# 3R - 124 2011 AGWMR JAN 2012



# 2011 ANNUAL GROUNDWATER REPORT

# Rowland Gas COM #1

3RP-124

Unit P, Section 25, Township 30N, Range 12W San Juan County, New Mexico

# **PREPARED FOR:**

Mr. Glenn Von Gonten New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 476-3488

January 2012

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Site Details		3
Introduction		3
History		3
Methodology		4
Results		5
Conclusions		6
Recommendations		6
<u>Appendices</u>		
Table 1:	Water Level Summary Table	
Table 2:	Groundwater Results Summary Table	
Figure 1:	Topographic Map	
Figure 2:	Potentiometric Surface Diagrams	
Figure 3-9:	Completion Diagrams and Borehole Logs	
Attachment 1:	Envirotech Pit Closure Report (1993)	
Attachment 2:	2011 Laboratory Reports	
Attachment 3:	Field Notes	

# ROWLAND GAS COM #1 3RP-124

# SITE DETAILS

 LEGALS - TWN: 30N
 RNG: 12W

 OCD HAZARD RANKING: 40
 LATITUDE: 36.77894

**SEC:** 25 **UNIT:** P **LAND TYPE:** FEE **LONGITUDE:** 108.04329

# INTRODUCTION

XTO Energy Inc. (XTO) acquired the Rowland Gas Com #1 well site from Amoco Production Company (Amoco) in January 1998. This is a gas producing well in the Dakota Sandstone and is currently active. There is a nearby irrigation ditch to the immediate west of this location. A topographic map is included as *Figure 1*.

# **HISTORY**

XTO learned that in August 1993 Amoco excavated and attempted to remediate an unlined production pit. During excavation groundwater was encountered at 13 feet below ground surface. Envirotech's Pit Closure Report is included as *Attachment 1*. Monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3, MW-4 and MW-5 were installed in May 1996. Completion Diagrams and Borehole Logs are presented as *Figure 3-7*. Groundwater analytical results from monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2 and MW-3 were below the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) standards for benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene and total xylene (BTEX), and sampling was discontinued by Amoco in 1996 in accordance with the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) approved Groundwater Management Plan. Groundwater from monitoring wells MW-4 and MW-5 returned BTEX concentrations in excess of WQCC standards. It was presumed that monitoring well MW-5 was installed in a location within or immediately adjacent to an abandoned dehydrator unit with an associated pit. At that time possible downgradient migration had not been fully delineated. Installation of an additional monitoring well was recommended.

Monitoring well MW-6 was installed in June 1997 to further delineate possible down gradient migration of hydrocarbon impact. Completion Diagram and Borehole Logs are presented as *Figure 8*. Initial groundwater sampling of monitoring well MW-6 revealed BTEX concentrations that were non-detect or below WQCC standards and sampling of monitoring well MW-6 was discontinued by Amoco.

During a site visit in 1998 after the XTO acquisition it was discovered that monitoring well MW-4 had been damaged during location equipment upgrades. Monitoring well MW-4 was replaced in June 1998 with monitoring well MW-4R. Monitoring well MW-4R was positioned closer to the production pit excavation. Completion Diagram and Borehole Logs for the replacement monitoring well are presented as *Figure 9*.

An annual groundwater report for years 1996-1998 was submitted to the OCD in February 1999. It was recommended that monitoring wells MW-4R and MW-5 be sampled on an annual basis until results indicate otherwise. It was also suggested that monitoring well MW-3 be re-sampled annually to verify no further migration from the production pit.

Monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-6 were sampled for BTEX annually through 2000. The samples returned results of non-detect for all BTEX constituents during this sampling period. Monitoring well MW-4R was sampled for BTEX annually through 2002, returning results consistently beneath detection levels for BTEX. Monitoring well MW-5 was sampled annually for BTEX through 2005 with laboratory results showing levels of BTEX exceeding WQCC standards.

The 2005 annual groundwater report was submitted to the OCD in January of 2006 requesting discontinued sampling for BTEX in all monitoring wells except monitoring well MW-5. Annual sampling was proposed in monitoring well MW-5 until the results indicated that an alternative sampling frequency would be warranted.

The 2006 annual groundwater report was submitted to the OCD in February of 2007 proposing continued annual sampling of monitoring well MW-5 until BTEX concentrations in groundwater are below closure standards.

The 2007 annual groundwater report was submitted to the OCD in February of 2008 proposing quarterly sampling of monitoring well MW-5 to monitor decreasing BTEX concentrations.

The 2008 annual groundwater report was submitted to the OCD in April of 2009 proposing quarterly sampling of monitoring well MW-5 to monitor decreasing BTEX concentrations.

The 2009 annual Groundwater Report was submitted to Mr. Glenn Von Gonten with the OCD in March of 2010. The 2009 Annual Groundwater Report proposed the continued quarterly sampling of monitoring well MW-5 until four (4) consecutive quarters returned results below the WQCC standards for all BTEX constituents.

The 2010 annual groundwater report, submitted to Mr. Glenn Von Gonten with the OCD in March of 2011, recommended continued quarterly sampling of groundwater for BTEX constituents in monitoring well MW-5 until WQCC standards have been met for four (4) consecutive quarters. The 2010 annual groundwater report also recommended that hydrogen peroxide be applied to the groundwater aquifer using monitoring well MW-5 as an injection point. This will serve to oxygenate the aquifer and enhance the bio-remediation taking place at this well site.

A summary of water level data and laboratory results from historical and current groundwater monitoring is presented in *Table 1* and *Table 2*. Copies of the laboratory data sheets and associated quality assurance/quality control data for 2011 are presented as *Attachment 2*.

# **METHODOLOGY**

Quarterly groundwater samples were collected and submitted for laboratory analysis of BTEX in monitoring well MW-5 during 2011.

### Water Level Measurements

Static groundwater level monitoring includes recording depth to groundwater measurements with a Keck oil/water interface probe. The interface probe is decontaminated with  $Alconox^{TM}$  soap and rinsed with de-ionized water prior to each measurement. These data are recorded as Depth to Water (DTW) and Total Depth (TD) in feet on **Table 1**.

### Groundwater Sampling

Prior to sampling groundwater, depth to groundwater and total depth of wells is measured with a Keck oil/water interface probe. Presence of any free-phase crude oil is also investigated using the interface probe. The interface probe is decontaminated with Alconox<sup>TM</sup> soap and rinsed with de-ionized water prior to each measurement. The volume of water in the wells is calculated, and a minimum of three casing volumes of water is purged from each well using a disposable bailer or a permanent decontaminated PVC bailer. As water is extracted, pH, electric conductivity and temperature are monitored. Wells are purged until these properties stabilize, indicating that the purge water is representative of aquifer conditions. Stabilization is defined as three consecutive stable readings for each water property (±0.4 units for pH, ±10 percent for electric conductivity and ±2° C for temperature). All purge water is disposed of into tanks on site.

Once each monitoring well is properly purged, groundwater samples are collected by filling at least two 40-millititer (ml) glass vials. The pre-cleaned non-preserved vials are filled and capped with no air inside to prevent degradation of the sample. Samples are labeled with the date and time of collection, well designation, project name, collector's name and parameters to be analyzed. They are immediately sealed and packed on ice. The samples are shipped to Environmental Science Corporation (ESC) based out of Mt. Juliet, Tennessee for analysis. Samples were sealed in a cooler with ice, and shipped via Fed-Ex overnight to ESC for analysis. Proper chain-of-custody (COC) procedures are followed with logs documenting the date and time sampled, sample number, type of sample, sampler's name, preservative used, analyses required and sampler's signature. Field notes from 2011 are included as *Attachment 3*.

# Groundwater Contour Maps

Top of casing well elevations were surveyed using a surveyor's level; and groundwater elevations obtained from monitoring wells during site visits were used to draft groundwater contour maps. Contours were inferred based on groundwater elevations obtained and observation of physical characteristics at the site (topography, proximity to irrigation ditches, etc.).

# **RESULTS**

Laboratory results from monitoring well MW-5 showed increasing concentrations of benzene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes when compared to concentrations detected in 2010. Concentrations of toluene remained stable. Benzene and total xylenes concentrations exceeded the WQCC standard during the March, June, September, and December 2011 sampling events; toluene and ethylbenzene concentrations did not exceed the WQCC standard during 2011. The maximum concentration of benzene was 620 parts per billion (ppb) in March 2011 and the minimum concentration of benzene was 290 ppb in September 2011. The maximum concentration of total xylenes was 1,700 ppb in March 2011 and the minimum concentration of total xylenes was 680 ppb in September 2011. Groundwater elevations measured in 2011 were consistent when compared to groundwater elevations obtained in 2010.

Field data collected during site monitoring activities indicate a groundwater gradient that is likely influenced by a nearby irrigation ditch located immediately west of the location. In June when the irrigation ditch is running, the groundwater gradient trends to the northeast, in September, the groundwater gradient trends to the south/southeast and the groundwater gradient trends to wards the west in March and December due to the

absence of water in the adjacent irrigation ditch. *Figure 2* illustrates the estimated groundwater gradients during 2011.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

Based on the laboratory results obtained during 2011, BTEX concentrations are increasing in the groundwater in monitoring well MW-5. The benzene levels increased sharply in March of 2011, but began declining again in the second and third quarter, before rebounding in the fourth quarter; a similar trend was observed in 2010. Xylene concentrations remained above the WQCC standards during 2011, compared to only one quarter (December) in 2010. Xylene concentrations exhibited the same trend as benzene; increasing sharply in March of 2011, declining in the second and third quarter, then rebounding in the fourth quarter.

