District I
1625 N. French Dr., Hobbs, NM 88240
District II
811 S. First St., Artesia, NM 88210
District III
1000 Rio Brazos Road, Aztec, NM 87410
District IV
1220 S. St. Francis Dr., Santa Fe, NM 87505

State of New Mexico Energy Minerals and Natural Resources

Form C-141 Revised April 3, 2017

Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505 Submit 1 Copy to appropriate District Office in accordance with 19.15.29 NMAC.

	Release Notification and Corrective Action											
						OPERA'	ГOR	ſ	☐ Initia	al Report	х	Final Report
Name of Co	mpany	Ridgeway Ariz	ona Oil Cor	npany		Contact Lau				,		
		e Parkway, St	uite 150, H	ouston, TX 77079		Telephone No. 832-485-8522						
Facility Nar	ne Lauck Fe	ederal No. 4				Facility Type Oil						
Surface Ow	ner Federal			Mineral C)wner	Federal			API No	. 30-041-102	31	
				LOCA	ATIO	N OF RE	LEASE					
Unit Letter	Section	Township	Range	Feet from the		n/South Line	Feet from the	East/W	est Line	County		
0	29	78	33E	660		FSL	1980	FEL		Roosevelt		
			Latitud	le 33.611855	I	_ongitude		NAD8	3			
				NAT	URF	OF REL	EASE					
Type of Rele	ase Hydroca	arbon		11211	~ 111		Release 20 bbls	T	Volume F	Recovered	17 bbls	
Source of Re		Barrel Tank Theif	Hatch			Date and I	lour of Occurrenc	e Unknown	Date and	Hour of Dis	covery	8/29/2010 07:00 Hrs.
Was Immedia	ate Notice (Yes [No 🖺 Not Re	equirec	If YES, To	Whom?					
By Whom?	N/A					Date and I						
Was a Water	course Reac	ched?	Yes 🗵	No		If YES, V	olume Impacting t	the Water	course.			
If a Watercourse was Impacted, Describe Fully.*												
level inside the tank	Describe Cause of Problem and Remedial Action Taken.* The water leg on the steet gun barrel tank (500 bbls) plugged not allowing the water to flow from the tank. Therefore, causing an increase in the water level inside the tank that ultimately forced the hydrocarbon (oil) out of the theif hatch onto the ground to the east. When the pumper (Matthew Howe), reaching the location at 0700 Hrs., discovered the discharge, he immediately notified appropriate company personnel and called in a vacuum truck to remove the pooling hydrocarbon from the ground surface so as to prevent further horizontal and vertical absorption of the discharged oil until excavation could be achieved.											
Describe Are All vacuumed oil (17 contaminated materi tank and the heater	a Affected abbis) was returned al was hauled to discreter west of the e Action Plan and	and Cleanup A d to the gun barrel ta isposal. Currently, th above spill. The con	Action Tal nk. Since there is site awaits si npany then exca eport for details	Ken.* was no backhoes available ampling for further dispositie avated this area and remove.	on Sunda on of the cl ed approxi	y or Monday, the exca eanup but it is believe nately 1.5 feet of cont	vation of the discharge area d to now be within regulator aminated material to dispos	a began and wa y limits. Conci al. This also a	as completed o	n Tuesday. Appro:	kimately 1 scharge ar	foot of hydrocarbon rea between the gun
I hereby certi regulations a public health should their cor the environ	Final Report: Attached is the Analytical and Quality Control Report for soil sampling completed on 09/07/2010. I hereby certify that the information given above is true and complete to the best of my knowledge and understand that pursuant to NMOCD rules and regulations all operators are required to report and/or file certain release notifications and perform corrective actions for releases which may endanger public health or the environment. The acceptance of a C-141 report by the NMOCD marked as "Final Report" does not relieve the operator of liability should their operations have failed to adequately investigate and remediate contamination that pose a threat to ground water, surface water, human health or the environment. In addition, NMOCD acceptance of a C-141 report does not relieve the operator of responsibility for compliance with any other federal, state, or local laws and/or regulations.											
Signature: Approved by Environmental Specialist:						DIVISIO	<u> </u>					
Printed Name	e: Lauri M.	Stanfield						recianot.				
Title: Office	Manager					Approval Da	te:	E	xpiration	Date:	Pate:	
		ld@hunteroil.c				Conditions o	f Approval:			Attached		
Date: 3/14/2	018		Phone	832-485-8522								

* Attach Additional Sheets If Necessary



6701 Aberdeen Avenue, Suite 9 200 East Sunset Road, Suite E 5002 Basin Street, Suite A1

El Paso, Texas 79922 Midland, Texas 79703 6015 Harris Parkway, Suite 110 Ft. Worth, Texas 76132

Lubbock, Texas 79424 888 • 588 • 3443 806 • 794 • 1296 915 • 585 • 3443 432 • 689 • 6301

FAX 806 • 794 • 1298 FAX 915 • 585 • 4944 FAX 432 • 689 • 6313

817 • 201 • 5260

E-Mail: lab@traceanalysis.com

Certifications

WBENC: 237019

HUB:

1752439743100-86536

DBE: VN 20657

NCTRCA WFWB38444Y0909

LELAP-02002

NELAP Certifications

Lubbock: T104704219-08-TX

LELAP-02003

El Paso:

T104704221-08-TX

Midland: T104704392-08-TX

Kansas E-10317

Analytical and Quality Control Report

Andy Chalker Ridgeway Arizona Oil Company 200 N. Lorraine St. **Suite 1400** Midland, Tx, 79701

Report Date: September 20, 2010

Work Order: 10090703

Project Name:

Lauck Battery Discharge Project Number: Lauck Federal No. 4

Enclosed are the Analytical Report and Quality Control Report for the following sample(s) submitted to TraceAnalysis, Inc.

			Date	1 ime	Date
Sample	Description	Matrix	Taken	Taken	Received
$\overline{243642}$	Spill Area West Side Battery	soil	2010-09-03	09:30	2010-09-04
243643	Spill Area East Side Battery	soil	2010-09-03	09:45	2010-09-04
243644	Spill Area Asphalted Hydrocarbon	soil	2010-09-03	10:00	2010-09-04
243645	Spill Area West Side Back Area	soil	2010-09-03	10:30	2010-09-04
243646	Spill Area Background	soil	2010-09-03	10:45	2010-09-04

These results represent only the samples received in the laboratory. The Quality Control Report is generated on a batch basis. All information contained in this report is for the analytical batch(es) in which your sample(s) were analyzed.

This report consists of a total of 19 pages and shall not be reproduced except in its entirety, without written approval of

 ${\bf Trace Analysis, Inc.}$

Michael april

Dr. Blair Leftwich, Director Dr. Michael Abel, Project Manager

Standard Flags

 ${\bf B}$ - The sample contains less than ten times the concentration found in the method blank.

Case Narrative

Samples for project Lauck Battery Discharge were received by TraceAnalysis, Inc. on 2010-09-04 and assigned to work order 10090703. Samples for work order 10090703 were received intact at a temperature of 22.3 C.

Samples were analyzed for the following tests using their respective methods.

		Prep	Prep	$_{ m QC}$	Analysis
Test	Method	Batch	Date	Batch	Date
BTEX	S 8021B	62856	2010-09-07 at 07:59	73279	2010-09-07 at 07:59
Chloride (Titration)	SM 4500-Cl B	63156	2010-09-17 at 17:27	73627	2010-09-17 at 17:27
TPH DRO - NEW	$\mathrm{S}~8015~\mathrm{D}$	62991	2010-09-12 at 13:00	73429	2010-09-12 at 19:00
TPH DRO - NEW	$\mathrm{S}~8015~\mathrm{D}$	63058	2010-09-14 at 15:00	73506	2010-09-14 at 15:00
TPH GRO	S 8015 D	62856	2010-09-07 at 07:59	73280	2010-09-07 at 07:59

Results for these samples are reported on a wet weight basis unless data package indicates otherwise.

A matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) sample is chosen at random from each preparation batch. The MS and MSD will indicate if a site specific matrix problem is occurring, however, it may not pertain to the samples for work order 10090703 since the sample was chosen at random. Therefore, the validity of the analytical data reported has been determined by the laboratory control sample (LCS) and the method blank (MB). These quality control measures are performed with each preparation batch to ensure data integrity.

All other exceptions associated with this report have been footnoted on the appropriate analytical page to assist in general data comprehension. Please contact the laboratory directly if there are any questions regarding this project.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Analytical Report

Sample: 243642 - Spill Area West Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: **BTEX** QC Batch: 73279 Prep Batch: 62856

Analytical Method: S 8021B Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07

Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ER ERPrepared By:

		m RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	Dilution	RL
Benzene	1	< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Toluene		< 0.200	${ m mg/Kg}$	10	0.0200
Ethylbenzene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Xylene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200

					Spike	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.69	mg/Kg	10	2.00	84	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		2.03	${ m mg/Kg}$	10	2.00	102	71.8 - 115

Sample: 243642 - Spill Area West Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) QC Batch: 73627 Prep Batch: 63156

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

SM 4500-Cl B 2010-09-17

Prep Method: N/ACBAnalyzed By: Prepared By: CB

RLParameter Flag Result Units Dilution RLChloride 190 mg/Kg 2.50

Sample Preparation:

Sample: 243642 - Spill Area West Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH DRO - NEW QC Batch: 73429 Prep Batch: 62991

Analytical Method: S 8015 D Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-12

Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: AW Prepared By: AW

RLParameter Flag Result Units Dilution RL1460 $\overline{\text{DRO}}$ mg/Kg50.0 1

¹Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

					\mathbf{Spike}	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane	2	216	mg/Kg	10	100	216	71.7 - 148

Sample: 243642 - Spill Area West Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: QC Batch:

 \overline{GRO}

TPH GRO

73280 Prep Batch: 62856

Analytical Method: S 8015 D Date Analyzed:

2010-09-07 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07 Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ERPrepared By: ER

Page Number: 5 of 19

Parameter Flag

RLResult <20.0

Units Dilution mg/Kg 10 RL

2.00

Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Spike Amount	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	4	1.40	mg/Kg	10	2.00	70	85.2 - 112
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		2.04	mg/Kg	10	2.00	102	68.4 - 112

Sample: 243643 - Spill Area East Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: **BTEX** QC Batch: 73279 Prep Batch:

62856

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed: Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07

S 8021B 2010-09-07 Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ERPrepared By: ER

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	Dilution	RL
Benzene	5	< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Toluene		< 0.200	m mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Ethylbenzene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Xylene		< 0.200	m mg/Kg	10	0.0200

					\mathbf{Spike}	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.55	mg/Kg	10	2.00	78	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.93	m mg/Kg	10	2.00	96	71.8 - 115

Sample Preparation:

Sample: 243643 - Spill Area East Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Prep Batch:

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) QC Batch:

73627 63156

Analytical Method: SM 4500-Cl B Date Analyzed:

2010-09-17

Prep Method: N/AAnalyzed By: CBPrepared By: CB

²High surrogate recovery due to peak interference.

³Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

⁴Surrogate recovery out due to dilution caused by surfactants in the sample.

⁵Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

Sample Preparation: 2010-09-12

Page Number: 6 of 19

		m RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	\mathbf{Units}	Dilution	RL
Chloride		180	m mg/Kg	10	2.50

Sample: 243643 - Spill Area East Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis:

TPH DRO - NEW

73429

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

S 8015 D 2010-09-12 Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By: AW

AW

Prepared By:

QC Batch: Prep Batch: 62991

DТ

		\mathbf{n} L			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
DRO		590	mg/Kg	10	50.0

					Spike	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	Dilution	${f Amount}$	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane		134	mg/Kg	10	100	134	71.7 - 148

Sample: 243643 - Spill Area East Side Battery

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH GRO QC Batch: 73280 Prep Batch: 62856

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

S 8015 D 2010-09-07 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07 Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ER

Prepared By: ER

		RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
GRO	6	36.8	mg/Kg	10	2.00

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	\mathbf{Units}	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	7	1.49	mg/Kg	10	2.00	74	85.2 - 112
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.78	mg/Kg	10	2.00	89	68.4 - 112

Sample: 243644 - Spill Area Asphalted Hydrocarbon

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: **BTEX** Analytical Method: S 8021B Prep Method: S 5035 QC Batch: 73279 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: ERPrep Batch: 62856 Sample Preparation: Prepared By: 2010-09-07 ER

⁶Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

⁷Surrogate recovery out due to dilution caused by surfactants in the sample.

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

		m RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Benzene	8	< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Toluene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Ethylbenzene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Xylene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200

					\mathbf{Spike}	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.65	mg/Kg	10	2.00	82	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.84	mg/Kg	10	2.00	92	71.8 - 115

Sample: 243644 - Spill Area Asphalted Hydrocarbon

Laboratory: Lubbock

Prep Batch:

Chloride

Analysis: Chloride (Titration)

QC Batch:

73627 63156 Analytical Method:

SM 4500-Cl B Date Analyzed: 2010-09-17 Sample Preparation:

Prep Method:

N/A Analyzed By: CBPrepared By: CB

Page Number: 7 of 19

Parameter Flag

RL			
Result	Units	Dilution	RL
<25.0	mg/Kg	10	2.50

Sample: 243644 - Spill Area Asphalted Hydrocarbon

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH DRO - NEW QC Batch: 73429 Prep Batch: 62991

Analytical Method: S 8015 D Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-12

Prep Method: N/AAnalyzed By: AW Prepared By: AW

RLParameter Flag Result Units Dilution RLDRO 98.6 mg/Kg 50.0

					\mathbf{Spike}	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane		93.1	mg/Kg	1	100	93	71.7 - 148

Sample: 243644 - Spill Area Asphalted Hydrocarbon

Laboratory: Lubbock

TPH GRO Analysis: Analytical Method: S 8015 D Prep Method: S 5035 QC Batch: Analyzed By: 73280 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 ERPrep Batch: 62856 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07 Prepared By: ER

⁸Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 8 of 19

ER

ER

Parameter	Flag		$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{RL} \\ \mathrm{Result} \end{array}$		Units	Г	Pilution	m RL
GRO	9		<20.0		${ m mg/Kg}$		10	2.00
Currogata		Ela –	D14	TI-:++	Dilatian	Spike	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate		Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		10	1.59	mg/Kg	10	2.00	80	85.2 - 112
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-B	FB)		1.88	mg/Kg	10	2.00	94	68.4 - 112

Sample: 243645 - Spill Area West Side Back Area

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: BTEX Analytical Method: S 8021B Prep Method: S 5035 QC Batch: 73279 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: Prep Batch: 62856 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07 Prepared By:

		m RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Benzene	11	< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Toluene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Ethylbenzene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200
Xylene		< 0.200	mg/Kg	10	0.0200

