NM2 -

MONITORING REPORTS YEAR(S):



Peñasco Irrigation Report 4-Dinkus Ranch Artesia, New Mexico



prepared for:

Yates Petroleum Corporation 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

May 1998



COVENANT TECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

12258 Mountain Haze NE, Albuquerque, NM 87122 (505) 856-1755



COVENANT TECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

12258 Mountain Haze NE, Albuquerque, NM 87122 (505) 856-1755

May 28, 1998

Mr. John F. Brown Operations Engineer Yates Petroleum Corporation 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

Re: Peñasco Irrigation Report, 4-Dinkus Ranch

Dear Mr. Brown:

Enclosed with this letter please find five copies of the final report for the proposed irrigation project at the Yates 4-Dinkus Ranch. Geologically, the site is suitable for irrigation with produced water since first groundwater is at a depth of approximately 130 feet and protected by at least 70 feet of clay. Additionally, significant thicknesses of unsaturated gravel overlie the clay and can be monitored for unanticipated seepage of irrigation water from the soil zone. Soils at the site are loamy with high amounts of silt and clay. They do not transmit water rapidly and may become salt impacted if application of irrigation water is not managed properly. Yates is strongly encouraged to utilize the services of an agriculture engineer or soil scientist to plan crop use and water application rates.

If you decide to proceed with the project, Yates will need to submit an application to the NM Oil Conservation Division for approval of a Surface Waste Management Facility in accordance with OCD Rule 711. The enclosed report will be an integral part of the application and provides geological/hydrological evidence that a properly designed and managed irrigation project will not adversely impact fresh water. I remain available to assist in preparation of the OCD application, and to present and discuss the report with agency staff if so desired.

It has been a pleasure to work again with the Yates Petroleum organization. If you have any questions on the report or need additional services, please contact me at (505) 281-8591.

Sincerely,

David G. Boyer, P.G. Hydrogeologist

enc.

Peñasco Irrigation Report 4-Dinkus Ranch Artesia, New Mexico



prepared for:

Yates Petroleum Corporation 105 South Fourth Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210

May 1998

. J. J. S. S. C. C. C. C. 10.111.01 David G. Boyer, P.G. Project Manager



COVENANT TECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

12258 Mountain Haze NE, Albuquerque, NM 87122 (505) 856-1755

.

-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION
2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING
2.1 Climatology
2.2 Topography and Surface Water
2.3 Soils
2.4 Geology
2.4.1 San Andres Formation
2.4.2 Permian Artesian Group
2.4.3 Quaternary Alluvium
2.5 Groundwater
2.5.1 Deep Artesian Aquifer
2.5.2 Valley Fill Aquifer
3.0 INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES11
3.1 Hydrogeological Investigation11
3.2 Soils Investigation12
4.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS
4.1 Geology15
4.1.1 Gravel Zones15
4.1.2 Clay Zones
4.2 Groundwater
4.2.1 Occurrence
4.2.2 Water Quality
4.2.2.1 Water Chemistry
4.2.2.2 Metals
4.2.2.3 Organics and Hydrogen Sulfide23
4.3 Soils25
5.0 DISCUSSION
5.1 Groundwater Protection
5.1.1 Groundwater Monitoring
5.1.2 Vadose Zone Monitoring
5.2 Irrigation Management
6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
0.1 Conclusions
0.2 Recommendations
7.0 REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Physical Properties of the Gravel Zone	15
Table 2. Monitor Well Water Level Elevations	
Table 3. Results of Water Quality Sampling Water Chemistry	22
Table 4. Results of Water Quality Sampling Metals	24
Table 5. Results of Water Quality Sampling Organics	25
Table 6. Summary of Soil Physical and Chemical Properties	27
Table 7. Summary of Soil Nutrient Properties	

LIST OF FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A	Lithologic and Monitor Well Completion Logs
Appendix A-1	Lithologic Logs of Monitor Wells and Soil Borings
Appendix A-2	
Appendix B	Copies of Available Drillers Logs
Appendix C	Photographic Log
Appendix D	
Appendix E	

ł

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Yates Petroleum Corporation (Yates) extracts oil and natural gas from the Dagger Draw gas field located south of Artesia and west of Highway 285. The oil is separated at the well site, while the gas and natural gas liquids are piped to the Peñasco Gas Plant for processing. Additionally, the wells produce up to 110,000 barrels (4.6 million gallons) per day of water which is injected into ten salt water disposal wells regulated and permitted by the NM Oil Conservation Division's (NMOCD) Class II Underground Injection Control (UIC) program. The wastewater, although generally poor in quality, is sufficient for use in irrigation of salt tolerant grasses, provided that most of the suspended and dissolved organic constituents are removed prior to use.

