GW – 052 2013 STIPULATED ORDER REPORT

12/19/2013



December 19, 2013

Mr. Glenn von Gonten Environmental Bureau New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

Mr. Dave Cobrain New Mexico Hazardous Waste Bureau New Mexico Environment Department 2905 Rodeo Park Drive East, Building 1 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505-6313

RE: Investigation Report Transwestern Compressor Station No. 9 Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Roswell, Chavez County, New Mexico NMOCD Case #GW-052/EPA ID NO. NMD986676955

Dear Messrs. von Gonten and Cobrain:

On March 13, 2013, the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) issued a Stipulated Order (SO) to Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC (Transwestern) that governs corrective action activities conducted within the Project Area at Transwestern's Compressor Station No. 9 (or Roswell Compressor Station). In addition, the SO indicates that the New Mexico Oil Conservation District (NMOCD) will continue to be the lead agency for the project with the NMED providing additional review.

In accordance with the terms of the Order, please find attached for your review and approval an *Investigation Report* documenting implementation of the investigation activities described in the March 2013 *Amended Investigation Work Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan* (IWP). The IWP was reviewed by the NMED and the NMOCD; approval for its implementation was received from the NMOCD on July 1, 2013.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this document, please do not hesitate to contact me at 210.870.2725 (office) or 281.740.0494 (cell).

Sincerely,

Richard A Spell

Waste, Water, & Remediation Manager Transwestern Pipeline, LLC

Attachment: Investigation Report

Xc: Larry Campbell Laurie King Tim Gum Transwestern (electronic via email) US EPA Region 6 NMOCD Artesia District Office (w/o attachment)



INVESTIGATION REPORT TRANSWESTERN COMPRESSOR STATION NO. 9 (ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION) 6381 NORTH MAIN STREET ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO EPA ID NO. NMD986676955

PREPARED FOR:

TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, LLC 711 LOUISIANA, SUITE 900 HOUSTON, TX 77002

PREPARED BY:

EARTHCON CONSULTANTS, INC. 14405 WALTERS ROAD, SUITE 700 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77014 281.240.5200

EarthCon Project No. 02.20120037.00

December 19, 2013



EarthCon Consultants, Inc. 14405 Walters Road, Suite 700 Houston, TX 77014 Phone: 281-240-5200 Fax: 281-201-3510 Toll-Free: 866-450-2169 www.earthcon.com Investigation Report Transwestern Compressor Station No. 9 (Roswell Compressor Station) 6381 North Main Street Roswell, Chaves County, New Mexico EPA ID No. NMD986676955

Prepared For:

Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC 711 Louisiana, Suite 900 Houston, TX 77002

December 19, 2013

EarthCon Project No. 02.20120037.00

EarthCon Consultants, Inc. is submitting to Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC (Transwestern) this *Investigation Report* for the Roswell Compressor Station in Chaves County, New Mexico. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of and reliance by Transwestern, and may not be relied upon by any other person or entity without the express written authorization of EarthCon.

Any reliance, use, or re-use of this document (or the opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations if any represented herein), by parties other than those expressly authorized by EarthCon is at the sole risk of those parties. This report was prepared by or performed under the direction of the EarthCon Professionals listed below and approved by Transwestern.

Signed:

Gabrietalan

Gabriela Floreslovo Senior Project Engineer EarthCon Consultants, Inc.

J.D. Haines, LPG (Indiana) Principal Geologist EarthCon Consultants, Inc.

Richard A Spell Waste, Water, & Remediation Manager Transwestern Pipeline, LLC

Investigation Report Roswell Compressor Station Roswell, New Mexico

Table of Contents

EXEC 1.0 2.0 2.1	CUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND Site Description	v 1 2 2
2.2	Surface Conditions	3
2.3	Subsurface Conditions	4
2.4	Remedial History	6
3.0 3.1	IMPLEMENTATION OF FIELD ACTIVITIES	8 8
3.2	Application Processing	9
3.3	Soil Boring and Sampling	9
3.4	Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Sampling	10
3.5	New Monitoring Well Survey	11
3.6	Well P&A Activities	11
3.7	Management of Investigation-Derived Waste	12
4.0 4.1	FIELD INVESTIGATION AND DATA EVALUATION FINDINGS Soil and Groundwater Conditions	13 13
4.2	Regulatory Criteria	13
4.3	Soil Data Evaluation	14
4.4	Groundwater Data Evaluation	14
4.5	Waste Characterization Data Evaluation	14
6.0	REFERENCES	17

TABLES

Table 3-1	Monitoring Well Installation Details
Table 3-2	Groundwater Quality Parameters
Table 4-1	Groundwater Elevations
Table 4-2	Summary of Soil Analytical Results
Table 4-3	Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results

FIGURES

Figure 1-1	Site Location Map
Figure 1-2	Site Features
Figure 4-1	Groundwater Surface Elevations in the Uppermost Aquifer
Figure 4-2	Distribution of Dissolved Benzene in the Uppermost Aquifer
Figure 4-3	Distribution of Dissolved 1,1-DCE in the Uppermost Aquifer

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Copies of March 2013 IWP Figures
Appendix B	Well Installation Logs, OSE-Well Record and Log forms, and OSE-Plugging
	Record forms
Appendix C	Analytical Data Packages

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document is an *Investigation Report* prepared by EarthCon Consultants, Inc. (EarthCon) on behalf of Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC (Transwestern) for the Transwestern Compressor Station No. 9 (also known as the Roswell Compressor Station) property located at 6381 North Main Street in Roswell, New Mexico. On March 13, 2013, the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) issued a Stipulated Order (SO) that governs activities conducted within the Project Area. Therefore, this *Investigation Report* was developed to comply with *Section IX – Reporting Requirements* of the SO.

This *Investigation Report* documents implementation of the investigation activities described in the March 2013 *Amended Investigation Work Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan (IWP)*. The *IWP* was reviewed by New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) and the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD); approval for its implementation was received from the NMOCD July 1, 2013.

The purpose of the additional investigations proposed in the *IWP* were to delineate the northern extent of the 1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE) groundwater plume identified in the Project Area, via installation and sampling of four new monitoring wells; plug and abandon (P&A) several monitoring wells that were no longer exhibiting detectable concentrations of the constituents-of-concern (COCs), as well as multiphase extraction (MPE) wells outside of the current groundwater plume. Permits for the proposed well installations and P&A activities were obtained from the State of New Mexico's Office of the State Engineer (OSE) prior to implementation.

Field activities were implemented between August 6 and August 16, 2013. Samples from subsurface soil near the water table and groundwater samples were collected from the new soil boring/monitoring wells installed and analyzed for volatile organic compounds by EPA Method 8260. Analytical results for the soil samples confirmed the absence of a residual soil source in the area investigated, and analytical results for the groundwater samples indicate that 1,1-DCE was delineated in the northern portion of the project area.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document is an *Investigation Report* prepared by EarthCon Consultants, Inc. (EarthCon) on behalf of Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC (Transwestern) for the Roswell Compressor Station, Station No. 9, located at 6381 North Main Street in Roswell, New Mexico (see **Figure 1-1**, Site Location Map). For the purposes of this *Investigation Report*, the term "Facility" will be used to denote the entire compressor station and "Project Area" will be used to refer to the northeastern corner of the compressor station and the adjacent land leased from the State of New Mexico Trust.

On March 13, 2013, the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) issued a Stipulated Order (SO) that governs activities conducted within the Project Area. This *Investigation Report* documents implementation of the investigation activities described in the March 2013 *Amended Investigation Work Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan (IWP)*. This *Investigation Report* was developed to comply with the IWP Section IX – Reporting Requirements of the SO. The *IWP* was submitted for review to the NMED and NMOCD; approval for its implementation was received from the NMOCD July 1, 2013; NMED reviewed the document and responded on July 3, 2013 indicating that they would not provide comments. The purpose of the additional investigations proposed in the *IWP* were to delineate the northern extent of the groundwater plume identified in the Project Area, via installation and sampling of four new monitoring wells; plug and abandon (P&A) several monitoring wells that were no longer exhibiting detectable concentrations of the constituents-of-concern (COCs), as well as multiphase extraction (MPE) wells outside of the current groundwater plume. The Project Team for Transwestern implementing the activities described in the *IWP* consists of EarthCon in the capacity of project management and reporting, with Cypress Engineering Services, Inc. (CES) conducting field services.

This *Investigation Report* is divided into six major sections. **Section 1** (this section) contains introductory information; **Section 2** contains background information for the project; **Section 3** contains a description of the investigation activities conducted within the Project Area; **Section 4** describes the findings of the field investigation and data evaluation process; **Section 5** provides a summary of findings and conclusions; and **Section 6** contains references cited in the text of this report. Tables, figures, and appendices follow the text of the report.

2.0 BACKGROUND

2.1 Site Description

The Facility is an active natural gas compression station located approximately 8 miles north of the city center of Roswell, New Mexico along the eastern side of U.S. Highway 285. The Facility is situated on approximately 77 acres of land in Sections 21 and 28 (T9S R24E), Chaves County, New Mexico (see **Figure 1-1**). The Facility is privately owned by Transwestern, while the remainder of Sections 21 and Section 28 are State Trust Land (Glenn, 1993). The Facility is specifically located in the SW¼ of the SW¼ of Section 21 (less West ±47.98 feet) and in the NW¼ of the NW¼ of Section 28 (less West ±47.98 feet) of Township 9S and Range 24E.

Site access is via U.S. Highway 285, and the entire Facility is secured by a chain-link fence with locked gates.

The Project Area encompasses a portion of the northwest corner of the Facility, and extends offsite to the northeast and east of the Facility to a 40-acre easement leased from the New Mexico State Land Office (SLO) State Trust Land for remediation and monitoring purposes (see **Figure 1-2**). A majority of the off-site wells are located within a fenced perimeter. The following is pertinent information regarding the Facility (DBS&A, 1997):

Facility name	Transwestern Compressor Station No. 9 (aka Roswell Compressor Station)
Facility address	Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC 6381 North Main Street P.O. Box 1717 Roswell, New Mexico 88202-1717
Telephone number	(575) 625-8022
EPA I.D. number	NMD986676955
County and state	Chaves County, New Mexico
Facility legal description	SW1/4 of the SW1/4 of Section 21, T9S R24E, NW1/4 of the NW1/4 of Section 28, T9S R24E
Latitude/Longitude of former Pits	Pit 1: N33°30'54" / W104°30'55" Pit 2: N33°30'55" / W104°30'55"
Facility elevation	Approximately 3610 feet above sea level

The Facility is located along the Transwestern natural gas pipeline that extends from Texas to the Arizona/California border. The compressor station services two 30-inch Mainlines and two 24-inch Lateral pipelines. The primary function of the compressor station is to boost the pressure of the natural gas stream by means of compressors powered by natural gas-fueled internal combustion engines. Additionally, the Facility conducts gas transmission line maintenance operations that generate waste hydrocarbons, including condensate, pigging and other wastes, which were historically discharged to the former Pits (DBS&A, 1994). Wastes generated by current pipeline maintenance activities are temporarily stored on aboveground storage tanks at the Facility for off-site recycling or final disposal, based on BTU content.

The Facility also includes a building that houses the district offices for Transwestern's New Mexico operations, along with an engine room, ancillary equipment, pig launcher and pigging waste handling facilities, and other ancillary buildings, including a warehouse and a repair shop (see building outlines in **Figure 1-2**).

Office buildings and other structures are mainly located in the western and central portions of the property. Remediation system equipment, recovery wells, and monitoring wells are located either on the northeast portion of the Facility and within its fence, or offsite within a fenced area on land leased from the New Mexico State Land Office.

2.2 Surface Conditions

The Facility is located approximately 7 miles west of the Pecos River within the Pecos Valley drainage basin. The entire area west of the Pecos River is generally referred to as the West Pecos Slope (Kelley, 1971), which rises westward from elevations of about 3,300 feet mean sea level (MSL) at the Pecos River to over 10,000 feet MSL in the Capitan Mountains some 50 miles to the west. Local topography is generally of low relief.

The mean annual precipitation as measured at the Roswell Municipal Airport for a 23-year period was 9.82 inches (DBS&A, 1997). The majority of the precipitation occurs in July and August during frequent summer thunderstorms (DBS&A, 1997). Tributary surface streams drain west to east toward the Pecos River; however, the drainage near the Project Area are commonly dry, and only flow on an intermittent basis. The depths of the remaining impacts to soil and groundwater and the lack of consistent surface water indicate that the release from the former Pits is unlikely to have impacted surface water.

2.3 Subsurface Conditions

The Facility lies within the northernmost portion of the Roswell hydrologic basin. The basin is structurally controlled by eastward-dipping carbonate and evaporates sequences of Permian age which were uplifted during the Tertiary period during the development of the Sacramento and Guadalupe Mountains along the western margin of the basin (Kelley, 1971). Eastward flowing tributaries originating in the western highlands have deposited Quaternary alluvium over the Permian age rocks west of the Pecos River.

Because the average dip of the Permian rocks is greater than the slope of the land surface, progressively younger units are encountered eastward toward the Pecos River. Several prominent northeast trending ridges and hills interrupt the gently sloping plains near the Facility. These structures are narrow fault zones referred to as the Border Hills, Six-Mile Hill, and the Y-O faulted anticlines.

The stratigraphic units of importance with regard to water resources are, in ascending order, the San Andres Formation (Permian), the Artesia Group (Permian), and the undifferentiated Quaternary valley fill alluvium. Figure 3-1 of the *IWP* in **Appendix A** shows the generalized stratigraphy in the vicinity of the Facility. Groundwater is produced from both a shallow water-table aquifer (alluvium) and a deeper artesian aquifer that includes the two bedrock units (Welder, 1983). The deep bedrock aquifer is commonly known as the Roswell artesian aquifer. According to the Office of the State Engineer (OSE), approximately 400,000 acre-feet of water are pumped annually from the two aquifers of the Roswell hydrologic basin (DBS&A, 1992). The two aquifers are separated by a semiconfining layer, but are connected where the carbonate aquifer rises structurally to meet the shallow aquifer. Both aquifers are recharged along surface exposures on the slopes to the west and are believed to discharge to the Pecos River at the eastern margin of the basin.

The Quaternary valley fill in the Roswell area was deposited by shifting streams flowing from the west toward the Pecos River. The valley fill consists of poorly to moderately consolidated deposits of gravel, sand, and clay which mantle the underlying Permian rocks. The thickness of alluvial sediments varies considerably from one locality to another because of the irregular bedrock erosional surface upon which the alluvium was deposited. In some areas the alluvial fill is moderately well cemented (DBS&A, 1997).

The thickness of the shallow alluvial aquifer is shown on Figure 3-5 of the *IWP* in **Appendix A** for the northern portion of the Roswell Basin. Lyford (1973) developed the thickness (isopach) map after examination of drill cuttings from 225 wells penetrating the valley fill. Lyford's map indicates

December 2013

that the alluvium near the Facility is generally less than 50 feet thick. In other areas, however, the thickness can exceed 250 feet thick where the alluvium fills depressions in the underlying bedrock surface. OSE well records from 1992 indicate that the alluvium near the Facility is approximately 70 feet thick (DBS&A, 1992).

The alluvial sediments underlying the Facility, as observed in borings drilled during several investigations, consist predominantly of interbedded cobbles, gravel, sand, silt, and clay to depths of approximately 70 feet bgs (DBS&A, 1997). The finer-grained zones form lenticular beds which appear to be discontinuous across the Facility. Some of the alluvial deposits are firmly cemented in some places. These lithologic descriptions are consistent with Lyford's descriptions of the valley fill (DBS&A, 1997). Generalized hydrogeologic cross sections of the sediments underlying the former Pits are depicted on Figure 3-2 of the *IWP* in **Appendix A**; Cross Section A - A' is constructed along an east-west line and Cross Section B - B' is constructed along a north-south line (see Figures 3-3 and 3-4 of the *IWP*, respectively, in **Appendix A**).

The hydrogeology underlying the Facility is as follows:

- From ground surface to depths of approximately 30 to 35 feet bgs, brown gravelly sands and clays are present. Perched water has occasionally been encountered within the bottom few feet of this interval (DBS&A, 1997).
- At depths of approximately 35 to 60 feet bgs, light brown to reddish-colored interbedded silts, sands, and clays are encountered. The fine-grained clay lenses serve as perching layers for the downward moving fluids and likely represent interfingering deposits of limited lateral extent (DBS&A, 1997).
- At depths of approximately 60 to 70 feet bgs, saturated silty sands and sands are present. This zone is referred to as the uppermost aquifer (DBS&A, 1997).
- At approximately 70 feet bgs, red plastic clay is present. This unit probably represents the transition from the Quaternary alluvium to the Permian-age bedrock of the Artesia Group (DBS&A, 1997).
- At approximately 92 feet bgs, the upper boundary of the San Andres Formation is indicated by OSE well records for wells near the Facility (DBS&A, 1997); however the top of a waterbearing zone on the Project Area has been encountered at depths of 122 to 152 feet bgs and appears to be within the Artesia Group.

• Based on MW-23D, drilled to a depth of 194 feet bgs, the water-bearing limestone unit of the San Andres Formation is not encountered until 175 feet bgs on the Project Area.

The principal water-bearing zones of sands and gravels are separated by less permeable lenses of silt and clay. According to Welder (1983), one to five water-bearing zones exist within the valley fill, and in many areas the alluvium is hydraulically connected to the upper bedrock units of the Artesia Group. The perimeter of the shallow alluvial aquifer is generally bounded by a margin of less permeable alluvium. Shallow groundwater conditions in the alluvium at the Project Area are shown on the groundwater surface elevation map of the Uppermost Aquifer, as measured on November 3, 2013 (see **Figure 4-1**).

Poor water quality is encountered in the shallow alluvial aquifer from slightly south of the Facility northward and is due to the presence of gypsum beds of the Fourmile Draw member at the base of the alluvium. Because of the poor water quality and the low yields, most wells completed in the shallow alluvium are used primarily as livestock water supplies. In general, the chloride content of water in the shallow aquifer increases from west to east and ranges from 20 milligrams per liter (mg/L) to 3700 mg/L (Welder, 1983). The presence of gypsum beds results in objectionably high calcium and sulfate concentrations in the shallow alluvial aquifer in the vicinity of the Facility and northward (DBS&A, 1997). Sulfate concentrations are typically in the range of 2,000 to 3,000 mg/L, which is approximately equal to the equilibrium saturation concentration for groundwater in direct contact with gypsum (CaSO₄ \cdot 2H₂0). Thus, background sulfate concentrations in this area are four to five times above the NMWQCC groundwater standard for sulfate of 600 mg/L (DBS&A, 1997). The poor water quality in the alluvium is consistent with the high total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations reported for groundwater from the on-site monitoring wells (DBS&A, 1997).

2.4 Remedial History

Following removal of waste from the former Pits and backfilling with clean soil in 2001, design and installation of a soil and groundwater remediation system was initiated.

The remediation system was installed in two phases: First, a soil vapor extraction (SVE) system was installed in 2002 / 2003 consisting of nine SVE wells, 37 Multi-Phase Extraction (MPE) wells, associated conveyance piping, and two Baker Furnace thermal oxidizer units. The SVE system was started-up on March 10, 2003. Installation of a second phase of the remediation system was completed in December 2003 with the installation of 15 pneumatic recovery pumps, water treatment equipment, and a permitted irrigation system for the disposal of treated groundwater. A

Discharge Permit Modification (GW-052) was issued on June 16, 2003 for the discharge of treated groundwater through the irrigation system. In late 2003 / 2004, a 90-barrel aboveground storage tank was introduced into the system to act as a surge tank, and installed between the recovery wells and the oil/water separator. The surge tank provides two benefits: 1) provides for gravity separation of recovered liquids into two phases, a hydrocarbon phase and a water phase, and 2) allows more control of the flow rate into the other components of the treatment train. The treatment train was initially comprised of an oil/water separator, an air stripper, and an irrigation water tank; however, due to clogging issues, the oil/water separator was later removed from the treatment train. In addition, two granulated activated carbon (GAC) units were installed in series between the air stripper and the irrigation water tank to provide additional treatment of recovered groundwater prior to discharge. Free-phase hydrocarbons separated in the surge tank are sent off-site to a permitted facility for recycling or disposal, based on BTU content.

The modified recovery, treatment, and disposal/irrigation system was finally started-up on April 15, 2004, with groundwater recovery occurring from spring to fall, and has operated continuously since, with the exception of brief shutdowns for repairs and maintenance.

3.0 IMPLEMENTATION OF FIELD ACTIVITIES

Field activities were implemented between August 6 and August 16, 2013. Drilling and monitoring/recovery well installation, as well as well P&A activities we conducted under the supervision of a New Mexico-licensed driller from Talon LPE of Amarillo, TX (under contract to Transwestern). Well drilling/installation and P&A oversight, well logging and environmental sampling were provided by Clay Barnhill, P.G. (New Mexico) of CMB Environmental & Geological Services, Inc. of Roswell, NM, under subcontract to Cypress Engineering Services, Inc. of Houston, TX (a Transwestern environmental consultant).

The activities described below were conducted per the methodologies described in the March 2013 *IWP*, and under a site-specific *Health and Safety Plan (HSP)* for the project.

Ms. Catherine Goetz with the State of New Mexico's Office of the State Engineer (OSE), was also present on Wednesday August 7, 2013 to observe the field activities.

3.1 Scope of Activities

In accordance with the March 2013 *IWP*, the following activities were implemented:

- Processing of applications for new monitoring well installations and well plugging activities with the State of New Mexico's Office of the State Engineer;
- Conducting One-Call Notifications;
- Installation of four, 70-foot deep soil borings, and conversion to monitoring wells, north of MW-26 for the purpose of delineating 1,1-DCE in that direction (for location see Figure 2-2 of the *IWP* in Appendix A);
- Collection of soil samples from the capillary fringe for analysis of volatile organic compounds (VOCs);
- Collection of groundwater samples from the monitoring wells for analysis of VOCs;
- P&A of nine shallow monitoring wells, two deep monitoring wells and six multi-phase extraction (MPE) wells in the Project Area that either no longer exhibit COCs above the remedial objectives, or are no longer within the area of groundwater impacted by COCs (see former location in Figure 2-2 of the *IWP* in Appendix A);

- Collection of samples from soil cuttings generated during monitor well installation and P&A activities for waste characterization; and,
- Survey of new well locations.

