# AP - \_\_\_\_110\_

# DEFERRED CORRECTIVE ACTION

#### Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD

From:	Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD
Sent:	Tuesday, March 24, 2015 4:20 PM
То:	'Speer, Julie'
Cc:	Griswold, Jim, EMNRD; Robert.Combs@hollyfrontier.com; Stone, Brian
	(Brian.Stone@HollyFrontier.com); Gilbert, Bryan; Sahba, Arsin
Subject:	RE: Navajo Lovington Refinery (AP-110) - April 2012 Cooling Tower Release Request for
	Closure

Ms. Speer:

Good afternoon. The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) is in receipt of the above subject request.

Due to the nature of the release and situation with the 30-mil liner and soil contamination relative to the water table, OCD will <u>not</u> request a final C-141 Form for this release at this time.

Instead, OCD will file this e-mail message and the corrective action (CA) report into a "Deferred Corrective Action" (action) folder in AP-110, which means the final CA will not need to occur until decommission of surface equipment or closure of the facility, etc. occurs.

A note in RBDMS AP-110 will also be added to document this action.

Please contact me if you have questions. Thank you.

#### Carl J. Chavez, CHMM

New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 O: (505) 476-3490 E-mail: <u>CarlJ.Chavez@State.NM.US</u> Web: <u>http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/</u> **"Why Not Prevent Pollution; Minimize Waste; Reduce the Cost of Operations; & Move Forward With the Rest of** 

the Nation?" To see how, please go to: "Pollution Prevention & Waste Minimization" at <a href="http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/environmental.htm#environmental">http://www.emnrd.state.nm.us/ocd/environmental.htm#environmental</a>



From: Speer, Julie [mailto:JSpeer@trcsolutions.com]
Sent: Monday, February 09, 2015 2:43 PM
To: Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD
Cc: Griswold, Jim, EMNRD; <u>Robert.Combs@hollyfrontier.com</u>; Stone, Brian (<u>Brian.Stone@HollyFrontier.com</u>); Gilbert,

#### Bryan; Sahba, Arsin Subject: Navajo Lovington Refinery (AP-110) - April 2012 Cooling Tower Release Request for Closure

Carl,

Attached please find the letter documenting additional soil investigation and liner assessment related to the April 2012 cooling tower release at Navajo Refining Company's Lovington Refinery. No hard copy will be sent unless otherwise requested.

Thank you, Julie Speer, PG, EIT Associate Project Manager



505 East Huntland Drive, Suite 250, Austin, TX 78752 T: 512.684.3148 F: 512.329.8750 C: 512.431.8184

jspeer@trcsolutions.com www.trcsolutions.com

#### Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD

From:	Speer, Julie <jspeer@trcsolutions.com></jspeer@trcsolutions.com>
Sent:	Monday, February 09, 2015 2:43 PM
То:	Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD
Cc:	Griswold, Jim, EMNRD; Robert.Combs@hollyfrontier.com; Stone, Brian
	(Brian.Stone@HollyFrontier.com); Gilbert, Bryan; Sahba, Arsin
Subject:	Navajo Lovington Refinery (AP-110) - April 2012 Cooling Tower Release Request for
	Closure
Attachments:	Lovington Cooling Tower Release - Request for Closure FINAL to OCD 020915.pdf

Carl,

Attached please find the letter documenting additional soil investigation and liner assessment related to the April 2012 cooling tower release at Navajo Refining Company's Lovington Refinery. No hard copy will be sent unless otherwise requested.

Thank you, Julie Speer, PG, EIT Associate Project Manager



505 East Huntland Drive, Suite 250, Austin, TX 78752 T: 512.684.3148 F: 512.329.8750 C: 512.431.8184

jspeer@trcsolutions.com | www.trcsolutions.com



505 East Huntland Drive Suite 250 Austin, TX 78752

512.329.6080 PHONE 512.329.8750 FAX

www.TRCsolutions.com

February 9, 2015

Carl J. Chavez, CHMM New Mexico Energy, Minerals & Natural Resources Department Oil Conservation Division, Environmental Bureau 1220 South St. Francis Drive, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505

#### Re: April 2012 Cooling Tower Release - Request for Closure, Navajo Refining Company, Lea Refinery, Lovington, New Mexico, AP-110

Dear Mr. Chavez:

On behalf of Navajo Refining Company (NRC), TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) is submitting this letter to document results of additional investigations related to the April 2012 cooling tower release at the NRC Lovington Refinery (refinery). In addition, this letter supplements recent communication between NRC and the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD) regarding the presence of a liner that was previously installed adjacent to the cooling tower by the previous refinery owner/operator.