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	${f Amount}$	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.63	m mg/Kg	10	2.00	82	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.84	m mg/Kg	10	2.00	92	71.8 - 115

Sample: 243645 - Spill Area West Side Back Area

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: Chloride (Titration) Analytical Method: SM 4500-Cl B Prep Method: N/A QC Batch: 73627 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-17 Analyzed By: CBPrep Batch: 63156 Sample Preparation: Prepared By: CB

		RL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Chloride		218	mg/Kg	10	2.50

Sample: 243645 - Spill Area West Side Back Area

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: TPH DRO - NEW Analytical Method: S 8015 D Prep Method: N/A QC Batch: 73506 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-14 Analyzed By: AW 63058 Prep Batch: Sample Preparation: 2010-09-14 Prepared By: AW

⁹Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

¹⁰Surrogate recovery out due to dilution caused by surfactants in the sample.

¹¹Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Work Order: 10090703

Page Number: 9 of 19

Lauck Federal No. 4 Lauck Battery Discharge

			RL					
Parameter		Flag	Result		Units		Dilution	RL
DRO			623		${ m mg/Kg}$		1	50.0
						Spike	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	$\operatorname{Dil} olimits$	ition	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane	12	172	mg/Kg		1	100	172	71.7 - 148
Sample: 24	3645 - Spill	Area West Sic	de Back Are	ea				
Laboratory:	Lubbock							
Analysis:	TPH GRO		Analytical	Method:	S 8015 D		Prep Met	hod: S 5035
QC Batch:	73280		Date Anal	lyzed:	2010-09-07	7	Analyzed	
Prep Batch:	62856		Sample Pr	reparation:	2010-09-0	7	Prepared	By: ER
			RL					
Parameter		Flag	Result		Units		Dilution	RI
GRO		13	< 20.0		m mg/Kg		10	2.00
						Spike	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate		Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	n Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotolu	ene (TFT)	14	1.57	m mg/Kg	10	2.00	78	85.2 - 113
4-Bromofluo	robenzene (4-	BFB)	1.93	mg/Kg	10	2.00	96	68.4 - 112
Sample: 24	3646 - Spill	Area Backgro	$\mathbf{u}\mathbf{n}\mathbf{d}$					
Laboratory:	Lubbock							
Analysis:	BTEX		Analytical		S 8021 B		Prep Met	
QC Batch:	73279		Date Analy		2010-09-07		Analyzed	
Prep Batch:	62856		Sample Pre	eparation:	2010-09-07		Prepared	By: ER
			RL					
Parameter		Flag	Result	<u> </u>	Units		Dilution	RI
1		15	-0.0400		/17			0.000

< 0.0400

< 0.0400

< 0.0400

< 0.0400

mg/Kg

mg/Kg mg/Kg mg/Kg

2

2

2 2

0.0200

0.0200

0.0200

0.0200

Benzene

Toluene

Xylene

Ethylbenzene

¹²High surrogate recovery due to peak interference.

¹³Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Sample dilution necessitated due to dilution caused by surfactants in the sample.
 Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 10 of 19

Surrogate	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	Dilution	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Spike} \\ {\rm Amount} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.46	mg/Kg	2	2.00	73	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.86	mg/Kg	2	2.00	93	71.8 - 115

Sample: 243646 - Spill Area Background

Laboratory:

Lubbock

Analysis: QC Batch: Chloride (Titration)

73627 Prep Batch: 63156 Analytical Method:

SM 4500-Cl B

Prep Method: N/A CB

Date Analyzed: Sample Preparation: 2010-09-17

Analyzed By:

Prepared By: CB

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
Chloride		<25.0	mg/Kg	10	2.50

Sample: 243646 - Spill Area Background

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: QC Batch: TPH DRO - NEW

73429Prep Batch: 62991

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

S 8015 D 2010-09-12 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-12 Prep Method: N/A Analyzed By:

AW Prepared By: AW

RL

		IUL			
Parameter	Flag	Result	${f Units}$	Dilution	RL
DRO		< 50.0	mg/Kg	1	50.0

					\mathbf{Spike}	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	\mathbf{Units}	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane		93.8	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	100	94	71.7 - 148

Sample: 243646 - Spill Area Background

Laboratory: Lubbock

Analysis: QC Batch: Prep Batch: 62856

TPH GRO 73280

Analytical Method: Date Analyzed:

S 8015 D 2010-09-07 Sample Preparation: 2010-09-07 Prep Method: S 5035 Analyzed By: ERPrepared By: ER

RL

Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	RL
GRO	16	<4.00	m mg/Kg	2	2.00

¹⁶Sample dilution necessitated due to the presence of surfactants in sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

					Spike	Domoont	Pagayany
					эріке	$\operatorname{Percent}$	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	$\operatorname{Dilution}$	${f Amount}$	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	17	1.41	mg/Kg	2	2.00	70	85.2 - 112
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.88	mg/Kg	2	2.00	94	68.4 - 112