3.2 Application Processing

In accordance with State of New Mexico regulations, an "application for permit to drill a well with no consumptive use of water" for the proposed installation of monitoring wells MW-39 through MW-42 was submitted on July 15, 2013 to the OSE; the application was approved on July 30, 2013.

Similarly, "well plugging plans of operation" for the proposed plugging of six MPE wells and 11 monitoring wells (see list in **Section 3.6**) were submitted on July 15, 2013 to the Office of the State Engineer; the plans were approved on July 22, 2013.

3.3 Soil Boring and Sampling

Soil borings for the installation of the proposed monitoring wells were advanced using a REICHdrill T-650 W air rotary drilling rig; the borings were 6 inches in diameter and advanced to total depths ranging from 70 to 78 feet below ground surface (ft bgs; see **Table 3-1**). The soil borings were generally installed at the proposed locations, with the exception of the boring for monitoring well MW-42. The original location (re-named SB-42A) was found to be dry, thus a second boring was advanced 50 ft to the west. The new location and the plan for P&A the original location were approved in the field by Ms. Goetz of the OSE. Location SB-42A/MW-42A was plugged using bentonite in the bottom of the borehole from 60 – 70 ft bgs, soil cuttings in the interval between 20 -60 ft bgs, and cement from surface to 20 ft bgs.

During the installation of the soil boring for monitoring well MW-40, an 8-inch diameter 10-feet long PCV pipe was used to control caving due to the presence of fine, loose sands. In addition, approximately 40 gallons of potable water were added during drilling through the unsaturated zone to allow for the recovery of soil cuttings from the 20 to 30 ft bgs depth interval. Potable water was obtained from the City of Roswell public water supply, as available at Mr. Barnhill's residence. The locations were direct-bored from surface to 50 ft bgs; starting at this depth, 2-ft long split-spoons were used to collect soil cores every 5 ft.

The soil material was field-screened with a calibrated, hand-held photo-ionization detector (PID) to assess the presence of volatile organic compounds (via head-space vapor method), and was visually inspected and classified by the field geologist; this information is presented in the well construction logs included in **Appendix B**. The drilling equipment was decontaminated before drilling the first location, and before starting each subsequent location. The split-spoons were decontaminated between each discrete sampling interval.

One soil sample was collected from the soil-water interface at each water-bearing location; the depth for sample collection was selected based on field observations of saturation during drilling. The soil material was collected from the split-spoon using clean disposable scoops, and transferred into clean, laboratory-provided containers. These soil samples were labeled, packed for shipping, placed in an ice-filled chest, and shipped under chain-of-custody documentation to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico for analysis of volatile organic compounds via EPA method SW846-8260B. Analytical results are discussed below in **Section 4.3**.

3.4 Monitoring Well Construction and Groundwater Sampling

The water-bearing soil borings were converted into monitoring wells after cleaning out the holes with the drilling rig. The monitoring wells were constructed using 2-inch diameter, schedule 40, flush-threaded PVC riser pipe and 0.010-inch machine-slotted PVC screen (typically 20 ft); centralizers were typically placed at 20 and 45 ft bgs (20 and 50 ft bgs for MW-42) to help maintain the wells' vertical alignment. A 12-20 silica sand filter pack was placed around the screened interval and was extended up to 3 ft above the screen; a 2 to 3 ft-thick layer of hydrated bentonite was placed on top of the sand, and a bentonite grout was used to fill the annulus space up to 2 ft bgs. The top two feet were filled with neat cement to serve as surface seal. Flush-mounted surface completions consisted of a three-by-three-feet, four-inch thick concrete pad and utility vault; the pad was sloped to allow surface drainage to flow away from the center of the pad. The vault is provided with a bolted lid and the casing was provided with a cap. **Table 3-1** summarizes well construction details.

After allowing for the well materials to cure, the monitoring wells were developed using a 1.8" in diameter, ES-120 submersible pump placed near the bottom of the well; development continued until water quality parameters had stabilized. Purged volumes and final water quality parameters are provided in **Table 3-2**.

Following development, groundwater was sampled using 1.8-in diameter, clean disposable bailers; groundwater was poured into clean, HCI-preserved, laboratory-provided containers. The groundwater samples collected from each new monitoring well were labeled, packed for shipping, placed in an ice-filled chest, and shipped under chain-of-custody documentation to Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory in Albuquerque, New Mexico for analysis of volatile organic compounds via EPA method SW846-8260B. Analytical results are discussed below in **Section 4.4**.

Well installation logs and associated OSE's "well record and log" forms are presented in Appendix B.

3.5 New Monitoring Well Survey

Following monitoring well installation, the surface coordinates, the top of each new monitoring well casing, and the ground surface at each new monitoring well location were surveyed by a registered New Mexico professional land surveyor, with respect to the State Plane Coordinate System (NMSA 1978 47-1-49-56 (Repl. Pamp. 1993)). Horizontal positions were measured to the nearest 0.1 ft, and vertical elevations were measured to the nearest 0.01 ft. Surveyed locations are shown in **Figures 4-1**, **4-2** and **4-3**, and top-of-casing (TOC) elevations for the new monitoring wells were used in **Table 4-1** to estimate groundwater elevations.

3.6 Well P&A Activities

Field activities included P&A of nine shallow monitoring wells (MW-5, MW-6, MW-8, MW-9, MW-18, MW-19, MW-31, MW-36 and MW-38) and two deep monitoring wells (MW-23D and MW-25D) that, based on a plume stability evaluation conducted in 2012, were found no longer necessary for continued monitoring or remediation activities. These wells include unimpacted, uppermost aquifer monitoring wells beyond the limit of the defined benzene groundwater plume, two deep (unimpacted) bedrock wells, and several MPE wells. The shallow monitoring wells have been documented to exhibit COC concentration below the cleanup levels for a number of sampling events. Similarly, the six MPE wells (MPE-1 through MPE-6) located in Circuit A of the recovery system were P&A, as these wells did not exhibit PSH and soil vapor concentrations have decreased to levels typically addressed via natural attenuation. These six MPE wells are now outside the historic groundwater plume due to plume shrinkage within the Project Area.

P&A and certification was conducted in accordance with New Mexico's *Rules and Regulations Governing Well Driller Licensing; Construction, Repair and Plugging of Wells [19.27.4 NMAC].* As discussed in **Section 3.2** above, the P&A plans were approved by the OSE on July 22, 2013.

The wells targeted for P&A were located and the surface completions removed, including concrete pads; in the case of the MPE wells, the irrigation vaults were removed and cleaned out for re-use. The shallow monitoring wells and MPEs were P&A by pulling the casing to the extent possible, overdrilling and backfilling the borehole with a cement/bentonite grout. The deep wells, which were installed with steel casing, were grouted in place and cut-off at the surface.

As discussed above in **Section 3.3**, the location originally proposed for MW-40 was found dry and the borehole was P&A based on the approval of the representative of the OSE that was present at the time observing the field activities.

OSE's plugging record forms are presented in Appendix B.

3.7 Management of Investigation-Derived Waste

In accordance with the IWP, investigation-derived wastes were disposed as follows:

- Soil Cuttings from new monitoring well installation:
 - Cuttings from the 0 to 50 ft bgs depth interval were spread on the ground surface around the boring locations; and,
 - Cuttings from the 50 ft bgs to total drilling depth were drummed, sampled for characterization, and later spread on site based on characterization data and discrete soil samples (see Sections 4.3 and 4.5) indicating that target COCs were not detected.
- Development water from new monitoring well installation and equipment decontamination water was collected in drums and transferred to the recovery system's surge tank for processing via the air stripper and discharge through the irrigation system.
- Well casing and concrete pads removed during P&A activities were sent as nonhazardous materials to the Roswell municipal landfill for disposal.
- Soil cuttings generated during P&A activities were spread around the former well locations.
- Disposable sampling materials (including gloves, rags, etc.) were bagged for disposal along with Facility trash.

4.0 FIELD INVESTIGATION AND DATA EVALUATION FINDINGS

4.1 Soil and Groundwater Conditions

As described in the well construction logs included in **Appendix B**, soils consist of the typical interbedded layers of gravel, sand, silt, and clay observed at other areas previously investigated. A noted exception was the 50 to 60 feet depth interval at the original location for monitoring well MW-42 where a higher proportion of clays was encountered, resulting in a dry location. PID readings were relatively low (0.1 to 0.2 ppm) across the soil columns at these new locations; this finding is in line with the historical absence of industrial operations in the area investigated.

Depth to water measurements collected on August 16, 2013 in preparation for well development indicate that groundwater was found at depths ranging from 51.64 feet below top of casing (TOC; at MW-39) to 56.57 feet TOC (at MW-41). These values are in line with recent gauging data from neighboring monitoring well MW-26 (51.95 feet TOC in January 2013 and 51.70 feet TOC in April 2013). A summary of groundwater elevations is presented in **Table 4-1** and an updated groundwater potentiometric map for the project area including the new monitoring wells is presented in **Figure 4-1**.

4.2 Regulatory Criteria

Analytical data from the soil, groundwater, and waste characterization samples collected from the newly-installed monitoring wells and P&A locations were evaluated per the regulatory criteria identified below; analytical data packages are presented in **Appendix C**.

In accordance with Section VI. of the March 2013 SO for the site, soil and groundwater cleanup criteria were identified as follows:

- Soil Clean Up Levels were identified for the target COCs using the February 2012 NMED Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation; if a COC was not included in that guidance, the EPA Region 6 Screening Levels were used.
- Groundwater Clean Up Levels were identified for the target COCs using the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission's standards and the EPA Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs); where standards exist in both regulations, the lower of the two was used.
 If neither a WQCC standard nor an MCL has been established for a COC, then the cleanup level should was identified as the screening level for tap water in Table A-1 of the

February 2012 NMED Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation, or the EPA Region 6 Screening Levels for tap water.

The RCRA maximum concentration levels established in 40 CFR §261.24 were used to assess the Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) results from waste characterization samples collected for decision-making regarding disposal.

4.3 Soil Data Evaluation

Analytical results for the soil samples collected from the five soil borings at or near the water table indicate that VOCs were not detected above the laboratory reporting limits, which were below the corresponding soil clean up levels. The use of residential soil screening levels provides for the most conservative evaluation of the results.

These results confirm the absence of a residual source in soils at or near the water table in the area investigated. Analytical results and soil clean up levels are summarized in **Table 4-2**; analytical data packages are presented in **Appendix C**.

4.4 Groundwater Data Evaluation

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from the four newly-installed monitoring wells indicate that VOCs were detected in the samples collected from MW-39 and MW-41. Benzene, 1,1-Dichloroetahane (1,1-DCA), and 1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE) were detected above the laboratory's reporting limits in the sample from MW-39, and only the 1,1-DCE concentration of 19 μ g/L is above the NMWQCC standard of 0.005 mg/L (or 5 μ g/L). 1,1-DCE was detected in the sample from MW-41; however, the 1,1-DCE concentration of 1.1 μ g/L is below the NMWQCC standard. The reporting limits for the VOCs reported as not detected are generally below the corresponding cleanup levels. Analytical results and groundwater clean up levels are summarized in **Table 4-3**; analytical data packages are presented in **Appendix C**. **Figures 4-2** and **4-3** depict the current Benzene and 1,1-DCE plumes.

Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from MW-40, MW-41 and MW-42 indicate that delineation of 1,1-DCE north of MW-26 was achieved.

4.5 Waste Characterization Data Evaluation

A composite sample of soil cuttings generated during installation of the soil borings was analyzed via the Toxic Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) for the VOCs regulated under 40 CFR

December 2013

§261.24. The analytical data package included in **Appendix C** indicates that results were reported as not detected above the laboratory reporting limits, which are lower than the corresponding maximum concentration levels.

5.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This report documents the installation and sampling of four new monitoring wells; as well as plug and abandonment (P&A) of several monitoring wells that were no longer exhibiting detectable concentrations of the constituents-of-concern (COCs) and multiphase extraction (MPE) wells outside of the current groundwater plume. Based on the results of this work, the following summary and conclusions have been reached:

- Implementation of the field activities described in the March 2013 IWP was conducted between August 6 and August 16, 2013;
- Four new monitoring wells (MW-39 through MW-42) were installed after receiving permit approval for the proposed well locations from the OSE on July 30, 2013; the revised location for MW-42 and the plugging plans for the original location were field-approved by the OSE representative that was present at the time;
- Nine shallow monitoring wells, two deep monitoring wells and six multi-phase extraction (MPE) wells were P&A in accordance with New Mexico regulations, after receiving approval from OSE for the respective plugging plans on July 22, 2013;
- Soil samples were collected from the five soil borings at the soil-water interface, and analyzed for VOCs via EPA method 8260. Analytical results indicate that these constituents were not detected above the laboratory reporting limits, which were below the corresponding soil clean up levels. <u>Therefore, these results confirm the absence of a</u> <u>residual source of contamination in soil at or near the water table in the area investigated</u>.
- Following development, groundwater samples were collected from the four newlyinstalled monitoring wells and analyzed for VOCs via EPA method 8260. Analytical results for the samples from MW-40 and MW-42 were reported a not-detected above the laboratory reporting limits, which were below the corresponding groundwater clean up levels. Benzene, 1,1-DCA, and 1,1-DCE were detected in the sample from MW-39, and 1,1-DCE was also detected in the sample from MW-41; however, only the 1,1-DCE concentration reported for MW-39 is above the NMWQCC standard of 5 µg/L;
- Analytical results for the groundwater samples collected from MW-40, MW-41 and MW-42 indicate that delineation of 1,1-DCE north of MW-26 was achieved.

6.0 **REFERENCES**

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc. (DBS&A), December 1992. Task 1 Summary Report, Data Acquisition and Review, Roswell Basin.

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc., May 1994. Closure Plan for Roswell Compressor Station Surface Impoundments.

Daniel B. Stephens & Associates, Inc., January 1997. Corrective Action Plan for Roswell Compressor Station No. 9 Surface Impoundment.

EarthCon Consultants, Inc., March 2013. Investigation Work Plan and Groundwater Monitoring Plan. Roswell Compressor Station.

Glenn, Pleas, 1993. Letter from Pleas Glenn (New Mexico Office of the Commissioner of Public Lands) to Larry Campbell (Transwestern) regarding land ownership status, dated July 7, 1993.

Kelley, Vincent, 1971. Geology of the Pecos Country, Southeastern New Mexico. New Mexico Bureau of Mines & Mineral Resources, Memoir 24.

Lyford, Forest P., September 1973. Valley Fill in the Roswell-Artesia Area, New Mexico, U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 73-163.

Welder, G.E., 1983. Geohydrologic Framework of the Roswell Ground Water Basin, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico, New Mexico State Engineer Technical Report 42.

TABLES

TABLE 3-1 MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION DETAILS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Well ID	Date Drilled	Total Depth (ft gbs)	Riser Placement (ft bgs)	Centralizer Placement (ft bgs)	Screen Placement (ft bgs)	Cement Bentonite Grout Placement (ft bgs)	Bentonite Seal Placement (ft bgs)	Sand Pack Filter Placement (ft bgs)
MW-39	08/06/13	70	0 - 50	20 and 45	50 - 70	0.5 to 45	45 to 48	48 to 71
MW-40	08/05/13	70	0 - 50	20 and 45	50 - 70	0.5 to 45	45 to 48	48 to 71
MW-41	08/05/13	70	0 - 50	20 and 45	50 - 70	0.5 to 45	45 to 48	48 to 70
MW-42	08/06/13	75	0 - 55	20 and 50	55 to 75	0.5 to 48	48 to 51	51 to 75

ft bgs: feet below ground surface Casing Material: Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) 2 inch diameter schedule 40; 0.010 inch slotted screen

Sand Pack Material: 12/20 sand

Bentonite Seal Material: 3/8 inch pellets

TABLE 3-2 GROUNDWATER QUALITY PARAMETERS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Well ID	Date	Development Volume (gal)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	рН (S.U.)	Temperature (°C)	Electrical Conductivity (ms/cm)
MW-39	08/16/13	55	7.37	6.14	19.71	3,871
MW-40	08/16/13	55	7.22	6.24	20.41	3,496
MW-41	08/16/13	55	7.05	5.95	20.16	3,682
MW-42	08/16/13	55	6.43	5.72	19.45	3,806

TABLE 4-1 GROUNDWATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS IN THE UPPERMOST AQUIFER ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

Well ID	Gauging Date	Top of Casing Elevation (ft MSL)	Depth to Water (ft TOC)	Groundwater Surface Elevation (ft MSL)
M\\/_39	08/16/13	3507 38	51.64	3545.74
10100-39	11/03/13	5597.50	51.08	3546.30
M\\/_40	08/16/13	3506 48	54.25	3542.23
10100-40	11/03/13	5590.40	54.21	3542.27
N/\\/_/1	08/16/13	3601 73	56.57	3545.16
10100-41	11/03/13	5001.75	56.63	3545.10
	08/16/13	3505 21	56.42	3538.79
10100-42	11/03/13	5595.21	56.28	3538.93

ft TOC: feet below Top of Casing

ft MSL: feet above mean sea level

TOC elevation based on survey by PR Patton & Associates dated 10/01/13

TABLE 4-2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

	NMED *	EPA Region 6	Client Sample ID	MW-39 55'-57'	MW-40 60'-62'	MW-41 55'-57'	MW-42A 55-57	MW-42B 55'-57'
Anglista	Residential Soil	Resident Soil	Lab ID	1308625-001	1308625-002	1308625-003	1308626-003	1308625-004
Analyte	Screening Level	Screening Level	Collection Date	8/6/2013	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	8/6/2013	8/6/2013
	(mg/Kg)	(mg/kg)	Units	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
Benzene	1.54E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Toluene	5.27E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Ethylbenzene	6.84E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	9.01E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		6.20E+00	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		7.80E+01	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	7.89E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	5.88E-01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Naphthalene	4.30E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
1-Methylnaphthalene		1.60E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19
2-Methylnaphthalene		2.30E+01	mg/Kg	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19	< 0.19
Acetone	6.66E+04		mg/Kg	< 0.73	< 0.72	< 0.71	< 0.71	< 0.71
Bromobenzene		3.00E+01	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Bromodichloromethane	5.41E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Bromoform		6.20E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Bromomethane	1.65E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.15	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
2-Butanone	3.71E+04		mg/Kg	< 0.49	< 0.48	< 0.47	< 0.48	< 0.47
Carbon disulfide	1.53E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.49	< 0.48	< 0.47	< 0.48	< 0.47
Carbon tetrachloride	1.08E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
Chlorobenzene	3.76E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Chloroethane		1.50E+03	mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
Chloroform	5.86E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Chloromethane		1.20E+01	mg/Kg	< 0.15	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
2-Chlorotoluene	1.56E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
4-Chlorotoluene		1.60E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
cis-1,2-DCE	1.56E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	1.86E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
Dibromochloromethane	1.21E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Dibromomethane (Methylene bromide)	5.16E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	2.31E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.17E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.68E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,1-Dichloroethane	6.45E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-Dichloroethylene)	4.49E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2-Dichloropropane	1.52E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,3-Dichloropropane		1.60E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
2,2-Dichloropropane	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
1,1-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)	6.11E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
2-Hexanone		2.10E+01	mg/Kg	< 0.49	< 0.48	< 0.047	< 0.48	< 0.47
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)	2.43E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047

TABLE 4-2 SUMMARY OF SOIL ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

	NMED *	EPA Region 6	Client Sample ID	MW-39 55'-57'	MW-40 60'-62'	MW-41 55'-57'	MW-42A 55-57	MW-42B 55'-57'
Analyta	Residential Soil	Resident Soil	Lab ID	1308625-001	1308625-002	1308625-003	1308626-003	1308625-004
Analyte	Screening Level	Screening Level	Collection Date	8/6/2013	8/5/2013	8/6/2013	8/6/2013	8/6/2013
	(mg/Kg)	(mg/kg)	Units	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
4-Isopropyltoluene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
4-Methyl-2-pentanone		5.30E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.49	< 0.48	< 0.47	< 0.48	< 0.47
Methylene chloride	4.09E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.15	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
n-Butylbenzene		3.90E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.15	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
n-Propylbenzene (Propylbenzene)		3.40E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
sec-Butylbenzene		7.80E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Styrene	7.28E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
tert-Butylbenzene		7.80E+02	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	2.91E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	8.02E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Tetrachloroethene (Tetrachloroethylene)	7.02E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
trans-1,2-DCE (trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene)	2.70E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	7.30E+01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	1.56E+04		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.81E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene)	8.77E+00		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.41E+03		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	4.97E-02		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095
Vinyl chloride	7.28E-01		mg/Kg	< 0.049	< 0.048	< 0.047	< 0.048	< 0.047
Xylenes	8.14E+02		mg/Kg	< 0.097	< 0.096	< 0.095	< 0.095	< 0.095

Analyte synonym provided in *italics*

NMED *: February 2012 New Mexico Environmental Department Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