#### BACKGROUND

The NRC cooling tower release occurred on April 30, 2012, as operators were adding "makeup water" (reverse osmosis permeate supplemented with freshwater) to the basin of the refinery cooling tower. The cooling tower water level increased more quickly than anticipated and approximately 10 barrels of cooling water overflowed to the ground surface along the northeast and northwest sides of the cooling tower.

Initial release investigation activities were conducted by NRC personnel on January 16, 2013, and included the collection of two surface soil samples (Cooling Tower Background sample and Cooling Tower Spill Area sample [CTSA]). Additional soil investigation was conducted by TRC on June 20 and 21, 2013, based on the January 2013 soil sample analytical results. The June 2013 investigation included the advancement of a soil boring (TB-1) to 15 feet below ground surface (bgs), collection of surface soil samples (TB-1 through TB-5), and collection of a vertical delineation sample (TB-1 [14-15 feet bgs]). The results of the January 2013 and June 2013 investigations were documented in a letter report that was submitted to NMOCD on July 29,

2013, and indicated further investigation was required to delineate the lateral extent of chloride in surface soil.

The NMOCD provided comments to the July 2013 letter report in e-mails dated August 20, 2013, and January 15, 2014, and requested the removal of impacted surface soils surrounding the cooling tower using best professional judgment and confirmation sampling. TRC conducted additional surface soil investigation activities on August 20, 2014, to better define the potential excavation limits. The August 2014 investigation included the collection and field-screening of surface soil samples from within and adjacent to the cooling tower release area. Select soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis based on the field-screening results. During the August 2014 investigation, a heavy-duty plastic liner was encountered at three of the sample locations (TB-1, TB-2, and CTSA) at depths ranging from approximately 1 to 2 feet bgs.

The liner encountered during the August 2014 investigation was determined to be part of two liners that were installed by the former refinery owner/operator (Southern Union Company) during backfilling activities associated with remedial excavation of metal-impacted soils in 1990. According to the October 1990 "Cooling Tower Supplemental Remedial Report" prepared by Geraghty & Miller, Inc. for Southern Union Company, 30-mil plastic liners were installed immediately northwest and northeast of the cooling tower at a depth of approximately 1.5 feet bgs between two one-foot thick compacted clay layers. The clay layers and liners installed at these locations were collectively referred to as a "cap" in the October 1990 Geraghty & Miller report. The October 1990 Geraghty & Miller report was provided to NMOCD in an e-mail dated November 21, 2014. The approximate location of the liners relative to the cooling tower, April 2012 NRC cooling tower spill area, and the 2013 and 2014 soil sample locations are shown in Figure 1. As shown on Figure 1, the liners are present over a majority of the area impacted by the April 2012 NRC cooling tower spill.

NRC notified the NMOCD regarding the discovery of the 30-mil liners and the August 2014 investigation results in an e-mail on November 10, 2014. NRC and NMOCD conducted a conference call and discussed the potential of leaving the impacted soil and liners surrounding the cooling tower in place on November 21, 2014. The NMOCD indicated during the conference call that the soil and liners may be allowed to remain in place if the integrity of the liners is intact and the liners can be shown to be effectively preventing the vertical migration of contaminants. The NMOCD also indicated that the appropriate clean-up level for chloride is 600 milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg).

A summary of the August 2014 soil investigation activities and December 2014 liner assessment and request for closure regarding the April 2012 NRC cooling tower spill are provided below.



#### AUGUST 2014 SOIL INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

Additional soil investigation was conducted by TRC on August 20, 2014. The investigation included the collection of surface soil samples using a decontaminated shovel or hand auger at locations immediately adjacent to previous soil sample locations (TB-1, TB-2, TB-3, and CTSA) within the release area and adjacent to the release area (TB-6 through TB-11). The intent of collecting additional samples at previous sample locations was to better define the vertical extent of impacted soil at these locations. However, the maximum sample depth that could be reached using a shovel or hand auger at these locations was restricted by the hardness of the surface soil. The location of soil samples collected during the 2013 and 2014 investigations are presented on Figure 1.

Surface soil samples were field-screened using a soil salinity meter (Myron L Agri-Meter<sup>TM</sup>). Select soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis based on field screening results for chloride and/or sulfate by Method E300. Select soil samples were also analyzed for chloride and/or sulfate synthetic precipitation leaching potential (SPLP) as follows:

- TB-1 (0 to 1 feet bgs and above liner) was analyzed for chloride and sulfate SPLP; and
- TB-1 (1 to 1.1 feet bgs and below liner) was analyzed for chloride SPLP.