Historical benzene concentrations in MW-5 were compared to groundwater elevations at the site. The lowest groundwater elevations occur in March and coincide with the highest benzene concentrations. Two possible explanations for the increasing benzene concentrations include the presence of a residual amount of contamination in the vadose zone that diffuses from soil gas into groundwater during the winter when the water table is lower or there is a hysteresis of the water infiltrating from the ditch toward MW-5. The infiltrating water intercepts some residual contamination and causes a subsequent spike in benzene concentrations approximately six months after cessation of the irrigation season.

Groundwater levels fluctuated with the irrigation season in 2011 as they did in 2010. Water levels in 2011 were comparable to water levels in 2010. When comparing all groundwater elevations from all June sampling events, groundwater elevations were steady from June 1996 through June of 2000, declined sharply between June of 2000 and June of 2001, and have been steadily trending upward since the June 2001 sampling event.

# **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Continue quarterly sampling of groundwater for BTEX constituents in monitoring well MW-5 until WQCC standards have been met for four (4) consecutive quarters.

XTO recommends that hydrogen peroxide be applied to the groundwater aquifer using monitoring well MW-5 as an injection point during 2012. This will serve to oxygenate the aquifer and enhance the bio-remediation taking place at this well site. The hydrogen peroxide will be added pursuant to the work plan prepared by LT Environmental, included as *Attachment 4*.

Following OCD approval for closure, all monitoring well locations will be abandoned in accordance with the monitoring well abandonment plan.

# Table 1

# Water Level Summary Table

# GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND ELEVATIONS ROWLAND GAS COM #1 XTO ENERGY, INC.

Well ID	Date	Depth to Water (feet - BTOC)	Groundwater Elevation (feet relative to site)
MW-3	6/14/1996	14.39	87.29
MW-3	5/26/1999	15.29	86.39
MW-3	6/30/2000	15.51	86.17
MW-3	6/28/2006	13.81	87.87
MW-3	6/15/2007	13.10	88.58
MW-3	12/26/2007	14.52	87.16
MW-3	3/12/2008	14.35	87.33
MW-3	6/2/2008	12.82	88.86
MW-3	9/22/2008	12.16	89.52
MW-3	12/5/2008	13.30	88.38
MW-3	3/2/2009	14.90	86.78
MW-3	6/10/2009	13.10	88.58
MW-3	9/15/2009	12.28	89.40
MW-3	12/10/2009	12.88	88.80
MW-3	3/15/2010	14.73	86.95
MW-3	6/23/2010	12.62	89.06
MW-3	9/15/2010	11.97	89.71
MW-3	12/13/2010	13.36	88.32
MW-3	3/10/2011	14.82	86.86
MW-3	6/16/2011	12.76	88.92
MW-3	9/13/2011	11.67	90.01
MW-3	12/14/2011	12.86	88.82
MW-4	6/14/1996	13.72	*
MW-4	6/24/1997	14.02	*
MW-4R	6/26/1998	11.52	86.55
MW-4R	5/26/1999	11.28	86.79
MW-4R	6/30/2000	11.69	86.38
MW-4R	5/16/2001	13.07	85.00
MW-4R	9/25/2001	11.81	86.26
MW-4R	12/19/2001	12.66	85.41
MW-4R	2/19/2002	13.97	84.10
MW-4R	6/28/2006	9.87	88.20
MW-4R	6/15/2007	9.02	89.05
MW-4R	12/26/2007	10.69	87.38
MW-4R	3/12/2008	11.10	86.97
MW-4R	6/2/2008	8.94	89.13
MW-4R	9/22/2008	8.28	89.79
MW-4R	12/5/2008	10.08	87.99
MW-4R	3/2/2009	11.84	86.23



# GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND ELEVATIONS ROWLAND GAS COM #1 XTO ENERGY, INC.

		Depth to Water	Groundwater
Well ID	Date	(feet - BTOC)	Elevation (feet
MW-4R	6/10/2009	9.33	88 74
MW-4R MW-4R	9/15/2009	8.52	89.55
MW-4R	12/10/2009	10.52	87.48
MW-4R	3/15/2010	11.67	86.40
MW 4P	6/23/2010	8.88	80.40
MW-4R	9/15/2010	8 35	89.19
MW 4P	12/13/2010	10.33	87.74
MW 4P	3/10/2011	12.06	86.01
MW-4R	6/16/2011	8.90	89.17
MW 4P	0/13/2011	7 75	00.32
MW 4P	12/14/2011	10.07	90.32
IVI VV -41X	12/14/2011	10.07	88.00
MW-5	6/14/1996	10.40	87.25
MW-5	6/24/1997	10.27	87.38
MW-5	6/26/1998	10.34	87.31
MW-5	5/26/1999	10.03	87.62
MW-5	6/30/2000	10.78	86.87
MW-5	5/16/2001	12.52	85.13
MW-5	6/26/2002	10.87	86.78
MW-5	6/30/2003	10.96	86.69
MW-5	6/21/2004	9.85	87.80
MW-5	6/27/2005	9.32	88.33
MW-5	6/28/2006	9.35	88.30
MW-5	6/15/2007	8.51	89.14
MW-5	12/26/2007	10.17	87.48
MW-5	3/12/2008	11.26	86.39
MW-5	6/2/2008	8.38	89.27
MW-5	9/22/2008	7.65	90.00
MW-5	12/5/2008	10.30	87.35
MW-5	3/2/2009	12.14	85.51
MW-5	6/10/2009	8.80	88.85
MW-5	9/15/2009	8.94	88.71
MW-5	12/10/2009	10.92	86.73
MW-5	3/15/2010	11.72	85.93
MW-5	6/23/2010	8.10	89.55
MW-5	9/15/2010	7.80	89.85
MW-5	12/13/2010	10.62	87.03
MW-5	3/10/2011	12.46	85.19
MW-5	6/16/2011	8.39	89.26
MW-5	9/13/2011	7.70	89.95
MW-5	12/14/2011	10.33	87.32



### GROUNDWATER LEVELS AND ELEVATIONS ROWLAND GAS COM #1 XTO ENERGY, INC.

Well ID	Date	Depth to Water (feet - BTOC)	Groundwater Elevation (feet relative to site)
MW-6	6/24/1997	15.55	84.65
MW-6	5/26/1999	15.79	84.41
MW-6	6/30/2000	15.90	84.30
MW-6	6/28/2006	13.59	86.61
MW-6	6/15/2007	12.81	87.39
MW-6	12/26/2007	14.11	86.09
MW-6	3/12/2008	13.29	86.91
MW-6	6/2/2008	11.94	88.26
MW-6	9/22/2008	11.60	88.60
MW-6	12/5/2008	12.55	87.65
MW-6	3/2/2009	13.78	86.42
MW-6	6/10/2009	12.14	88.06
MW-6	9/15/2009	11.67	88.53
MW-6	12/10/2009	12.78	87.42
MW-6	3/15/2010	13.57	86.63
MW-6	6/23/2010	11.77	88.43
MW-6	9/15/2010	11.33	88.87
MW-6	12/13/2010	12.55	87.65
MW-6	3/10/2011	13.72	86.48
MW-6	6/16/2011	11.77	88.43
MW-6	9/13/2011**	11.55	90.19
MW-6	12/14/2011	12.71	89.03

### Notes:

BTOC - below top of casing

\* Top of Casing elevation data not available; therefore, not possible to calculate groundwater elevation.

\*\* Surface casing repaired; new TOC elevation surveyed 101.74



# Table 2

# Groundwater Results Summary Table

# GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROWLAND GAS COM #1 XTO ENERGY, INC.

Well ID	Data	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes
wen iD	Date	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
NMWQCC Gro	undwater Standard	10	750	750	620
MW-3	6/14/1996	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-3	5/26/1999	ND	NA	NA	NA
MW-3	6/30/2000	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4	6/14/1996	94.3	2.71	ND	106.4
MW-4	6/24/1997	44.7	0.5	0.4	3
MW-4R	6/26/1998	13.4	ND	ND	0.6
MW-4R	5/26/1999	16.4	0.9	2.1	72.2
MW-4R	6/30/2000	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4R	5/16/2001	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4R	9/25/2001	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4R	12/19/2001	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-4R	2/19/2002	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-5	6/14/1996	25.4	732	953	9,070
MW-5	6/24/1997	58.8	2.5	2.8	6,290
MW-5	6/26/1998	1270	89	41.4	3,200
MW-5	5/26/1999	174	129	252	990
MW-5	6/30/2000	38	6.4	750	6,390
MW-5	5/16/2001	<b>49</b>	34	700	4,480
MW-5	6/26/2002	84	ND	630	3,460
MW-5	6/30/2003	51	ND	420	2,600
MW-5	6/21/2004	39	19	490	1,200
MW-5	6/27/2005	18	44	420	1,900
MW-5	6/28/2006	60	ND	360	1,500
MW-5	6/15/2007	55	ND	240	620
MW-5	12/26/2007	ND	ND	ND	ND
MW-5	3/12/2008	28	3.6	88	290
MW-5	6/2/2008	61	4.6	300	890
MW-5	9/22/2008	10	ND	97	260
MW-5	12/5/2008	32	4.8	170	410



# GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROWLAND GAS COM #1 XTO ENERGY, INC.

Well ID	Date	Benzene	Toluene	Ethylbenzene	Total Xylenes
		(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)
NMWQCC Gro	undwater Standard	10	750	750	620
MW-5	3/2/2009	180	7.8	480	1,400
MW-5	6/10/2009	120	ND	240	590
MW-5	9/15/2009	32	< 5.0	160	380
MW-5	12/10/2009	45	< 5.0	58	110
MW-5	3/15/2010	340	< 5.0	48	110
MW-5	6/23/2010	270	13	130	350
MW-5	9/15/2010	120	<25	130	370
MW-5	12/13/2010	270	12	230	630
MW-5	3/10/2011	620	< 50	600	1,700
MW-5	6/16/2011	300	<250	300	820
MW-5	9/13/2011	290	<25	240	680
MW-5	12/14/2011	500	6.6	420	1,000
MW-6	6/24/1997	ND	0.6	0.5	5.4
MW-6	6/30/2000	ND	ND	ND	ND