<: Indicates analyte was not detected above the shown laboratory reporting limit

N/A: not available

TABLE 4-3 SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

			NMED **	EPA Region 6	Client Sample ID	MW-39	MW-40	MW-41	MW-42	Trip Blank
	NMWQCC	EPA Drinking	Tapwater	Tapwater	Lab ID	1308818-001	1308818-002	1308818-003	1308818-004	1308818-005
Analyte	Standard	Water MCL	Screening Level	Screening Level	Collection Date	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	Units	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
Benzene	1.00E+01	5.00E+00			ua/L	2.8	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	7.50E+02	1.00E+03			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	7 50E+02	7.00E+02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)			1.25E+02		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene			11202.02	1.50E+00	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1 3 5-Trimethylbenzene				8 70E+00	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1 2-Dichloroethane (FDC)	1 00E+01	5.00E+00		01102100	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1 2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	1.00E-01	5.00E-02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Naphthalene	1.002 01	0.002 02	1 43E+00		ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
1-Methylnanhthalene			11.102.100	9 70E-01	ug/L	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4
2-Methylnaphthalene				2 70E+00	ug/L	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 4
			2 18E±04	2.702100	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Bromohenzene			2.102+04	5.40E±00	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromodichloromethane *		8 00E±01		J.40L+00	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromoform *		8.00L+01			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromomothano		0.002+01	8 66E LOO		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
			0.00E+00		ug/L	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0
2-Duldiiulie Corbon digulfido			1.00E+03		ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Carbon disullide			1.04±+03		ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
	1.00E+01	5.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
		1.00E+02		0.405.00	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroethane (Ethyl chloride)	4 005 00	0.005.04		2.10E+03	ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Chloroform *	1.00E+02	8.00E+01	4.005.00		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloromethane			1.88E+02		ug/L	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0
2-Chlorotoluene (o-Chlorotoluene)			7.30E+02		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
4-Chlorotoluene (<i>p-Chlorotoluene</i>)				1.90E+01	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
cis-1,2-DCE		7.00E+01			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane		2.00E-01			ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Dibromochloromethane *		8.00E+01			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Dibromomethane (Methylene bromide)			8.16E+00		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		6.00E+02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		7.50E+01			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Dichlorodifluoromethane			2.03E+02		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	2.50E+01				ug/L	2.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-Dichloroethylene)	5.00E+00	7.00E+00			ug/L	19	< 1.0	1.1	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichloropropane		5.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichloropropane				2.90E+01	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Hexachlorobutadiene (Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene)			8.62E+00		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2-Hexanone		1		3.40E+00	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Isopropylbenzene (Cumene)			6.79E+02		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
4-Isopropyltoluene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
4-Methyl-2-pentanone				1.00E+02	ug/L	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Methylene chloride	1.00E+02	5.00E+00			ug/L	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0

TABLE 4-3 SUMMARY OF GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL RESULTS ROSWELL COMPRESSOR STATION ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

	NMWQCC	EPA Drinking Water MCL	NMED **	EPA Region 6	Client Sample ID	MW-39	MW-40	MW-41	MW-42	Trip Blank
Angluto			Tapwater	Tapwater	Lab ID	1308818-001	1308818-002	1308818-003	1308818-004	1308818-005
Analyte			Screening Level	Screening Level	Collection Date	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	8/16/2013	
	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	(ug/L)	Units	Result	Result	Result	Result	Result
n-Butylbenzene				7.80E+01	ug/L	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0
n-Propylbenzene (Propylbenzene)				5.30E+01	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
sec-Butylbenzene				1.60E+02	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Styrene		1.00E+02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
tert-Butylbenzene				5.10E+01	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane			5.24E+00		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.00E+01				ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Tetrachloroethene (Tetrachloroethylene)	2.00E+01	5.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
trans-1,2-DCE (<i>trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene</i>)	5.00E+00	1.00E+02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene				5.20E-01	ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		7.00E+01			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	6.00E+01	2.00E+02			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	1.00E+01	5.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene (Trichloroethylene)	1.00E+02	5.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichlorofluoromethane			1.29E+03		ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,3-Trichloropropane			7.18E-03		ug/L	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0
Vinyl chloride	1.00E+00	2.00E+00			ug/L	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Xylenes	6.20E+02	1.00E+04			ug/L	< 1.5	< 1.5	< 1.5	< 1.5	< 1.5

Analyte synonym provided in *italics*

Red font identifies the lowest of the NMWQCC Standard or EPA MCL when both are available.

NMWQCC: New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

MCL: Maximum Contaminant Level

NMED **: February 2012 New Mexico Environmental Department Risk Assessment Guidance for Site Investigation and Remediation

* EPA MCL: The individual trihalomethanes (bromodichloromethane; bromoform; dibromochloromethane, chloroform) all have the MCL of 80 µg/L listed in the RSL table. However, 80 µg/L is the MCL for Total Trihalomethanes. < Indicates analyte was not detected above the shown laboratory reporting limit

Bold font and light blue highlighting indicates concentration is above the applicable standard.

N/A: not available

FIGURES



INVESTIGATION REPORT TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE COMPANY, L.P. ROSWELL, CHAVES COUNTY, NEW MEXICO



SITE LOCATION MAP

GPF

CHECKED:

LDG

DATE:

FIGURE:

12/13

1-1

DRAWN:

PROJ. NO: 02.20120037.00










APPENDICES

Back to TOC

Appendix A Copies of March 2013 IWP Figures





⊕ + ↓ √¹⁵ harizantal vertical inclined Attitude of beds











CMF



Back to TOC

Appendix B Well Installation Logs, OSE-Well Record and Log forms, and OSE-Plugging Record forms

	Cypro TWP New 6387 Ros	Rosw Monito North well, N	gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201	s Inc. 2013	FI BC TC	ELD DREHO	BOREHO	OLE LOG -39		
	PROJECT	INFOR	MATION		[RILLIN	IG INFORMATI	ON		
PROJEC	DT:	Nev	w Monitor Well	DRILLING CO.: Talon LPE						
SITE LO	CATION:	тw	P Roswell Station 9	DRIL	LER:		Jose Sal	as		
JOB NO	12 ¹	02.	2012.0037.00	RIG	TYPE:		ReichDri	II T650 WDII		
LOGGE	D BY:	СМ	Barnhill, PG	MET	y 6 1/4"					
PROJEC	CT MANAGER	Ge	orge Robinson, PE	SAM	S: 2' Split S	poon				
DATES	DRILLED:	08/0	06/13	HAM	MER WT	./DROP	Direct Pu	t Push with Rig		
<u>и</u>	Water level d	uring drilli	ng 🕿 Water lev	vel in comp	leted wel	0				
DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE	Rec. / ft.	PID VOC PPM	BORING COMPLETION	WELL DESCRIPTION		
0 5		SM	SM: Fill: Tan, Sand, Silt, 5 Y/R 8/3. No odor or staining.	<mark>0'-10'</mark>	Drill Cuttings	0.2				
10 15 20		GM	GM: 5 YR 8/2, Gravel, sity gravel, gravel, sand, sit mixture. No odor or staining.	10'-20'	Drill Cuttings	0.3		CENENT		
25			SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8	20'-30'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		BENTONITE GROUT:0.5'-45' Estimated = 59.03		
35 1		SC	brown clayey sand. No odor or staining.	30'-40'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		gallons Actual = 65 gallons 2" PVC RISER / CASING 0'-50'		
40 - 45 -			SC: 2.5 YR 4/8 Damp Red to brown clayey sand. No Odor or	40'-50'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		STAINLESS STEEL CENTRALIZERS @ 20' & 45'		
₹		SC	Staining. Wet at 53 BGS during drilling.	50'-52'	2.0'	0.1		SEAL: 45'-48'		
55-			Measured depth ot water from TOC 51.64	55'-57'	2.0'	0.1		Bags Actual = 3 Bags		
60			08/16/13	60'-62'	2.0'	0.0		SAND PACK: 12/20		
65				65'-67'	2.0'	0.0		71' Estimated = 9 Bags Actual = 19		
70	<u>////.</u>	a .		8				Bags SCREEN: 0.010 Slot		
75 -		I	1				<u> </u>	SAND PACK: Below		
NOTES								Dogo 1 of 1		
NOTES	Ambient Ai	r Tempe	rature 95 F					Page 1 of 1		

PROJECT INFORMATION DRILLING INFORMATION PROJECT: New Monitor Well SITE LOCATION: TWP Roswell Station 9 JOB NO.: 02.2012.0037.00 LOGGED BY: CM Barnhill, PG PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 Water level during drilling Water level in completed well X Water level during drilling X Water level during drilling X Solit SOIL USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLIC Priv B B B SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLIC Priv Cuttings 0.3 SIN SYR 8/3 Tan B B B GM SM SK: SYR 8/3 Tan Sand, sitt mitture, Added 40 galons of staining 0'-10' SOIL SC: SYR 4/85/8 SOIL SC: SYR 4/85/8 </th <th></th> <th>Cypre TWP New 6381 Rost</th> <th>Roswo Monito North well, N</th> <th>gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201</th> <th colspan="7">ces Inc. FIELD BOREHOLE LOG on 2013 BOREHOLE NO.: MW-40 TOTAL DEPTH: 70'</th>		Cypre TWP New 6381 Rost	Roswo Monito North well, N	gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201	ces Inc. FIELD BOREHOLE LOG on 2013 BOREHOLE NO.: MW-40 TOTAL DEPTH: 70'						
PROJECT: New Monitor Well STE LOCATION: TWP Roswell Station 9 JOB NO.: 02.2012.0037.00 LOGGED BY: CM Barnhill, PG PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 Mathematical Statistics Mathematical Statistics Mathematical Statistics 02.2012.0037.00 Mathematical Statistics George Robinson, PE DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 Mathematical Statistics SOIL SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLING METHODS: B B B B B B B B B B B GM Statistics 01 SM Statistics 02 SM Statistics 03 B B B B B B 04 B B B B B 05 SM Statistics 05 SC 05 SC 06 SC 07 SM Statistics 08 Statistics 09 Statistics 04 Sta		PROJECT	INFOR	MATION		E	RILLIN	G INFORMATI	ON		
SITE LOCATION: TWP Roswell Station 9 DRILLER: Jose Salas JOB NO.: 02.2012.0037.00 RIG TYPE: ReichDrill T650 WDII LOGGED BY: CM Barnhill, PG SAMPLING METHODS: Air Rotary 6 1/4* PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE METHOD OF DRILLING: Air Rotary 6 1/4* DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 Mater level in completed well xx Water level during drilling Water level in completed well SYMBOLS SOIL SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Ref. Tan, Sand, Sill, Silly Gravel 5 YIR 8/3. O'-10' Drill Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit Bit	PROJEC	DT:	Nev	w Monitor Well	DRILLING CO.: Talon LPE						
JOB NO.: 02.2012.0037.00 LOGGED BY: CM Barnhill, PG PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 Water level during drilling Water level in completed well Water level during drilling Water level in completed well DEPTH SOIL SOIL DEPTH SOIL SOIL B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B S C SC NR 48-5/8 SC EXP R 48-5/8 S	SITE LO	CATION:	тw	P Roswell Station 9	DRIL	LER:		Jose Sala	as		
LOGGED BY: CM Barnhill, PG PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 METHOD OF DRILLING: Air Rotary 6 1/4" SAMPLING METHODS: 2' Split Spoon Direct Push with Rig Water level during drilling Water level in completed well DEPTH SOIL DEPTH SOIL SOIL DEPTH SOIL SOIL DEPTH SOIL SOIL DEST BOULS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PM BOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PM COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SAMPLE REC. SAMPLE REC. PM COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SAMPLE REC. PM COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SAMPLE REC. SAMPLE REC. SC CRACK SAMPLE REC. SAMPLE REC. SC CRACK SAMPLE REC. SC CR	JOB NO		02.	2012.0037.00	RIG TYPE: ReichDrill T650 WDII						
PROJECT MANAGER: George Robinson, PE SAMPLING METHODS: 2' Split Spoon DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 HAMMER WT./DROP Direct Push with Rig Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Direct Push with Rig Image: Solid constraints Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid constraints Image: Solid	LOGGE	D BY:	СМ	Barnhill, PG	MET	HOD OF	DRILLI	IG: Air Rotar	y 6 1/4"		
DATES DRILLED: 08/05/13 HAMMER WT./DROP Direct Push with Rig Image: Strain Stra	PROJEC	T MANAGER	Geo	orge Robinson, PE	SAM	PLING M	ETHOD	S: 2' Split S	poon		
Water level during drilling Water level in completed well DEPTH SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTIO SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTIO SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTIO SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC COMPLETION DESCRIPTION SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.3 SYM SYMBOLS USC SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.1 SYMBOLS USC SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC SOLUTION SAMPLE REC. PID VOC CUTTINGS 0.5 SYMBOLS USC	DATES	DRILLED:	08/0	05/13	HAM	MER WT	./DROP	Direct Pu	ish with Rig		
DEPTH SOIL SYMBOLS USCS SOIL DESCRIPTION SAMPLE Rec. / ft. PID VOC PPM BORING COMPLETION WELL DESCRIPTION 0 at a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	<u>v</u>	Water level de	uring drilli	ng 🕿 Waterle	vel in comp	leted wel	0				
0 8	DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE	Rec. / ft.	PID VOC PPM	BORING COMPLETION	WELL DESCRIPTION		
20 20 SM: 5 YR 8/3, Tan Sand, silt mixture. 30 Added 40 gallons of water to help with drilling. No odor or staining. 20'-30' Drill 0.1 35 SM SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 20'-30' Drill 0.1 40 SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 30'-40' Drill 0.5 40 SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 30'-40' Drill 0.5 41 SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 Brown to reddish brown clayey sand. No odor or staining. 30'-40' Drill 0.2 45 SC SW SW: 5 YR 5/8 Sugar 20'-52' 2.0 0.9 50 SC Like Sand Med Gr. SC: 52' 2.0 0.9 SEA: 46'-48' 50 SC Like Sand Med Gr. SC: 52' 2.0 0.9 SEA: 46'-48' 51 SC Clayey Sand 25'-57' 2.0 0.8 SAND PACK: 1 52 SC SC: Clayey Sand 25'-57' 0.2 0.3 Sand Pitter Pac 70 SC CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 70'-72' 0 n/a Sand Pitter Pac 70 SC: Red 2 YR 4/8 <td>0 5- 10 15</td> <td></td> <td>GM</td> <td>GM: Tan, Sand, Silt,, Silty Gravel 5 Y/R 8/3. No odor or staining.</td> <td>0'-10' 10'-20'</td> <td>Drill Cuttings Drill</td> <td>0.8</td> <td></td> <td></td>	0 5- 10 15		GM	GM: Tan, Sand, Silt,, Silty Gravel 5 Y/R 8/3. No odor or staining.	0'-10' 10'-20'	Drill Cuttings Drill	0.8				
35 30'-40' Cuttings 0.5 40 SC SYR 4/8-5/8 40 SC SYR 4/8-5/8 45 SW SW: 5 Y/R 5/8 Sugar 50 SC SC 50 SC SC 50 SC SC 50 SC 51 SC 52 SC 53 SC 54 SC 55 SC 55'-57' 2.0' 60 SC 55'-57' 2.0' 60 SC 55'-57' 2.0' 65 SC 70 SC 71' Estimated 9 70 SC 71' Estimated 9 71' Estimated 9 8gs SC Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H2O @ 62'? No odor or	20 25 30		SM	SM: 5 YR 8/3, Tan Sand, silt mixture. Added 40 gallons of water to help with drilling. No odor or staining.	20'-30'	Drill Cuttings	0.1		- CEMENT BENTONITE GROUT:0.5'-45' Estimated = 59.03 Gallons Actual = 65 Gallons		
45 SW SW SW SW 5 Y/R 5/8 Sugar 20' & 45' 50 SC SW: 5 Y/R 5/8 Sugar SW: 5 Y/R 5/8 Sugar 50'-52' 2.0' 0.9 51 SC Well Sorted. No odor or staining 55'-57' 2.0' 0.8 SAND PACK: 1 60 SC Clayey Sand 2.5YR 5/6 No odor or staining 60'-62' 2.0' 0.3 65 SC SC Clayey Sand 2.5YR 5/6 No odor or staining 65'-67' 2.0' 0.2 70 SC CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 No odor or staining 65'-67' 0.2 0.2 70 SC CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 No odor or staining 70'-72' 0 n/a Screen 50'-70' 70 SC SC Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H20 @ 62'? No odor or Screen 50'-70' SAND PACK: E	35 - 40 -		SC	SC: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 Brown to reddish brown clayey sand. No	30'-40'	Cuttings Drill	0.5		2" PVC RISER / CASING 0'-50' STAINLESS STEEL CENTRALIZERS @		
S0 SC SW: 5 Y/R 5/8 Sugar S0'-52' 2.0' 0.9 SEAL: 45'-48' S1 CH Vell Sorted. No odor or staining 50'-52' 2.0' 0.8 SEAL: 45'-48' 60 CH or staining 55'-57' 2.0' 0.8 SAND PACK: 1 60 SC Clayey Sand 50'-62' 2.0' 0.3 SAND PACK: 1 65 SC SC SC	45 -		SW		40'-50'	Cuttings	0.2		20' & 45'		
SS CH for staining 55'-57' 2.0' 0.8 Bags Actual = 2 60 SC SC: Clayey Sand 60'-62' 2.0' 0.3 SAND PACK: 1 65 SC SC SC: SYR 5/6 No odor or istaining 65'-67' 2.0' 0.2 0.2 Bags Actual = 1 70 SC CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 70'-72' 0 n/a SCREEN: 0.010 75 SC: Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H2O @ 62'? No odor or SCREEN: 0.010 SAND PACK: E	50 -	77777	SC	Like Sand, Med Gr.	50'-52'	2.0'	0.9		SEAL: 45'-48' Estimated = 0.72		
60 SC SC: Clayey Sand 60'-62' 2.0' 0.3 65 SC SC: SC: Solution of Staining 65'-67' 2.0' 0.2 70 SC: Clayey Sand 65'-67' 2.0' 0.2 0.2 70 SC: Clayey Sand 65'-67' 2.0' 0.2 0.2 70 CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 70'-72' 0 n/a SCREEN: 0.010 75 SC: Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H2O @ 62'? No odor or 62'? No odor or SCREEN: 0.010	₩2]		CH	or staining	55'-57'	2.0'	0.8		Bags Actual = 2 Bags		
70 CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 70'-72' n/a Bags 75 Fat Clay w/ Gypsum Screen 50'-70' Screen 50'-70' 75 SC: Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H2O @ 62? No odor or	60 - 65 -		SC	SC: Clayey Sand 2.5YR 5/6 No odor or staining	60'-62' 65'-67'	2.0' 2.0'	0.3 0.2		 SAND PACK: 12/20 Sand Filter Pack 48'- 71' Estimated 9 Bags Actual = 18 		
staining. Saturated at 65 BGS, Capillary Fringe 55'-57 BGS	70-			CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6 Fat Clay w/ Gypsum to 1" SC: Red 2 YR 4/8 Clayey Sand, H2O @ 62? No odor or staining. Saturated at 65 BGS, Capillary Fringe 55'-57 BGS	70'-72'	0	n/a		Bags SCREEN: 0.010 Slot Soreen 50'-70' SAND PACK: Below Well		

÷	Cypre TWP New 6381 Rost	Roswo Monito North well, N	gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201	s Inc. 2013	FI BC TC	ELD DREHO	BOREHO	OLE LOG -41		
	PROJECT	INFOR	MATION		[RILLIN	IG INFORMATI	ON		
PROJEC	DT:	Nev	w Monitor Well	DRILLING CO.: Talon LPE						
SITE LO	CATION:	тw	P Roswell Station 9	DRIL	LER:		Jose Sal	as		
JOB NO		02.	2012.0037.00	RIG	TYPE:		ReichDri	II T650 WDII		
LOGGE	D BY:	СМ	Barnhill, PG	MET	HOD OF	DRILLI	NG: Air Rotar	y 6 1/4"		
PROJEC	T MANAGER	Geo	orge Robinson, PE	SAM	PLING N	ETHOD	S: 2' Split S	poon		
DATES	DRILLED:	08/0	05/13	HAM	MER WI	./DROP	Direct Pu	ish with Rig		
<u>ष</u>	Water level du	uring drilli	ng 🕿 Waterle	vel in comp	leted wel	0				
DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE	Rec. / ft.	PID VOC PPM	BORING COMPLETION	WELL DESCRIPTION		
0 5 10 15		GM GP	GM: Tan, Sand, Silt,, Silty Gravel 5 Y/R 8/3. No odor or staining. / GP: 5 YR 8/3, Gravel, poorly graded, mixed with Tan Sand, silt	<mark>0'-10'</mark> 10'-20'	Drill Cuttings Drill Cuttings	0.2 0.1				
20 25 30 35	0.0.0	SP	SP: 5 YR 4/8-5/8 Light Brown 5YP 5/8 Sugar Like Sand with Silt fines. No odor or staining.	20'-30' 30'-40'	Drill Cuttings Drill Cuttings	0.2		Cement Bentonite Grout: 0.5'-45' Estimated Grout = 64 gallons. Actual Grout = 65 gallons 2" PVC Riser / Casing 0'-50'		
40 45 50		SC	SC: Reddish Brown 2.5YR 5/8 Clayey Sand, Med to fine grain sand. No odor or staining. Wet at 56' BGS Depth to water 08/16/13@ 56.57 TOC	40'-50' 50'-52' 55'-57'	Drill Cuttings 1.3'	0.1 1.9 1.8		Stainless Steel Centalizers @ 20' & 45' 3/8 " Bentonite Seal: 45'-48' Est. = 0.82 bags. Actual = 2 bags		
60 -			p(60'-62'	2.0'	14		- Sand Pack: 12/20 Sand filter Pack 48'-		
65 70 75		SC	GC: Reddish Brown 2.5 YR 5/8 Clayey pea sized gravel @ 56.7 IBGS Capillary Fringe? SC: Reddish Brown 2.5 YR 5/8 Clayey	65'-67' 70'-72'	1.0' 0	0.5 n/a		70' Est.= 9 bags, Actual =16 bags Screen: 0.010 Slot Screen 50'-70' <u>Sand Pack: Below</u> Well		
NOTES	Ambient Ai	Tompo	raturo 72 E					Page 1 of 1		