As stated above, a heavy-duty plastic liner was encountered during sampling activities at sample locations TB-1, TB-2, and CTSA at depths ranging from approximately 1 to 2 feet bgs. Soil samples were collected from immediately beneath the liner at these three locations. A knife was utilized in order to bypass the liner as the shovel and hand auger could not penetrate the liner due to the strength of the liner and the hardness of the underlying soil.

#### August 2014 Soil Investigation Results

The 2013 and 2014 field and laboratory investigation results are presented in Table 1. The laboratory analytical report for the August 2014 samples is provided as Attachment A (laboratory analytical reports for the other samples shown on Table 1 have been previously provided to NMOCD). The laboratory results were compared to site-specific cleanup levels previously agreed upon by NMOCD in a January 15, 2014, e-mail and during the November 21, 2014, conference call.

The samples and depths at which the liner was encountered are indicated on Table 1 with a red dashed line. As shown on the table, the liner appears to have effectively prevented the vertical migration of chloride and sulfate at concentrations above the cleanup levels to the soils beneath the liner at two of the sample locations (TB-2 and CTSA). The liner at sample location TB-1 effectively prevented the vertical migration of sulfate and reduced the chloride concentration beneath the liner by 77 percent at a depth of 1-1.1 feet bgs and by 99 percent at a depth of 14-15



feet bgs. SPLP chloride results of two soil samples collected at TB-1 (one from a depth above the liner [0-1 feet] and one from a depth immediately below the liner [1-1.1 feet bgs]) show the soil above the liner at TB-1 could leach at concentrations above the New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) human health groundwater standards (absent the liner), but the soil beneath the liner (1-1.1 feet bgs) would not leach at concentrations above the WQCC human health groundwater standards.

#### LINER ASSESSMENT

On December 16, 2014, TRC collected a sample of the liner to assess the integrity and physical condition of the liner. An approximate one square foot sample was collected from the northeastern corner of the liner using a backhoe. The location of the liner sample is shown on Figure 1. The liner sample was visually inspected for structural integrity and determined to be free of any cracks, pinholes, stains, deformation, or any other indication of degradation or damage (except where damaged by the backhoe bucket teeth) that would indicate the integrity of the liner has been compromised. The physical strength of the liner was assessed by attempting to tear or deform the sample by hand. The sample could not be stretched or deformed by hand. Photographic documentation of the liner sample is provided as Attachment B.

The October 1990 Geraghty & Miller report did not include any additional specifications of the 30-mil liners. Based on the installation descriptions and photographs provided in the October 1990 Geraghty & Miller report and TRC's observations, the liners were installed in general agreement with the International Association of Geosynthetic Installers' (IAGI's) "HDPE and LLDPE Geomembrane Installation Specification".

#### **REQUEST FOR CLOSURE**

The results of the 2013 and 2014 investigations and the December 2014 liner assessment indicate that the liners installed by Southern Union Company in 1990 are in good condition and the "cap" (liners and clay layers) is effectively preventing the potential vertical migration of chloride and sulfate associated with April 2012 cooling tower release. NRC requests no further action be required in regards to the April 2012 cooling tower release based on the following:

- The "cap" is effectively preventing vertical migration of chloride and sulfate to underlying soils at concentrations above the soil cleanup levels.
- The "cap" is effectively preventing the leaching of chloride and sulfate to groundwater at concentrations above New Mexico WQCC human health groundwater standards.
- The "cap" is present over a majority of the area impacted by the April 2012 NRC cooling tower spill.
- The chloride- and sulfate-impacted surface soils around the cooling tower do not pose a risk to refinery workers.



- The uppermost groundwater-bearing unit beneath the site is greater than 100 feet bgs, including at wells located near the cooling tower.
- Chloride has not been detected above WQCC human health standards in wells MW-11, MW-28, and MW-29, the nearest down-gradient monitor wells, since the April 2012 cooling tower spill.

Pending NMOCD's approval of this closure request, NRC will submit a final "Release Notification and Corrective Action" Form C-141.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Robert Combs of NRC at (575) 746-5382, Bryan Gilbert of TRC at (512) 684-3104, or Julie Speer of TRC at (512) 684-3148.