Yates desires to irrigate with produced water up to 640 acres of land southwest of Atoka, New Mexico, located on the 4-Dinkus Ranch owned by Yates (Figure 1). Salt-resistant grass would be grown using center pivot irrigation with water applied directly at the surface instead of by sprayers. NMOCD conditions for surface management of oilfield waste, including produced water, are provided in Rule 711 which require submittal of a Form C-137 together with applicable supporting documentation including management, contingency and closure plans and financial assurance. Critical to the documentation is geological and hydrological evidence, including depth to and quality of groundwater beneath the site, demonstrating that disposal of oilfield wastes will not adversely impact fresh water which is defined as water having total dissolved solids concentrations of 10,000 mg/L (ppm) or less.

In January 1998, Yates contracted with Covenant Technical Associates to conduct a investigation at the site with the goal of determining the near-surface geological and hydrological conditions and the suitability of the site for irrigation with water having elevated concentrations of dissolved salts. The investigation consisted of drilling shallow and deep boreholes for lithological characterization, collection of surface soil samples for analysis of agricultural properties, and installation of one deep and four shallow groundwater monitoring wells The water quality of nearby water wells used for livestock and domestic purposes was tested and, for comparison purposes, a detailed chemical analysis was performed on the produced water proposed for irrigation. Finally, potential interactions between the water and soil were examined to identify possible soil salinity problems that will need to be addressed in the design and operation of an irrigation management program.

05/18/98





Figure 1. Location Map, Peñasco Irrigation Project, 4-Dinkus Ranch

2.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

2.1 Climatology

The Artesia, New Mexico area has a semiarid continental climate, characterized by hot summers and mild winters. Measurable rainfall occurs approximately 42 days per year and annual snowfall averages 3-8 in. to yield an average annual precipitation of 10-14 in., with nearly 80% falling from May through October. Lake evaporation in the Eddy County area is 66-72 in. per year, of which two-thirds also takes place from May through October. Thus, the net loss between precipitation and evaporation ranges from 52-62 in. per year. Minimum temperatures are typically 44.0°-49.0°F, but can fall below 0°F in winter; maximum temperatures can exceed 100°F on summer days. The frost-free season is April to October (NOAA, 1982).

2.2 Topography and Surface Water

The 4-Dinkus Ranch is located approximately 6 miles south of Artesia and 4 miles west of U.S. Highway 285 (Figure 1). The area proposed to be irrigated is in portions of Sections 14, 15, and 16 of Township 18 South, Range 25 East. The average elevation of area of the ranch to be irrigated ranges from 3,550 to 3,470 feet above mean sea level (MSL) (Figure 2). The plain on which the ranch lies slopes eastward at about 30 feet per mile. Surface drainage is dominated by small ephemeral creeks and arroyos that flow eastward to the Pecos River, located approximately 8 miles east of the facility.

Natural surface drainage at the location is to the east. The major drainages in the immediate area of the site are the Rio Peñasco to the south, and Tumbleweed Draw to the north. The Rio Peñasco is a major watercourse originating in the Sacramento Mountains over 80 miles to the west. It runs northwest to southeast at a distance of from one-half to one mile south of the site, but flows only in response to heavy precipitation. Nearer its source, the Rio Peñasco is perennial and water is diverted for irrigation. Tumbleweed Draw is located about one-half mile north of the site and has its origin about 10 miles to the west near the community of Hope. Both watercourses continue easterly and drain into the Pecos River.

2.3 Soils

Soils at proposed irrigation site are primarily of the Reagan series (Figure 3). The Reagan series consists of deep, well-drained, moderately dark colored, calcareous loams that have developed in old alluvium derived from calcareous sedimentary rocks of the uplands to the west. These soils commonly occur on plains west of the Pecos River. Soils of the Reagan series typically have a surface layer of brown loam about 8 inches thick with an underlying light-brown, heavy loam up to about 24 inches thick. The next lower layers are enriched with calcium carbonate to a depth of more than 60 inches. The soils are un-eroded or only slightly eroded. Runoff from these soils is slow, permeability is moderate, and the water holding capacity is high. The soils are moderately fertile, but organic matter content is low. The frost-free season for Reagan soils is 200 to 220 days. Extended periods of cold weather are rare and frost action potential is slight. In general, soils in the area do not freeze at depths greater than a few inches for more than a few days at a time (USDA-SCS, 1971).

Peñasco Irrigation Report



05/18/98

Peñasco Irrigation Report



At the 4-Dinkus Ranch, the Reagan loam with 0 to 3 percent slopes occupies about two-thirds of the proposed irrigation area. The remainder is taken up by the Reagan-Upton association. Reagan loam with 0 to 3 percent slopes makes up 60 to 85 percent of the Reagan-Upton acreage, and Upton gravelly loam with 0 to 9 percent slopes makes up 15 to 40 percent.