Method	Blook .	(1)
metnoa	Blank	(1)

QC Batch: 73279

QC Batch: 73279 Prep Batch: 62856

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

Page Number: 11 of 19

		MDL		
Parameter	Flag	Result	${f Units}$	RL
Benzene		< 0.00462	mg/Kg	0.02
Toluene		< 0.00582	${ m mg/Kg}$	0.02
Ethylbenzene		< 0.00433	${ m mg/Kg}$	0.02
Xylene		0.00410	mg/Kg	0.02

					$_{ m Spike}$	Percent	Recovery
Surrogate	Flag	Result	${f Units}$	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.94	mg/Kg	1	2.00	97	71.6 - 116
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.89	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	2.00	94	71.8 - 115

Method Blank (1)

QC Batch: 73280

QC Batch: 73280 Prep Batch: 62856 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

		MDL		
Parameter	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	RL
GRO		<0.241	mg/Kg	2

Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	$egin{array}{c} \mathbf{Spike} \ \mathbf{Amount} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)		1.96	mg/Kg	1	2.00	98	85.2 - 112
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)		1.93	mg/Kg	1	2.00	96	68.4 - 112

Method Blank (1)

QC Batch: 73429

QC Batch: 73429 Prep Batch: 62991 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12 QC Preparation: 2010-09-12

Analyzed By: AW Prepared By: AW

¹⁷Surrogate recovery out due to dilution caused by surfactants in the sample.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 12 of 19

Parameter		Flag		$rac{ ext{MDL}}{ ext{Result}}$	1	Units	m RL
DRO				< 8.38	n	ng/Kg	50
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Spike} \\ \textbf{Amount} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Recovery Limits
n-Tricosane		92.1	$_{ m mg/Kg}$	1	100	92	71.7 - 148

Method Blank (1)

QC Batch: 73506

QC Batch: 73506

Date Analyzed:

2010-09-14

Analyzed By: AW

Prep Batch: 63058

QC Preparation: 2010-09-14

Prepared By: AW

		MDL		
Parameter	Flag	Result	Units	RL_{-}
DRO		<8.38	mg/Kg	50

					\mathbf{Spike}	$\operatorname{Percent}$	$\operatorname{Recovery}$
Surrogate	Flag	Result	Units	Dilution	Amount	Recovery	Limits
n-Tricosane		81.9	mg/Kg	1	100	82	71.7 - 148

Method Blank (1)

QC Batch: 73627

QC Batch: Prep Batch:

73627 63156 Date Analyzed:

2010-09-17

Analyzed By: CB

Prepared By: CB

		MDL		
Parameter	Flag	Result	${ m Units}$	RL
Chloride		< 1.59	mg/Kg	2.5

QC Preparation: 2010-09-17

Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch: Prep Batch: 62856

73279

Date Analyzed:

2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

LCS Spike Matrix Rec. Result Limit Param Result Units Dil. Amount Rec. Benzene 1.89 mg/Kg 1 2.00 < 0.00462 94 77.8 - 118 Toluene 1.92 mg/Kg 1 2.00 < 0.00582 96 76.6 - 121 1.85mg/Kg 1 2.00 < 0.00433 92 77 - 114 Ethylbenzene 76.9 - 117Xylene 5.87 mg/Kg 6.00 0.0041 98

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

LCSD RPD Spike Matrix Rec. Limit RPD Param Result Units Dil. Amount Result Rec. Limit Benzene 77.8 - 118 1.87mg/Kg 1 2.00 < 0.00462 941 20 Toluene 1.95 mg/Kg 1 2.00 < 0.00582 98 76.6 - 121 2 20 Ethylbenzene 1.87 2.00 < 0.00433 94 77 - 114 20 mg/Kg 1 1 98 76.9 - 117 20 Xylene 5.90 mg/Kg 1 6.00 0.00410

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			\mathbf{Spike}	LCS	LCSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	${f Amount}$	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	1.92	1.91	mg/Kg	1	2.00	96	96	73.6 - 118
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.88	1.87	mg/Kg	1	2.00	94	94	73.4 - 117

Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch: 73280 Prep Batch: 62856 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

Page Number: 13 of 19

	LCS			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		${ m Rec.}$
Param	Result	\mathbf{Units}	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	${f Limit}$
GRO	20.4	mg/Kg	1	20.0	< 0.241	102	70 - 130

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	${f Limit}$	RPD	$_{ m Limit}$
GRO	20.6	mg/Kg	1	20.0	< 0.241	103	70 - 130	1	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			\mathbf{Spike}	LCS	LCSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	1.86	1.89	mg/Kg	1	2.00	93	94	79.3 - 111
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.97	1.99	mg/Kg	1	2.00	98	100	70.2 - 119

Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch: 73429 Prep Batch: 62991 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12 QC Preparation: 2010-09-12 Analyzed By: AW Prepared By: AW