Sincerely,

Bryan Gilbert, P.G. Project Manager

Sincerely,

Julie Speer, P.G., E.I.T. Associate Project Manager

Attachments:

Figure 1 – April 2012 Cooling Tower Spill Soil Sampling Location Map Table 1 – Summary of April 2012 Cooling Tower Spill Soil Sample Results Attachment A – Hall Environmental Laboratory Analytical Report Attachment B – Photograph of Liner Sample

 cc: Jim Griswold, NMOCD Environmental Bureau, Santa Fe, New Mexico Robert Combs, NRC, Artesia, New Mexico Brian Stone, NRC, Artesia, New Mexico Arsin Sahba, TRC, Austin, Texas



FIGURE



Table

			Field readings		Lab Results			
Sample Location	Date	Depth	Meter Reading (mmhos/cm)	Total Salt Equivalent (ppm)	Total Chloride (mg/kg)	Total Sulfate (mg/kg)	SPLP Chloride (mg/L)	SPLP Sulfate (mg/L)
		Co	ooling Tower Bac	kground Sample	419	1,310	WQCC GW Sta	ndard <sup>(3)</sup> (mg/L)
			Cleanu	ıp Level (mg/Kg)	600	2,080	250	600
	Aug 2014	0-1	5.0	3,200	5,600	3,500	370	120
TB-1	Aug 2014	1.0-1.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	_(2)	-	1,300	600	48	
	June 2013	14-15	-	-	82.8	99.5	-	-
TB-1 (Duplicate)	Aug 2014	0-1	-	-	5,200	2,900	-	-
	Jan 2013	0-0.5	-	-	2,080	2,650	-	-
	Aug 2014	0-1	3.9	2,496	-	-	-	-
IB-Z	Aug 2014	1-2	0.7	448	-	-		
	Aug 2014	2.0-2.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	_(2)	-	410	500	-	-
	Jan 2013	0-0.5	-	-	1,530	1,990	-	-
TB-3	Aug 2014	0-1	3.1	1,984	-	-	-	-
	Aug 2014	1-1.1	_(2)	-	1,200	830	-	-
TB-4	Jan 2013	0-0.5	-	-	47.7	83.3	-	-
TB-5	Jan 2013	0-0.5	-	-	12.8	20.0	-	-
TB-6	Aug 2014	0-1	1.5	960	970	780	-	-
TB-7	Aug 2014	0-1	0.8	512	400	820	-	-
TB-8	Aug 2014	0-1	1.4	896	670	510	-	-
TB-9	Aug 2014	0-1	1.9	1,216	1,400	780	-	-
TB-10	Aug 2014	0-1	2.4	1,536	1,500	1,400	-	-
TB-11	Aug 2014	0-1	0.5	320	370	510	-	-
	Jan 2013	0-1	-	-	2,140	536	-	-
CTSA	Aug 2014	0-1	4.0	2,560	-	-		-
	Aug 2014	1.0-1.1	_(2)	-	450	960	-	-

# Table 1. Summary of April 2012 Cooling Tower Spill Soil Sample Results Navajo Lovington Refinery, Lovington, NM

Notes:

Highlighted and bold cells exceed applicable cleanup level or standard

Sulfate soil cleanup level based on Oil Conservation Division's email dated 1/15/2014

Chloride soil cleanup level based on November 21, 2014, conference call between NRC and NMOCD.

Dissolved salt equivalency determined using the conversion 1 mmho/cm = 640 ppm

(1) Sample depth interval limited due to hand auger refusal and limited sample recovery.

(2) Insufficient sample volume recovered to conduct field reading.

(3) New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Human Health Standards for Groundwater

- Not analyzed

ppm - parts per million

mmho/cm - millimhos per centimeter

SPLP - Synthetic Precipitation Leaching Procedure

••••• 30-mil plastic liner present

# ATTACHMENT A

Hall Environmental Laboratory Analytical Report



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u>

October 02, 2014

Robert Combs Navajo Refining Company P.O. Box 159 Artesia, NM 88211-0159 TEL: (575) 748-3311 FAX

OrderNo.: 1408C59

RE: Loving to Lea Refinery

Dear Robert Combs:

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory received 13 sample(s) on 8/26/2014 for the analyses presented in the following report.

This report is a revised report and it replaces the original report issued September 03, 2014.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent. To access our accredited tests please go to <u>www.hallenvironmental.com</u> or the state specific web sites. See the sample checklist and/or the Chain of Custody for information regarding the sample receipt temperature and preservation. Data qualifiers or a narrative will be provided if the sample analysis or analytical quality control parameters require a flag. All samples are reported as received unless otherwise indicated.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

andy

Andy Freeman Laboratory Manager 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109



Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE Albuquerque, NM 87109 TEL: 505-345-3975 FAX: 505-345-4107 Website: www.hallenvironmental.com

# **Case Narrative**

WO#:1408C59Date:10/2/2014

CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Company
Project:	Loving to Lea Refinery

Analytical Notes Regarding SPLP: The following samples were leached using EPA method 1312: TB-1 0.0'-1.0' SPLP TB-1 1.0'-1.1' SPLP