# Notes:

ug/L - micrograms per liter

NMWQCC - New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission

ND - not detected

**BOLD** indicates value excees the NMWQCC standard

< - indicates the result was less than the laboratory detection limit



# Figure 1

# **Topographic Map**



P:\XTO Energy\GIS\MXD\XTO1002\XTO1002\_ROWLAND\_FIG01\_SL.mxd

# Figure 2

# Potentiometric Surface Diagrams









# Figure 3-9

# Completion Diagrams And Borehole Logs

# **Attachment 1**

# **Envirotech Pit Closure Report (1993)**







5796 US HIGHWAY 64-3014 • FARMINGTON, NEW MEXICO 87401 PHONE: (505) 632-0615 • FAX: (505) 632-1865

### EPA METHOD 8020 AROMATIC VOLATILE ORGANICS

Client: Sample ID: Laboratory Number: Sample Matrix:	Amoco 5 @ GW (13') 5816 Water	Project #: Date Reported: Date Sampled: Date Received:	92140 08-04-93 08-02-93 08-02-93 08-03-93
Preservative:	HgCl & Cool	Date Analyzed:	08-03-93
Condition:	Cool & Intact	Analysis Requested:	BTEX

				Det.
Darameter	- x.	Concentration (ug/L)	t.	Limit (ug/L)
rarameter				
		100		0 2
Benzene		183		0.2
Meluene		1.1		0.4
Toruene		0.2		0.2
Ethvlbenzene		0.3		0.1
n m Vulono		2.1		0.4
o-Xvlene		32.3		0.3

SURROGATE	RECOVERIES:	Parameter	Percent-Re	covery	
·····					
		Trifluorotoluene		93 %	
		Bromofluorobenzene		87 %	

Method: Method 5030, Purge-and-Trap, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, Sept. 1986

> Method 8020, Aromatic Volatile Organics, Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, SW-846, USEPA, Sept. 1986

ND - Parameter not detected at the stated detection limit.

Comments: Roland GC #1 Production Pit C4923

liegan

Analyst

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					CHAIN OF CUST	ODY R	ECOR	٥		1	043	2 5		
Statute         Control rate No.         Data of Control rate No.         Data of Control rate No.         Remets         Remets </th <th>Client/Project Name</th> <th>921</th> <th>40</th> <th>Project Location</th> <th>PRUC. P.T. GC #1</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>ANALYS</th> <th>SIS/PARA</th> <th>METERS</th> <th></th> <th>2</th> <th></th>	Client/Project Name	921	40	Project Location	PRUC. P.T. GC #1				ANALYS	SIS/PARA	METERS		2	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Sampler: (Signature)	Veller		Chain of Custody Ta	ape No.	of	(22 X3						Remarks	
(う 2 Cuo (13) 3[12[173 / 0 y 0 53/6 U ATEC 2 V 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Sample No./ Identification	Sample Date	Sample Time	Lab Number	Sample Matrix	No. Contai	178) 178				·.		2	
Image: Second	() 2 6w (1	31)3/2/3	1040	2816	WATER	2	>							
Relation         Bit Algo						*		-						
Reinquished by: (Signature)         Date         Time         Reserved by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Reinquished by: (Signature)         Math.co.t         Ma														
Relinquished by: (Signature)         Date         Time         Received by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Date         Time         Received by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Date         Time         Received by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Date         Time         Received by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Baceived by: (Signature)         Baceived by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Baceived by: (Signature)         Baceived by: (Signature)         Baceived by: (Signature)         Date         Time           Relinquished by: (Signature)         Baceived by:			がある											Service -
Reinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Data     Time       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Data     Time       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Data     Time       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Data     Time       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Accorded by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Sold Stature)     Sold Stature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Accorded by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Sold Stature)     Sold Stature)														
Relinquished by: (Signature)     Date     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Date     Time       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Date     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Date     Time       Relinquished by: (Signature)     M.M.L.     S.2.27     M.M.L.     S.2.27     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.27     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.47     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.43     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.43     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.43     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S.2.43     M.T.       Relinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)							S.			130				
Relinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Time     Bate     Time     Data       PALADY     Data     Time     Received Dy: (Signature)     Data     Time       PALADY     Data     Time     Received Dy: (Signature)     Data     Time       Prinquished by: (Signature)     Data     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3     /// 57.7.3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Signature)     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Signature)     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Signature)     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Signature)     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3     0.2-27 3       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Active     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3     0.2-27 3       Received Dy: (Signature)     Active     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3     0.2-27 3       Received Dy: (Signature)     Active     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Received Dy: (Signature)     Active     Received Dy: (Signature)     0.2-27 3       Received Dy: (Signature)     Active <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>														
Reinquished by: (Signature)     Date     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Date       Addition     Bate     Time     Received by: (Signature)     Date     Time       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Mark and the converting tree)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)														
Reinquished by: (Signature)     Date     Time     Received Dy: (Signature)     Date     Time       TLL     T     S     S     S     S     S     S     S       Reinquished by: (Signature)     F     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     S <td></td>														
Mathematical Dy: (Signature)     Siz (A)3     is 55     Mathematical Opticial     Signature)     Signature)       Relinquished by: (Signature)     A     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Relinquished by: (Signature)     A     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Relinquished by: (Signature)     A     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Relinquished by: (Signature)     A     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)       Relinquished by: (Signature)     A     Received by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)     Signature)	Relinquished by: (Signatu	rre)			Date	leceived By: (	(Signature)	)	i c				Date	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature)	Mallow	Viel	2		8/2 13 1555	Alle	5	× 16	Leuce	20			8243	5.2
Reinquished by: (Signature)     Received by: (Signature)       Reinquished by: (Signature)     C       Environment     Environment       Farmington, New Mexico     87401	Relinquished by: (Signatu	(eur	1	5 5 5 7	<u>.</u>	leceived by:	(Signature)	`	• •.		2			
ENVIROTECH INC. 5796 U.S. Highway 64-3014 Farmington, New Mexico 87401	Relinquished by: (Signatu	(er				Received by:	(Signature)		Đ.					
					ENVIROTE 5796 U.S. High Farmington, New	CH INC way 64-301 Mexico 87	44							

# **Attachment 2**

# **2011 Laboratory Reports**



YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410

### Report Summary

Tuesday March 15, 2011

Report Number: L505863 Samples Received: 03/11/11 Client Project:

Description: Rowland GC 1

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Daphne Richards , ESC Representative

### Laboratory Certification Numbers

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - I-2327, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487 GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704, ND - R-140 NJ - TN002,NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 00109, WV - 233 AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032008A, TX - T104704245, OK-9915

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences. Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE					12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-585 Tax I.D. 62-08142 Est. 1970	122 9 89
James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410	REPOF	T OF ANALYSIS		March 15, 20	011	
Date Received : March 11, 2011 Description : Rowland GC 1 Sample ID : ROWLAND MW-5 Collected By : Brooke Herb Collection Date : 03/10/11 12:40				ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	# : L505863-01 ROWLAND GC1	
Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Units	Method	Date	Dil.
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	0.62 BDL 0.60 1.7 99.1	0.0050 0.050 0.0050 0.015	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l % Rec.	8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B	03/12/11 03/12/11 03/12/11 03/12/11 03/12/11	10 10 10 10

BDL - Below Detection Limit
Det. Limit - Practical Quantitation Limit(PQL)
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 03/15/11 16:15 Printed: 03/15/11 16:16

Page 2 of 4

# Summary of Remarks For Samples Printed 03/15/11 at 16:16:15

TSR Signing Reports: 288 R5 - Desired TAT

drywt

Sample: L505863-01 Account: XTORNM Received: 03/11/11 08:30 Due Date: 03/18/11 00:00 RPT Date: 03/15/11 16:15

# EVEN CONTRACTOR

#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

#### Quality Assurance Report Level II

L505863

March 15, 2011

		]	Laborator	y Blank						
Analyte	Result		Units	% Rec		Limit		Batch	Date 2	Analyzed
Benzene	< .000	5	mg/l					WG525601	03/11	/11 17:31
Ethylbenzene	< .000	5	mg/l					WG525601	03/11	/11 17:31
Toluene	< .005		mg/l					WG525601	03/11	/11 17:31
Total Xylene	< .001	5	mg/l					WG525601	03/11	/11 17:31
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)			% Rec.	96.83		55-122		WG525601	03/11	/11 17:31
		Labor	ratory Co	ntrol Sample						
Analyte	Units	Knov	wn Val	Resul	t	% Rec		Limit		Batch
Benzene	ma/l	.05		0.0497		99.4		79-114		WG525601
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	.05		0.0479		95.9		80-116		WG525601
Toluene	ma/1	.05		0.0477		95.3		79-112		WG525601
Total Xvlene	ma/l	.15		0.143		95.2		84-118		WG525601
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	5,					98.55		55-122		WG525601
		Laboratory	y Control	Sample Dupl	icate					
Analyte	Units	Result	Ref	%Rec		Limit	RPD	Liı	mit	Batch
Benzene	ma/l	0.0489	0.0497	98.0		79-114	1.57	20		WG525601
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	0.0470	0.0479	94.0		80-116	2.05	20		WG525601
Toluene	ma/l	0.0471	0.0477	94.0		79-112	1.10	20		WG525601
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.140	0.143	94.0		84-118	1.80	20		WG525601
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	5,			98.67		55-122				WG525601
			Matrix	Spike						
Analyte	Units	MS Res	Ref R	es TV	% Rec	Limit		Ref Samp		Batch
Benzene	mg/l	0.0533	0	.05	107.	35-147		L505845-0	06	WG525601
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.0518	0	.05	104.	39-141		L505845-	06	WG525601
Toluene	mg/l	0.0501	0	.05	100.	35-148		L505845-	06	WG525601
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.157	0	.15	105.	33-151		L505845-	06	WG525601
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	5				98.83	55-122				WG525601
Amo Janto	Theite	Mati	rix Spike	Duplicate	T 2	DDD	T imit	Def Comm		Detek
Analyte	Units	MSD	REI	%Rec	Limit	RPD	Limit	Rei Samp		Batch
Benzene	mg/l	0.0520	0.0533	104.	35-147	2.49	20	L505845-	06	WG525601
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.0501	0.0518	100.	39-141	3.48	20	L505845-	06	WG525601
Toluene	mg/l	0.0500	0.0501	100.	35-148	0.300	20	L505845-	06	WG525601
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.151	0.157	101.	33-151	3.90	20	L505845-	06	WG525601
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	-			99.71	55-122					WG525601

Batch number /Run number / Sample number cross reference

WG525601: R1611749: L505863-01

\* Calculations are performed prior to rounding of reported values.
 \* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria.