	LCS			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	${f Amount}$	\mathbf{Result}	Rec.	\mathbf{Limit}
DRO	249	mg/Kg	1	250	< 8.38	100	68 - 137

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Lauck Federal No. 4

 $\overline{\text{DRO}}$

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

250

< 8.38

92

RPDLCSD Spike Matrix Rec. RPD Limit Param Result Dil. Amount Result Rec. Limit Units

1

mg/Kg Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

229

	LCS	LCSD			\mathbf{Spike}	LCS	LCSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
n-Tricosane	116	113	mg/Kg	1	100	116	113	71.7 - 148

Laboratory Control Spike (LCS-1)

QC Batch:

73506

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-14 Analyzed By: AW Prepared By: AW

Page Number: 14 of 19

8

20

68 - 137

Prep Batch: 63058 QC Preparation: 2010-09-14

	LCS			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit
DRO	265	mg/Kg	1	250	< 8.38	106	68 - 137

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCSD			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	${f Amount}$	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
DRO	289	mg/Kg	1	250	<8.38	116	68 - 137	9	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	LCS	LCSD			\mathbf{Spike}	LCS	LCSD	$\mathrm{Rec}.$
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
n-Tricosane	116	125	mg/Kg	1	100	116	125	71.7 - 148

Matrix Spike (MS-1) Spiked Sample: 243642

QC Batch: 73279 Prep Batch: 62856 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

	MS			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	${f Amount}$	Result	Rec.	Limit
Benzene	1.87	mg/Kg	10	2.00	< 0.0462	94	55.2 - 129
Toluene	1.89	mg/Kg	10	2.00	< 0.0582	94	56.6 - 142
Ethylbenzene	1.78	mg/Kg	10	2.00	< 0.0433	89	57.9 - 143
Xylene	5.66	mg/Kg	10	6.00	0.116	92	59 - 147

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MSD			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		$\mathrm{Rec}.$		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Benzene	1.83	mg/Kg	10	2.00	< 0.0462	92	55.2 - 129	2	20

continued ...

Work Order: 10090703

Page Number: 15 of 19

Lauck Federal No. 4

Lauck Battery Discharge

man we opined content wear	matrix	spikes	continued			
----------------------------	--------	--------	-----------	--	--	--

-	MSD			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec .		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
Toluene	1.90	mg/Kg	10	2.00	< 0.0582	95	56.6 - 142	0	20
Ethylbenzene	1.80	${ m mg/Kg}$	10	2.00	< 0.0433	90	57.9 - 143	1	20
Xylene	5.72	mg/Kg	10	6.00	0.116	93	59 - 147	1	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MS	MSD			\mathbf{Spike}	MS	MSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	Limit
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	1.96	1.92	mg/Kg	10	2	98	96	59.6 - 138
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	1.92	1.90	${ m mg/Kg}$	10	2	96	95	64.3 - 141

Matrix Spike (MS-1)

Spiked Sample: 243285

QC Batch: 73280

Date Analyzed:

2010-09-07 QC Preparation: 2010-09-07 Analyzed By: ER Prepared By: ER

Prep Batch: 62856

	MS			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit
GRO	18.7	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	20.0	< 0.241	94	54.2 - 135

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MSD			\mathbf{Spike}	Matrix		$\mathrm{Rec}.$		RPD
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
GRO	19.1	mg/Kg	1	20.0	< 0.241	96	54.2 - 135	2	20

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

	MS	MSD			Spike	MS	MSD	Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.	${f Limit}$
Trifluorotoluene (TFT)	1.71	1.71	mg/Kg	1	2	86	86	63.8 - 126
4-Bromofluorobenzene (4-BFB)	2.06	2.07	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	2	103	104	70.2 - 137

Matrix Spike (MS-1)

Spiked Sample: 244010

QC Batch:

73429

Date Analyzed:

2010-09-12

Analyzed By: AW

QC Preparation: 2010-09-12

Prep Batch: 62991

Prepared By: AW

	MS			Spike	Matrix		Rec.
Param	Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	${f Limit}$
DRO	406	mg/Kg	1	250	239	67	40 - 144

Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

Lauck Federal No. 4

Chloride

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 16 of 19

20

2

		MSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param		Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
DRO		467	mg/Kg	1	250	239	91	40 - 144	14	20
Percent recovery is base	ed on the s	pike result.	RPD is ba	sed on	the spike a	nd spike du	plicate re	sult.		
	MS	MSD				Spike	MS	MSD		Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Unit		Dil.	Amount	Rec .	Rec.		Limit
n-Tricosane	98.7	107	mg/I	Kg	1	100	99	107	7	1.7 - 148
Matrix Spike (MS-1) Spiked	l Sample: 24	44036							
QC Batch: 73506			Date Anal	lyzed:	2010-09-1	.4		Ana	lyzed B	y: AW
Prep Batch: 63058			QC Prepa	ration:	2010-09-1	4			pared B	
		3.60	7			G 11	3.6			D
D		MS		nits	D:1	Spike	Mat Res			${ m Rec.} \ { m Limit}$
Param DRO		Resi		g/Kg	Dil. 1	Amount 250	<8.			40 - 144
		•							-	40 - 144
Percent recovery is base	ed on the s	pike result.	RPD is ba	sed on	the spike a	nd spike du	plicate re	sult.		
		MSD			$\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{P}i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{e}}$	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param		Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
DRO		267	${ m mg/Kg}$	1	250	<8.38	107	40 - 144	0	20
Percent recovery is bas	ed on the s	pike result.	RPD is ba	sed on	the spike a	nd spike du	plicate re	sult.		
	MS	MSD				\mathbf{Spike}	MS	MSD		Rec.
Surrogate	Result	Result	Unit		Dil.	Amount	Rec.	Rec.		Limit
n-Tricosane	91.1	92.6	mg/F	Kg	1	100	91	93	7	1.7 - 148
Matrix Spike (MS-1) Spiked	d Sample: 24	13299							
QC Batch: 73627			Date Ana	lyzed:	2010-09-3	17		Ana	alyzed F	By: CB
Prep Batch: 63156			QC Prepa	ration:	2010-09-1	17		Pre	pared E	sy: CB
		M	S			Spike	Mat	rix		Rec.
Param		Resi		$\eta_{ m nits}$	Dil.	Amount	Res		ec.	Limit
Chloride		45		g/Kg	10	500	<1.			80 - 120
Percent recovery is bas	ed on the s	pike result.			the spike a	nd spike du	plicate re	sult.		
		MSD			Spike	Matrix		Rec.		RPD
Param		Result	Units	Dil.	Amount	Result	Rec.	Limit	RPD	Limit
			17.5	4.0		4 - 0		20 120		

mg/Kg Percent recovery is based on the spike result. RPD is based on the spike and spike duplicate result.

10

500

<15.9

80 - 120

446

Report Date: September 20, 2010 Lauck Federal No. 4 Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 17 of 19

Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 73279

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER

			CCVs	CCVs	CCVs	Percent	
			${f True}$	Found	Percent	Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	${ m Units}$	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Benzene		$_{ m mg/Kg}$	0.100	0.0957	96	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Toluene		$_{ m mg/Kg}$	0.100	0.0959	96	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Ethylbenzene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0936	94	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Xylene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.300	0.296	99	80 - 120	2010-09-07

Standard (CCV-2)

QC Batch: 73279

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER

			$egin{array}{c} ext{CCVs} \ ext{True} \end{array}$	CCVs Found	$\begin{array}{c} { m CCVs} \\ { m Percent} \end{array}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	\mathbf{Units}	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Benzene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0928	93	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Toluene		mg/Kg	0.100	0.0969	97	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Ethylbenzene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.100	0.0931	93	80 - 120	2010-09-07
Xylene		${ m mg/Kg}$	0.300	0.294	98	80 - 120	2010-09-07

Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 73280

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER

			CCVs	CCVs	CCVs	Percent	
			True	Found	$\operatorname{Percent}$	Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	${ m Units}$	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
GRO		mg/Kg	1.00	1.03	103	80 - 120	2010-09-07

Standard (CCV-2)

QC Batch: 73280

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-07

Analyzed By: ER

			$rac{ ext{CCVs}}{ ext{True}}$	$\begin{array}{c} { m CCVs} \\ { m Found} \end{array}$	${ m CCVs} \ { m Percent}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	Conc.	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
GRO		${ m mg/Kg}$	1.00	0.994	99	80 - 120	2010-09-07

Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 73429

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12

Analyzed By: AW

Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703 Lauck Battery Discharge

CCVs**CCVs** CCVsPercent Found Percent Date True Recovery Flag Limits Analyzed Param Units Conc. Conc. Recovery $\overline{\text{DRO}}$ mg/Kg 250 232 93 80 - 120 2010-09-12 Standard (CCV-2) QC Batch: 73429 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-12 Analyzed By: AW **CCVs CCVs** CCVsPercent True Found Recovery Date Percent Flag Analyzed Param Units Conc. Conc. Recovery Limits $\overline{\mathrm{DRO}}$ mg/Kg 250 218 87 80 - 120 2010-09-12 Standard (CCV-1) QC Batch: 73506 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-14 Analyzed By: AW CCVs**CCVs** CCVsPercent True Found Percent Recovery Date Limits Param Flag Units Conc. Conc. Recovery Analyzed 250 DRO mg/Kg 269 108 80 - 120 2010-09-14 Standard (CCV-2) QC Batch: 73506 Date Analyzed: 2010-09-14 Analyzed By: AW **CCVs CCVs** CCVsPercent True Found Percent Recovery Date Param Flag Units Conc. Conc. Recovery Limits Analyzed $\overline{\text{DRO}}$ 250 256 80 - 120 2010-09-14 mg/Kg 102

Standard (ICV-1)