Reagan soils are used for irrigated crops, native pasture, and wildlife habitat. When irrigated, these are among the most productive soils in the Artesia area. However, they have limitations which can impact crop growth when irrigated using water having elevated salt content. Soil permeabilities are low relative to other soils. The listed values range from 0.8 to 2.5 inches per hour $(5.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ to } 1.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm/sec}$, respectively). The soil shrink-swell potential is moderate and the soil is susceptible to accumulation of salt. The SCS classifies the soil in a group consisting of soils with a slow rate of infiltration when thoroughly wetted due to moderately fine to fine texture, or due to the presence of a layer impeding downward movement. The Unified soil classification system (USCS) ranking for the soil is a clay (CL).

2.4 Geology

The 4-Dinkus Ranch is located on the Northwest Shelf of the Permian Basin. In this region, the deposits are comprised of approximately 250 feet of Quaternary alluvium unconformably overlying approximately 2,000 feet of Permian clastic and carbonate rocks. These Permian deposits unconformably overlie Precambrian syenite, gneiss, and diabase crystalline rocks (Kelley, 1971; Welder, 1983). The relationship between the sedimentary deposits is shown in Figure 4 and discussed below.

2.4.1 San Andres Formation

The San Andres Formation, oldest of the Permian units discussed in this report, lies immediately above the Precambrian crystalline basement rocks and beneath the Grayburg and Queen Formations. The San Andres Formation is composed mainly of limestone and dolomite containing irregular and erratic solution cavities, which range up to several feet in diameter. Its thickness is greater than 700 feet The upper portion of the formation is composed of oolitic dolomite with some anhydrite cement. Deep well lithologic logs from the Artesia area indicate that the San Andres Formation is primarily carbonate (logged by drillers as lime or limerock) and probably includes both limestone and dolomite.

2.4.2 Permian Artesian Group

The Permian Artesian Group is comprised of five formations (in ascending order): the Grayburg, Queen, Seven Rivers, Yates, and Tansill Formations. In northern Eddy County these sedimentary units previously were considered members of a formation known as the Chalk Bluff formation. In 1962 the terminology was changed to make the units formations within the Artesia Group although the Chalk Bluff terminology appears in earlier reports. The Yates and Tansill Formations outcrop at the surface east of the Pecos River while the Seven Rivers Formation thins and pinches out beneath the alluvium in the vicinity of highway U.S. 285. These three members of the group are not present at depth in the vicinity of the project area. The Permian formations dip 1° to 3° toward the southeast, without any reported major structural features (Lyford, 1973; Welder, 1983).

P



- Qal Alluvial deposits-Not mapped in detail might include rocks of Pliocene age and part of the Catura Formation of Pleistocene age. Contains the main part of the shallow aquifer of the Roswell basin.
- P Tansill Formation
- Pya Yates Formation
- Psr Seven Rivers Formation- (A targe part of the shallow aquifer near Lake McMillan)
- Pqgb Queen and Grayburg Formations, undivided Includes upper part of San Andres Limestone where it is solution altered
- Psa San Andres Limestone Contains the main part of the artesian aquifer north of T 19N. Also includes the "Slaughter rone" (local usage)

Formation contact—Dashed where approximately located; queried where probable

œ

- -----Roswell basin, January 1975 Water-level surface in the shallow aquiter of the
- Potentiometric surface--Shows level to which water will rise in wells topping the artesian aquiter of the Roswell basin, January 1975
- Water-level surface and potentiometric surface---Where the two are separated by less than about 20 feet
- Aquiter boundary--Marks the generalized boundaries of the statiow and artesian aquifers of the Roswell basin where their boundaries do not coincide with a formation contact, queried where probable.
- Water-producing interval--Projected into the sections from wells generally less than 2 miles dway

Shallow aquifer of the Roswell basin. Shows general distribution anly. Contains some nonproductive zones

Artesian aquiter of the Roswell basin. Shows general distribution only. Contains some nonproductive zones













-1

In the area of the 4-Dinkus Ranch the Grayburg and Queen Formations have been mapped as a single unit by geologists as collectively consisting of about 700 feet of interbedded dolomite and calcareous dolomite, gypsum, fine-grained sandstone, carbonates, siltstone, and mudstone. Lithologies of the Queen Formation are similar to those of the Grayburg Formation with the principal difference being a higher proportion of clastics in the Queen, which conformably overlies and grades into the Grayburg Formation. The Grayburg is thought to disconformably overlie the San Andres Formation. In locations where the Seven Rivers Formation is absent, the upper portion of the Queen acts as a confining bed between the deep artesian aquifer and the valley fill aquifer.