For additional information, please see Attachment A 'List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers.'

Page 3 of 4



#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

#### XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

Quality Assurance Report Level II

L505863

The data package includes a summary of the analytic results of the quality control samples required by the SW-846 or CWA methods. The quality control samples include a method blank, a laboratory control sample, and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis. If a target parameter is outside the method limits, every sample that is effected is flagged with the appropriate qualifier in Appendix B of the analytic report.

Method Blank - an aliquot of reagent water carried through the entire analytic process. The method blank results indicate if any possible contamination exposure during the sample handling, digestion or extraction process, and analysis. Concentrations of target analytes above the reporting limit in the method blank are qualified with the "B" qualifier.

Laboratory Control Sample - is a sample of known concentration that is carried through the digestion/extraction and analysis process. The percent recovery, expressed as a percentage of the theoretical concentration, has statistical control limits indicating that the analytic process is "in control". If a target analyte is outside the control limits for the laboratory control sample or any other control sample, the parameter is flagged with a "J4" qualifier for all effected samples.

Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate - is two aliquots of an environmental sample that is spiked with known concentrations of target analytes. The percent recovery of the target analytes also has statistical control limits. If any recoveries that are outside the method control limits, the sample that was selected for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis is flagged with either a "J5" or a "J6". The relative percent difference (%RPD) between the matrix spike and the matrix spike duplicate recoveries is all calculated. If the RPD is above the method limit, the effected samples are flagged with a "J3" qualifier. 12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

March 15, 2011

# \* ONLY I COL PER SITE \*

Company Name/Address	Alternate Billing	·	Ar	alvsis/Cont	ainer/Prese	ervative	C129 Chain of Custo			
XTO Energy, Inc. 382 County Road 3100	XTORNM03181	<del>30</del>					Prepared by:	Page_1_of		
Aztec, NM 87410	Report to: James McDan E-mail to: James_McDan	iel niel@xtoenergy.com					ENVIRON Science cor 12065 Lebar Mt. Juliet TN	MENTAL p non Road 37122		
Project Description: WUI Name PHONE: 505-333-3701 FAX: Conference Mathematical Conference M	H AZ	City/State Collected: K_G: /V M ject #					Phone (615) Phone (800 . FAX (61	758-5858 ) 767-5859 5)758-5859		
Collected by(signature): Collected by(signature): Collected by(signature): Collected by (signature): Collected by (signatu	Cultury         GC#/         Date F           MUST be Notified)         Date F           tt Day100%         Email?           Day50%         Email?           e Day25%         FAX?_	Results Needed No PNo_X_Yes cf NoYes	TEX (80,				CoCode XTORNM Template/Prelogin Shipped Via: Fed Ex	(lab use only)		
Sample ID Comp/Grab M	latrix Depth Date	e Time <sup>Cat</sup>	μj =				Remarks/contaminant	Sample # (lab only)		
ROWLAND MW-5 GRAB G	N/A 31	0/11 12:40 =	3 X				NON Preserved	L SOS88301		
	1									
								<u>.</u>		
				tay a start for the start of th						
			87							
					841 M 44	1227				

Matrix: SS-Soil/Solid GW-Groundwater WW-Wastewater DW-Drinking Water OT- Other\_\_\_\_\_

3

pH\_\_\_\_\_ Temp\_\_\_\_

Remarks	10				Flow	Other
Relinquisher by:(Signature	RS	Date/ 3/i0/11	Time:	Received by:(Signature)	Samples returned via: FedEx_X_UPS_Other 4341981.56095	Condition (lab use only)
Relinquisher by:(Sighature		Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature)	Temp: 2.7 c Bottles Received; 3 V	9C.
Relinquisher by:(Signature	aliye X	Date:	Time:	Received for lab by: (Signature)	Date: Time: 08:30	pH Checked: NCF:



YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 County Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410

### Report Summary

Sunday June 19, 2011

Report Number: L521665 Samples Received: 06/17/11 Client Project:

Description: Rowland

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Daphne Richards , ESC Representative

### Laboratory Certification Numbers

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - I-2327, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487 GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704, ND - R-140 NJ - TN002,NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 00109, WV - 233 AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032008A, TX - T104704245, OK-9915

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences. Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE					12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859 Tax I.D. 62-081423 Est. 1970	122 9 89
James McDaniel	REPOR	RT OF ANALYSIS		June 19, 201	1	
XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 County Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410				0410 19, 201	_	
Date Received : June 17, 2013 Description : Rowland	L			ESC Sample #	: L521665-01	
				Site ID :	ROWLAND	
Sample ID : MW-5				Project # :		
Collected By : Julie Linn Collection Date : 06/16/11 13:52						
Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Units	Method	Date	Dil.
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%)	0.30 BDL 0.30 0.82	0.025 0.25 0.025 0.075	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B	06/19/11 06/19/11 06/19/11 06/19/11	50 50 50 50
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	103.		% Rec.	8021B	06/19/11	50

BDL - Below Detection Limit
Det. Limit - Practical Quantitation Limit(PQL)
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 06/19/11 14:41 Printed: 06/19/11 14:42

Page 2 of 4

# Summary of Remarks For Samples Printed 06/19/11 at 14:42:17

TSR Signing Reports: 288 R5 - Desired TAT

Sample: L521665-01 Account: XTORNM Received: 06/17/11 09:00 Due Date: 06/24/11 00:00 RPT Date: 06/19/11 14:41 No Pres.

# EXESSES SIGNAL S

#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 County Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

#### Quality Assurance Report Level II

L521665

June 19, 2011

			Laborator	y Blank						
Analyte	Result		Units	* Rec		Limit		Batch	Date	Analyzed
Benzene	< 000	5	mcr / ]					WG541255	06/18	/11 20:29
Ethylbenzene	< 000	5	mg/1					WG541255	06/18	/11 20:29
Toluene	< .005	5	mg/1					WG541255	06/18	/11 20:29
Total Xvlene	< .001	5	mg/1					WG541255	06/18	/11 20:29
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)			% Rec.	103.2		55-122		WG541255	06/18	/11 20:29
		Labo:	ratory Co	ntrol Sampl	e					
Analyte	Units	Kno	wn Val	Resu	lt	% Rec		Limit		Batch
Banzana	mg/1	05		0 0491		98 2		79-114		WC541255
Fthylbenzene	mg/l	.05		0.0479		95.8		80-116		WG541255
Toluene	mg/l	.05		0.0478		95.6		79-112		WG541255
Total Xvlene	mg/1	15		0 146		97 4		84-118		WG541255
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)		. 25		0.110		102.6		55-122		WG541255
		Laborator	y Control	Sample Dup	licate					_
Analyte	Units	Result	Ref	%Rec		Limit	RPD	Li	mit	Batch
Benzene	ma/l	0 0468	0 0491	94 0		79-114	4 79	20		WG541255
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	0.0456	0.0479	91.0		80-116	4.99	20		WG541255
Toluene	mg/l	0.0455	0.0478	91.0		79-112	5.02	20		WG541255
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.139	0.146	93.0		84-118	4.94	20		WG541255
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	_			102.8		55-122				WG541255
			Matrix	Spike						
Analyte	Units	MS Res	Rei R	es TV	% Rec	Limit		Rei Samp		Batch
Benzene	mg/l	0.0457	0	.05	91.5	35-14	7	L521510-	01	WG541255
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.0436	0	.05	87.2	39-14	1	L521510-	01	WG541255
Toluene	mg/l	0.0441	0	.05	88.1	35-14	8	L521510-	01	WG541255
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.133	0	.15	88.8	33-15	1	L521510-	01	WG541255
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)					102.8	55-12	2			WG541255
Analyte	Units	Mat: MSD	rix Spike Ref	* Duplicate %Rec	Limit	RPD	T.imit	Ref Samp		Batch
Anaryce	011105	MOD	RCL	1100	DIULU	ICF D		. Ker ballip		Bacen
Benzene	mg/l	0.0444	0.0457	88.8	35-147	3.00	20	L521510-	01	WG541255
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.0424	0.0436	84.7	39-141	2.86	20	L521510-	01	WG541255
Toluene	mg/l	0.0431	0.0441	86.1	35-148	2.30	20	L521510-	01	WG541255
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.130	0.133	86.6	33-151	2.45	20	L521510-	01	WG541255
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				102.3	55-122					WG541255

Batch number /Run number / Sample number cross reference

WG541255: R1728870: L521665-01

\* \* Calculations are performed prior to rounding of reported values. \* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria.