	Cypro TWP New 6381 Rost	Roswo Monito North well, N	gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201	s Inc. 1 2013	FI BC TC	ELD DREHO	BOREHO	LE LOG -42	
	PROJECT	INFOR	MATION		C	RILLIN	G INFORMATI	ON	
PROJEC	DT:	Nev	w Monitor Well	DRILLING CO.: Talon LPE					
SITE LO	CATION:	тw	P Roswell Station 9	DRIL	LER:		Jose Sala	as	
JOB NO.		02.	2012.0037.00	RIG	TYPE:		ReichDril	I T650 WDII	
LOGGE	D BY:	СМ	Barnhill, PG	MET	HOD OF	DRILLI	NG: Air Rotar	y 6 1/4"	
PROJEC	T MANAGER	Geo	orge Robinson, PE	SAM	PLING M	ETHOD	S: 2' Split S	poon	
DATES	DRILLED:	08/0	06/13	HAM	MER WT	./DROP	Direct Pu	ish with Rig	
<u>v</u>	Water level d	uring drilli	ng 🕿 Waterle	vel in comp	leted wel	0			
DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE	Rec. / ft.	PID VOC PPM	BORING COMPLETION	WELL DESCRIPTION	
0 5-		GM	GM: Tan, Sand, Silt,, Silty Gravel 2.5 Y/R 8/4. No odor or staining.	0'-10'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		ž	
15-		SM	SM: 2.5 YR 8/3, Small pea sized gravel Gravel, Tan Sand, silt mixture. No odor or staining.	10'-20'	Drill Cuttings Drill	0.2		- CEMENT	
30				30'-40'	Cuttings Drill	0.2		BENTONITE GROUT:0.5'-48' Estimated = 62.96 Gallons Actual = 65 Gallons	
40 -		SC	SC: 2.5 YR 4/6 Light Brown Sand with Silt fines. No odor or staining.	40'-50'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		2" PVC RISER / CASING 0'-55' STAINLESS STEEL CENTRALIZERS @ 20' & 50'	
50			CH: Fat Clay, Brown,	50'-52'	2.0'	03		- 3/8 " BENTONITE	
₩	<u>i daga daga</u>	СН	Stain	55'-57'	2.0'	0.2		Estimated = 0.72 Bags Actual = 2	
20		90	SC: Reddish Brown 2.5YR 4/6 Clayey Sand Med to fine	60'-62'	2.0'	0.6		Bags - SAND PACK: 12/20	
65 T			grain sand. No odor or staining. Wet at 60' BGS Depth to water	65'-67' 70'-72'	2.0' 0	0.3 n/a		Sand Filter Pack 51'- 75' Estimated = 9 Bags Actual = 13 Bags	
75 -		2						SCREEN: 0.010 Slot Soreen 55'-75'	
80 J		1	~	1	1			- SAND PACK. Below Well	
NOTES	Ambient Ai	r Tempe	rature 99 F					Page 1 of 1	

	Cypre TWP New 6381 Rost	Rosw Monito North well, N	gineering Services ell Station # 9 or Well Installation Main Street M 88201	FIELD BOREHOLE LOG BOREHOLE NO.: BOREHOLE NO.: SB-42A TOTAL DEPTH: 70'							
ń.	PROJECT	INFOR	MATION		0	RILLIN	G INFORMATI	ON			
PROJEC	DT:	Nev	w Monitor Well	DRILLING CO.: Talon LPE							
SITE LO	CATION:	тw	P Roswell Station 9	DRIL	LER:		Jose Sala	as			
JOB NO		02.	2012.0037.00	RIG	TYPE:		ReichDril	T650 WDII			
LOGGE	D BY:	СМ	Barnhill, PG	MET	y 6 1/4"						
PROJEC	T MANAGER	Ge	orge Robinson, PE	SAM	PLING M	ETHOD	S: 2' Split S	poon			
DATES	DRILLED:	08/0	06/13	HAM	MER WT	./DROP	Direct Pu	ish with Rig			
×	Water level du	uring drilli	ng 🕿 Water le	vel in comp	leted well						
DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOLS	USCS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SAMPLE	Rec. / ft.	PID VOC PPM	BORING COMPLETION	WELL DESCRIPTION			
0 5_		GM	GM: Light Brown, Sand, Silt, Silty Gravel 2 5 YR 6/6. No	<mark>0'-10'</mark>	Drill Cuttings	0.1					
15-20-		SC	SC: 2.5 YR 6/1, Tan Clayey Sand, silt mixture. No odor or staining	10'-20'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		 CEMENT 0.5'-20' BGS Estimated = 28.74 gallons Actual = 30 gallons 			
25	(`a, `a, `a, `a	SC	GC: 5 YR 7/4 Clayey Gravel Gravel Sand	20'-30'	Drill Cuttings	0.2					
35		GP	Clay mixture. No odor or staining. GP: 5 YR 8/4 Brown,	30'-40'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		- BACKFILL 20'-60'			
45	• • • • ///////////////////////////////	SC	gravel, sand, clay mixture. No odor or	40'-50'	Drill Cuttings	0.2		BGS			
50 -				50'-52'	2.0'	0.6					
55 -		CLL	Clayey Sand, No odor	55'-57'	2.0'	0.5					
60		СН	CH: Brown 2.5 YR 5/6	60'-62'	2.0'	0.7					
00	7.7.7.7.7.		Fat Clay. No Water.	65'-67'	2.0'	0.8		BENTONITE 60'-70'			
70 75			SC: 2.5 YR 4/6 Brown Clayey Sand, sand clay mixture, with gypsum to 1", No Water, Odor, or Staining.	70'-72'	0	n/a		bags Actual = 3 Bags			
NOTES	Ambient Ai	Tompo	raturo 90 E. Poring w	as Pluga	od 8 Ab	andono	d	Page 1 of 1			



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

	OSE POD NU	MBER (WELL	NUMBER)				OSE FILE NUM	MBER(S)		
LION	WELL OWN	FRNAM	F(S)					PHONE (OPTI	ONAL)		
DCA1	WEEL OWN		L(3)					THORE (OF TH	JAAL)		
TT TO	WELL OWNI	ER MAII	LING A	ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	ZIP
WE											
AND	WELL			DEGREES	S MINUTES	SECONE	DS N	* ACCURACY	REQUIRED: ONE TENT	TH OF A SECOND	
RAL	(FROM GP	N (S)	LATI	IUDE			N	* DATUM REG	QUIRED: WGS 84		
ENE	DESCRIPTION	N RELATI	LONG NG WE		T ADDRESS AND COMMO	N LANDMARKS - PLS	S (SECTION, T	OWNSHJIP, RANG	E) WHERE AVAILABLE		
1. G											
	LICENSE NU	MBER		NAME OF LICENSED	DRILLER				NAME OF WELL DRI	ILLING COMPANY	
	DRILLING S	TARTEE)	DRILLING ENDED	DEPTH OF COMPLETE	D WELL (FT)	BORE HOI	LE DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH WATER FIRS	ST ENCOUNTERED (FT)	1
Z	COMPLETED	O WELL	IS: (ARTESIAN	O DRY HOLE O	SHALLOW (UNC	ONFINED)		STATIC WATER LEV	'EL IN COMPLETED WE	ELL (FT)
ATIC	DRILLING F	LUID:	(AIR	O mud	ADDITIVES – SPI	ECIFY:				
DRM	DRILLING M	IETHOD	: (ROTARY	O HAMMER O	CABLE TOOL	O OTHE	R – SPECIFY:			
INFO	DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE CASING MATERIAL AND/OR GRADE							SING	CASING	CASING WALL	SLOT
ASING	FROMTODIAM (inches)CONADL (include each casing string, and note sections of screen)CONNECTION TYPEINSIDE DIAM. (inches)THICKI (inches)						THICKNESS (inches)	SIZE (inches)			
G & C											
TIN											
DRIL											
5.]											
	DEPTH	(feet bg	gl)	BORE HOLE	LIST ANN	JULAR SEAL M	ATERIAL A	ND	AMOUNT	METHO	D OF
IAL	FROM	T	О	DIAM. (inches)	GRAVEL PA	ACK SIZE-RANG	E BY INTE	RVAL	(cubic feet)	PLACEN	MENT
ATEI											
R M											
ULA											
NN											
3.											
FOR FILE	OSE INTER	NAL U	JSE			POD NUMBER		WR-2 TRN 1	0 WELL RECORD a	& LOG (Version 06/0	08/2012)
LOC	ATION							I		PAGE	1 OF 2

	DEPTH (f	eet bgl)	THICKNESS	COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIAL ENCOUN	TERED -	WATER	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR
	FROM	ТО	(feet)	INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIES OR FRAC (attach supplemental sheets to fully describe a	TURE ZONES ll units)	BEARING? (YES / NO)	WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)
							(8r)
						O Y O N	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
T						OY ON	
WEI						OY ON	
OF						OY ON	
LOG						OY ON	
ICIE						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
)LO($O^{Y} O^{N}$	
GEC						O ^Y O ^N	
DRO						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
IXH						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
4.						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						OYON	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
	METHOD U			OF WATER DEADING STRATA.	то		
	METHOD U	SED TO ES		OF WATER-BEARING STRATA: O POMP	WE	ELL YIELD (gpm):	
	O AIR LIF		BAILER ()	OTHER – SPECIFY:			
NO	WELL TES	Г TEST STAR	RESULTS - ATT. T TIME, END TIM	ACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DURING WELL T ME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARGE AND DRAW	ESTING, INCLUE WDOWN OVER TI	DING DISCHARGE I HE TESTING PERIC	METHOD, DD.
[SIV]	MISCELLA	NEOUS INI	FORMATION:				
IPEF							
G SI							
r; RI							
TEST	PRINT NAM	IE(S) OF D	RILL RIG SUPER	VISOR(S) THAT PROVIDED ONSITE SUPERVISION OF	F WELL CONSTRU	UCTION OTHER TH	IAN LICENSEE:
5.							
E	THE UNDE CORRECT H	RSIGNED H RECORD O	HEREBY CERTIF F THE ABOVE D	IES THAT, TO THE BEST OF HIS OR HER KNOWLEDG ESCRIBED HOLE AND THAT HE OR SHE WILL FILE T	E AND BELIEF, T HIS WELL RECO	THE FOREGOING IS	S A TRUE AND TE ENGINEER
TUR	AND THE P	ERMIT HO	LDER WITHIN 2	0 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WELL DRILLING:			
GNA							
6. SI							
		SIGNAT	URE OF DRILLE	R / PRINT SIGNEE NAME		DATE	
FOI	R OSE INTERI	NAL USE			WR-20 WELL R	ECORD & LOG (Ve	rsion 06/08/2012)
FIL	E NUMBER			POD NUMBER	TRN NUMBER		,

LOCATION



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

	OSE POD NU	MBER (WELL	NUMBER)				OSE FILE NUM	MBER(S)		
LION	WELL OWN	FRNAM	F(S)					PHONE (OPTI	ONAL)		
DCA1	WEEL OWN		L(3)					THORE (OF TH	JAAL)		
TT TO	WELL OWNI	ER MAII	LING A	ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	ZIP
WE											
AND	WELL			DEGREES	S MINUTES	SECONE	DS N	* ACCURACY	REQUIRED: ONE TENT	TH OF A SECOND	
RAL	(FROM GP	N (S)	LATI	IUDE			N	* DATUM REG	QUIRED: WGS 84		
ENE	DESCRIPTION	N RELATI	LONG NG WE		T ADDRESS AND COMMO	N LANDMARKS - PLS	S (SECTION, T	OWNSHJIP, RANG	E) WHERE AVAILABLE		
1. G											
	LICENSE NU	MBER		NAME OF LICENSED	DRILLER				NAME OF WELL DRI	ILLING COMPANY	
	DRILLING S	TARTEE)	DRILLING ENDED	DEPTH OF COMPLETE	D WELL (FT)	BORE HOI	LE DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH WATER FIRS	ST ENCOUNTERED (FT)	1
Z	COMPLETED	O WELL	IS: (ARTESIAN	O DRY HOLE O	SHALLOW (UNC	ONFINED)		STATIC WATER LEV	'EL IN COMPLETED WE	ELL (FT)
ATIC	DRILLING F	LUID:	(AIR	O mud	ADDITIVES – SPI	ECIFY:				
DRM	DRILLING M	IETHOD	: (ROTARY	O HAMMER O	CABLE TOOL	O OTHE	R – SPECIFY:			
INFO	DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE CASING MATERIAL AND/OR GRADE							SING	CASING	CASING WALL	SLOT
ASING	FROMTODIAM (inches)CONADL (include each casing string, and note sections of screen)CONNECTION TYPEINSIDE DIAM. (inches)THICKI (inches)						THICKNESS (inches)	SIZE (inches)			
G & C											
TIN											
DRIL											
5.]											
	DEPTH	(feet bg	gl)	BORE HOLE	LIST ANN	JULAR SEAL M	ATERIAL A	ND	AMOUNT	METHO	D OF
IAL	FROM	T	О	DIAM. (inches)	GRAVEL PA	ACK SIZE-RANG	E BY INTE	RVAL	(cubic feet)	PLACEN	MENT
ATEI											
R M											
ULA											
NN											
3.											
FOR FILE	OSE INTER	NAL U	JSE			POD NUMBER		WR-2 TRN 1	0 WELL RECORD a	& LOG (Version 06/0	08/2012)
LOC	ATION							I		PAGE	1 OF 2

	DEPTH (f	eet bgl)	THICKNESS	COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIAL ENCOUN	TERED -	WATER	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR
	FROM	ТО	(feet)	INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIES OR FRAC (attach supplemental sheets to fully describe a	TURE ZONES ll units)	BEARING? (YES / NO)	WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)
							(8r)
						O Y O N	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
T						OY ON	
WEI						OY ON	
OF						OY ON	
LOG						O Y O N	
ICIE						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
)LO($O^{Y} O^{N}$	
GEC						O ^Y O ^N	
DRO						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
IXH						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
4.						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						OYON	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
	METHOD U			OF WATER DEADING STRATA.	то		
	METHOD U	SED TO ES		OF WATER-BEARING STRATA: O POMP	WE	ELL YIELD (gpm):	
	O AIR LIF		BAILER ()	OTHER – SPECIFY:			
NO	WELL TES	T TEST STAR	RESULTS - ATT. T TIME, END TIM	ACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DURING WELL T ME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARGE AND DRAW	ESTING, INCLUE WDOWN OVER TI	DING DISCHARGE I HE TESTING PERIC	METHOD, DD.
[SIV]	MISCELLA	NEOUS INI	FORMATION:				
IPEF							
G SI							
r; RI							
TEST	PRINT NAM	IE(S) OF D	RILL RIG SUPER	VISOR(S) THAT PROVIDED ONSITE SUPERVISION OF	F WELL CONSTRU	UCTION OTHER TH	IAN LICENSEE:
5.							
E	THE UNDE CORRECT H	RSIGNED H RECORD O	HEREBY CERTIF F THE ABOVE D	IES THAT, TO THE BEST OF HIS OR HER KNOWLEDG ESCRIBED HOLE AND THAT HE OR SHE WILL FILE T	E AND BELIEF, T HIS WELL RECO	THE FOREGOING IS	S A TRUE AND TE ENGINEER
TUR	AND THE P	ERMIT HO	LDER WITHIN 2	0 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WELL DRILLING:			
GNA							
6. SI							
		SIGNAT	URE OF DRILLE	R / PRINT SIGNEE NAME		DATE	
FOI	R OSE INTERI	NAL USE			WR-20 WELL R	ECORD & LOG (Ve	rsion 06/08/2012)
FIL	E NUMBER			POD NUMBER	TRN NUMBER		,

LOCATION



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

	OSE POD NU	MBER (WELL	NUMBER)				OSE FILE NUM	MBER(S)		
LION	WELL OWN	FRNAM	F(S)					PHONE (OPTI	ONAL)		
DCA1	WEEL OWN		L(3)					THORE (OF TH	JAAL)		
TT TO	WELL OWNI	ER MAII	LING A	ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	ZIP
WE											
AND	WELL			DEGREES	S MINUTES	SECONE	DS N	* ACCURACY	REQUIRED: ONE TENT	TH OF A SECOND	
RAL	(FROM GP	N (S)	LATI	IUDE			N	* DATUM REG	QUIRED: WGS 84		
ENE	DESCRIPTION	N RELATI	LONG NG WE		T ADDRESS AND COMMO	N LANDMARKS - PLS	S (SECTION, T	OWNSHJIP, RANG	E) WHERE AVAILABLE		
1. G											
	LICENSE NU	MBER		NAME OF LICENSED	DRILLER				NAME OF WELL DRI	ILLING COMPANY	
	DRILLING S	TARTEE)	DRILLING ENDED	DEPTH OF COMPLETE	D WELL (FT)	BORE HOI	LE DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH WATER FIRS	ST ENCOUNTERED (FT)	1
Z	COMPLETED	O WELL	IS: (ARTESIAN	O DRY HOLE O	SHALLOW (UNC	ONFINED)		STATIC WATER LEV	'EL IN COMPLETED WE	ELL (FT)
ATIC	DRILLING F	LUID:	(AIR	O mud	ADDITIVES – SPI	ECIFY:				
DRM	DRILLING M	IETHOD	: (ROTARY	O HAMMER O	CABLE TOOL	O OTHE	R – SPECIFY:			
INFO	DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE CASING MATERIAL AND/OR GRADE							SING	CASING	CASING WALL	SLOT
ASING	FROMTODIAM (inches)CONADL (include each casing string, and note sections of screen)CONNECTION TYPEINSIDE DIAM. (inches)THICKI (inches)						THICKNESS (inches)	SIZE (inches)			
G & C											
TIN											
DRIL											
5.]											
	DEPTH	(feet bg	gl)	BORE HOLE	LIST ANN	JULAR SEAL M	ATERIAL A	ND	AMOUNT	METHO	D OF
IAL	FROM	T	О	DIAM. (inches)	GRAVEL PA	ACK SIZE-RANG	E BY INTE	RVAL	(cubic feet)	PLACEN	MENT
ATEI											
R M											
ULA											
NN											
3.											
FOR FILE	OSE INTER	NAL U	JSE			POD NUMBER		WR-2 TRN 1	0 WELL RECORD a	& LOG (Version 06/0	08/2012)
LOC	ATION							I		PAGE	1 OF 2

	DEPTH (f	eet bgl)	THICKNESS	COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIAL ENCOUN	TERED -	WATER	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR
	FROM	ТО	(feet)	INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIES OR FRAC (attach supplemental sheets to fully describe a	TURE ZONES ll units)	BEARING? (YES / NO)	WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)
							(8r)
						O Y O N	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
						OY ON	
T						OY ON	
WEI						OY ON	
OF						OY ON	
LOG						OY ON	
ICIE						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
)LO($O^{Y} O^{N}$	
GEC						O ^Y O ^N	
DRO						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
IXH						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
4.						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						OYON	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$	
	METHOD U			OF WATER DEADING STRATA.	то		
	METHOD U	SED TO ES		OF WATER-BEARING STRATA: O POMP	WE	ELL YIELD (gpm):	
	O AIR LIF		BAILER ()	OTHER – SPECIFY:			
NO	WELL TES	T TEST STAR	RESULTS - ATT. T TIME, END TIM	ACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DURING WELL T ME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARGE AND DRAW	ESTING, INCLUE WDOWN OVER TI	DING DISCHARGE I HE TESTING PERIC	METHOD, DD.
[SIV]	MISCELLA	NEOUS INI	FORMATION:				
IPEF							
G SI							
r; RI							
TEST	PRINT NAM	IE(S) OF D	RILL RIG SUPER	VISOR(S) THAT PROVIDED ONSITE SUPERVISION OF	F WELL CONSTRU	UCTION OTHER TH	IAN LICENSEE:
5.							
E	THE UNDE CORRECT H	RSIGNED H RECORD O	HEREBY CERTIF F THE ABOVE D	IES THAT, TO THE BEST OF HIS OR HER KNOWLEDG ESCRIBED HOLE AND THAT HE OR SHE WILL FILE T	E AND BELIEF, T HIS WELL RECO	THE FOREGOING IS	S A TRUE AND TE ENGINEER
TUR	AND THE P	ERMIT HO	LDER WITHIN 2	0 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WELL DRILLING:			
GNA							
6. SI							
		SIGNAT	URE OF DRILLE	R / PRINT SIGNEE NAME		DATE	
FOI	R OSE INTERI	NAL USE			WR-20 WELL R	ECORD & LOG (Ve	rsion 06/08/2012)
FIL	E NUMBER			POD NUMBER	TRN NUMBER		,

LOCATION



WELL RECORD & LOG

OFFICE OF THE STATE ENGINEER

www.ose.state.nm.us

	OSE POD NU	MBER (WELL	NUMBER)				OSE FILE NUM	MBER(S)		
LION	WELL OWN	FRNAM	F(S)					PHONE (OPTI	ONAL)		
DCA1	WEEL OWN		L(3)					THORE (OF TH	JAAL)		
TT TO	WELL OWNI	ER MAII	LING A	ADDRESS				CITY		STATE	ZIP
WE											
AND	WELL			DEGREES	S MINUTES	SECONE	DS N	* ACCURACY	REQUIRED: ONE TENT	TH OF A SECOND	
RAL	(FROM GP	N (S)	LATI	IUDE			N	* DATUM REG	QUIRED: WGS 84		
ENE	DESCRIPTION	N RELATI	LONG NG WE		T ADDRESS AND COMMO	N LANDMARKS - PLS	S (SECTION, T	OWNSHJIP, RANG	E) WHERE AVAILABLE		
1. G											
	LICENSE NU	MBER		NAME OF LICENSED	DRILLER				NAME OF WELL DRI	ILLING COMPANY	
	DRILLING S	TARTEE)	DRILLING ENDED	DEPTH OF COMPLETE	D WELL (FT)	BORE HOI	LE DEPTH (FT)	DEPTH WATER FIRS	ST ENCOUNTERED (FT)	1
Z	COMPLETED	O WELL	IS: (ARTESIAN	O DRY HOLE O	SHALLOW (UNC	ONFINED)		STATIC WATER LEV	'EL IN COMPLETED WE	ELL (FT)
ATIC	DRILLING F	LUID:	(AIR	O mud	ADDITIVES – SPI	ECIFY:				
DRM	DRILLING M	IETHOD	: (ROTARY	O HAMMER O	CABLE TOOL	O OTHE	R – SPECIFY:			
INFO	DEPTH (feet bgl) BORE HOLE CASING MATERIAL AND/OR GRADE							SING	CASING	CASING WALL	SLOT
ASING	FROMTODIAM (inches)CONADL (include each casing string, and note sections of screen)CONNECTION TYPEINSIDE DIAM. (inches)THICKI (inches)						THICKNESS (inches)	SIZE (inches)			
G & C											
TIN											
DRIL											
5.]											
	DEPTH	(feet bg	gl)	BORE HOLE	LIST ANN	JULAR SEAL M	ATERIAL A	ND	AMOUNT	METHO	D OF
IAL	FROM	T	О	DIAM. (inches)	GRAVEL PA	ACK SIZE-RANG	E BY INTE	RVAL	(cubic feet)	PLACEN	MENT
ATEI											
R M											
ULA											
NN											
3.											
FOR FILE	OSE INTER	NAL U	JSE			POD NUMBER		WR-2 TRN 1	0 WELL RECORD a	& LOG (Version 06/0	08/2012)
LOC	ATION							I		PAGE	1 OF 2