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ironmental Analy	sis Laborat	tory, Inc	•		Date Reported:	10/2/2014
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Co	npany	Client Sample ID: 7			B-2 2.0'-2.1'	
Project:	Loving to Lea Refine	ery	<b>Collection Date:</b> 8/20/2014 3:40:00 PM <b>Matrix:</b> Soil				
Lab ID:	1408C59-001A						
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP
Chloride		410	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 4:02:28	PM 14961
Sulfate		500	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 4:02:28	PM 14961

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory Inc.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated M	lethod Blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded	
	J Analyte detected below quantitation limits ND Not Detected at t				
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	greater than RSDlimit P Sample pH greater than 2.		$\mathbf{P}_{\text{age}}$ 2 of 17
R		RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	r age 2 01 17

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Analys	sis Laborat	tory, Inc.			Date Reported:	10/2/2014		
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Com	o Refining Company			Client Sample ID: CTSA 1.0'-1.1'				
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea Refine 1408C59-002A	ſy	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 3:45:00 PM Matrix: Soil						
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID		
EPA METHOD	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP		
Chloride		450	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 4:39:41	PM 14961		
Sulfate		960	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 4:39:41	PM 14961		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated M	ethod Blank	
	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	O RSD is greater than RSDlimit P Sample pH greater than 2.		Sample pH greater than 2.	$P_{age}$ 3 of 17	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	ccepted recovery limits RL Reporting Det		r age 5 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ironmental Analy	sis Laborat	tory, Inc.			Date Reported:	10/2/2014
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Con	Client Sample ID: TB-1 1.0'-1.1'					
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea Refine 1408C59-003A	ery	<b>Collection Date:</b> 8/20/2014 3:50:00 PM <b>Matrix:</b> Soil				М
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHO	O 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	lyst: LGP
Chloride		1300	75	mg/Kg	50	8/28/2014 6:51:39 I	PM 14961
Sulfate		600	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 4:52:06 I	PM 14961

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated M	ethod Blank
<b>C</b>	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or anal	ysis exceeded
	J	J Analyte detected below quantitation limits ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit			
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit P Sample pH greater than 2.		Sample pH greater than 2.	$P_{age}/of 17$
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL Reporting Detection Limit		1 age 4 01 17

		• • •	Ŧ			Lab Order: 1408	C <b>59</b>
Hall Envi	ronmental Analy	sis Laborat	ory, In	IC.		Date Reported:	10/2/2014
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Con	npany		Client Samp	le ID: Th	3-1 1.0'-1.1'	
Project:	Loving to Lea Refin	ery		Collection	<b>Date:</b> 8/2	20/2014 3:50:00 P	M
Lab ID:	1408C59-003B			Μ	atrix: So	il	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
EPA METHOD	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP
Chloride		48	3.3	mg/L	20	10/1/2014 3:35:35	AM R21571

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank		
Е		Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	O RSD is greater than RSDlimit P Sa		Sample pH greater than 2. Page 5 (	of 17		
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	ts RL Reporting Detection Limit		1 age 5 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ironmental Analy	sis Laborat	tory, Inc.			Date Reported:	10/2/2014	
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Con	npany	Client Sample ID: TB-3 1.0'-1.1'					
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea Refine 1408C59-004A	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 3:55:00 PM Matrix: Soil						
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID	
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	lyst: LGP	
Chloride		1200	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:04:31	PM 14961	
Sulfate		830	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:04:31	PM 14961	

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank		
<b>2</b>	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 6 of 17	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	r age 0 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.						Date Reported:	10/2/2014	
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Cor	npany		<b>Client Sample ID:</b> TB-6 0.0'-1.0'				
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea Refine 1408C59-005A	ery	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 4:00:00 PM Matrix: Soil					
Analyses		Result	RL Qu	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID	
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP	
Chloride		970	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:16:56	PM 14961	
Sulfate		780	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:16:56	PM 14961	

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Oualifiers:         *         Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.         B			Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank			
	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 7 of 17	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 age / 01 1 /	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Analy	sis Laborat	tory, Inc	•		Date Reported:	10/2/2014
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Con	Navajo Refining Company Client Sample ID: 7				B-7 0.0'-1.0'	
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea Refine 1408C59-006A	ery	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 4:05:00 PM Matrix: Soil				
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	lyst: LGP
Chloride		400	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:29:20	PM 14961
Sulfate		820	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:29:20	PM 14961

#### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank			
<b>2</b>	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded			
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit			
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 8 of 17		
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	rage 8 01 17		

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi			Date Reported:	10/2/2014				
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Co	mpany		Client Sample ID: TB-8 0.0'-1.0'				
Project:	Loving to Lea Refin	ery	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 4:10:00 PM					
Lab ID:	1408C59-007A		Matrix: Soil					
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID	
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP	
Chloride		670	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:41:45	PM 14961	
Sulfate		510	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:41:45	PM 14961	

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory. Inc.