For additional information, please see Attachment A 'List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers.'

Page 3 of 4



#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 County Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

Quality Assurance Report Level II

L521665

The data package includes a summary of the analytic results of the quality control samples required by the SW-846 or CWA methods. The quality control samples include a method blank, a laboratory control sample, and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis. If a target parameter is outside the method limits, every sample that is effected is flagged with the appropriate qualifier in Appendix B of the analytic report.

Method Blank - an aliquot of reagent water carried through the entire analytic process. The method blank results indicate if any possible contamination exposure during the sample handling, digestion or extraction process, and analysis. Concentrations of target analytes above the reporting limit in the method blank are qualified with the "B" qualifier.

Laboratory Control Sample - is a sample of known concentration that is carried through the digestion/extraction and analysis process. The percent recovery, expressed as a percentage of the theoretical concentration, has statistical control limits indicating that the analytic process is "in control". If a target analyte is outside the control limits for the laboratory control sample or any other control sample, the parameter is flagged with a "J4" qualifier for all effected samples.

Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate - is two aliquots of an environmental sample that is spiked with known concentrations of target analytes. The percent recovery of the target analytes also has statistical control limits. If any recoveries that are outside the method control limits, the sample that was selected for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis is flagged with either a "J5" or a "J6". The relative percent difference (%RPD) between the matrix spike and the matrix spike duplicate recoveries is all calculated. If the RPD is above the method limit, the effected samples are flagged with a "J3" qualifier. 12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

June 19, 2011

Company Name/Address			Alternate E	Billing	<u>.</u>		A	nalysis/Co	ontainer/P	reservative		Chain of Custody
XTO Energy, Inc. 382 County Road 3100			XTORN	M031810S							Prepared by:	Page of ^
Aztec, NM 87410			Report to: Jan	mes McDaniel			(rser red)				ENVIRON Science cor 12065 Lebar	MENTAL p non Road
Project Description: Rowlad PHONE: 505-333-3701 FAX:	Client Project	No.		Lab Project #	State Collected;	M	d-van)				Mt. Juliet TN Phone (615) Phone (800) FAX (61	37122 758-5858 ) 767-5859 5)758-5859
Collected by: Julie Linn Collected by(signature):	Site/Facility ID	Ab MUST b Next Day WO Day Three Day	e Notified) 100% 50% 25%	P.O.# Date Resul Email?N FAX?N	ts Needed No_XYes NoYes	No	TEX, 821				CoCode XTORNM Template/Prelogin Shipped Via: Fed Ex	(lab use only)
Sample ID M.W-5	Comp/Grab	Matrix		Date	Time <b>13</b> 52	Cntrs					Remarks/contaminant	Sample # (lab only)
	Giras	an			1000	12						<u> </u>
					-							
								-				
			<u> </u>						<u> </u>			
								i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i				
					<u> </u>							

Matrix: SS-Soil/Solid GW-Groundwater WW-Wastewater DW-Drinking Water OT- Other\_\_\_\_\_

Remarks: "ONLY 1 COC Per Site!!"

х **э** 

pH\_\_\_\_\_ Temp\_\_\_\_\_

Flow\_\_\_\_\_ Other\_\_\_\_\_

Relinquisher by:(Signature	_ 6-16-	11 1445	Received by:(Signature)	Samples returned via: Fe	edEx_X_UPSOther	Condition	(lab use only)
Relixquisher by:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature)	Temp 3.1	Bottles Received:		
Relinquisher by:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received for lay by the ignature,	Date:	Time:	pH Checked:	NCF:



12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410

### Report Summary

Wednesday September 21, 2011

Report Number: L535938 Samples Received: 09/14/11 Client Project: ROWLAND GC #1

Description: Rowland GC #1

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Daphne Richards , ESC Representative

### Laboratory Certification Numbers

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - I-2327, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487 GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704, ND - R-140 NJ - TN002,NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 00109, WV - 233 AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032008A, TX - T104704245, OK-9915

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences. Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

VOUR LAB OF CHOICE					12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-585 Tax I.D. 62-08142 Est. 1970	122 9 89
Tamag NeDanial	REPOF	RT OF ANALYSIS		Contombon 01	2011	
James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410				September 21	, 2011	
Date Received : September 14, 2011				ESC Sample #	L535938-01	
Description · Rowland GC #1				Site ID :	ROWLAND GC #1	
Sample ID : MW-5				Project # :	ROWLAND GC #1	
Collected By : Sam LaRue Collection Date : 09/13/11 11:47						
Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Units	Method	Date	Dil.
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Becovery(%)	0.29 BDL 0.24 0.68	0.0025 0.025 0.0025 0.0075	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l	8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B	09/20/11 09/20/11 09/20/11 09/20/11	5 5 5 5
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	99.5		% Rec.	8021B	09/20/11	5

BDL - Below Detection Limit
Det. Limit - Practical Quantitation Limit(PQL)
Note:
The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted.
This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC.
.
Reported: 09/21/11 10:33 Printed: 09/21/11 10:33

Page 2 of 4

# Summary of Remarks For Samples Printed $09/21/11 \mbox{ at } 10{\mathbin{\div}}33{\mathbin{\cdot}}39$

TSR Signing Reports: 288 R5 - Desired TAT

drywt

Sample: L535938-01 Account: XTORNM Received: 09/14/11 09:00 Due Date: 09/21/11 00:00 RPT Date: 09/21/11 10:33 Non Preserved

# EVEN CONTRACTOR

#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

Quality	Assura	nce	Report
	Level 3	II	

L535938

September 21, 2011

		1	Laborator	y Blank					
Analyte	Result		Units	% Rec		Limit		Batch	Date Analyzed
Benzene	< .000	5	mg/l					WG556159	09/20/11 13:51
Ethylbenzene	< .000	5	mg/l					WG556159	09/20/11 13:51
Toluene	< .005		mg/l					WG556159	09/20/11 13:51
Total Xylene	< .001	5	mg/l					WG556159	09/20/11 13:51
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)			% Rec.	101.2		55-122		WG556159	09/20/11 13:53
		Taba	anterna Ca						
Analyte	Units	Knov	wn Val	Resu	ılt	% Rec		Limit	Batch
<b>▲</b>									
Benzene	mg/l	.05		0.0451		90.3		79-114	WG556159
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	.05		0.0508		102.		80-116	WG556159
Toluene	mg/l	.05		0.0503		101.		79-112	WG556159
Total Xylene	mg/l	.15		0.148		98.3		84-118	WG556159
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)						100.6		55-122	WG556159
		Laborator	v Control	Sample Dup	licate				
Analyte	Units	Result	Ref	Rec %	110400	Limit	RPD	Lim	it Batch
Benzene	ma/1	0 0453	0 0451	91 0		79-114	0 500	20	WG556159
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	0.0505	0.0508	101		80-116	0 740	20	WG556159
Toluene	mg/1	0 0506	0 0503	101		79-112	0 560	20	WG556159
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.149	0.148	99.0		84-118	0.900	20	WG556159
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	2.			101.3		55-122		-	WG556159
			Maharitan	G., (1)					
Analyte	Units	MS Res	Matrix Ref R	spike es TV	% Rec	Limit		Ref Samp	Batch
initity cc	011105	110 1100	NGI N	00 10	0 1000	DIUIC		Rei Damp	Daten
Benzene	mg/l	0.0465	0	.05	92.9	35-147	,	L536219-0	4 WG556159
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	0.0527	0	.05	105.	39-141		L536219-0	4 WG556159
Toluene	mg/l	0.0521	0	.05	104.	35-148	;	L536219-0	4 WG556159
Total Xylene	mg/l	0.154	0	.15	102.	33-151		L536219-0	4 WG556159
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)					99.97	55-122			WG556159
		Mat	rix Spike	Duplicate					
Analyte	Units	MSD	Ref	%Rec	Limit	RPD	Limit	Ref Samp	Batch
Benzene	mg/l	0.0466	0.0465	93.2	35-147	0.270	20	L536219-0	4 WG556159
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	0.0518	0.0527	104.	39-141	1.71	20	L536219-0	4 WG556159
Toluene	mg/1	0.0521	0.0521	104.	35-148	0.0400	20	L536219-0	4 WG556159
Total Xylene	mg/1	0.152	0.154	101.	33-151	1.27	20	L536219-0	4 WG556159
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	÷			99.86	55-122				WG556159

Batch number /Run number / Sample number cross reference

WG556159: R1866153: L535938-01

\* \* Calculations are performed prior to rounding of reported values. \* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria.

\* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria. For additional information, please see Attachment A 'List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers.'

Page 3 of 4



#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

#### XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

Quality Assurance Report Level II

L535938

The data package includes a summary of the analytic results of the quality control samples required by the SW-846 or CWA methods. The quality control samples include a method blank, a laboratory control sample, and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis. If a target parameter is outside the method limits, every sample that is effected is flagged with the appropriate qualifier in Appendix B of the analytic report.

Method Blank - an aliquot of reagent water carried through the entire analytic process. The method blank results indicate if any possible contamination exposure during the sample handling, digestion or extraction process, and analysis. Concentrations of target analytes above the reporting limit in the method blank are qualified with the "B" qualifier.

Laboratory Control Sample - is a sample of known concentration that is carried through the digestion/extraction and analysis process. The percent recovery, expressed as a percentage of the theoretical concentration, has statistical control limits indicating that the analytic process is "in control". If a target analyte is outside the control limits for the laboratory control sample or any other control sample, the parameter is flagged with a "J4" qualifier for all effected samples.

Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate - is two aliquots of an environmental sample that is spiked with known concentrations of target analytes. The percent recovery of the target analytes also has statistical control limits. If any recoveries that are outside the method control limits, the sample that was selected for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis is flagged with either a "J5" or a "J6". The relative percent difference (%RPD) between the matrix spike and the matrix spike duplicate recoveries is all calculated. If the RPD is above the method limit, the effected samples are flagged with a "J3" qualifier. 12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

September 21, 2011

Company Name/Address			Alternate Billing				A	nalysis/Cont	ainer/Prese	rvative		Chain of Custody
XTO Energy, Inc. 382 County Road 3100			XTORNM	I031810S							Prepared by:	Page_L_of_L
Aztec, NM 87410 Project Description: Rowland (	<u>GC</u> #		Report to: J E-mail to: jam	ames McDani es_mcdaniel@xto BCOM	el penergy.com itate Collected: DFTELd	NM	NON-PRSErved				ENVIRON Science corr 12065 Lebar Mt. Juliet TN Phone (615) Phone (600)	MENTAL p non Road 37122 758-5858
=AX: Collected by: Sam Lutie Si		and G Rowle	C#1 and GC	₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ 0.#			21 B)				. FAX (61	(lab use only)
Collected by(signature):	Rush? (La N T T	ab MUST be lext Day WO Day hree Day	e Notified) 100% 50% 25%	Date Result Email?N FAX?N	s Needed	of	TEX (BUX				XTORNM Template/Prelogin Shipped Via: Fed Ex	B069
Sample ID C	omp/Grab	Matrix	Depth	Date	Time	Cntrs	8		-		Remarks/contaminant	Sample # (lab only)
MW-5	Grab	GW	NA	9/13/11	11:47	<u> </u> )	Å				Non-Preserved	T2222428-01
			,	· · · · ·		_				nation Distantes Matures		
						_						
							27.					
					ļ							
Matrix: SS-Soil/Solid GW-Groundwate Remarks: "ONLY 1 COC Per Site!!"	er WW-Wa	stewater [	)W-Drinking	Water OT-O	ther	-	Ľ	1341 97	81922	PH	Temp Flow	Other
Relinquisher by (Signature	Date: 9/13/11 Date:	Time: 14:57 Time:	Received by:(	Signature) (Signature)	M		Samples	s returned via: F	FedEx_X_ UP	S_Other_ Received:	Condition	(lab use only)
Relinquisher by:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received for	lab by: (Signatur	e)		Date: 9-/	4-11	Time:	1:00	pH Checked	NCF



12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410

### Report Summary

Tuesday December 20, 2011

Report Number: L551708 Samples Received: 12/15/11 Client Project:

Description: Rowland Gas Com #1

The analytical results in this report are based upon information supplied by you, the client, and are for your exclusive use. If you have any questions regarding this data package, please do not hesitate to call.

Entire Report Reviewed By:

Stowne

Daphne Richards , ESC Representative

### Laboratory Certification Numbers

A2LA - 1461-01, AIHA - 100789, AL - 40660, CA - I-2327, CT - PH-0197, FL - E87487 GA - 923, IN - C-TN-01, KY - 90010, KYUST - 0016, NC - ENV375/DW21704, ND - R-140 NJ - TN002,NJ NELAP - TN002, SC - 84004, TN - 2006, VA - 00109, WV - 233 AZ - 0612, MN - 047-999-395, NY - 11742, WI - 998093910, NV - TN000032008A, TX - T104704245, OK-9915, PA - 68-02979

Accreditation is only applicable to the test methods specified on each scope of accreditation held by ESC Lab Sciences. Note: The use of the preparatory EPA Method 3511 is not approved or endorsed by the CA ELAP.

This report may not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from ESC Lab Sciences. Where applicable, sampling conducted by ESC is performed per guidance provided in laboratory standard operating procedures: 060302, 060303, and 060304.

EVAN B SICILIEINICIEIS					12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-585 Tax I.D. 62-08142 Est. 1970	122 9 89
James McDaniel XTO Energy - San Juan Division 382 Road 3100 Aztec, NM 87410	REPOF	RT OF ANALYSIS		December 20,	2011	
Date Received : December 15, 2011 Description : Rowland Gas Com #1 Sample ID : MW-5 Collected By : Devin Hencwann Collection Date : 12/14/11 13:35	L			ESC Sample # Site ID : Project # :	: : L551708-01 ROWLAND GAS COM	м 1
Parameter	Result	Det. Limit	Units	Method	Date	Dil.
Benzene Toluene Ethylbenzene Total Xylene Surrogate Recovery(%) a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	0.50 0.0066 0.42 1.0 111.	0.0050 0.0050 0.0050 0.015	mg/l mg/l mg/l mg/l % Rec.	8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B 8021B	12/16/11 12/16/11 12/16/11 12/16/11 12/16/11	10 1 10 10

BDL - Below Detection Limit Det. Limit - Practical Quantitation Limit(PQL) Note: The reported analytical results relate only to the sample submitted. This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval from ESC. . Reported: 12/20/11 10:55 Printed: 12/20/11 10:56

Page 2 of 5

# Summary of Remarks For Samples Printed 12/20/11 at 10:56:10

TSR Signing Reports: 288 R5 - Desired TAT

drywt

Sample: L551708-01 Account: XTORNM Received: 12/15/11 09:00 Due Date: 12/22/11 00:00 RPT Date: 12/20/11 10:55

# A · B SICIIEINICES

#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

December 20, 2011

Quality	Assura	ance	Report
	Level	II	

L551708

And Just a	Decult	I	aborator	y Blank		T i mi b		Datab	Data Inclused
Analyte	Result		UIILS	% REC				Batti	Date Analyzeu
Toluene	< .005		mq/l					WG570206	12/15/11 19:55
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)			% Rec.	97.52		55-122		WG570206	12/15/11 19:55
Benzene	< .0005		mg/l					WG570394	12/16/11 16:09
Ethylbenzene	< .0005		mg/l					WG570394	12/16/11 16:09
Total Xylene	< .0015		mg/l					WG570394	12/16/11 16:09
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)			∛ Rec.	99.03		55-122		WG570394	12/16/11 16:09
		Labor	ratory Co	ntrol Sample					
Analyte	Units	Know	vn Val	Result	t	% Rec		Limit	Batch
Toluene	mcr / ]	05		0 0465		93 0		79-112	WG570206
a.a.a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)		.05		0.0105		98.08		55-122	WG570206
Benzene	mg/l	.05		0.0463		92.6		79-114	WG570394
Ethylbenzene	mg/l	.05		0.0503		101.		80-116	WG570394
Total Xylene	mg/l	.15		0.147		97.8		84-118	WG570394
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)						98.69		55-122	WG570394
	La	boratory	/ Control	Sample Dupl	icate				
Analyte	Units R	lesult	Ref	%Rec	Louise	Limit	RPD	Liı	mit Batch
m - 1		0520	0.0465	100		70 110	14 0	0.0	MGE 70000
a a a-Trifluorotoluono(DID)	111g/1 0	.0539	0.0465	108.		79-112 55-122	14.8	20	WG570206
a,a,a-iiiiiuoiototuene(FiD)				90.71		33-122			WG570200
Benzene	mg/l 0	.0477	0.0463	95.0		79-114	2.90	20	WG570394
Ethylbenzene	mg/l 0	.0520	0.0503	104.		80-116	3.30	20	WG570394
Total Xylene	mg/l 0	.150	0.147	100.		84-118	2.55	20	WG570394
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				99.96		55-122			WG570394
			Matrix	Snike					
Analyte	Units	MS Res	Ref R	es TV	% Rec	Limit		Ref Samp	Batch
Toluene	mg/l	0.0447	0	.05	89.4	35-148		L551720-	01 WG570206
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)					97.93	55-122			WG570206
Benzene	mcr / ]	0 994	0 530	05	92.8	35-147		T-551485-	03 WG570394
Ethylbenzene	mg/1	0 663	0 140	05	105	39-141		1551485-	03 WG570394
Total Xvlene	mg/l	1.74	0.200	.15	103.	33-151		L551485-	03 WG570394
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)	5,				98.32	55-122			WG570394
Analyte	Inits M	Matr	rix Spike Ref	Duplicate	T.imit	RPD	T.imit	Ref Samp	Batch
Analyte	011105 1	150	RCL	1100	DIULC	ICE D	DIULC	NCI Damp	Daten
Toluene	mg/l 0	.0430	0.0447	85.9	35-148	4.01	20	L551720-	01 WG570206
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				98.95	55-122				WG570206
Benzene	mg/1 0	.996	0.994	93.3	35-147	0.250	20	L551485-	03 WG570394
Ethylbenzene	mg/l 0	.653	0.663	102.	39-141	1.63	20	L551485-	03 WG570394
Total Xylene		.71	1.74	101.	33-151	1.73	20	L551485-	03 WG570394
a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)				98.89	55-122				WG570394

a,a,a-Trifluorotoluene(PID)

\* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria. For additional information, please see Attachment A 'List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers.'

Page 3 of 5



#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

Quality Assurance Report Level II

L551708

12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

December 20, 2011

Batch number /Run number / Sample number cross reference

WG570206: R1969214: L551708-01 WG570394: R1972775: L551708-01

\* Calculations are performed prior to rounding of reported values.
 \* Performance of this Analyte is outside of established criteria.
 For additional information, please see Attachment A 'List of Analytes with QC Qualifiers.'

Page 4 of 5



#### YOUR LAB OF CHOICE

#### XTO Energy - San Juan Division James McDaniel 382 Road 3100

Aztec, NM 87410

Quality Assurance Report Level II

L551708

The data package includes a summary of the analytic results of the quality control samples required by the SW-846 or CWA methods. The quality control samples include a method blank, a laboratory control sample, and the matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis. If a target parameter is outside the method limits, every sample that is effected is flagged with the appropriate qualifier in Appendix B of the analytic report.