2.4.3 Quaternary Alluvium

The Quaternary alluvium in the Pecos River valley is dominantly comprised of clays, silts, sands, and gravels deposited in valley fill deposits. These deposits extend in a north-south belt approximately 20 miles wide, generally west of the Pecos River. The thickness of the valley fill varies from a thin veneer on the western margins of the Pecos River valley to a maximum of 300 feet in several depressions which have resulted from dissolution of the underlying Permian carbonates and evaporates. The depth to the base of the valley fill in the project area is estimated to between 230 and 290 feet.

The sedimentology and mineralogy of the valley fill deposits can be divided into three units: the underlying quartzose unit, the interbedded clay unit, and the uppermost carbonate gravel unit. The quartzose unit is principal water-bearing unit of the valley fill with thicknesses generally less than 250 feet. The quartzose unit unconformably overlies Permian rocks and is correlative with the quartzose conglomerate described by Fiedler and Nye (1933), and Morgan (1938). The lower quartzose gravels are commonly used for groundwater production.

The clay unit is not laterally continuous throughout the valley fill deposits, but occurs in isolated lenses generally overlying the quartzose unit. The clay unit is comprised of light- to medium-gray clays and silts deposited in localized ponds and lakes. These ponds and lakes may have formed in conjunction with dissolution and collapse of the underlying Permian rocks.

The carbonate gravel unit blankets the other valley fill units and forms a fairly uniform slope from the Permian rock outcrop areas on the west side of the valley east to the Pecos River floodplain. The unit consists of coarse-grained carbonate gravel deposits along major drainageways to the Pecos River which grade into brown calcareous silts and thin masses of caliche in the interstream regions.

2.5 Groundwater

The principal aquifers in the Artesia area are within the carbonate San Andres Formation and the valley fill alluvium (Welder, 1983). Within the valley fill in the city of Artesia is a near-surface water-bearing zone, apparently limited in vertical extent, that is shallow with respect to the surface and also exhibits artesian properties at some monitor wells. This first water-bearing zone in the valley fill aquifer, referred to as the "near-surface saturated zone", is not present at the project site and is not discussed further.

2.5.1 Deep Artesian Aquifer

The deep artesian aquifer is closely related to the Permian San Andres Limestone and generally consists of one or more water-producing zones of variable permeability located in the upper portion of the carbonate rocks. However, in the Artesia area, the producing interval rises stratigraphically and includes lower sections of the overlying Grayburg and Queen Formations. The depth to the top of the producing interval is estimated to be between 380 and 440 feet beneath the project area, and the producing zone thickness is estimated at 250 to 300 feet across the area. The upper sections of the Grayburg/Queen Formations are generally considered confining beds with a thickness of approximately 150 feet beneath the project area.

The deep artesian aquifer has been extensively developed for industrial, municipal, and agricultural use. The quality of water from this aquifer ranges from 500 to more than 5,000 ppm total dissolved solids (TDS) depending on location. In the area of Artesia, water is generally derived from depths ranging from 850 to 1,250 feet below ground surface. The aquifer is recharged in the Sacramento Mountains to the west of Artesia. Extensive use of this aquifer in recent decades has lowered the potentiometric head in the aquifer in some locations to 50 to 80 feet below ground level, although extensive rainfall in 1991 brought the water levels in some wells close to, or above, the surface.

2.5.2 Valley Fill Aquifer

Quaternary alluvial deposits of sand, silt, clay, and gravel are the main components of the valley fill aquifer. These sediments are estimated to be about 230 to 290 feet thick in the project area (Welder, 1983). As described above, the three principal units in the valley fill are quartzose, clay, and carbonate gravel. The quartzose unit is considered the primary production unit in the valley fill aquifer while the clay unit may yield small amounts of water to wells from coarser grained lenses contained within the unit.

The carbonate gravel unit is the uppermost alluvial unit in the valley fill. Coarse-grained gravels deposited in the major tributaries to the Pecos River grade to calcareous silts and thin zones of caliche in the interstream areas. Near the surface, groundwater is localized in thin discontinuous gravel beds typical of braided channel material deposited during flood events originating in the foothills and Sacramento Mountains to the west.

The area proposed for irrigation is at the west end of the valley fill alluvial deposits associated with the Pecos River. Drilling logs for two wells immediately north and west of the project area, RA-4068 and RA-4365 (Figure 5), show the base of the alluvium to be 295 feet or less. RA-4068 has a water sand from 275 to 295 feet while RA-4365 has gravel zones from 230 to a total depth of 265 feet. Copies of the available drilling logs are provided in Appendix C. Although a notation on log RA-4068 indicates that the primary water zone is the Chalk Bluff formation in the artesian aquifer, based on the above discussion, it is likely that the completion depth is still in the alluvium. The depth to water upon completion for the two wells was 168 and 150 feet, respectively, providing saturated thicknesses of 115 to 127 feet. Further to the west, the valley fill and the zone of saturation pinches out and may not be present except in isolated alluvial zones recharged by the major drainageways such as the Rio Peñasco.