Qualifiers:	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank		
Quantiers	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	$\mathbf{P}_{acc} = 0 \text{ of } 17$	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	Fage 9 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Analys	sis Laborat	tory, In	nc.		Date Reported:	10/2/2014	
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Com	ipany	<b>Client Sample ID:</b> TB-9 0.0'-1.0'					
Project:	Loving to Lea Refine	ry	Collection Date: 8/20/2014 4:15:00 PM					
Lab ID:	1408C59-008A		Matrix: Soil					
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID	
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP	
Chloride		1400	75	mg/Kg	50	8/28/2014 7:04:04	PM 14961	
Sulfate		780	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 5:54:09	PM 14961	

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory Inc.

Qualifiers:	* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level. B Analyte detected in the associated M				ethod Blank	
	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.		
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 age 10 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.						Date Reported:	10/2/2014		
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Cor	npany		<b>Client Sample ID:</b> TB-10 0.0'-1.0'					
Project:	Loving to Lea Refine	Loving to Lea Refinery			Collection Date: 8/20/2014 4:20:00 PM				
Lab ID:	1408C59-009A		Matrix: Soil						
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID		
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP		
Chloride		1500	75	mg/Kg	50	8/28/2014 7:16:29	PM 14961		
Sulfate		1400	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 6:31:23	PM 14961		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	Analyte detected in the associated M	Aethod Blank	
	Е	Value above quantitation range		Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 11 of 17	
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 age 11 01 17	

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Analy	vsis Laborat			Date Reported:	10/2/2014			
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Co	mpany		Client Sample ID: TB-11 0.0'-1.0'					
Project:	Loving to Lea Refin	ery		Collection	Date: 8/2	20/2014 4:25:00 P	М		
Lab ID:	1408C59-010A			Μ	atrix: So	il			
Analyses		Result	RL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID		
	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP		
Chloride		370	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 6:43:48	PM 14961		
Sulfate		510	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 6:43:48	PM 14961		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory. Inc.

	*	Value avceeds Maximum Contaminant Lavel	В	Analyte detected in the associated M	lethod Blank
Qualifiers:		value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	D	Analyte detected in the associated w	lethou blank
	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or ana	lysis exceeded
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 12 of 17
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 420 12 01 17

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Analy	sis Laborat			Date Reported:	10/2/2014			
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Con	npany		Client Sample ID: TB-1 0.0'-1.0'					
Project:	Loving to Lea Refin	ery		Collection	Date: 8/2	20/2014 4:50:00 P	M		
Lab ID:	1408C59-011A			Μ	l <b>atrix:</b> So	il			
Analyses		Result	RL Qu	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID		
EPA METHO	0 300.0: ANIONS					Ana	alyst: LGP		
Chloride		5600	750	mg/Kg	500	8/28/2014 7:28:53	PM 14961		
Sulfate		3500	750	mg/Kg	500	8/28/2014 7:28:53	PM 14961		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank			
c	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or an	alysis exceeded		
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit	t		
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 13 of 17		
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 age 15 01 17		

Lab Order: 1408C59

10/2/2014

Date Reported:

CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Co	mpany	Client Sample ID: DUP-1						
Project: Lab ID:	Loving to Lea RefineryCollection Date: 8/20/20141408C59-012AMatrix: Soil					20/2014 11:59:00 F il	ΡM		
Analyses		Result	RL Qı	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID		
EPA METHOD	0 300.0: ANIONS					Anal	yst: LGP		
Chloride		5200	300	mg/Kg	200	8/28/2014 7:41:17 F	M 14961		
Sulfate		2900	30	mg/Kg	20	8/26/2014 7:08:38 F	PM 14961		

# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

<b>Oualifiers:</b>	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.	В	B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank				
c	Е	Value above quantitation range	Н	Holding times for preparation or ana	lysis exceeded			
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit				
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page $1/$ of $17$			
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 age 14 01 17			

Lab Order: 1408C59

Hall Envi	ronmental Anal	ysis Laborat	tory, I	nc.			Date Reported:	10/2/2014
CLIENT:	Navajo Refining Co	ompany		C	lient Samp	ole ID: TI	3-1 0.0'-1.0' SPLP	
Project:	Loving to Lea Refir	nery			Collection	<b>Date:</b> 8/2	26/2014	
Lab ID:	1408C59-013A				Μ	latrix: Le	achate	
Analyses		Result	RL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	Batch ID
	0 300.0: ANIONS						Ana	alyst: <b>LGP</b>
Chloride		370	10	*	mg/L	20	8/27/2014 1:11:48	AM R20831
Sulfate		120	10		mg/L	20	8/27/2014 1:11:48	AM R20831

