) In the event a court determines that the parties have a binding agreement that governs the terms of their participation in this well, this Order will be of no further force and effect.

(17) Should all the parties to this compulsory pooling order reach voluntary agreement subsequent to entry of this order, this order shall thereafter be of no further effect.

(18) The operator of the well and Unit shall notify the Division in writing of the subsequent voluntary agreement of all parties subject to the forced pooling provisions of this order.

(19) Jurisdiction of this case is retained for the entry of such further orders as the Division may deem necessary.

DONE at Santa Fe, New Mexico, on the day and year hereinabove designated.



SEAL

STATE OF NEW MEXICO
OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Mark E. Fesmire".

MARK E. FESMIRE, PE
Director