	DEPTH (feet bgl)		COLOR AND TYPE OF MATERIAL ENCOUNTER		TERED -	WATER	ESTIMATED YIELD FOR	
	FROM	TO (feet)		INCLUDE WATER-BEARING CAVITIES OR FRAC (attach supplemental sheets to fully describe a	TURE ZONES ll units)	BEARING? (YES / NO)	WATER- BEARING ZONES (gpm)	
							(8r)	
						O Y O N		
						OY ON		
						OY ON		
						OY ON		
T						OY ON		
WEI						OY ON		
OF						OY ON		
LOG						OY ON		
ICIE						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
)LO($O^{Y} O^{N}$		
GEC						O ^Y O ^N		
DRO						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
IXH						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
4.						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
						OYON		
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
						$O^{Y} O^{N}$		
	METHOD U			OF WATER DEADING STRATA.	то			
	METHOD U	SED TO ES		OF WATER-BEARING STRATA: O POMP	WE	ELL YIELD (gpm):		
	O AIR LIF		BAILER ()	OTHER – SPECIFY:				
NO	WELL TEST TEST RESULTS - ATTACH A COPY OF DATA COLLECTED DURING WELL TESTING, INCLUDING DISCHARGE METHOD, START TIME, END TIME, AND A TABLE SHOWING DISCHARGE AND DRAWDOWN OVER THE TESTING PERIOD.						METHOD, DD.	
[SIV]	MISCELLANEOUS INFORMATION:							
IPEF								
G SI								
r; RI								
TEST	PRINT NAME(S) OF DRILL RIG SUPERVISOR(S) THAT PROVIDED ONSITE SUPERVISION OF WELL CONSTRUCTION OTHER THAN LICENSEE:							
5.								
E	THE UNDE CORRECT H	RSIGNED H RECORD O	HEREBY CERTIF F THE ABOVE D	IES THAT, TO THE BEST OF HIS OR HER KNOWLEDG ESCRIBED HOLE AND THAT HE OR SHE WILL FILE T	E AND BELIEF, T HIS WELL RECO	THE FOREGOING IS	S A TRUE AND TE ENGINEER	
TUR	AND THE P	ERMIT HO	LDER WITHIN 2	0 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WELL DRILLING:				
GNA								
6. SI								
		SIGNAT	URE OF DRILLE	R / PRINT SIGNEE NAME		DATE		
FOI	R OSE INTERI	NAL USE			WR-20 WELL R	ECORD & LOG (Ve	rsion 06/08/2012)	
FIL	E NUMBER			POD NUMBER	TRN NUMBER		,	

LOCATION





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-5
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Maili	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:Talon/LPE
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began: 08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded: 08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,9.2secLongitude:104deg,30min,10sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10 — 20 — 30 — 40 — 50 —					
60 - 70 -	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
-					
_					
-					
_					
I. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	e Engineer Well Number: MW-6
Well	I owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mail	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:Talon/LPE
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,8.5secLongitude:104deg,30min,0.3sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10 — 20 — 30 — 40 — 50 —					
60 - 70 -	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
-					
_					
-					
_					
I. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-8
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mail	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,9.5secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.9sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10 — 20 — 30 — 40 — 50 —					
60 - 70 -	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
-					
_					
-					
_					
I. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-9
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mail	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	WELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,9.3secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.7sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:79 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: 07/29/2013
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
0	(include any additives used) Bentonite	(gallons) 116.58	(gallons)	(tremie pipe, other)	("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.) Open Hole
		MULTIPLY	BY AND OBTAIN		
I. SIGN	ATURE:	cubic feet x 7.4 cubic yards x 201.9	4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-18
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mail	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	VELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,8.7secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.4sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10					
	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
- - - - 1. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201.	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller





NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-19
Well	Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mail	g address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	ELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began: 08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded: 08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,8.8secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.3sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70 ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging:ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: _07/29/2013
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10					
70 — — — — — —	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
 I. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7 cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons .97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller


PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-31
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Maili	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	VELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well: <u>Talon/LPE</u>
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,8.2secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.1sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:70ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):
·	

10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10					
	Bentonite	103.30	103.30	Tremie	Open Hole
- - - - 1. SIGN	ATURE:	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201.	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

Ш

Shane Currie _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

Date



PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State	Engineer Well Number:MW-36
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Maili	ng address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	ELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well: <u>Talon/LPE</u>
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,7.2secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.8sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:68ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging:ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer:07/29/2013
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
10	Bentonite	100.34	100.34	Tremie	Open Hole
I. SIGN	ATURE:	cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201.	4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

III

Shane Currie , say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

Date



PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State En	ngineer Well Number: MW-38
Well ov	vner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Mailing	address:6381 North Main Street
City: <u> </u>	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. WF</u>	CLL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began: 08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded: 08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,7.2secLongitude:104deg,30min,8.5sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:75ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: 07/29/2013
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
Bentonite	110.67	110.67	Tremie	Open Hole
ATURE.	MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7. cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		
	Bentonite	Plugging Material Used (include any additives used) Volume of Material Placed (gallons) Bentonite 110.67 Bentonite 110.67	Plugging Material Used (include any additives used) Volume of Material Placed (gallons) Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons) Bentonite 110.67 110.67 Bentonite 110.67 110.67	Plugging Material Used (include any additives used) Volume of Material Placed (gallons) Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons) Placement Method (remie pipe, other) Bentonite 110.67 110.67 Tremie

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

III

Shane Currie , say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State I, Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

Date



PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-23
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Maili	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	VELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well:Talon/LPE
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began: 08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded: 08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,9.5secLongitude:104deg,30min,9.1sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:187ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

<u>Depth</u> (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged" etc.)
0 —				oulery	unitatia space also pragged , etc.)
10 —					
20 —					
30 🗕					
40 —					
50 —					
60 —					
70 —					
80 —					
90 🗕					
100 —					
110					
120					
130 —					
140 —					
150 —					
160 —					
170 —					
180 —					
190 —	Bentonite	122.64	122.64	Tremie	Open Hole
	•	MULTIPLY	BY AND OBTAIN		
		cubic teet x 7. cubic yards x 201.	4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		
I SIGN	ATURF.				

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

III. SIGNATURE:

I, <u>Shane Currie</u>, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

Date



PLUGGING RECORD



NOTE: A Well Plugging Plan of Operations shall be approved by the State Engineer prior to plugging - 19.27.4 NMAC

I. GENERAL / WELL OWNERSHIP:

State	Engineer Well Number: MW-25
Well	owner: Transwestern Pipeline Company, LLC Phone No.: (575) 625-8022
Maili	ing address:6381 North Main Street
City:	Roswell State: NM Zip code: 88201
<u>II. V</u>	VELL PLUGGING INFORMATION:
1)	Name of well drilling company that plugged well: <u>Talon/LPE</u>
2)	New Mexico Well Driller License No.: 1575 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014
3)	Well plugging activities were supervised by the following well driller(s)/rig supervisor(s):
4)	Date well plugging began:08/05/2013 Date well plugging concluded:08/15/2013
5)	GPS Well Location:Latitude:33deg,30min,9.8secLongitude:104deg,30min,9.2sec, WGS 84
6)	Depth of well confirmed at initiation of plugging as:149ft below ground level (bgl), by the following manner:Open Reel Measuring Tape
7)	Static water level measured at initiation of plugging: ft bgl
8)	Date well plugging plan of operations was approved by the State Engineer: <u>07/29/2013</u>
9)	Were all plugging activities consistent with an approved plugging plan? <u>Yes</u> If not, please describe differences between the approved plugging plan and the well as it was plugged (attach additional pages as needed):

10) Log of Plugging Activities - Label vertical scale with depths, and indicate separate plugging intervals with horizontal lines as necessary to illustrate material or methodology changes. Attach additional pages if necessary.

Depth (ft bgl)	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used)	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons)	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	<u>Comments</u> ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.)
Depth (ft bgl) 0	Plugging <u>Material Used</u> (include any additives used) Bentonite	Volume of <u>Material Placed</u> (gallons) 97.72	Theoretical Volume of Borehole/ Casing (gallons)	Placement <u>Method</u> (tremie pipe, other)	Comments ("casing perforated first", "open annular space also plugged", etc.) Open Hole
		MULTIPLY cubic feet x 7 cubic yards x 201	BY AND OBTAIN 4805 = gallons 97 = gallons		

For each interval plugged, describe within the following columns:

III. SIGNATURE:

Shane Currie I, _____, say that I am familiar with the rules of the Office of the State Engineer pertaining to the plugging of wells and that each and all of the statements in this Plugging Record and attachments are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signature of Well Driller

Date

Back to TOC

Appendix C Analytical Data Packages

Investigation Report Roswell Compressor Station Roswell, New Mexico



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

August 22, 2013

George Robinson Cypress Engineering 7171 Highway 6 North Suite 102 Houston, TX 770952422 TEL: (281) 797-3420 FAX (281) 859-1881

RE: TWP Roswell Station 9

OrderNo.: 1308818

Dear George Robinson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 5 sample(s) on 8/20/2013 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering **Project: TWP Roswell Station 9**

1308818-001

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-39 Collection Date: 8/16/2013 5:10:00 PM

Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES				Analys	t: cadg
Benzene	2.8	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Naphthalene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Acetone	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Bromomethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
2-Butanone	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Carbon disulfide	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Chloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Chloromethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethane	2.0	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethene	19	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM	R12794

Matrix: AQUEOUS

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Oualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 1 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering **Client Sample ID: MW-39 Project: TWP Roswell Station 9** Collection Date: 8/16/2013 5:10:00 PM Lab ID: 1308818-001 Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM Result **RL** Oual Units **DF** Date Analyzed Batch Analyses EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES Analyst: cadg 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM 1,1-Dichloropropene ND 1.0 µg/L R12794 1 Hexachlorobutadiene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 ND 10 R12794 2-Hexanone µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM ND R12794 Isopropylbenzene 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM 4-Isopropyltoluene ND 1.0 µg/L R12794 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM 4-Methyl-2-pentanone ND 10 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 Methylene Chloride ND 3.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 n-Butylbenzene ND 3.0 µg/L 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 1 n-Propylbenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 sec-Butylbenzene ND 1.0 R12794 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM Styrene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 tert-Butylbenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane ND 1.0 µg/L R12794 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM ND 2.0 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 Tetrachloroethene (PCE) ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 trans-1,2-DCE ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 trans-1,3-Dichloropropene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 ND 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ND 1.0 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 µg/L 1 1,1,1-Trichloroethane ND 1.0 µg/L 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 1 ND 1,1,2-Trichloroethane 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794 Trichloroethene (TCE) ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM R12794

1.0

2.0

1.0

1.5

70-130

70-130

70-130

70-130

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

%REC

%REC

%REC

%REC

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

8/21/2013 3:34:07 PM

ND

ND

ND

ND

95.9

103

111

96.1

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analy
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holdi
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not D

O RSD is greater than RSDlimit

Trichlorofluoromethane

1,2,3-Trichloropropane

Surr: Toluene-d8

Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Surr: Dibromofluoromethane

Vinyl chloride

Xylenes, Total

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 13
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

CLIENT:	Cypress Engineering
Project:	TWP Roswell Station 9

1308818-002

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-40 Collection Date: 8/16/2013 4:00:00 PM

Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qual Units		DF	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: cadg
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Naphthalene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Acetone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Bromomethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
2-Butanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Carbon disulfide	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Chloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Chloromethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794

Matrix: AQUEOUS

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 3 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering Project: TWP Roswell Station 9	Client Sample ID: MW-40 Collection Date: 8/16/2013 4:00:00 PM						
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	Date: 8/2	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: cadg	
1.1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/= ua/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
2-Hexanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
n-Butylbenzene	ND	3.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Styrene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.1	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM	R12794	

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

70-130

70-130

70-130

%REC

%REC

%REC

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associ
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for

102

112

98.1

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Surr: Dibromofluoromethane

Surr: Toluene-d8

- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- iated Method Blank

1

1

1

8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM R12794

8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM R12794

8/21/2013 4:03:02 PM R12794

- or analysis exceeded
- g Limit Page 4 of 13
- Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. Р
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

CLIENT:	Cypress Engineering
Project:	TWP Roswell Station 9

1308818-003

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-41 Collection Date: 8/16/2013 5:00:00 PM

Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qual Units		DF	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: cadg
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Naphthalene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Acetone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Bromomethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
2-Butanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Carbon disulfide	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Chloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Chloromethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.1	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794

Matrix: AQUEOUS

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 5 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering	Client Sample ID: MW-41							
Project: TWP Roswell Station 9	Collection Date: 8/16/2013 5:00:00 PM							
Lab ID: 1308818-003	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	Received	Date: 8/2	20/2013 10:00:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: cadg		
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
2-Hexanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
n-Butylbenzene	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Styrene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.1	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.9	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	110	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		
Surr: Toluene-d8	98.5	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:29:37 PM	R12794		

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte det
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding tin
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH

RPD outside accepted recovery limits R

- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- tected in the associated Method Blank
- nes for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ed at the Reporting Limit ed at the Reporting Limit Page 6 of 13 greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Matrix: AQUEOUS

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering **Project: TWP Roswell Station 9**

1308818-004

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-42 Collection Date: 8/16/2013 4:20:00 PM

Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES				An	alyst: cadg
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Naphthalene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Acetone	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Bromobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Bromodichloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Bromomethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
2-Butanone	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Carbon disulfide	ND	10	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Chloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Chloromethane	ND	3.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1 8/21/2013 5:58:25	PM R12794

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 7 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Date Reported: 8/22/2013

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering	Client Sample ID: MW-42						
Project: TWP Roswell Station 9			Collection	Date: 8/	16/2013 4:20:00 PM		
Lab ID: 1308818-004	Matrix: A	AQUEOUS	Received	Date: 8/2	20/2013 10:00:00 AM		
Analyses	Result	RL Qua	l Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: cadg	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
2-Hexanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
n-Butylbenzene	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Styrene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	96.9	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	115	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	
Surr: Toluene-d8	96.6	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 5:58:25 PM	R12794	

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH grea
	n			

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- ed in the associated Method Blank
- for preparation or analysis exceeded
- the Reporting Limit t the Reporting Limit Page 8 of 13 ater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering Client Sample ID: Trip Blank **TWP Roswell Station 9 Collection Date:** Lab ID: 1308818-005 Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM Result **RL** Oual Units **DF** Date Analyzed Batch Analyses EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES Analyst: cadg 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM Benzene ND 1.0 µg/L R12794 1 Toluene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND 1.0 R12794 Ethylbenzene µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene 1.0 µg/L R12794 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC) ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Naphthalene ND 2.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1-Methylnaphthalene ND 4.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 2-Methylnaphthalene ND 4.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Acetone ND 10 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Bromobenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND 1.0 Bromodichloromethane µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Bromoform ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Bromomethane ND 3.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 2-Butanone ND 10 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND Carbon disulfide 10 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Carbon Tetrachloride ND 1.0 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 µg/L 1 Chlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1 Chloroethane ND 2.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Chloroform ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND 3.0 R12794 Chloromethane µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM ND 1.0 2-Chlorotoluene µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 ND 4-Chlorotoluene 1.0 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 µg/L 1 cis-1.2-DCE ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 cis-1,3-Dichloropropene ND R12794 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane ND 2.0 µg/L 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1 Dibromochloromethane ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 Dibromomethane ND 1.0 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 µg/L 1 1,2-Dichlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1.3-Dichlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794 1 1,4-Dichlorobenzene ND 1.0 µg/L 1 8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM R12794

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Project:

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

1.0

2.0

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

µg/L

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

ND

- **Qualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range

Dichlorodifluoromethane

1,1-Dichloroethane

1,1-Dichloroethene

1.2-Dichloropropane

1,3-Dichloropropane

2,2-Dichloropropane

- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

1

1

1

1

1

1

Page 9 of 13 Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only. Р

8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

R12794

RL Reporting Detection Limit

CLIENT: Cypress EngineeringProject: TWP Roswell Station 9Lab ID: 1308818-005	Client Sample ID: Trip Blank Collection Date: Matrix: AQUEOUS Received Date: 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM					
Analyses	Result	RL Qua	l Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	cadg
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
2-Hexanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
n-Butylbenzene	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Styrene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5	µg/L	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.4	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.5	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	111	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794
Surr: Toluene-d8	99.1	70-130	%REC	1	8/21/2013 6:27:11 PM	R12794

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- RPD outside accepted recovery limits R
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 10 of 13
 - Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1308818** 22-Aug-13

Client:CypreProject:TWP	ss Engineerin Roswell Statio	g on 9								
Sample ID 5mL rb	SampT	ype: M	BLK	Tes	stCode: E	EPA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: PBW	Batch	n ID: R '	12794		RunNo:	12794				
Bron Dato:	Analysis D	nto: 9	/21/2012		SogNo:	264665	Lipite: ua/l			
Piep Dale.	Analysis D	ale. o	/21/2013		Sequo.	304003	onns. µy/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	1.0								
loluene	ND	1.0								
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0								
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0								
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0								
1,3,5- Inmetnyibenzene	ND	1.0								
1,2-Dichloroeinane (EDC)	ND	1.0								
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0								
Naphinalene		2.0								
1-Methylnaphthalene		4.0								
	ND	4.0								
Acelone		10								
Bromodichleremethane		1.0								
Promoform		1.0								
Diolilololill		3.0								
2 Rutanono		3.0 10								
Carbon disulfido		10								
Carbon Tetrachloride		10								
Chlorobenzene		1.0								
Chloroethane	ND	2.0								
Chloroform	ND	2.0								
Chloromethane	ND	3.0								
2.Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0								
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0								
cis_1 2-DCF	ND	1.0								
cis-1,2-DCL	ND	1.0								
1 2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0								
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0								
Dibromomethane	ND	1.0								
1 2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0								
1 3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0								
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0								
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0								
1.1-Dichloroethane	ND	1.0								
1.1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0								
1.2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0								
1.3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0								
2.2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0								
		2.0								

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 11 of 13

U

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

WO#: 1308818 3

22 Hug 10

Sample ID 5mL rb	SampT	ype: M	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: PBW	Batch	n ID: R1	2794	F	RunNo: 1	2794				
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 8/	21/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	64665	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0								
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	1.0								
2-Hexanone	ND	10								
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0								
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0								
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10								
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0								
n-Butylbenzene	ND	3.0								
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0								
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0								
Styrene	ND	1.0								
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0								
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0								
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.0								
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0								
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0								
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0								
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0								
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0								
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0								
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0								
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0								
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0								
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0								
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0								
Xylenes, Total	ND	1.5								
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	9.7		10.00		96.6	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	10		10.00		101	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	11		10.00		109	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	10		10.00		100	70	130			
Sample ID 100ng lcs1	SampT	ype: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: LCSW	Batch	n ID: R1	2794	F	RunNo: 1	2794				
Prep Date:	Analysis D	ate: 8/	21/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	64667	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	20	1.0	20.00	0	99.3	70	130			
Toluene	19	1.0	20.00	0	93.5	82.2	124			
Chlorobenzene	18	1.0	20.00	0	88.2	70	130			

Qualifiers:

Client:

Project:

- Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. *
- Value above quantitation range Е
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank В
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 12 of 13

QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

WO#: **1308818**

22-Aug-13

Chent:CypressProject:TWP R	s Engineerin oswell Stati	ng on 9								
Sample ID 100ng lcs1	Samp	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: LCSW	Batc	h ID: R1	2794	RunNo: 12794						
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 8/	21/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	64667	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroethene	24	1.0	20.00	0	121	83.5	155			
Trichloroethene (TCE)	18	1.0	20.00	0	91.6	70	130			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	9.8		10.00		98.2	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	10		10.00		103	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	11		10.00		110	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	9.7		10.00		97.3	70	130			
Sample ID 1308818-002a m	is SampT	Гуре: М	5	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: MW-40	Batc	h ID: R1	2794	F	RunNo: 1	2794				
Prep Date:	Analysis [Date: 8/	21/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	64675	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	20	1.0	20.00	0	101	67.9	137			
Toluene	19	1.0	20.00	0	96.8	77	127			
Chlorobenzene	18	1.0	20.00	0	92.2	70	130			
1,1-Dichloroethene	24	1.0	20.00	0	118	66.5	131			
Trichloroethene (TCE)	18	1.0	20.00	0	92.5	66.3	134			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	9.9		10.00		98.7	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	9.9		10.00		98.9	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	11		10.00		109	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	9.9		10.00		99.4	70	130			
Sample ID 1308818-002a m	isd Samp1	Гуре: М	SD	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: MW-40	Batc	h ID: R1	2794	F	RunNo: 1	2794				
Prep Date:	Analysis E	Date: 8/	21/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	64676	Units: µg/L			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	19	1.0	20.00	0	96.0	67.9	137	4.65	20	
Toluene	18	1.0	20.00	0	92.2	77	127	4.83	20	
Chlorobenzene	17	1.0	20.00	0	86.6	70	130	6.26	20	
1,1-Dichloroethene	23	1.0	20.00	0	113	66.5	131	4.55	20	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	18	1.0	20.00	0	89.4	66.3	134	3.37	20	
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	9.7		10.00		97.3	70	130	0	0	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	9.5		10.00		95.2	70	130	0	0	
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	11		10.00		106	70	130	0	0	
Surr: Toluene-d8	9.6		10.00		96.2	70	130	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 13 of 13