0.110	*	Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level	B	Analyte detected in the associated M	lethod Blank		
Qualifiers:	E Value above quantitation range		Н	Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded			
	J	Analyte detected below quantitation limits	ND	Not Detected at the Reporting Limit			
	0	RSD is greater than RSDlimit	Р	Sample pH greater than 2.	Page 15 of 17		
	R	RPD outside accepted recovery limits	RL	Reporting Detection Limit	1 460 15 01 17		

# QC SUMMARY REPORT Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:	Navajo Loving	Refining Co to Lea Refin	ompany nery									
Sample ID	MB-14961	SampT	Гуре: <b>МЕ</b> h ID: <b>14</b>	3LK 961	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	IS			_
Prep Date:	8/26/2014	Analysis E	Date: 8/	26/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	0623 06221	Units: <b>mg/k</b>	٢g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Chloride Sulfate		ND ND	1.5 1.5									
Sample ID	LCS-14961	SampT	Type: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anion	IS			_
Client ID:	LCSS	Batcl	h ID: 14	961	F	RunNo: 2	0829					
Prep Date:	8/26/2014	Analysis E	Date: <b>8/</b>	26/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	06222	Units: mg/k	٢g			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Chloride		14	1.5	15.00	0	95.1	90	110				
Sulfate		29	1.5	30.00	0	96.9	90	110				

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- O RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
  - P Sample pH greater than 2.
  - RL Reporting Detection Limit

Page 16 of 17

WO#: 1408C59 02-Oct-14

# **QC SUMMARY REPORT** Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Client: Project:		Navajo Refining Com Loving to Lea Refiner	pany y								
Sample ID	MB	SampTyp	e: Me	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 2	20831				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: <b>8/</b>	26/2014	5	SeqNo: 6	606285	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	0.50								
Sulfate		ND	0.50								
Sample ID	LCS	SampTyp	e: <b>LC</b>	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 2	20831				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: <b>8/</b>	26/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	606286	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		4.6	0.50	5.000	0	92.4	90	110			
Sulfate		9.8	0.50	10.00	0	97.7	90	110			
Sample ID	MB	SampTyp	e: Me	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 2	20831				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: <b>8/</b>	26/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	606339	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	0.50								
Sulfate		ND	0.50								
Sample ID	LCS	SampTyp	e: <b>LC</b>	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	0831	F	RunNo: 2	20831				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: <b>8/</b>	26/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	606340	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		4.6	0.50	5.000	0	92.6	90	110			
Sulfate		9.9	0.50	10.00	0	98.5	90	110			
Sample ID	MB	SampTyp	e: Me	BLK	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	;		
Client ID:	PBW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	1571	F	RunNo: 2	21571				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: 9/	30/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	632137	Units: mg/L			
Analyte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		ND	0.50								
Sample ID	LCS	SampTyp	e: LC	s	Tes	tCode: E	PA Method	300.0: Anions	5		
Client ID:	LCSW	Batch II	): <b>R2</b>	1571	F	RunNo: 2	21571				
Prep Date:		Analysis Date	e: 9/	30/2014	S	SeqNo: 6	32138	Units: mg/L			
Analvte		Result	PQL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Chloride		4.7	0.50	5.000	0	94.5	90	110			

#### **Qualifiers:**

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level.
- Е Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- 0 RSD is greater than RSDlimit
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits
- S Spike Recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- В Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- Page 17 of 17

- Р Sample pH greater than 2.
- Reporting Detection Limit RL

WO#: 1408C59

HALL Ha ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS LABORATORY	all Environmental A Albuq EL: 505-345-3975 1 Website: www.hall	Inalysis Laboratory 4901 Hawkins NE querque, NM 87109 FAX: 505-345-4107 lenvironmental.com	Sam	Sample Log-In Check List			
Client Name: NAVAJO REFINING COM Worl	k Order Number:	1408C59		RcptNo: 1			
Received by/date: 05 08	25 14						
Logged By: Celina Sessa 8/25/20	014 8:35:00 AM	L	elim >	-			
Completed By: Celina Sessa 8/25/20 Reviewed By: OA U	014 9:11:29 AM		elin S	vno			
Chain of Custody							
1. Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹			
2. Is Chain of Custody complete?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present			
3. How was the sample delivered?		<u>FedEx</u>					
<u>Log In</u>							
4. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	NA 🗌			
5. Were all samples received at a temperature of $>0^{\circ}$	C to 6.0°C	Yes 🗹	No 🗋				
6. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗍				
7. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌				
8. Are samples (except VOA and ONG) properly prese	erved?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌				
9. Was preservative added to bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	NA 🗌			
10.VOA vials have zero headspace?		Yes	No 🗌	No VOA Vials 🔽			
11. Were any sample containers received broken?		Yes 📙	No 🗹	# of preserved bottles checked			
12. Does paperwork match bottle labels? (Note discrepancies on chain of custody)		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	for pH: (<2 or >12 unle	ess noted)		
13. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custod	iy?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Adjusted?			
14. Is it clear what analyses were requested?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌				
15. Were all holding times able to be met? (If no, notify customer for authorization.)		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Checked by:			
Special Handling (if applicable)							
16. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this ord	ler?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹			