Generalized groundwater movement in the vicinity of the project site was shown by Hendrickson and Jones (1952), to be easterly towards the Pecos River with a slight southerly component. However, due to the lack of current water level data, it is unclear whether groundwater in the alluvium in the vicinity of the site flows in the same direction or whether it may move directly eastward toward areas of the valley fill aquifer which are heavily pumped.

Near the Pecos River, recharge of the shallow valley fill aquifer is generally attributed to irrigation return flow from pumpage of the aquifers and from infiltration from the Pecos River. In areas of the valley where the San Andres and the valley fill aquifers are hydraulically connected in the subsurface, water tends to flow up from the deep to the shallow aquifer except in areas of heavy San Andres pumpage. Further to the west, recharge is from runoff along intermittent losing streams that flow eastward to the river and by subsurface underflow where fractures or dissolution features allow upwards migration from the underlying artesian aquifer.

3.0 INVESTIGATION ACTIVITIES

Site investigation commenced on February 2, 1998 with the drilling of a deep boring (B-1) to 148 feet that that was to become monitor well MW-1. Subsequently, four shallow borings were drilled to depths between 65 and 71 feet that were completed as monitor wells MW-2 through MW-5 with total depths ranging between 44 and 63 feet (Figure 5). Finally, ten surficial soil borings were drilled with maximum depths not exceeding 23 feet (Figure 6). Drilling was completed on February 7, 1998. The results of the drilling program are discussed in Section 4.0.

All borings were drilled by Atkins Engineering Associates of Roswell using an Ingersoll-Rand A-300 truck mounted hollow-stem auger. The augers used in the borings were 5 feet in length with a 3-1/4 inch I.D. and a 6-5/8 inch O.D. Soil samples were initially collected using a 5-foot long, 3-inch diameter core barrel which allowed for continuous sampling in areas of unconsolidated material. However, the barrel was not effective in the gravel zones encountered at shallow depth, nor in hard clay or caliche. In these instances samples were logged from cuttings, or a 2-foot long splitspoon was utilized to collect samples ahead of the drill bit.

3.1 Hydrogeological Investigation

Only one well (MW-1) was completed in a water bearing zone. This well was developed by surging and bailing on February 7, 1998, and sampled on February 9. Water quality samples were obtained from two windmills north of the site, and one windmill and a domestic well southwest of the site on February 8 and 9. Water levels were not available at any of these four sampling sites. Samples were collected to determine concentrations of metals and other Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC) constituents except organics. Additional analyses were performed for several other general water chemistry parameters (e.g. sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium and carbonate/bicarbonate) not regulated under OCD or WQCC rules to ascertain the compositional makeup of the underlying groundwater. The water sample from MW-1 was filtered to obtain a dissolved sample while the water well samples were submitted to the laboratory as total samples. Metals samples were preserved using nitric acid. A sample of produced water was obtained on March 4 for analysis for comparison purposes. Analyses were performed by Environmental Lab of Texas, Inc., of Odessa using EPA standard methodology. Copies of organic and H_2S analyses of produced water samples which had been previously tested by Yates were provided for evaluation.

On March 2, the five monitor wells were checked for standing water. As discussed in Section 4.2.1, moisture was detected in all five wells, but in four of the wells, it was present only in the endcaps. The results of the water level measurements and water quality testing program are presented in Section 4.2.

3.2 Soils Investigation

Soil samples from various depths were obtained at soil boring locations located throughout the proposed project area. In addition to the five boring locations associated with monitor well placement, surface soil sampling was performed at ten additional sites. At all 15 sites samples were collected at depths of 1, 3 and 6 feet and preserved in plastic storage bags. A total of 42 soil samples were submitted for testing to Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. of Lubbock, Texas. The soils were analyzed for numerous physical and agricultural properties including grain size, soil texture, field capacity, wilting point, exchangeable cations, exchange capacity, organic matter and available nutrients. In conjunction with the produced water analyses, the soil data will be used to formulate an irrigation plan for growing salt tolerant plants while minimizing salt buildup in the root zone.



Peñasco Irrigation Report



13



Peñasco Irrigation Report



l

4.0 INVESTIGATION RESULTS

4.1 Geology

The investigation confirmed the alluvial nature of the site sediments to at least 150 feet. Most notable was the occurrence of widespread gravels at shallow depths throughout the project site. These gravels are intermediate between the surficial soils and the clay beds encountered at depth. Lithologic boring logs showing the location and depth of the gravel and clay zones are presented in Appendix A-1. Photographs of the samples are shown in Appendix C.