Method Blank - an aliquot of reagent water carried through the entire analytic process. The method blank results indicate if any possible contamination exposure during the sample handling, digestion or extraction process, and analysis. Concentrations of target analytes above the reporting limit in the method blank are qualified with the "B" qualifier.

Laboratory Control Sample - is a sample of known concentration that is carried through the digestion/extraction and analysis process. The percent recovery, expressed as a percentage of the theoretical concentration, has statistical control limits indicating that the analytic process is "in control". If a target analyte is outside the control limits for the laboratory control sample or any other control sample, the parameter is flagged with a "J4" qualifier for all effected samples.

Matrix Spike and Matrix Spike Duplicate - is two aliquots of an environmental sample that is spiked with known concentrations of target analytes. The percent recovery of the target analytes also has statistical control limits. If any recoveries that are outside the method control limits, the sample that was selected for matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analysis is flagged with either a "J5" or a "J6". The relative percent difference (%RPD) between the matrix spike and the matrix spike duplicate recoveries is all calculated. If the RPD is above the method limit, the effected samples are flagged with a "J3" qualifier. 12065 Lebanon Rd. Mt. Juliet, TN 37122 (615) 758-5858 1-800-767-5859 Fax (615) 758-5859

Tax I.D. 62-0814289

Est. 1970

December 20, 2011

D080

company Name/Address			Alternate Bi	illing			Ar	nalysis/Cont	ainer/Prese	rvative	Chain of Custody		
(TO Energy, Inc. 82 County Road 3100		XTORNM031810S									Page_1_of_/		
IZLEC, NM 87410			Report to: J	lames McDan	iel						ENVIRON Science cor 12065 Lebar	MENTAL p non Road	
HONE: 505-333-3701	Client Project I	Com #	E-mail to: jam	City/S City/S Lab Project #	oenergy.com State Collected: c12, NM						Mt. Juliet TN Phone (615) Phone (800 FAX (61	37122 758-5858 ) 767-5859 5)758-5859	
Jlected by: De. J : r Hercm r. A Dected by(signature):	Site/Facility ID Row 1 Rush? (L	# .ab MUST b Next Day	<u>a 5 Con</u> # e Notified) 100%	P.O.# Date Result	s Needed	No	CX SO.				CoCode XTORNM Template/Prelogin	(lab use only)	
acked on ice N Y_ 🗶	'	Three Day		FAX?N	lo_xtes loYes T	or	371				Shipped Via. Fed Ex		
Sample ID	Comp/Grab	Matrix	Depth				X				Remarks/contaminant	Sample # (lab only)	
	<u> </u>		104	<u>  ~/ / / / (</u>								2331708 0	
, , <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>				-									
									Mark 2 A				
									1				
										100 A			

Remarks: "UNLY 1 CUC Per Sil	e!!"			434/ 9819 2120	Flow Other		
Relinquisher by:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received by:(Signature)	Samples returned via: FedEx_Y_UPS_Other	Condition (lab use only)		
Relinquisher by:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received by: (Signature)	Temp: 2-82 Bottles Received:			
Relinquisher 5y:(Signature	Date:	Time:	Received for lab by: (Signature)		pH Checked: NCF:		

1

# **Attachment 3**

# **Field Notes**

Project Name Client Project Manager	e: XTO GW M t: XTO Energ r: Julie Linn	1onitoring Y	Sam	Location: Date: pler's Name:	Rowland 3/10/2011 Brooke He	MW-5 12:09				
Measuring Point:TOCDepth to Water:12.46 ftDepth to Product:NAftWell Diameter:2"Total Depth:15.18 ftProduct Thickness:NAftWater Column Height:2.72 ft										
Sampling Method:  Submersible Pump Centrifugal Pump Peristaltic Pump Other Source Criteria:  Source Stabilization of Indicator Parameters Other										
			V	Vater Volume	e in Well					
Gallons of water	r per foot	Feet of wa	ter in well	Gallons	s of water i	n well	3 casing v	volumes to be removed		
0.1631		2.7	72		0.443632			1.33		
	-	T		1			1			
Time (military)	рН (su)	EC (ms)	Temp (°C)	ORP (millivolts)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Vol Evac. Gallons	Comments/Flow Rate		
12:15	6.83	3.68	13.0				0.25	black, strong HC odor		
12:17	6.92	3.65	12.4				0.4	no change		
12:18	7.03	3.57	12.8				0.5	bail down, black strong HC odor, minor silt		
12:23	7.14	3.60	13.2				0.65	bailed dry; wait		
12:28	7.12	3.85	13.7				0.85	no comments		
12:30	7.10	3.94	13.5				1	lighter gray, more silt		
12:32	7.12	3.98	13.4				1.15	stronger HC odor		
12:34	7.16	3.95	13.4				1.25	no change		
12:36	7.16	3.99	13.4				1.35	no change		
Final:	7.16	3.99	13.4				1.35			
COMMENTS:										
Instrumentatior	1: 🔽 pH Meter	DO Monito	or 🗹 Con	ductivity Meter	🗸 Tem	perature Meter	• 🗌 Other	·		
Water Disposa	l: On site su	mp								
Sample ID	: Rowland N	/IW-5	S	ample Time:	12:40	-				
Analysis Requested	I: ⊡ BTEX □ Other		Alkalinity	TDS	Cations [	Anions	Nitrate	Nitrite 🗌 Metals		
Trip Blank	Trip Blank: No Duplicate Sample: No									



Project Name	: XTO Groui	ndwater		Location:	Rowland G	GC #1	Well No:	MW-5	
Client	: XTO Energ	v. Inc.	-	Date	6/16/2011				
Project Manager	: Julie Linn	,,,	Sam						
i reject manager									
	TOC	Deat	h + - \A/-+	0.20	<i>C</i> 1	Dauth	ta Duaducat	NIA 64	
Neasuring Point	: <u>10C</u>	_ Dept	n to water:	8.39	π	Depth	to Product:	NA III	
weil Diameter	. <u>Z</u>	- Water Colu	otal Depth:	14.80	1L F+	Product	. Thickness:		
		water Colt	inn Height:	0.47	IL				
Sampling Method	: 🗌 Submersit	ole Pump	Centrifugal Pi	ımp 🗌 Peri	istaltic Pump	Other			
		alvo Bailor	Double Check	v Valvo Bailor	stattio i anip				
Criteria	: 🗹 3 to 5 Cas	sing Volumes of	Water Remov	al 🗹 Stabiliz	ation of Indica	ator Paramete	rs 🗌 Other		
		T -	١	Water Volume	e in Well		1 -		
Gallons of water	per foot	Feet of wa	ater in well	Gallon	s of water i	n well	3 casing	volumes to be removed	
0.1631		6.	47		1.055257			3.17	
				1			1		
Time	рН	EC	Temp	ORP	D.O.	Turbidity	Vol Evac.	Comments/Flow Bate	
(military)	(su)	(ms)	(°C)	(millivolts)	(mg/L)	(NTU)	Gallons	comments/110w Nate	
								Slight HC odor, slight sheep, light grev	
13:29	7.35	4.51	15.8				0.25	slight turbidity	
13:30	7.12	4.51	14.5				0.5	Increasing turbidity, dark grey	
13:31	7.12	4.50	13.9				0.75	Black	
13:32	7.07	4.50	13.8		-		1	No change	
13:34	7.19	4.52	13.6				2	Increasing HC odor	
13:36	7.42	4.48	13.7				2.5	Bailing down	
13:38	7.46	4.49	13.8		-		2.75	No change	
13:50	7.34	4.53	13.8				3	Strong HC odor	
13:51	7.31	4.51	13.5				3.25	No change	
13:51	7.31	4.50	13.4				3.5	No change	
Final:	7.31	4.50	13.4				3.5		
							Chielune ie	atill and and had a strong	
COMMENTS:	IVIVV-6 Cas	ing is broker	i just below	the ground s	urrace & n	eeds repair	. Stickup is	still present, but not secure.	
Instrumentation								_	
Instrumentation	• 🗠 рн меter		or La Cor	ductivity Meter	⊡ Tem	perature Mete	r 🗆 Otne	r	
Water Disnosal	: on site sur	np							
		h.		-					
Sample ID:	: MW-5		9	ample Time:	13:26				
						-			
Analysis Requested	: 🛛 BTFX		□ Alkalinitv		Cations	Anions	Nitrate 🗆	Nitrite 🛛 Metals	
							<b></b>	=	
Trip Blank	: No	Duplicate Sample: No							