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquergue, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Received by/date: MG-08/20/13 Logged By: Anne Thorne 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AM Completed By: Anne Thorne 8/20/2013 Reviewed By: TO 08/20/13 Chain of Custody 08/20/13 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered?	Ves □ Yes ☑ Yes ☑ UPS	Anne Anne Anne Anne No 🗌 No 🗌	Not Present ☑ Not Present □
Logged By: Anne Thorne 8/20/2013 10:00:00 AI Completed By: Anne Thorne 8/20/2013 Reviewed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By: Image: Completed By:	A Yes □ Yes ✔ <u>UPS</u>	Anne Arm Anne Arm No 🗆 No 🗆	Not Present ☑ Not Present □
Completed By: Anne Thorne 8/20/2013 Reviewed By: TO OC/I3 Chain of Custody I. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered?	Yes ☐ Yes ✔ <u>UPS</u>	Anne Anne No 🗌 No 🗌	Not Present ☑ Not Present □
Reviewed By: <u>TO</u> <u>OB</u> <u>Chain of Custody</u> 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered?	Yes □ Yes ✔ <u>UPS</u>	No 🗌 No 🗌	Not Present
Chain of Custody 1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles? 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? Log In	Yes □ Yes ✔ <u>UPS</u>	No 🗌 No 🗌	Not Present 🗹 Not Present 🗌
 Custody seals intact on sample bottles? Is Chain of Custody complete? How was the sample delivered? 	Yes □ Yes ✔ <u>UPS</u>	No 🗌 No 🗌	Not Present ☑ Not Present □
 2. Is Chain of Custody complete? 3. How was the sample delivered? 	Yes 🗹 <u>UPS</u>	No 🗌	Not Present
3. How was the sample delivered?	<u>UPS</u>		
Log In			
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	_
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	No VOA Vials
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes 🗆	No 🗹	# of preserved
			bottles checked
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗔	tor pH: (<2 or >12 unless not
13 Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	Adjusted?
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	Checked by:
Special Handling (if applicable)			
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗔	
Person Notified	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>.</u>	
By Whom: Via:	eMail	Phone 🗍 Fax	In Person
Regarding:			
Client Instructions:			
17 Additional remarks			d

Yes

1

		www.hallenvironmental.com	3. 9 4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109	Muntach Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107	Analysis Request	() () () () () () () () () ()	SB(2)	2 (Ga) 's (B)	MB N N Image: Second	P = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C = C =	8E 8E 900 (0 0 1-V(A) 1-V(A) 1-V(A) 1-V(A) 1-V(A)	6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7		202						ine Remarks: ANY ONISTIONS PLACE Call 210-00 George . Robinson C	281, 797, 342 a
urn-Around Lime:	🗹 Standard 🛛 Rush	roject Name:	1 WH Kasuell Statio	roject #: New Man The Well & W /	02. 2012 0037.00	roject Manager:	George Lobins un, PE	Stacy Eou It ing house o	ampler OM Barnhill P)n ice. 👘 🔂 Yes. 👘 🗆 No	ample Temperature. 🏑 S	Container Preservative HEALIN	X40ml Hall 1308 00	The for	July July	- Her Ker		Xung Hell -		 teceived by: Date 1 Tir M. 1 Date 1 Tir teceived by: 1 Date 1	tranted to other secondited laboratories. This serves as re
Chain-of-Custody Record	Chent: CUPRESS FUCINCEVING Sevilles, The	ATTN: George Kolonzon, PE	Mailing Address: Luzu la North Surfe 102	Houcton Levas 77045	Phone #: 26/, 797, 3420	Comail or Fax#: acorac. robunson C	QAIQC Package: Cypurst Int. US	Z Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)	Accreditation		🗆 EDD (Type)	Date Time Matrix Sample Request ID	alula 17:10 H20 MW- 39 -	111.112 1600 Hra mus-40	2/11/21700 H5 0 M W- 4/	clin 13 16:22 420 m. 42		Rep Blank		Date: Time: Refinquished by: S/14/BV500 ///////////////////////////////////	If nennessant samulas submitted fo Hall Environmental may be suberon



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

August 20, 2013

George Robinson Cypress Engineering 7171 Highway 6 North Suite 102 Houston, TX 770952422 TEL: (281) 797-3420 FAX (281) 859-1881

RE: TWP Roswell Station 9

OrderNo.: 1308625

Dear George Robinson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 4 sample(s) on 8/14/2013 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MW-39 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 5:30:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-001	Matrix: S	SOIL	Received	Date: 8/1	4/2013 9:45:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Toluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.73	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.15	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.49	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.49	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.15	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 1 of 12 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MW-39 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 5:30:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received I	Date: 8/1	4/2013 9:45:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
2-Hexanone	ND	0.49	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.49	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Methylene chloride	ND	0.15	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.15	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Styrene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.049	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.097	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90.7	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	90.2	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	99.3	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879
Surr: Toluene-d8	98.5	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 2:04:37 AM	8879

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte det
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Η	Holding tin
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH

- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 12
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

1308625-002

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-40 60'-62' Collection Date: 8/5/2013 5:55:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Toluene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1.3.5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1.2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1.2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	0.096	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.72	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879

Matrix: SOIL

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 3 of 12 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MW-40 60'-62' Collection Date: 8/5/2013 5:55:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-002	Matrix:	SOIL	Received I	Date: 8/1	4/2013 9:45:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
2-Hexanone	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Methylene chloride	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Styrene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.096	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91.4	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.3	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	98.0	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879
Surr: Toluene-d8	98.3	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:29:20 AM	8879

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.]
	Е	Value above quantitation range]
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	N
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	F

- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 4 of 12
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MW-41 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 8:25:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-003	Matrix: S	Received	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	JMP
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.71	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879

- Qualifiers: * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - E Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 5 of 12
 - P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project:

TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MW-41 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 8:25:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-003	Matrix:	Received 1	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qua	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES	Analyst: JMP					
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
2-Hexanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Methylene chloride	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Styrene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90.1	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	88.7	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	97.7	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879
Surr: Toluene-d8	97.7	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 3:57:09 AM	8879

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyt
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holdin
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not De
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Report

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 6 of 12
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MW-42B 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 2:35:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-004	Matrix: S	Received	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	JMP
Benzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Toluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.71	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 7 of 12 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Page 8 of 12

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MW-42B 55'-57' Collection Date: 8/6/2013 2:35:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308625-004	Matrix:	Received 1	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM			
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES	Analyst: JMP					
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
2-Hexanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Methylene chloride	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Styrene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91.4	70-130	%REC	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.9	70-130	%REC	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	94.9	70-130	%REC	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879
Surr: Toluene-d8	99.0	70-130	%REC	1	8/19/2013 4:42:14 PM	8879

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 8
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		
WO#:	1308625			
------	-----------			
	20-Aug-13			

Qual

RPDLimit

Project: TWP	Roswell Stati	on 9												
Sample ID mb-8879	Samp	Гуре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES						
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 88	79	RunNo: 12689										
Prep Date: 8/15/2013	Analysis [Date: 8/	17/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	61357	Units: mg/k	٢g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD						
Benzene	ND	0.050					0							
Toluene	ND	0.050												
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.050												
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.050												
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.050												
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.050												
Naphthalene	ND	0.10												
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.20												
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.20												
Acetone	ND	0.75												
Bromobenzene	ND	0.050												
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.050												
Bromoform	ND	0.050												
Bromomethane	ND	0.15												
2-Butanone	ND	0.50												
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.50												
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.00												
Chlorobenzene		0.10												
Chloroethane		0.000												
Chloroform		0.10												
Chloromethane		0.050												
2 Chlorotoluono		0.15												
		0.050												
		0.050												
cis-1,2-DCL		0.050												
1 2 Dibromo 3 chloropropano		0.050												
Dibromochloromethano		0.10												
Dibromomothano		0.050												
		0.10												
		0.050												
		0.050												
n,4-Dichlorodifluoromothana		0.050												
		0.050												
		0.10												
		0.050												
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.050												
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.050												
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.10												

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc

WO#:	1308625
	20-Aug-13

Client: Cypres	s Engineerin	ng												
Project: TWP F	Roswell Stati	on 9												
Sample ID mb-8879	Samp	Гуре: МВ	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL/	ATILES						
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 88	79	RunNo: 12689										
Prep Date: 8/15/2013	Analysis [Date: 8/	17/2013	:	SeqNo: 3	61357	Units: mg/K	(g						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.10												
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.10												
2-Hexanone	ND	0.50												
Isopropylbenzene	ND	0.050												
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	0.050												
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.50												
Methylene chloride	ND	0.15												
n-Butylbenzene	ND	0.15												
n-Propylbenzene	ND	0.050												
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	0.050												
Styrene	ND	0.050												
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.050												
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.050												
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.050												
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.050												
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.050												
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.050												
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.10												
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.050												
1.1.1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.050												
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.050												
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.050												
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.050												
1.2.3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.10												
Vinvl chloride	ND	0.050												
Xvlenes. Total	ND	0.10												
Surr: 1.2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.45		0.5000		90.0	70	130							
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.44		0.5000		88.3	70	130							
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.48		0.5000		96.8	70	130							
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.49		0.5000		98.3	70	130							
Sample ID Ics-8879	Samp	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	stCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL/	ATILES						
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 88	79	F	RunNo: 1	2689								
Prep Date: 8/15/2013	Analysis [Date: 8/	17/2013	:	SeqNo: 3	61358	Units: mg/K	ſg						
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Benzene	0.94	0.050	1.000	0	93.8	70	130							
Toluene	0.89	0.050	1.000	0	89.5	69.9	139							
Chlorobenzene	0.84	0.050	1.000	0	84.2	70	130							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 10 of 12

WO#: **1308625**

20-Aug-13

Client: Project:	Cypress I TWP Ros	Engineerin swell Statio	g on 9								
Sample ID	lcs-8879	SampT	vpe: LC	cs	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 88	79	R						
Prep Date:	8/15/2013	Analysis D	ate: 8	/17/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	61358	Units: mg/ł	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
1,1-Dichloroeth	nene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	69.3	131			
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	0.87	0.050	1.000	0	87.3	70	130			
Surr: 1,2-Dic	chloroethane-d4	0.47		0.5000		94.6	70	130			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	0.43		0.5000		85.9	70	130			
Surr: Dibrom	ofluoromethane	0.50		0.5000		99.3	70	130			
Surr: Toluen	e-d8	0.50		0.5000		101	70	130			
Sample ID	1308625-001ams	SampT	ype: M	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID:	MW-39 55'-57'	Batch	n ID: 88	79	R	RunNo: 1	2689				
Prep Date:	8/15/2013	Analysis D	ate: 8	/17/2013	S	eqNo: 3	61360	Units: mg/k	٨g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		0.82	0.048	0.9671	0.003901	84.4	65.1	127			
Toluene		0.79	0.048	0.9671	0	81.8	54.2	148			
Chlorobenzene)	0.72	0.048	0.9671	0	74.9	66.8	129			
1,1-Dichloroeth	nene	0.90	0.048	0.9671	0.009086	92.5	44.1	148			
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	0.77	0.048	0.9671	0.009018	78.6	63.2	122			
Surr: 1,2-Dic	chloroethane-d4	0.46		0.4836		95.6	70	130			
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	0.42		0.4836		87.2	70	130			
Surr: Dibrom	ofluoromethane	0.49		0.4836		102	70	130			
Surr: Toluen	e-d8	0.47		0.4836		97.4	70	130			
Sample ID	1308625-001amsd	I SampT	ype: M	SD	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID:	MW-39 55'-57'	Batch	n ID: 88	79	R	anNo: 12	2689				
Prep Date:	8/15/2013	Analysis D	ate: 8	/17/2013	S	eqNo: 3	61361	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		0.77	0.048	0.9671	0.003901	79.5	65.1	127	6.03	20	
Toluene		0.74	0.048	0.9671	0	76.8	54.2	148	6.23	20	
Chlorobenzene	e	0.71	0.048	0.9671	0	73.5	66.8	129	1.87	20	
1,1-Dichloroeth	nene	0.81	0.048	0.9671	0.009086	83.3	44.1	148	10.4	20	
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	0.72	0.048	0.9671	0.009018	73.3	63.2	122	6.89	20	
Surr: 1,2-Dic	chloroethane-d4	0.44		0.4836		90.6	70	130	0	0	
Surr: 4-Brom	nofluorobenzene	0.41		0.4836		84.5	70	130	0	0	
Surr: Dibrom	nofluoromethane	0.46		0.4836		96.1	70	130	0	0	
Surr: Toluen	e-d8	0.46		0.4836		95.8	70	130	0	0	

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 11 of 12

I uge II o

WO#:	130	8625
	• • •	

Client: C	Cypress Engineering												
Project: T	t: TWP Roswell Station 9												
Sample ID mb-8906	SampTyp	e: MBI	LK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES					
Client ID: PBS	Batch II	D: 890	8906 RunNo: 12707										
Prep Date: 8/16/201	Analysis Date	e: 8/1	9/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	62460	Units: %RE	С					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4 0.45		0.5000		90.0	70	130						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenz	ene 0.45		0.5000		90.4	70	130						
Surr: Dibromofluorometh	ane 0.47		0.5000		94.2	70	130						
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.52		0.5000		103	70	130						
Sample ID Ics-8906	SampTyp	e: LCS	6	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES					
Client ID: LCSS	Batch II	D: 890	6	R	RunNo: 1	2707							
Prep Date: 8/16/201	Analysis Date	e: 8/1	9/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	62462	Units: %RE	С					
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane	-d4 0.46		0.5000		91.9	70	130						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenz	ene 0.48		0.5000		95.4	70	130						
Surr: Dibromofluorometh	ane 0.46		0.5000		92.8	70	130						
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.50		0.5000		99.7	70	130						

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 12 of 12



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: CYP	Work Order Number:	1308625		RcptNo: 1	
Received by/date:	08/14/13				
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin	8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM		timber fillen		
Completed By: Lindsay Mangin	8/15/2013 7:33:06 AM		Annahi Hen		
Reviewed By:	inc. L. Elin		000		
Chain of Custody					
4. Custody soals intaction sample battles?			No 🗌	Not Present 🔽	
2 Is Chain of Custody complete?				Not Present	
2. How was the sample delivered?					
3. How was the sample delivered?		000			
<u>Log In</u>					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samp	les?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
5. Were all samples received at a tempera	ture of >0° C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated te	est(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) pro	operly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗆	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received b	roken?	Yes 🗌	No 🗹 🏾	# of preserved	
				bottles checked	
12.Does paperwork match bottle labels?	A	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	for pH: (<2 or	>12 unless noted)
13 Are matrices correctly identified on Chai	n of Custodv?	Yes 🗸	No 🗆	Adjusted?	
14 Is it clear what analyses were requested	?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆		
15. Were all holding times able to be met?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies v	vith this order?	Yes 🗌	No 🗆	NA 🗹	
Person Notified:	Date:				
By Whom:	Via:	eMail	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					
Client Instructions:	oon and a second s	1			
17. Additional remarks:				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
18 Cooler Information					
Cooler No Temp ºC Condition	Seal Intact Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By		
1 3.6 Good	Yes				

									(N	orl	<u>لا</u>	Air Bubbles	2%	•		\rightarrow									
	۲ ^۲																	 							
Ē	23																				_		0 0		eport.
	ίŚ		6																				1 1		/tical n
	ËÖ	, , _	871(107						(∀(<u></u> ^	10128								_			1 3		e analy
	Š	LOD.	ΣN	45-4	est						<u>ر ر</u>	100)(<u>10978</u>		\sim		\langle		 _		 \rightarrow			8 6		d on th
ē	בי	ental	due,	05-3/	equi	ę	s,80	2 P(808	/ S	əpi	8081 Pestic			$ \rightarrow $	\sim				 			1. 6	Ô	notate
	- S	uuo	Iquer	ax 5(sis R	(†O	S'*(Dd'i	ZON	⁴⁸ O	NʻI	D, F) snoinA								 			6 3	42	clearly
	i S	envir	Albu	ш	nalys					ę	let	ACRA 8 Me						 					9.9	ŝ	vill be o
-	╏┛	hall	ı Ш	75	Ar		(S	WIS	6 OZ	Z8 ·	10 ()f£8) s'HA9						 •					20	61	data v
	ŻŻ		ns N	5-39					(1	70¢	g po	EDB (Metho											de /	1,7	tracted
		l.	awki	5-34					(1	.8L	b b	odteM) H9T											62	2 <i>6</i> ,	ub-cont
			01 H	əl. 50		(סצ	IW /	oя	<i>ا</i> ۵	оя	อ)	83108 H9T												1	Any su
			49	Ţ		(ʎļu	O SE	3Ð)	Hd.	<u>L</u> +	38	BTEX + MT											mark		ibility.
			<u> </u>	1		()	205	3) s'	BM.	<u> </u> +	38	BTEX + MT											Rei		ssod s
			١	Lel				1			and a second												Ŕ		e of thi
		6		14751			(\$	1			2 V	 ~	N	M	Ľf	-								s notic
		1	101	r /	8			Ŋ	d'			EAL Sector	$ \vartheta $	8	R	S							<u> </u>	Ŋ	erves a
			4	MC	N,		1''N	5402	1	No	5		1	Ň	7	-								- Са	This se
	ų		Ñ,	20	203		5 6.	2	16:			e e	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		- 		•			 -			02		ories.
	Rus		vcl	114	1		Ŷ,	Ì,	ar	ales a		vativ pe	10			/							,		aborato
ne:			20		6	رب ت	\mathcal{K}^{0}	Ś	12	З, es	atur	Ty	NO	[\uparrow	•					4			dited Is
id Tir	p	я: Ч	K	1 ~ r	ľ.	nage	5	N.	6	de la	inpel									 					r accre
Vroun	anda	, ai		## ##	0	t Ma	i i	12	er.C		e Te	ainel	62									$\left(\right)$			t6 othe
rm-∕	¥ St		14	rojec		ojec	01	ίŋ	ampl	ň loé	ampl	Cont				Ŷ						`	eceive	ace ve	acted
Ē	× . V		.)						Ő	0	S				~	21								ž	lbcontr
σ	K		201	١				ation			,	I D	22	62	5	3.							Ø		y be si
LO LO	53)	J.	2	ž		6		/alida				sent	di	0-	, jo	22							JY.		ital ma
Sec	144		0	12	ē	405	4	2 [I]				Rec	95	9	Ŋ	8									onmer
Ч Ч	N	.201	1 Cal	1	1	2111	X	14 (F				ple	19	- 412	14	42							L X		ll Envir
po	541	10	No	5	'' ``	Ĩ	140	Leve				Sar	Mu	-MI	W-	-11						/	JM.		f to Ha
ust))	$ \mathcal{K} $	0	R L	16	ý	X			er 				4	W	M				 	Y	\mathcal{T}			bmitteo
Õ	1110	20	h du	Ľ	N	0	, oit			g		atrix	1	210	ンド	510						$\overline{)}$	inguig		oles su
P	11	ê,	14		8	Ö	r_0					2	N G	10	18	13				-				<u>}</u>	y, sam
ain	5) Ø	Idres	1	N	ax#:	kage	р	ion		ype)	Lime	730	:55	825	435							2 <i>:1</i>	j.	cessar
Сh	ر الأ	1.1	Ŭ Ŭ Ŭ	1 No	e #:	PorF	с Рас	anda	∋ditat	ELAF			2	317	3 0.	3 1							<u>≓ %</u>	=	lf ne
	ie El		Majli	1,	Phon	emai	QAQ	¶,	Accre	Ī	∐ □	Dat	106/1	105/	1011	1001							Date:	Dale.	
	•	•	-	• •		_ \ J	r i	2.41	•		•	•	. 00.	· •9	100	- IO		-	-	 •			5	•	



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

August 26, 2013

George Robinson Cypress Engineering 7171 Highway 6 North Suite 102 Houston, TX 770952422 TEL: (281) 797-3420 FAX (281) 859-1881

RE: TWP Roswell Station 9

OrderNo.: 1308626

Dear George Robinson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 3 sample(s) on 8/14/2013 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

1308626-001

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MPE-38 65'-67' Collection Date: 8/8/2013 8:10:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 7471: MERCURY					Analys	t: IDC
Mercury	ND	0.033	mg/kg	1	8/20/2013 1:22:19 PM	8939
FPA METHOD 6010B: SOIL METALS			0 0		Analys	• 11 6
		0.5			Analys	
Arsenic	ND 60	2.5	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
Banum	62	0.20	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 3:45:19 PM	8910
Chromium	ND 2.0	0.10	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
Chromium	3.9	0.30	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
	1.7	0.25	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
Selenium	ND	2.5	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
Silver	ND	0.25	mg/Kg	1	8/21/2013 3:42:11 PM	8910
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analys	t: JMP
Benzene	11	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Toluene	45	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Ethylbenzene	8.9	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	9.5	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	6.1	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	9.5	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	9.5	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	36	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	7.1	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	24	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	24	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	7.1	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879

Matrix: SOIL

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Η
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 1 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MPE-38 65'-67' Collection Date: 8/8/2013 8:10:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308626-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received	Date: 8/1	4/2013 9:45:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
Dibromochloromethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
2-Hexanone	ND	24	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Isopropylbenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	24	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Methylene chloride	ND	7.1	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
n-Butylbenzene	ND	7.1	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
n-Propylbenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Styrene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Vinyl chloride	ND	2.4	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Xylenes, Total	64	4.8	mg/Kg	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	97.2	70-130	%REC	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	86.1	70-130	%REC	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	8879

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 2 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering		Client Sample ID: MPE-38 65'-67'								
Project: TWP Roswell Station 9		Collection Date: 8/8/2013 8:10:00 AM								
Lab ID: 1308626-001	Matrix:	SOIL	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:0							
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch				
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analy	st: JMP				
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	98.2	70-130	%REC	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	1 8879				
Surr: Toluene-d8	89.6	70-130	%REC	50	8/17/2013 5:21:30 AM	1 8879				

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Anal
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Hold
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sam
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Repo

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

- lyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- ling times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 3 of 13 ple pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- orting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