4.1.1 Gravel Zones

The gravels were encountered at depths between 3 and 25 feet beneath the surface in every hole that was drilled. The gravels consisted of rounded limestone rock varying in size between 1/4 inch to 3 inches. Sometimes the gravels were mixed with varying amounts of clay and sand, but just as frequently they were clean, although of mixed sizes. At several locations, zones of uniform pea-sized gravel was encountered. Depth, elevation, and thickness information for the gravel zones are summarized in Table 1.

Depth to the base of the gravel varied between 28 and 57 feet, but the average depth was about 45 feet. The minimum thickness of the gravel was 25 feet with an average thickness of about 32 feet. The maximum thickness observed was 44 feet at boring B-5 (MW-5).

Boring	Ground	Depth to	Elevation	Depth to	Elevation	Thickness of
Number	Surface Elevation (ft)	top of gravel (ft)	Top of Gravel (ft)	Bottom of Gravel (ft)	Bottom of Gravel (ft)	Gravel (ft)
B-1	3.487	3	3.484	28	3,459	25
B-2	3,524	8.5	3.516	43	3,481	35
B-3	3,497	15	3,482	47.5	3,450	33
B-4	3,520	25	3,495	50	3,470	25
B-5	3,555	13	3,542	57	3,498	44
				1		
SB-1	3,471	7	3,464			
SB-2	3,474	9.5	3,465			
SB-3	3,489	7.5	3,482			
SB-4	3,486	7.5	3,479			
SB-5	3,501	10	3,491			
SB-6	3,513	23	3,490			
SB-7	3,504	12.5	3,492			
SB-8	3,532	10.5	3,522			
SB-9	3,537	21	3,516			
SB-10	3,546	10	3,536			

Table 1. Physical Properties of the Gravel Zone

Figures 7 through 10 graphically show the elevation of the top and bottom of the gravel zone, the depth to the top of the gravels, and the gravel thickness. Generally, the gravels slope easterly at about 30 feet per mile which is about the same gradient as the surface topography. However in Section 14, a slight northeasterly orientation in slope was noted.

The depth to the top of the gravels is greatest in the southwestern portion of Section 15 (Figure 7). Depths in excess of 20 feet were seen in three borings. In Section 14 gravels are much closer to the surface; depths average about 8 feet in the eastern portion of the project area.. Gravel thickness appears to increase northward from a minimum of 25 feet in B-4 (MW-4) to 44 feet in B-5 (MW-5). The gravel thickness map shown is generalized due to having only five control points. Information is especially lacking for the northeast quarter of Section 14.

4.1.2 Clay Zones

Clay and caliche zones were encountered beneath the gravel zones in the five holes that were drilled through the gravels. To verify that the base of the gravels had been located, drilling continued into the clays at depths from 8 to 20 feet below the last gravel encountered. In B-4 (MW-4) clay was found at 50 feet but gravels were then encountered at 54 feet. Thick clay was again found at 63 feet and continued to total depth of 71 feet.

The clays were generally brown in color, stiff, and plastic. Caliche streaks, caliche inclusions, and limestone fragments were commonly noted in splitspoon samples. Only occasionally did the clay contain sufficient coarse grained material to have it noted in the drilling logs. The clays were dry with the exception of boring B-1 (MW-1) where increasing moisture was noted beginning at 138 feet.

4.2 Groundwater

4.2.1 Occurrence

Groundwater was encountered in only one boring at the site. Boring B-1, completed as MW-1, detected moisture at 138 feet and saturation was observed at 143 feet on February 2, 1998. The following morning, water had risen to 133 feet and the well installation was completed. To have the screen opposite the uppermost producing zone, the well was screened over the interval from 133 to 148 feet and several extra feet of sand were placed above the top of the screen ending at 127 feet. However, during the following several days water levels continued to rise and on February 7, water had risen a total 17 feet to 126 below the surface.

The specific zone which is producing the groundwater was not obvious. At 143 feet, a splitspoon sample contained clay, caliche and caliche fragments. The rise in water levels may mean the water is occurring under slightly artesian conditions, or a thin waterbearing lens at a higher elevation may have been masked by the extensive clay zones encountered during drilling. Whatever the source of the water, it is not exceedingly productive. During well development on February 7, the water level declined 5 feet after removing only 7.5 gallons of water.

Peñasco Irrigation Report



17

05/18/98

l t



Peñasco Irrigation Report



ļ



4

Peñasco Irrigation Report



ļ

At the other four boring locations, groundwater was not encountered during drilling, and dry monitor wells (MW-2 through 5) were completed at the base of the gravel zone. These wells were installed with 10 feet of screen except at MW-4 where an intermediate clay zone had been encountered from 50 to 54 feet below the surface. At this well 20 feet of screen was installed to detect any water within gravels above or below the clay. Monitor well completion logs are shown in Appendix A-2.