Project Nam Clien Project Manage	e: XTO Grour t: XTO r: Julie Linn	ndwater Monitor	<u>ring</u> Location Date Sampler's Name	: Rowland : 9/13/2011 : Sam LaRue	Well No: <u>MW-5</u> Time: <u>11:15</u>					
Measuring Poin Well Diamete	t: <u>TOC</u> r: <u>2</u> "	Depth Tot Water Colum	to Water: 7.7 tal Depth: 15.17 in Height: 7.47	7 ft 7 ft 7 ft	Depth to Product: <u>NA</u> ft Product Thickness: <u>NA</u> ft					
Sampling Method:       Submersible Pump       Centrifugal Pump       Peristaltic Pump         X Bottom Valve Bailer       Double Check Valve Bailer       Other										
Criteria:	X 3 to 5 Casir X Stabilizatio	ng Volumes of V n of Indicator Pa	Vater Removed arameters	Other						
		•	Water Volume in V	Well						
Gallons of wa	ter per foot	Feet of wat	ter in well Gal	llons of water in w	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2					
0.163	1	7.4	.7	1.218357	3.66					
Time (Military	y) Vol. Evac. (gallons)	pH (standard units)	Conductivity (millisiemens)	Temperature (°C)	Comments/Flow Rate					
11:22	0.25	6.58	3.57	20.5	Clear grey black HC odor					
11:24	0.5	6.56	3.56	20.2	No Change					
11:26	0.75	6.57	3.60 19.4		Darker grey/black, HC odor					
11:28	1	6.64	3.60	19.4	Slightly silty grey/black, HC odor					
11:31	1.25	6.63	3.60	19.6	No Change					
11:33	1.5	6.58	3.63	19.5	No Change					
11:34	1.75	6.59	3.67	19.3	No Change					
11:36	2	6.59	3.61	19.4	No Change					
11:38	2.25	6.57	3.68	19.3	black silty, strong HC odor					
11:40	2.75	6.55	3.66	19.4	No Change					
11:41	3.25	6.55	3.72	18.9	No Change					
11:42	3.5	6.59	3.67	18.6	No Change					
	5.75	0.55	5.70	18.0						
Final:	3.75	6.55	3.7	18.6						
COMMENTS:	Depth to Water MW-3: 11.67	mw-4R: 7	pp of casing in other MV 75 MW-6: 11.55	Ws on site:						
Instrumentation	Instrumentation: X pH Meter X Conductivity Meter DO Meter X Temperature Meter									
Water Disposa	1: On site sump									
Sample II	D: <u>MW-5</u>			Sample Time:	11:47					
Analysis Requested	d: X BTEX	VOCs	TDS Chloride Nitrite Sulfate	Cations Cations	Anions Alkalinity					
Trip Blan	.: <u>No</u>	Duplicate S	ample: No	Duplicate Sa	ample ID:					



Project Name Client Project Manager	: XTO Groui : XTO Energ : Julie Linn	ndwater gy, Inc.	Sa	Location: Date: mpler's Name:	MW-5 13:01				
Measuring Point Well Diameter	: TOC : 2"	Deptl T Water Colu	h to Water: otal Depth: mn Height:	Water:10.33 ftDepth to Product: NADepth:15.2 ftProduct Thickness: NAHeight:4.87 ft					
Sampling Method:       Submersible Pump       Centrifugal Pump       Peristaltic Pump       Other         Ø       Bottom Valve Bailer       Double Check Valve Bailer         Criteria:       Ø       3 to 5 Casing Volumes of Water Removal       Ø       Stabilization of Indicator Parameters       Other									
				Water Volume	in Well				
Gallons of water	per foot	Feet of wa	ter in well	Gallons	of water in	well	3 casin	g volumes to be removed	
0.1631		4.	87	C	.794297			2.38	
Time (military)	рН (su)	EC (ms)	Temp (°C)	ORP (millivolts)	D.O. (mg/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	Vol Evac. Gallons	Comments/Flow Rate	
13:05	7.62	3.64	14.8				0.25	black, strong HC/ Sulfer odor	
	7.61	3.64	14.6				0.50	Black, strong odor	
	7.59	3.68	14.7				0.75	dark black, strong odor	
	7.59	3.66	14.6				1.00	no change	
	7.61	3.73	14.3				1.25	no change	
	7.62	3.71	14.4				1.5	no change	
	7.63	3.70	14.6				1.75	Black, strong odor	
	7.65	3.70	14.5				2.00	black, strong odor, bailing down	
	7.66	3.70	14.7				2.25	no change	
Final: 13:30	7.68	3.70	14.5				2.50	black, strong odor, baililng down	
COMMENTS:									
Instrumentation: 🗹 pH Meter 🗌 DO Monitor 🗹 Conductivity Meter 🕢 Temperature Meter 🗌 Other									
Water Disposal	: on site sur	np							
Sample ID	: <u>MW-5</u>			Sample Time:	13:35	_			
Analysis Requested	: 🗹 BTEX	UOCs	Alkalinity	/ 🗌 TDS	Cations	Anions	] Nitrate 🗌	Nitrite 🗌 Metals	
Trip Blank: No Duplicate Sample: No								No	



# **Attachment 4**

# LT Environmental Work Plan



LT Environmental, Inc.

2243 Main Avenue, Suite 3 Durango, Colorado 81301 T 970.385.1096 / F 970.385.1873

January 28, 2011

Mr. James McDaniel XTO Energy, Inc. 382 Road 3100 Aztec, New Mexico 87410

# RE: Hydrogen Peroxide Injection Work Plan XTO Energy, Inc. Rowland Gas Com #1 Farmington, New Mexico

Dear Mr. McDaniel:

LT Environmental (LTE) presents the following scope of work to XTO Energy, Inc. (XTO) to conduct hydrogen peroxide injection as a remedial alternative at the Rowland Gas Com #1 site (site). XTO intends to pursue this remedial alternative to address the petroleum hydrocarbon impacts to the groundwater at the site. A cost estimate for these activities will be sent under a separate cover letter.

# Site Description

The site is located at latitude 36.779300° north by -108.043654° west, World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS 84) in San Juan County, New Mexico. It is on the west side of Road 3100 in between Road 3175 and Road 3177 in Farmington, New Mexico.

Groundwater at the Site contains concentrations of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and total xylenes (BTEX) in excess of the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (NMWQCC) standards as a result of unlined pits previously used at the site. Soils impacted by an unlined production pit and an unlined separator pit were excavated in 1993. Groundwater was encountered within the production pit excavation, and six groundwater monitoring wells were later installed. Based on initial sampling results from MW-1 and MW-2, which indicated that BTEX concentrations were non-detect or below NMWQCC standards, sampling was discontinued at these wells. BTEX concentrations in MW-3 and MW-6 were also below NMWQCC standards, but these wells were placed on an annual sampling schedule to monitor potential migration of BTEX constituents identified in groundwater from MW-4 and MW-5. In 1998, MW-4 was damaged and replaced by MW-4R. The new well was positioned closer to the original production pit excavation.

Annual sampling results through 2004 indicated that BTEX concentrations were consistently beneath detection levels in MW-3, MW-4R and MW-6, so sampling was discontinued in all wells except MW-5. MW-5 has been sampled on a quarterly schedule since 2007. Table 1 provides historical sample results. Benzene concentrations in MW-5

have been highly variable, ranging from 1,270  $\mu$ g/l to 10  $\mu$ g/l. The most recent benzene concentrations have been from 120  $\mu$ g/l to 340  $\mu$ g/l during 2010. Concentrations of total xylenes have steadily declined in MW-5 from 3,000  $\mu$ g/l to 600  $\mu$ g/l. Toluene and ethylbenzene concentrations are below NMWQCC standards.

Groundwater is encountered at a depth from approximately 7.5 to 15.5 feet below ground surface (bgs) at the site. An irrigation ditch is located approximately 250 feet west of the site. The flow of water in the ditch impacts the depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction. Groundwater flow direction is variable, flowing to the east when the irrigation ditch contains water. If the ditch does not contain water, groundwater flow direction varies from the southeast to the northeast. Both depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction varies for the southeast to the northeast. Both depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction varies for the southeast to the northeast. Both depth to groundwater and groundwater flow direction vary seasonally, and are highly influenced by the flow of water in the irrigation ditch.

Lithology at the site is not known.

# Scope of Work

Currently, the only remedial action at this site is monitored natural attenuation. XTO desires to pursue a more aggressive remedial option, consisting of slugs of liquid hydrogen peroxide poured into MW-5. The following sequence of events for the hydrogen peroxide injections at the Site is suggested:

- 1. Purge the groundwater from MW-5 until dry.
- 2. Pour hydrogen peroxide into each well casing until the hydrogen peroxide is within 6 inches of the top of the casing and stays that way for a period of 2 minutes.
- 3. The concentration of the hydrogen peroxide will not exceed 8%, the concentration at which hydrogen peroxide is classified as a hazardous material (Class 1 Oxidizer) by the U.S. Department of Transportation. The total volume of MW-5 is 2.4 gallons. These volumes will be used as a starting point for well application. Additional volumes may be necessary.
- 4. Wait 7 days.
- 5. Measure depth to groundwater in MW-5.
- 6. Purge three well casing volumes from MW-5. If three well casing volumes cannot be purged, then purge the wells until dry. Parameters including pH, electrical conductivity, and temperature will be monitored during purging. Collect a groundwater sample for analysis of BTEX by EPA Method 8021B to determine effectiveness of the treatment and alter hydrogen peroxide concentrations and volumes as necessary.
- 7. Repeat steps 1 through 6 weekly for a total of 4 weeks.
- 8. Measure depth to groundwater in MW-5.
- 9. Purge three well casing volumes from MW-5. If three well casing volumes cannot be purged, then purge the wells until dry. Collect a weekly groundwater sample for



analysis of BTEX by EPA Method 8021B for an additional 4 weeks to determine if rebound of BTEX concentrations occurs.

10. Analyze results and make recommendations for additional treatment or monitoring.

All samples will be shipped via overnight courier to ESC analytical laboratories in Mt. Juliet, Tennessee for analysis with a standard turn-around time. No quality assurance/quality control samples (i.e. trip blanks or field blanks) will be used.

LTE will prepare a site specific health and safety plan (HASP) for the hydrogen peroxide injection and the groundwater sampling activities. A cost estimate for this work plan will be transmitted to XTO under a separate cover letter.

# Schedule

LTE plans to implement this plan in March and April of 2011. Upon completion of the 8 weeks of activities, LTE will evaluate the data and submit a report to XTO. The report will include recommendations for any additional activities at the site.

Sincerely,

LT ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

Julie C

Julie Linn, P.G. Senior Geologist

Copy: Ashley Ager, LTE