Person Notified: By Whom:	Date: Date: Via: eMail Phone Fax In Person
Regarding: Client Instructions:	

17. Additional remarks:

#### 18. Cooler Information

Cooler No	Temp °C	Condition	Seal Intact	Seal No	Seal Date	Signed By
1	1.7	Good	Yes			

NMENTAL	ORATORY	Ę	A 87109	4107			- Ь0	(N ) 09 9 '-	0 A) 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Alir Bubbles E 300 - E 300 -	X	X	×	X	X	X	×	X	X	X	X	X	'SPLP-013		Julie \$ 9/19
Ca		ntal.co	ue, NN	-345-	quest				()	VOV) 80928													1.0		
		onmer	duerqi	X 505	is Re	 (†c	2 PCB's	, 8082	ON,I Zebi	O,⊣) snoinA										_			0,		-1,1'
Ľ	S	lenvir	Albu	Ц.	nalys				slet	RCRA 8 Me													O		/ /.i
	AL AL	w.hal	ЧN	3975	A		(SMIS	5 0728	) ol (	)rɛ8) a'HA9										_			$\overline{\lambda}$		TC- Ind data
I			vkìns	345-0	-			(1.0  4.1)	יק 90 קיקו	EDB (Metho										_			F.	lit.	1 h
	] [		1 Hav	. 505-		<u>(0)</u>		10 / DE	<u>אס)</u>	83108 H9T									-				1	8( <sup>2</sup> 5	
			490	Tel		( <b>ʎ</b> ʃu	no seÐ)	НЧТ	+ 36	BTEX + MT													narks	r F	<u>(</u> d Sy
		<b>,</b> .				(	r208) e	.amt	+ 36	BTEX + MTI													Rer	<b></b>	A A
		to Lea	et mery			art Carte	serve cames	Nen		HEAL NO HUOS C59	100-	-002	200-	100-	500	900-	100	2008	600-	-010	110-	-012	Date Time 08/25/14 0835	Date Time	ies. This serves as nutice of th
i ime:	🗆 Rush	ening :	5			ger: R Jh		Solin A	berature: /	Preservative Type	NONE	Duon	DUNC	Dore	Juan	DOAC	hord	2002	という	none	Rene	hone	L'AND		credited Ishoratori
I ULII-ALDUIID	X Standard	Project Name		Project #:		Project Mana	- Harris	Sampler:	Sample Tem	Container Type and #	4-02 guz	4-07	2015	0 402 0 402	4/81 55	20410	1 402 8123	1240E	402	0402 9125	1405	0 407 01655	Received by:	Received by:	antracted to other a
stody Record	efining company		× 159 Artesia	88211	16-5382	conto 5 Cholly frontier	Level 4 (Full Validation)			Sample Request ID	78-2 2.0-2.1'	The CT5A 1.0'-11'	TB-1 1.0'-1.1'	78-31.0-1.1	78-6 0.0-1.0'	78-7 0.0-1.0'	TB-80.0-1.0'	TB-9 0.0-1.0'	TB-10 0.0-1.0'	TB-11 0.0'-1.0'	TB-1 0.0'-1.0'	Duo-1	dby: 1 John Miles	id by:	litted to Hall Environmental mav he surver
-of-Cu	50 R	20 K	PO BO	ろぞ	5 - 7'	shert.	<u>zbert.</u>	□ Othe	□ Othe	Matrix	Ŋ	5	5	5	5	5	S	5	Ś	5	S	Ч	Relignishe	Relinquishe	amnlas suhr
hain	NaVa		Address		# 57	r Fax#: ሲ	Package: Idard	itation AP	(Type)	Time	1540	1545	1550	)555	1600	1605	1610	1615	1620	1625	1650	2400	Time: 12 4 O	Time:	f nerescarv
	Client:		Mailing		Phone	email o	QA/QC	Accred		Date	Slaping	Blzdiy	3/20/14	¥20/14	Rizdiy	1/2/1 Y	Sledin	3/20/14	3/20/14	6/20/14	5/20/14	Skaliy	Date: 5/22/14	Date:	

# ATTACHMENT B

Photograph of Liner Sample