Water levels were again measured on March 2, 1998. In addition to water being present in MW-1, water was also detected in the other wells (Table 2). However, closer examination indicates that the water is present only in the PVC end caps placed at the base of the screen since the saturated thickness of the water is less than 0.5 feet in wells MW-3, MW-4, and MW-5. In MW-2, the saturated thickness is slightly less than 1 foot; at this well the cap and bottom of the screen are slightly into the clay at the base of the gravel. It is believed that the moisture detected in the four wells is from either condensation on the inside of the pipe, or possibly from the five gallons of water which were used to hydrate the bentonite seal placed at the top of the sand pack at each well. Because the bentonite was placed opposite the thick gravels in each well, it is possible that some of the water moved into the gravel and migrated around the bentonite and into the sandpack or screen. Water levels in MW-2 and the other wells should be checked over the coming months to confirm the above scenario.

Sample Location	Imple cationGround SurfaceDepth of WellElevation (ft.)(ft. BTOC)		Depth to Water (ft. BTOC)	Saturated Thickness (ft.)	Top of Casing (ft. ALS)	Depth to Water (ft. BLS)	Water Level Elevation (ft.)		
MW-1	3,487	150.89	129.51	21.38	2.40	127.11	3359.9		
MW-2	3,524	47.77	46.78	0.99	2.75	44.03	3480.0		
MW-3	3,497	51.54	51.11	0.43	2.35	48.76	3448.2		
MW-4	3,520	66.70	66.30	0.40	2.30	64.00	3456.0		
MW-5	3,555	60.31	59.85	0.46	2.65	57.20	3497.8		

Notes:

Sample Date March 2, 1998.

Abbreviations: BTOC – below top of casing; ALS – above land surface; BLS – below land surface. MW-1: On 2/7/98 initial DTW 126.5 ft. Surged and bailed 7.5 gallons, final DTW 131.4 ft. BLS, no depth to water measurement on 2/8/98 due to broken probe

MW-2: End cap and bottom 6 in. of screen in clay.

Water levels in MW 2 to MW 5 are water in PVC and ca

Water levels in MW-2 to MW-5 are water in PVC end caps.

4.2.2 Water Quality

Water in MW-1 and in four water wells was sampled to determine background water quality in the alluvial zone. Three of the wells sampled are used as stock wells and one sample is from a domestic water supply. Samples were analyzed for water chemistry parameters and metal constituents. For comparison purposes, a sample of produced water proposed for irrigation use was collected and analyzed. Results of the sampling program are shown in Tables 3 through 5. Copies of the laboratory reports are shown in Appendix D.

4.2.2.1 Water Chemistry

Overall water quality of the groundwater in the vicinity of proposed irrigation project is good (Table 3). MW-1, the Section 11 windmill, and the Ranch Headquarters well have water with total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations averaging 735 mg/L which is less than the WQCC standard of 1,000 mg/L. The remaining two wells, the Section 10 and Section 22 windmills have an average TDS of about 2,475 mg/L. The major difference in the water quality is the presence of increased calcium and sulfate concentrations. The completion interval for the Section 22 windmill is not known, but the Section 10 well is completed in a sand immediately above an anhydrite (gypsum) zone occurring at 295 feet. Based on the examination of the drilling log, this depth is believed to be the boundary between the base of the alluvium and the top of the Permian rocks at this location. Although no log is available for the Section 11 windmill, the Ranch Headquarters well is completed in a sand and gravel zone that extends from about 230 to 265 feet. The Section 11, Ranch Headquarters, and MW-1 wells are low in calcium and sulfate confirming their completion in the alluvium.

		(Groundwat	er	 !	Produced	WQCC Standard
Sample Location:	MW-1	Section 10 Windmill	Section 11 Windmill	Section 22 Windmill	Ranch Head- quarters	Mimosa SWD #1	Siunuuru
Sample Date:	09-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	09-Feb-98	04-Mar-98	
Sodium (mg/L)	27	170	25	25	25	2,230	
Potassium (mg/L)	5.4	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.8	81	
Calcium (mg/L)	147	676	211	656	144	480	
Magnesium (mg/L)	43	51	36	31	35	110	
Chloride (mg/L)	31	18	20	23	18	3,191	250
Sulfate (mg/L)	440	1,700	380	1,550	410	1,200	600
Bicarbonate (mg/L)	159	134	220	220	207	830	
Carbonate (mg/L)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nitrate-Nitrogen (mg/L)	<1.0	<1.0	2.0	5.5	<1.0	3.8	10.0
Fluoride (mg/L)	<1.0	1.5	1.0	1.2	<1.0	1.3	1.6
TDS (mg/L)	746	2,610	746	2,337	714	8,206	1,000
Lab pH (S.U.)	7.3	6.3	6.7	6.8	7.2	7.3	6 - 9
Lab Specific	1,022	2,540	1,009	2,410	990	11,690	
Conductance (uS/cm)							
Sodium Adsorption Ratio(SAR)	0.5	1.7	0.4	0.3	0.5	23.9	

Table 3. Results of Water Quality Sampling -- Water Chemistry

Notes:

The Section 10 Windmill is listed on the chain-of-custody and in analytical results as Section 9 because the well location was not precisely known at the time of sampling.