QC Batch: 73627

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-17

Analyzed By: CB

Analyzed By: CB

Page Number: 18 of 19

			ICVs	ICVs	ICVs	Percent	D-4-
			True	Found	Percent	$\operatorname{Recovery}$	\mathbf{Date}
Param	Flag	\mathbf{Units}	Conc.	$\operatorname{Conc.}$	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Chloride		mg/Kg	100	100	100	85 - 115	2010-09-17

Standard (CCV-1)

QC Batch: 73627

Date Analyzed: 2010-09-17

Report Date: September 20, 2010 Lauck Federal No. 4

Work Order: 10090703Lauck Battery Discharge Page Number: 19 of 19

			$\begin{array}{c} \text{CCVs} \\ \text{True} \end{array}$	${ m CCVs} \ { m Found}$	$rac{ ext{CCVs}}{ ext{Percent}}$	Percent Recovery	Date
Param	Flag	Units	$\operatorname{Conc.}$	Conc.	Recovery	Limits	Analyzed
Chloride		${ m mg/Kg}$	100	99.5	100	85 - 115	2010-09-17

LAB Order ID #

Conpany Name:

ō

different from standard BioAquatic Testing 2501 Mayes Rd., Ste 100 Carrollton, Texas 75006 Tel (972) 242-7750 Circle or Specify Method No.) SEC OS, Alkalinity **ANALYSIS REQUEST** 80 200 East Sunset Rd., Suite E El Paso, Texas 79922
Tel (915) 585-3443
Fax (915) 585-3944
1 (888) 588-3443 3270 / 625 **954** Ba Cd Cr Pb Se Hg Cd Cr Pb Se Hg 6010/200.7 5002 Basin Street, Suite A1 Midiand, Texas 79703 Tel (432) 689-6301 Fax (432) 689-6313 RO / TVHC 6 / TX1005 Ext(C35) 8560 / 624 78260 / 624 6701 Aberdeen Avenue, Suite 9 **Lubbock, Texas 79424**Tel (806) 794-1296
Fax (806) 794-1298
1 (800) 378-1296 432-556-1954 Sampler Signature: Phone #: 7970/ E-mail: Fax # TraceAnalysis, Inc. email: lab@traceanalysis.com LEDERAL.

Project Location (including state)

(If different from above)

Project #:

		-				-			1)		5	_	}		0	_)[_	*	<u>,</u>		
		***************************************		2	MATRIX		۵	PRESERVATIVE METHOD	ESERVAT METHOD	TIVE		SAMPLING	209 /		J / O		sA gA			7 092	JoV.		ŀ					i əmī		
(LAB USE)	FIELD CODE)DE	# CONTAINE	MATER SOIL	SLUDGE AIR		HNO ³ HCI	⁵OS ^z H	HOaN	NONE	3TAQ	ЭМІТ		1208 X3T8 T 1.814 H9T	TPH 8015 GR	PAH 8270 / 63 PAH 829 / 63	TCLP Metals	TCLP Semi V	TCLP Pesticid	GC/MS Vol. 8	GC/MS Semi.	PCB's 8082 /	BOD, TSS, pH	Moisture Cont	Na, Ca, Mg, K			Turn ArounT	bloH	
7	Soil Area													9	1/0	0	<u>`</u>	13	1	2	000/1640	13		6	X				ļ	
13642	" Wast Side	Balbry		×						K	1/8/	6 0930				5		-)		,								ļ	T
६७३	East Side B	Battery	+	. ×						X	K	5440			W	3	1	B	3	4	E									r
SS,	H3 Walternal	Hadrean		Y							1/8/2	0 1060				- 3	Z	3	9	3	3	B	g	1.	19	1			-	
語。	What O. D. R.	Man		>					-	>	alst.	1020)	Z	- 60	711	6	M	3	٦	7	3					
7	المع مالية الله	Bales .										ocat c				+		+		-		+-+		-						
545	Backgroun	A	,	×					-	×	7/82	5/10/0						-		-										
7	77111 ANNALON III								+-									-						-				-	-	
in mist	Company Company	" Date:	Time:		Received by:	ı.	Com	ompany:	1	Date:	: Time:	ne: HAST OBS		° °		AB US ONLY	LAB USE ONLY	111	₽ \$	Stand Stand	KS.	3	-1							·
le l	shed by: Company). Date:	Time:	 	Received by		No.	Company:		Date:	a: Time:				- P	adspace.	Ž													
Relinquished by:	ed by: Company:	/: Date:	Time:	% ₩	Received by:		S C	ompany:	١.	Date:	E. Time:		172	اُلْهُ ا			ソト	1	Dry TRF	Weigl ≷P Re	Dry Weight Basis Required TRRP Report Required	is Re	quired ed							
				Ž	John	land	-3	K4580	8	11	:0 2 	10.10 COR 22.3°C	77		Log-in-Review 2	Segie	The	7	E.F.	ck If (Check If Special Reporting Limits Are Needed	Rep Jed	orting							
	\									1				1			-	1												~

Submittal of samples constitutes agreement to Terms and Conditions listed on reverse side of C. O.

こうくく きょうこくせいし

Carrier #