1308626-002

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MPE-40 60'-62' Collection Date: 8/8/2013 4:55:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 7471: MERCURY					Analys	t: IDC
Mercury	ND	0.033	mg/kg	1	8/20/2013 1:27:39 PM	8939
EPA METHOD 6010B: SOIL METALS					Analys	t: JLF
		5.0	ma/Ka	2	8/21/2013 /·00·30 PM	8010
Barium	71	0.20	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
Cadmium		0.20	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
Chromium	6.9	0.60	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
Lead	2.9	0.50	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
Selenium	ND	5.0	ma/Ka	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
Silver	ND	0.50	mg/Kg	2	8/21/2013 4:00:30 PM	8910
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES			0.0		Analys	t: JMP
Benzene	1.1	0.047	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Toluene	30	4.7	ma/Ka	100	8/19/2013 4:13:54 PM	8879
Ethylbenzene	3.9	0.047	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.047	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	8.0	4.7	mg/Kg	100	8/19/2013 4:13:54 PM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	3.5	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Naphthalene	0.36	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.56	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.97	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.71	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879

Matrix: SOIL

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 4 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Client Sample ID: MPE-40 60'-62' Collection Date: 8/8/2013 4:55:00 PM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308626-002	Matrix:	Received	Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM				
Analyses	Result	RL Q	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch	
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Dibromomethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
2-Hexanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Isopropylbenzene	0.69	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
4-Isopropyltoluene	0.28	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	0.47	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Methylene chloride	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
n-Butylbenzene	0.46	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
n-Propylbenzene	1.1	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
sec-Butylbenzene	0.31	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Styrene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.047	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Xylenes, Total	45	9.5	mg/Kg	100	8/19/2013 4:13:54 PM	8879	
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	58.9	70-130	S %REC	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	8879	

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Η Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 5 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

CLIENT: (Cypress Engineering	Client Sample ID: MPE-40 60'-62'								
Project: 7	FWP Roswell Station 9	Collection Date: 8/8/2013 4:55:00 PM								
Lab ID: 1	1308626-002	Matrix:	Received Date: 8/			4/2013 9:45:00 AM				
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch		
EPA METH	IOD 8260B: VOLATILES						Analy	st: JMP		
Surr: Dib	promofluoromethane	69.9	70-130	S	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AM	/ 8879		
Surr: To	luene-d8	93.0	70-130		%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:24:57 AN	/ 8879		

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Anal
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Hold
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not I
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Samp
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Repo

S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

- lyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- ling times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 6 of 13 ple pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

1308626-003

TWP Roswell Station 9

Project:

Lab ID:

Client Sample ID: MW-42A 55-57 Collection Date: 8/6/2013 10:45:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Toluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1.2.4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Naphthalene	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.19	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Acetone	ND	0.71	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Bromobenzene	ND	0.048	ma/Ka	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Bromoform	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Bromomethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
2-Butanone	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Chloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Chloroform	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Chloromethane	ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Dibromomethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879

Matrix: SOIL

- **Oualifiers:** * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
 - Е Value above quantitation range
 - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
 - 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
 - R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
 - Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
 - Page 7 of 13 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
 - Reporting Detection Limit RL

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MW-42A 55-57 Collection Date: 8/6/2013 10:45:00 AM Received Date: 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM

	SOIL	4/2013 9:45:00 AM	AM		
Analyses Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES				Analyst	: JMP
1,1-Dichloropropene ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Hexachlorobutadiene ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
2-Hexanone ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Isopropylbenzene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
4-Isopropyltoluene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
4-Methyl-2-pentanone ND	0.48	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Methylene chloride ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
n-Butylbenzene ND	0.14	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
n-Propylbenzene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
sec-Butylbenzene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Styrene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
tert-Butylbenzene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Tetrachloroethene (PCE) ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
trans-1,2-DCE ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1,1-Trichloroethane ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,1,2-Trichloroethane ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Trichloroethene (TCE) ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Trichlorofluoromethane ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
1,2,3-Trichloropropane ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Vinyl chloride ND	0.048	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Xylenes, Total ND	0.095	mg/Kg	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 93.2	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene 86.8	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane 101	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879
Surr: Toluene-d8 95.0	70-130	%REC	1	8/17/2013 4:53:17 AM	8879

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyt
Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holdin
J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not De
0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample
R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Report
	* J O R	 * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. E Value above quantitation range J Analyte detected below quantitation limits O RSD is greater than RSDlimit R RPD outside accepted recovery limits 	 * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. B E Value above quantitation range H J Analyte detected below quantitation limits ND O RSD is greater than RSDlimit P R PD outside accepted recovery limits RL

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 8 of 13
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

VO#:	1308626
	26 Aug 12

W 26-Aug-13

Sample ID mb-8879	Samp	Гуре: М	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOL	ATILES		
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 88	79	F	RunNo: 1	2689				
Prep Date: 8/15/2013	Analysis [Date: 8	/17/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	61357	Units: mg/l	Kg		
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
Toluene	ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	0.050								
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	0.050								
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.050								
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	0.050								
Naphthalene	ND	0.10								
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.20								
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.20								
Acetone	ND	0.75								
Bromobenzene	ND	0.050								
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.050								
Bromoform	ND	0.050								
Bromomethane	ND	0.15								
2-Butanone	ND	0.50								
Carbon disulfide	ND	0.50								
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.10								
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.050								
Chloroethane	ND	0.000								
Chloroform	ND	0.10								
Chloromethane	ND	0.000								
2-Chlorotoluene		0.10								
4 Chlorotoluono		0.050								
		0.050								
cis 1 2 Dichloropropopo		0.050								
1.2 Dibromo 2 chloropropano		0.030								
Dibromochloromothano		0.10								
Dibromocritorometriane		0.050								
1 2 Dishlarahansana	ND	0.10								
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.050								
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.050								
1,4-DICHIOTODENZENE	ND	0.050								
Dichlorodifiuoromethane	ND	0.050								
I, I-DIChloroethane	ND	0.10								
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.050								
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.050								
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	0.050								
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.10								

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

QC SUMMARY REPORT
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc

WO#:	1308626
	26.Aug.13

Client:	Cypress	Engineerin	ig													
Project:	IWPR	oswell Stati	on 9													
Sample ID mb-8	879	SampT	Гуре: МІ	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: VOLATILES											
Client ID: PBS		Batcl	h ID: 88	79	ļ	RunNo: 1	2689									
Prep Date: 8/15	5/2013	Analysis D	Date: 8/	/17/2013	:	SeqNo: 3	61357	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
1,1-Dichloropropene		ND	0.10													
Hexachlorobutadiene		ND	0.10													
2-Hexanone		ND	0.50													
Isopropylbenzene		ND	0.050													
4-Isopropyltoluene		ND	0.050													
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	2	ND	0.50													
Methylene chloride		ND	0.15													
n-Butylbenzene		ND	0.15													
n-Propylbenzene		ND	0.050													
sec-Butylbenzene		ND	0.050													
Styrene		ND	0.050													
tert-Butylbenzene		ND	0.050													
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroeth	ane	ND	0.050													
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroeth	ND	0.050														
Tetrachloroethene (PC	CE)	ND	0.050													
trans-1,2-DCE		ND	0.050													
trans-1,3-Dichloroprop	bene	ND	0.050													
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzen	е	ND	0.10													
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzen	е	ND	0.050													
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		ND	0.050													
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		ND	0.050													
Trichloroethene (TCE))	ND	0.050													
Trichlorofluoromethan	е	ND	0.050													
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	е	ND	0.10													
Vinyl chloride		ND	0.050													
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10													
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroet	hane-d4	0.45		0.5000		90.0	70	130								
Surr: 4-Bromofluoro	benzene	0.44		0.5000		88.3	70	130								
Surr: Dibromofluoro	methane	0.48		0.5000		96.8	70	130								
Surr: Toluene-d8		0.49		0.5000		98.3	70	130								
Sample ID Ics-8	879	SampT	Гуре: LC	s	Tes	stCode: E	PA Method	8260B: VOLA	ATILES							
Client ID: LCS	5	Batcl	h ID: 88	79	I	RunNo: 1	2689									
Prep Date: 8/15	5/2013	Analysis D	Date: 8 /	/17/2013	:	SeqNo: 3	61358	Units: mg/Kg								
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual					
Benzene		0.94	0.050	1.000	0	93.8	70	130								
Toluene		0.89	0.050	1.000	0	89.5	69.9	139								
Chlorobenzene		0.84	0.050	1.000	0	84.2	70	130								

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 10 of 13

WO#: **1308626** 26-Aug-13

Client:Cypress EngineeringProject:TWP Roswell Station 9

Sample ID Ics-8879	SampT	Type: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: VOLATILES									
Client ID: LCSS	Batch	h ID: 88	79	F	RunNo: 1								
Prep Date: 8/15/2013 Analysis Date: 8/17/2013 SeqNo:: 361358 Units: mg/Kg													
Analyte	Result	Result PQL SPK value		SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual			
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	69.3	131						
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.87	0.050	1.000	0	87.3	70	130						
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.47		0.5000		94.6	70	130						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.43	0.43 0.5000		85.9		70	130						
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.50		0.5000		99.3	70	130						
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.50		0.5000		101	70	130						

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 11 of 13

QC SUMMARY REPORT	
Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, In	c.

WO#:	1308626
	26-Aug-13

Client:	Cypress I	Engineering											
Project:	TWP Ros	swell Station	9										
Sample ID	MB-8939	SampType	e: MB	LK	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	7471: Mercu	ry				
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID): 893	9	RunNo: 12748								
Prep Date:	8/20/2013	Analysis Date	e: 8/2	20/2013	S	eqNo: 3	63107	Units: mg/k	g				
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Mercury		ND 0	.033										
Sample ID	LCS-8939	SampType	e: LC	S	TestCode: EPA Method 7471: Mercury								
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID): 89 3	9	R	unNo: 12	2748						
Prep Date:	8/20/2013	Analysis Date	e: 8/2	20/2013	S	eqNo: 3	63108	Units: mg/k	g				
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Mercury		0.16 0	.033	0.1667	0	93.5	80	120					
Sample ID	1308626-001AMS	SampType	e: ms		Tes	Code: El	PA Method	7471: Mercu	ry				
Client ID:	MPE-38 65'-67'	Batch ID): 89 3	9	R	unNo: 1	2748						
Prep Date:	8/20/2013	Analysis Date	e: 8/2	20/2013	S	eqNo: 3	63110	Units: mg/k	g				
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Mercury		0.16 0	.033	0.1657	0.004432	92.6	75	125					
Sample ID	1308626-001AMS) SampType	e: ms	d	Tes	Code: El	PA Method	7471: Mercu	ry				
Client ID:	MPE-38 65'-67'	Batch ID): 893	9	R	unNo: 12	2748						
Prep Date:	8/20/2013	Analysis Date	e: 8/2	20/2013	S	eqNo: 3	63111	Units: mg/k	g				
Analyte		Result F	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual		
Morcury		0.16 0	033	0 1603	0 004432	95.3	75	125	0 379	20			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 12 of 13

WO#: **1308626**

Client:	Cypro	ess Engineerin	g												
Project:	TWP	Roswell Stati	on 9												
Sample ID	MB-8910	SampT	Type: MI	BLK	TestCode: EPA Method 6010B: Soil Metals										
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	h ID: 89	10	F	RunNo: 1	2731								
Prep Date:	8/19/2013	Analysis D	Date: 8 /	19/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	62428	Units: mg/k	٢g						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Arsenic		ND	2.5												
Barium		ND	0.10												
Cadmium		ND	0.10												
Chromium		ND	0.30												
Lead		ND	0.25												
Selenium		ND	2.5												
Silver		ND	0.25												
Sample ID	LCS-8910	SampT	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	6010B: Soil	Metals						
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	h ID: 89	10	F	RunNo: 1	2731								
Prep Date:	8/19/2013	Analysis D	Date: 8/	19/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	62429	Units: mg/ł	٢g						
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual				
Arsenic		25	2.5	25.00	0	100	80	120							
Barium		25	0.10	25.00	0	99.8	80	120							
Cadmium		25	0.10	25.00	0	100	80	120							
Chromium		25	0.30	25.00	0	99.5	80	120							
Lead		24	0.25	25.00	0	96.5	80	120							
Selenium		24	2.5	25.00	0	96.2	80	120							
Silver		4.6	0.25	5.000	0	93.0	80	120							

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 13 of 13

LABORATORY

4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87105 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: CYP Work Order Number:	1308626		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date: 03/14/13		<u></u>		·
Logged By: Lindsay Mangin 8/14/2013 9:45:00 AM		Andrew Hereit		
Completed By: Lingsay Mangin 8/15/2013 7:37:23 AM		Annty Herepo		
Reviewed By: ART TO 18/15/13				
Chain of Custody				J
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	<u>UPS</u>			
Log In				
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	na 🗔	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}$ C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly preserved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes 🗌	No 🔽	NA 🗌	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🗹	
11. Were any sample containers received broken?	Yes 🗆	No 🗹 🏾	# of preserved	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🗹	No 🗆	bottles checked for pH:	
(Note discrepancies on chain of custody)			(<2 o Adjusted?	r >12 Uniess noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🗹			
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes 🗹		Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)				
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹	
Person Notified: Date:	· · · · ·			
By Whom: Via:	eMail	Phone 🗌 Fax	In Person	
Regarding:	· · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Client Instructions:	<u> </u>			
17. Additional remarks:				

18.	<u>Cooler Inform</u>	ation						
	Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By	
	1	3.6	Good	Yes				1

		.				-				UN	<u></u>	<u>V) or</u>	ขหหาย	۸i⊦	2	áh h	Z	5	I	I	I	ļ	ļ	I				
	7	2																										
	Ē	0										_	<u> </u>															
			ç	ת							_																	
	Σ	R C							_		<u></u>																Ø	0
	Z	ğ			041	7		_			(AC)V-in	192) 07	28					ļ	<u> </u>							2	2
	Ň.	S	ntal.	n n n	49-0	5						(40	VX809	28>	<u>< </u> >	\prec	X]									Je a	No la
	5	ิเง	ume anore				5,9 		3 28	08	/ SE	ticide		08	\bot			L									N.	15
	Z	S ·	uluro uluro	, chu	La)		'OS	5''0	<u>а</u> ~с	<u></u>	10°	1'IO':		-∀ 	Ļ												19 1	
				ι ι ι	o ∆ns	_					<u>୧</u>	eteM	8 AAC	<u></u>	$\langle \rangle$	\times				L							161	2
		A T	л Ц И	202	180		··	(SM	<u>115 (</u>)ZC	810	310 4	8) s'H/	/a _				_									Nº D	?``
	I		ukine	245							209	pout										_						
-			Цау	202		10) 	.1.5	317	podt	eM) Hc	11 		_											2	
			1901							7C	250)) 89 	108 Hc	11 		_) [/]	5
			7				/100 /17		יין או 		+ =		+ X - 1	.8 													nark	
		<u> </u>				-			1	T.V V	+ = 	A N	+ X I T	.8 	\vdash	4						_	_				٥ ۲	
			Ø							Sec.				۹			امہ										10	
Ι			4				,		X				No.	3 5	x \$	Ĵ	0		Í							Limo	l d	Lime
			K		8		2	ч.					N EN		1	Ĵ	0	ĺ								ľ	\sim	'
			12		N		Ŋ	C CU					́ Ś	₹.				Í								Date		Date
	<u>-</u>		N)		205		ious	4	1			<u>, 1</u>				+	\dashv		4								<u>–</u>]]	-
	Ru		42		J V			150	(à		N.		vativ	1)	X										Q	
me:		1 1	No.		õ	<u>.</u> .	Å	10	1	N N	ature		Typ	10	14		Ž	Í	ĺ								,	-
d Ti	g	je.	X		Ś		, Ng	X	()	N	TOPE		Ĕ	<u> </u>		/	-		\square						_	_		
rour	anda	Nar	S.	#	C.	Mar	4	10 M		1.000	Ten		iner ind #	d's	123										.	- Wa	×	
Irn-A	₹ Sta	diect /	4	oject	7	oject	1/a		mple	-lee	mple		onta pe a	X / Y ľ	a)		à									(jed b	\mathbf{J}	
<u>ר</u>	µu C T	<u> </u>		ă	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	• <u> </u>	0	Sal	6	Sal	All and a second se	ວ <u>∼</u>	N		43	2									Rece		
_	N	9		1.1				(uo					₽	2	60		22	ĺ										
pro	10	1		Ø			ļ	lidati		1			est	0	0		5	1									8	A A
о С		6	10	6 Å /		c)		ll Val		.			nbe	6	0		49				,				1			
ř	12	N	N	1.25	50	5	3	(Ful	ŀ				a X	20	K		X								1	1		
ğ	. %	10	1		1	. 116	2.4	vel 4					mple	11	4									Í		{		ll Envi
sto		2	N N	7	נאי גי	10	11	Le					Saj	2	01		2	Í						ł	\mathbf{k}	Į,		to Hal
jus	ine.	9	13	Ň	67	N	5.24			Jer_				R,	-			-	+	_	_	4	<u> </u>		\downarrow	ed by		mitted
1	194	Je N	× IX	Q,		214	1			đ		ļ	/latri)	10	210		5								`	Muis		dus se
2	14	51	3	6	2	Ś	·						2	$\left \gamma \right\rangle$	N N		ñ	_	4			_			Ļ	Relit		sample
lall	245	\mathcal{I}	Ng Ng	1	Z	ax#:	skag∈	e	noi		ype)		Time	8/1	650	2115	2									6		sary,
5	je je	2	Ă.N RN	Ŋ	e #:	or F	С Рас	anda	ditat	LAP					1/10		2		_				_			<u>–i</u> m	<u>T</u> ime	neces
	اتع	N		*	hon	(ā)	AVQC	1-St					Date	120	pello	1.1	100									ii.	Sin a	"
1	- 1	•	< [1	뜨	Q)	U	μļ	∢		Ц			120	784	- 6	ja V	1	Ĩ		I					, at D	Dat	



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

September 04, 2013

George Robinson Cypress Engineering 7171 Highway 6 North Suite 102 Houston, TX 770952422 TEL: (281) 797-3420 FAX (281) 859-1881

RE: TWP Roswell Station 9

OrderNo.: 1308C34

Dear George Robinson:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 7 sample(s) on 8/28/2013 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. In order to properly interpret your results it is imperative that you review this report in its entirety. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. When necessary, data qualifers are provided on both the sample analysis report and the QC summary report, both sections should be reviewed. All samples are reported, as received, unless otherwise indicated. Lab measurement of analytes considered field parameters that require analysis within 15 minutes of sampling such as pH and residual chlorine are qualified as being analyzed outside of the recommended holding time.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

ADHS Cert #AZ0682 -- NMED-DWB Cert #NM9425 -- NMED-Micro Cert #NM0190

Sincerely,

ander

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34 Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: Comp Soil MW-39 - MW-42 S c Collection Date: 8/27/2013 10:40:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-001	Matrix: S	SOIL	Received I	Received Date: 8/28/2013 10:00:00 AM								
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch						
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMF	OUNDS				Analyst	: JMP						
Benzene	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
2-Butanone	ND	200	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Chlorobenzene	ND	100	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Chloroform	ND	6.0	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20	ppm	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90.6	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94.0	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	94.9	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						
Surr: Toluene-d8	93.0	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 6:13:23 PM	9096						

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum	Contaminant Level.
Quanner 5.		value execcus maximum	Containinant Ecven

- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Page 1 of 12 Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34

Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MPE-39 Composite 50'-75' BGS Collection Date: 8/27/2013 9:45:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-002	Matrix: S	OIL	Received D	ate: 8/2	8/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	ıal Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst:	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	15	9.9	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 1:10:41 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	86.9	63-147	%REC	1	8/30/2013 1:10:41 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst:	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	320	50	mg/Kg	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
Surr: BFB	145	80-120	S %REC	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst:	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.50	mg/Kg	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
Toluene	ND	0.50	mg/Kg	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.50	mg/Kg	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
Xylenes, Total	2.6	1.0	mg/Kg	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	102	80-120	%REC	10	8/30/2013 11:27:18 AM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPO	UNDS				Analyst:	JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20	ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	95.6	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	92.5	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	94.8	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	93.8	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:00:11 PM	9096

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 2 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34 Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MPE-38 Composite 50'-75' BGS Collection Date: 8/27/2013 9:30:00 AM Paperived Date: 8/28/2013 10:00:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-003	Matrix: S	OIL		Received I	Date: 8/2	8/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RAM	IGE ORGANICS					Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 1:41:47 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	88.8	63-147		%REC	1	8/30/2013 1:41:47 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE I	RANGE					Analyst	RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	16	5.0		mg/Kg	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
Surr: BFB	147	80-120	S	%REC	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	RAA
Benzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
Toluene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10		mg/Kg	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120		%REC	1	9/3/2013 1:50:29 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMP	OUNDS					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20		ppm	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	92.4	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94.2	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	94.7	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	94.0	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 10:31:29 PM	9096

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 3 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34 Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MPE 40 Composite 01-50' BGS Collection Date: 8/27/2013 9:00:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-004	Matrix: S	SOIL		Received I	Date: 8/2	8/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANGE	ORGANICS					Analyst	: JME
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	15000	990		mg/Kg	100	9/3/2013 12:44:39 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	0	63-147	S	%REC	100	9/3/2013 12:44:39 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RAN	IGE					Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	190	100		mg/Kg	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
Surr: BFB	152	80-120	S	%REC	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	NSB
Benzene	ND	0.50		mg/Kg	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
Toluene	ND	1.0		mg/Kg	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
Ethylbenzene	1.3	1.0		mg/Kg	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
Xylenes, Total	4.3	2.0		mg/Kg	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	106	80-120		%REC	20	8/30/2013 4:42:38 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPOU	NDS					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20		ppm	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	92.1	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	117	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	95.4	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	91.3	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 11:56:51 PM	9096

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 4 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34

Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Client Sample ID: MPE-40 Composite 50-75' BGS Collection Date: 8/27/2013 10:10:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-005	Matrix: S	SOIL	Received Date: 8/28/2013 10:00:00 AM				
Analyses	Result	RL ()ual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS					Analyst:	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	10		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 3:15:33 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	96.6	63-147		%REC	1	8/30/2013 3:15:33 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE					Analyst:	RAA
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	150	25		mg/Kg	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
Surr: BFB	152	80-120	S	%REC	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst:	RAA
Benzene	ND	0.25		mg/Kg	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
Toluene	ND	0.25		mg/Kg	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.25		mg/Kg	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
Xylenes, Total	0.82	0.50		mg/Kg	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	108	80-120		%REC	5	9/3/2013 3:45:14 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPOU	JNDS					Analyst:	JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20		ppm	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	93.5	70-130		%REC	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	87.9	70-130		%REC	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	96.7	70-130		%REC	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	94.3	70-130		%REC	10	8/30/2013 12:53:32 AM	9096

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 5 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34 Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Client Sample ID: MPE-41 Composite 50'-75' BGS Collection Date: 8/27/2013 9:25:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-006	Matrix: S	SOIL		Received I	Date: 8/2	8/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL (Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS					Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	80	10		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 3:46:44 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	94.9	63-147		%REC	1	8/30/2013 3:46:44 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	ANGE					Analyst	: NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	15	5.0		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
Surr: BFB	163	80-120	s	%REC	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES						Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
Toluene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10		mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	105	80-120		%REC	1	8/30/2013 6:08:42 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPO	UNDS					Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20		ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	90.5	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	90.5	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	94.5	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	92.1	70-130		%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:07:02 PM	9096

Refer to the QC Summary report and sample login checklist for flagged QC data and preservation information.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 6 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit

Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits

S

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

CLIENT: Cypress Engineering

Project: TWP Roswell Station 9

Analytical Report Lab Order 1308C34 Date Reported: 9/4/2013

Client Sample ID: MPE Wells 38, 39, 40, 41 Comp Collection Date: 8/27/2013 9:15:00 AM Received Date: 8/28/2013 10:00:00 AM

Lab ID: 1308C34-007	Matrix: S	SOIL	Received I	Date: 8/2	8/2013 10:00:00 AM	
Analyses	Result	RL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch
EPA METHOD 8015D: DIESEL RANG	E ORGANICS				Analyst	BCN
Diesel Range Organics (DRO)	ND	9.9	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 4:18:06 PM	9101
Surr: DNOP	66.3	63-147	%REC	1	8/30/2013 4:18:06 PM	9101
EPA METHOD 8015D: GASOLINE RA	NGE				Analyst	NSB
Gasoline Range Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
Surr: BFB	94.1	80-120	%REC	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8021B: VOLATILES					Analyst	: NSB
Benzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
Toluene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.050	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
Xylenes, Total	ND	0.10	mg/Kg	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	80-120	%REC	1	8/30/2013 6:37:25 PM	9096
EPA METHOD 8260B: TCLP COMPO	JNDS				Analyst	: JMP
Benzene	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
2-Butanone	ND	200	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Chlorobenzene	ND	100	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Chloroform	ND	6.0	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	7.5	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.70	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.50	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.20	ppm	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	91.0	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.6	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	95.7	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096
Surr: Toluene-d8	94.8	70-130	%REC	10	8/29/2013 8:35:25 PM	9096

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit Page 7 of 12
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	D is greater than RSDlimit P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and 7	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL Reporting Detection Limit	
	S	Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits		

WO#:	1308	C34

Client:	Cypress E	ngineering									
Project:	TWP Ros	well Station	9								
Sample ID	MB-9101	SampTyp	e: M	BLK	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range C	Organics	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch II	D: 91	01	R	unNo: 12	2963				
Prep Date:	8/29/2013	Analysis Dat	e: 8/	29/2013	s	eqNo: 3	70092	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	ND	10								
Surr: DNOP		7.9		10.00		78.5	63	147			
Sample ID	LCS-9101	SampTyp	e: LC	s	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (Organics	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch II	D: 91	01	R	unNo: 1	2963				
Prep Date:	8/29/2013	Analysis Dat	e: 8 /	29/2013	S	eqNo: 3	70093	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range (Organics (DRO)	59	10	50.00	0	119	77.1	128			
Surr: DNOP		4.1		5.000		82.1	63	147			
Sample ID	1308C34-002AMSE	SampTyp	e: MS	SD	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range G	Organics	
Client ID:	MPE-39 Composite	e Batch II	D: 91	01	R	unNo: 12	2997				
Prep Date:	8/29/2013	Analysis Dat	e: 8 /	/30/2013	S	eqNo: 3	70991	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	54	10	49.75	15.25	77.1	61.3	138	7.90	20	
Surr: DNOP		4.5		4.975		91.0	63	147	0	0	
Sample ID	1308C34-002AMS	SampTyp	e: MS	6	Test	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Dies	el Range (Organics	
Client ID:	MPE-39 Composite	e Batch II	D: 91	01	R	unNo: 12	2997				
Prep Date:	8/29/2013	Analysis Dat	e: 8 /	/30/2013	S	eqNo: 3	70995	Units: mg/k	٢g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Diesel Range	Organics (DRO)	50	9.9	49.70	15.25	69.0	61.3	138			
		4.2		4.970		85.2	63	147			

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 8 of 12

WO#:	1308C34
	04-Sep-13

Client: Project:	Cypress F TWP Ros	Engineering swell Station	9								
Sample ID	MB-9096	SampType	: MBL	(Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID	: 9096		F	unNo: 1	2996				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis Date	: 8/30/	2013	5	eqNo: 3	71539	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	ND	5.0								
Surr: BFB		860		1000		85.6	80	120			
Sample ID	LCS-9096	SampType	: LCS		Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID	9096		F	unNo: 12	2996				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis Date	: 8/30/	2013	5	eqNo: 3	71540	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	27	5.0	25.00	0	107	74.5	126			
Surr: BFB		1000		1000		102	80	120			
Sample ID	MB-9117	SampType	: MBL	K	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	PBS	Batch ID	: 9117		F	unNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/30/2013	Analysis Date	: 9/3/2	013	5	eqNo: 3	72358	Units: %RE	C		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		920		1000		91.9	80	120			
Sample ID	LCS-9117	SampType	: LCS		Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch ID	: 9117		F	unNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/30/2013	Analysis Date	: 9/3/2	013	5	eqNo: 3	72359	Units: %RE	С		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: BFB		1000		1000		100	80	120			
Sample ID	1308C34-003AMS	SampType	: MS		Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	MPE-38 Composit	te Batch ID	: 9096		F	unNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis Date	: 9/3/2	013	S	eqNo: 3	72364	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	37	5.0	24.98	15.88	84.0	76	156			
Surr: BFB		1500		999.0		151	80	120			S
Sample ID	1308C34-003AMS	D SampType	: MSD		Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8015D: Gaso	line Rang	e	
Client ID:	MPE-38 Composit	te Batch ID	: 9096		F	unNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis Date	: 9/3/2	013	S	eqNo: 3	72365	Units: mg/K	g		
Analyte		Result P	QL S	PK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Gasoline Rang	e Organics (GRO)	38	5.0	24.98	15.88	88.7	76	156	3.09	17.7	
Surr: BFB		1600		999.0		158	80	120	0	0	S

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 9 of 12

Hall Er	nvironmen	ital Anal	ysis L	Laborat	ory, Inc.						04-Sep-13
Client: Project:	Cypres TWP F	ss Engineerin Roswell Stati	g on 9								
Sample ID	MB-9096	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 90	96	F	RunNo: 1	2996				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis D)ate: 8/	30/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	71602	Units: mg/k	ζg		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		ND	0.050					-			
Toluene		ND	0.050								
Ethylbenzene		ND	0.050								
Xylenes, Total		ND	0.10								
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	0.94		1.000		93.6	80	120			
Sample ID	LCS-9096	SampT	Type: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Vola	tiles		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 90	96	F	RunNo: 1	2996				
Prep Date:	8/28/2013	Analysis D)ate: 8/	30/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	71603	Units: mg/k	(g		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene		0.98	0.050	1.000	0	98.1	80	120			
Toluene		0.98	0.050	1.000	0	98.2	80	120			
Ethylbenzene		0.99	0.050	1.000	0	98.7	80	120			
Xylenes, Total		3.0	0.10	3.000	0	99.5	80	120			
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120			
Sample ID	MB-9117	SampT	ype: ME	BLK	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Client ID:	PBS	Batch	n ID: 91	17	F	RunNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/30/2013	Analysis D)ate: 9/	3/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	72398	Units: %RE	С		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		103	80	120			
Sample ID	LCS-9117	SampT	ype: LC	S	Tes	tCode: El	PA Method	8021B: Volat	tiles		
Client ID:	LCSS	Batch	n ID: 91	17	F	RunNo: 1	3041				
Prep Date:	8/30/2013	Analysis D)ate: 9/	3/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	72399	Units: %RE	С		
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	1.0		1.000		102	80	120			

Qualifiers:

* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.

QC SUMMARY REPORT

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 10 of 12

WO#: 1308C34

04-Sep-13

Client: Cypress Project: TWP R	Engineerin	g on 9								
				Too	tCodo: E	PA Mothod	8260B. TCI D	Compou	nde	
				Duelles 42002						
Client ID: PBS	Batc	h ID: 90	96	F	RunNo: 1	2983				
Prep Date: 8/28/2013	Analysis E	Date: 8/	29/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	70513	Units: ppm			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	ND	0.050								
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	0.050								
2-Butanone	ND	20								
Carbon tetrachloride	ND	0.050								
Chlorobenzene	ND	10								
Chloroform	ND	0.60								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.75								
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	0.070								
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.070								
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.050								
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.020								
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.45		0.5000		90.6	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.45		0.5000		90.5	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.48		0.5000		95.1	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.49		0.5000		98.2	70	130			
Sample ID LCS-9096	Samp	Гуре: LC	S	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: TCLP	Compou	nds	
Client ID: LCSS	Batc	h ID: 90	96	F	RunNo: 1	2983				
Prep Date: 8/28/2013	Analysis E	Date: 8/	29/2013	S	SeqNo: 3	70514	Units: ppm			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	104	70	130			
Chlorobenzene	0.98	0.50	1.000	0	97.8	70	130			
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.3	0.070	1.000	0	133	69.3	131			S
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.0	0.050	1.000	0	101	70	130			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	0.47		0.5000		94.0	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	0.45		0.5000		90.5	70	130			
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	0.48		0.5000		96.7	70	130			
Surr: Toluene-d8	0.49		0.5000		97.7	70	130			
Sample ID 1308c34-001ams	Samp	Гуре: М	6	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	8260B: TCLP	Compou	nds	
Client ID: Comp Soil MW-	39 - Batc	h ID: 90	96	F	RunNo: 1	2983				
Prep Date: 8/28/2013	Analysis E	Date: 8/	29/2013	5	SeqNo: 3	70516	Units: ppm			
Analyte	Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Benzene	1.1	0.50	1.000	0	109	65.1	127			
Chlorobenzene	0.88	0.10	1.000	0	88.0	66.8	129			
1,1-Dichloroethene	1.3	0.70	1.000	0.1019	120	44.1	148			
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.1	0.50	1.000	0.1053	102	63.2	122			
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	4.7		5.000		94.4	70	130			
Qualifiers:										

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Р Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 11 of 12

WO#:	1308C34

04-Sep-13

										Cypicss Lli	Chent.
								n 9	ell Static	TWP Rosw	Project:
	nds	Compou	8260B: TCLP	PA Method	tCode: E	Tes	3	pe: M \$	SampT	308c34-001ams	Sample ID
				2983	RunNo: 1	F	96	ID: 90	Batch	omp Soil MW-39 -	Client ID:
			Units: ppm	70516	SeqNo: 3	5	29/2013	te: 8/	nalysis D	3/28/2013 A	Prep Date:
nit Qual	RPDLimit	%RPD	HighLimit	LowLimit	%REC	SPK Ref Val	SPK value	PQL	Result		Analyte
			130	70	90.8		5.000		4.5	uorobenzene	Surr: 4-Brom
			130	70	97.2		5.000		4.9	uoromethane	Surr: Dibrom
			130	70	92.9		5.000		4.6	8	Surr: Toluene
Sample ID 1308c34-001amsd SampType: MSD TestCode: EPA Method 8260B: TCLP Compounds											
	nds	Compou	8260B: TCLP	PA Method	tCode: E	Tes	SD	pe: M S	SampT	308c34-001amsd	Sample ID
	nds	Compou	I 8260B: TCLP	PA Method 2983	tCode: El RunNo: 1	Tes F	SD 96	pe: M \$ ID: 90	SampT Batch	308c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 -	Sample ID Client ID:
	nds	Compou	I 8260B: TCLP Units: ppm	PA Method 2983 70517	tCode: E RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3	Tes F S	SD 96 29/2013	pe: MS ID: 90 ite: 8/	SampT Batch nalysis Da	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date:
nit Qual	n ds RPDLimit	Compour %RPD	I 8260B: TCLP Units: ppm HighLimit	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit	tCode: E RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC	Tes F S SPK Ref Val	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value	pe: M \$ ID: 90 te: 8 / PQL	SampT <u>y</u> Batch nalysis Da Result	308c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 3/28/2013 A	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte
nit Qual 20	nds RPDLimit 20	Compou %RPD 3.61	I 8260B: TCLP Units: ppm HighLimit 127	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1	tCode: E RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105	Tes F S SPK Ref Val 0	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000	pe: M \$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL 0.50	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1	308c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 3/28/2013 A	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene
nit Qual 20 20	RPDLimit 20 20	Compout %RPD 3.61 3.39	Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 <u>%REC</u> 105 85.1	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000 1.000	pe: M \$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL 0.50 0.10	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene
nit Qual 20 20 20	RPDLimit 20 20 20	Compout %RPD 3.61 3.39 6.21	I 8260B: TCLP Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129 148	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8 44.1	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105 85.1 112	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0.1019	5D 96 29/2013 <u>SPK value</u> 1.000 1.000 1.000	pe: M\$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL 0.50 0.10 0.70	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85 1.2	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroeth
nit Qual 20 20 20 20	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 20 20	Compour %RPD 3.61 3.39 6.21 6.44	Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129 148 122	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8 44.1 63.2	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105 85.1 112 94.9	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0.1019 0.1053	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	pe: MS ID: 90 Ite: 8/ PQL 0.50 0.10 0.70 0.50	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85 1.2 1.1	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A e CE)	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroethene
nit Qual 20 20 20 20 0	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 20 0	Compoun %RPD 3.61 3.39 6.21 6.44 0	Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129 148 122 130	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8 44.1 63.2 70	tCode: E RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105 85.1 112 94.9 92.3	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0.1019 0.1053	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 5.000	pe: M \$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL 0.50 0.10 0.70 0.50	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85 1.2 1.1 4.6	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A e °CE) roethane-d4	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroethere Surr: 1,2-Dich
nit Qual 20 20 20 20 0 0	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 0 0 0	Compour %RPD 3.61 3.39 6.21 6.44 0 0	Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129 148 122 130 130	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8 44.1 63.2 70 70	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105 85.1 112 94.9 92.3 92.9	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0.1019 0.1053	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 5.000 5.000	pe: M\$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL 0.50 0.10 0.70 0.50	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85 1.2 1.1 4.6 4.6	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A B CE) roethane-d4 uorobenzene	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroether Trichloroethene Surr: 1,2-Dick Surr: 4-Brom
nit Qual 20 20 20 20 0 0 0	RPDLimit 20 20 20 20 0 0 0 0	Compoun %RPD 3.61 3.39 6.21 6.44 0 0 0 0	Units: ppm HighLimit 127 129 148 122 130 130 130	PA Method 2983 70517 LowLimit 65.1 66.8 44.1 63.2 70 70 70 70 70	tCode: El RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 105 85.1 112 94.9 92.3 92.9 96.0	Tes F SPK Ref Val 0 0 0.1019 0.1053	5D 96 29/2013 SPK value 1.000 1.000 1.000 5.000 5.000 5.000	pe: M\$ ID: 90 te: 8/ <u>PQL</u> 0.50 0.10 0.70 0.50	SampTy Batch nalysis Da Result 1.1 0.85 1.2 1.1 4.6 4.6 4.8	808c34-001amsd omp Soil MW-39 - 8/28/2013 A e c CE) roethane-d4 uorobenzene uoromethane	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Benzene Chlorobenzene 1,1-Dichloroethene Surr: 1,2-Dich Surr: 4-Brom Surr: Dibrom
r	nds RPDLi	Compou	Units: ppm HighLimit 130 130 130	PA Method 2983 70516 LowLimit 70 70 70 70	tCode: E RunNo: 1 SeqNo: 3 %REC 90.8 97.2 92.9	Tes F S SPK Ref Val	5 96 29/2013 SPK value 5.000 5.000 5.000	pe: M\$ ID: 90 te: 8/ PQL	SampTy Batch nalysis Da <u>Result</u> 4.5 4.9 4.6	308c34-001ams omp Soil MW-39 - 3/28/2013 A uorobenzene uorobenzene 8	Sample ID Client ID: Prep Date: Analyte Surr: 4-Brom Surr: Dibrom Surr: Toluene

Qualifiers:

- * Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- P Sample pH greater than 2 for VOA and TOC only.
- RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 12 of 12

HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: CYP	Work Order Number: 1308C3	34		RcptNo:	1
Received by/date:	0 8/28/1-3 8/28/2013 10:00:00 AM	Muru	le Gonuin		
Completed By: Michelle Garcia Reviewed By: Chain of Custody	8/28/2013 11:41:15 AM	Minu	lı Canue		
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?	Yes	No	P	Not Present 🗸	
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes	✓ No	r i r	Not Present	
3. How was the sample delivered?	UPS				
Log In					
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes	✓: No	.	NA	
5. Were all samples received at a temperature	of >0° C to 6.0°C Yes	No No	!	NA	
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes	V No			
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)? Yes	✔ No			
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) proper	rly preserved? Yes	V No			
9. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No	✓	NA	
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?	Yes	No	l No	o VOA Vials 🗸	
11. Were any sample containers received brok	en? Yes	No	₩ #0	of preserved ottles checked	
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)	Yes	✓ No	fo	r pH: (<2	or >12 unless noted)
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of	f Custody? Yes	No No		Adjusted?	
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes	✓ No			
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)	Yes	✓ No		Checked by:	
Special Handling (if applicable)					
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with	this order? Yes	No	İ	NA 🗸	
Person Notified:	Date:				
By Whom:	Via: eMa	il Phone	Fax	In Person	
Regarding:					ì
Client Instructions:					
17. Additional remarks:					

18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By																
1	4.6	Good	Yes																			
Chain-of-Custody Record				Turn-Around Time:				HALL ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY														
---------------------------------------	-------	-----------------	---------------------------------	--	----------------------	----------------------	---------------------------	---	-------------	--------------	----------	----------	------------	-------------	---------	--------------------	--------------	--------------	-------	--------------	--	--
CUPRESS ENGINEERING SERVICES FM				Z Standard □ Rush																		
ATTN: Georg Robinson PE				Project Name: Twp Roswell Station 9			www.hallenvironmental.com															
Mailing Address Highwan & North Stell				2				4901 Hawkins NE - Albuquerque, NM 87109														
Houston, Texas 77095				Project #: New Monifol + MPE Well				Tel. 505-345-3975 Fax 505-345-4107														
Phone #: 281. 797. 3420				02.2012.0037.00				Analysis Request														
emailor Fax#: george . robinsone				Project Manager:				(<u>A</u>	<u>ç</u>				04) 04)	6								
QA/QC Package: Cypres Inc. 45-				George Robinsin, PE				as o	Z		s)		04,S	ы С В								
Standard Level 4 (Full Validation)				Slacy Boullinghouse, DEEIP			3) s'8	<u>ő</u>	d D		SIM		۲, ۲	2 P(Ń	9				
Accreditation				Sampler: CM Bornhill, P6-				표		<u> </u>	270		Ž	808			6	21		Í		
NELAP Other				On Ice: Z Yes D No				<u>+</u> {		504	- LO	<u>s</u>	ş	es /		Q	\geq	8		Ż		
□ EDD (Type)			Sample Tem	perature: 4	2	11BE	IEI A	A B	질	10	Aeta	<u>ت</u>	ticid	(F)		P						
Date	Time	Matrix	Sample Request ID	Container Type and #	Preservative Type	HEAL No.	TEX + N	LEX + N	PH 8015	DB (Met	AH's (83	CRA 8 N	ions (F)81 Pest	260B (V	270 (Ser	TCL	B76		ir Rubhle		
			Composite Soil famo	49 11-0			۵	<u></u>	Щ÷	<u>- II</u>		<u>م</u>	Ā	80	8	8	$\dot{}$		-+	<u> </u> 4		
78/27/13	10:40	Soil	MW-39 thru MW-42	6/ JAN	None						<u> </u>					 	Х					
•			Soll Cattings																			
18/27/13	0945	SOIL	MPF-39 Composite	24402	None		,		K								Х	Х				
- 1-1-2_			50'-75' B6-5		l							Γ										
18/27/13	0930	SOIL	MDE-38 Composite 50'-75' 865	2×402 6/Jer	None	-063		\sim	Χ								Х	X		\Box		
18/27/13	0900	Soil	MPE 40 Composite	2×402 4/Jan	None	-004			$\langle $								X,	Хļ		\perp		
18/27/13	1010	SOIL	MPE 40 Composite 50'-75' Bts	2×402 6/Jun	None	-005			$\langle $			<u> </u>					\times	X		\downarrow		
98/22/13	0925	Soil	MPE-41 Compisite	27402 6/Jan	None	-004			\leq								\mathbf{X}	\mathbf{X}				
relarliz	0415	SOIL	MPE WE'LS 38, 39,40,41	24405	None	-007			<								\succ	X				
	- /		0-50 B65										 					┢──╋		+		
			20	<u> </u>		· · · · ·			+	+						$\left - \right $	┠──┤	┢──╋		+		
Date:	Time:	Relinquist	ed by:	Received by	L	Date Time	Ren	narks:		11/	Dur	1	110	<u> </u>	21		 ~ /		 ⁄			
1500 / 1500		tthe ostalisimi				D Garrie Robinson 10																
Date: Time: Relinguished by:			Received by:	281.797.3420																		