A number shown in **Bold** format exceeds WQCC Standard.

Of interest is the low concentration of chlorides in all five wells. Chloride concentration ranges from 18 to 31 mg/L which is well below the WQCC standard of 250 mg/L. If the irrigation project proceeds, chloride will be a constituent recommended for monitoring since the concentration in the produced water is about 3,200 mg/L.

In addition to chloride, several other produced water constituents are similarly elevated. These include sodium at 2,230 mg/L, sulfate at 1,200 mg/L and magnesium at 830 mg/L. TDS of the produced water is about 8,200 mg/L which is considered brackish or moderately saline. The water is too high in salts for human consumption but is comparable in quality to the Pecos River at low flow. However, its water chemistry quality is such that could be used for occasional stock watering if no other source of supply was available.

4.2.2.2 Metals

Groundwater at the site was elevated above WQCC standards for cadmium, iron and lead (Table 4). The water wells and the produced water sample were found to have concentrations at about twice the cadmium standard of 0.01 mg/L. Since the concentrations were elevated in all samples, the possibility of laboratory error can not be ruled out and resampling should be performed to verify the concentrations observed. Iron was elevated in the windmill samples which can be expected since the steel well casings are at least 30 years old. Lead was found elevated at 2 to 3 times the WQCC standard in two windmills while in the produced water it was elevated at 5 times the WQCC standard. Since the levels were elevated in both the groundwater and produced water samples, the water should be re-sampled to verify the concentrations.

The only other metals constituent elevated in the produced water was boron at 1.9 mg/L which is about twice the WQCC standard. Boron is a plant nutrient, but only at low concentrations; higher levels are toxic to many plants. For boron tolerant crops, irrigation water with concentrations as high as 3.0 mg/L are permissible.

4.2.2.3 Organics and Hydrogen Sulfide

Samples of produced water were analyzed for benzene and related aromatic constituents (Table 5). Levels of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and total xylenes (BTEX) were greatly elevated compared to WQCC standards. However, Yates is anticipating treating this water to lower constituent concentrations to the relevant WQCC standard or just above. Similarly, Yates is planning on treating the water to remove hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) since levels are elevated to the extent that the concentrations are considered to be immediately dangerous to life and health by OSHA. Lessor H_2S concentrations released to the atmosphere are regulated by the NM Oil Conservation Division and the NM Environment Department Air Quality Bureau.

Because the objective of the study was to determine the suitability of the site for irrigation using produced water and Yates is planning on treating the produced water to remove or greatly reduce aromatic organics and H_2S , groundwater at the site was not tested for these constituents. However, it would be prudent to re-sample for BTEX constituents before beginning actual irrigation. Further, it is expected that the NMOCD will require that BTEX be included in a routine sampling program for the shallow groundwater monitoring wells.

			Produced	WQCC			
						Water	Standard
Sample Location:	MW-1	Section 10	Section 11	11Section 22Ra		Mimosa	
0. 1 D.(00 T-1 00				quarters	SWD #1	
Sample Date:	09-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	08-Feb-98	09-Feb-98	04-Mar-98	
Aluminum (mg/L)	0.22	0.24	0.19	0.25	0.21	0.19	5.0
Arsenic (mg/L)	< 0.002	0.003	<0.002	<0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.1
Barium (mg/L)	< 0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	< 0.10	<0.10	1.0
Boron (mg/L)	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	<0.10	< 0.10	1.9	0.75
Cadmium (mg/L)	0.017	0.021	0.015	0.023	0.019	0.021	0.01
Chromium (mg/L)	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03	0.03	< 0.03	0.03	0.05
Cobalt (mg/L)	< 0.10	<0.10	< 0.10	<0.10	< 0.10	< 0.05	0.05
Copper (mg/L)	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	1.0
Iron (mg/L)	0.05	1.44	1.21	0.99	0.12	0.20	1.0
Lead (mg/L)	< 0.10	0.16	< 0.10	0.11	<0.10	0.27	0.05
Manganese (mg/L)	0.06	0.05	< 0.01	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.2
Total Mercury	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002
	<0.10	(0.10	(0.10	<0.10	-0.10		1.0
(mig/L)	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	<0.10	1.0
Nickel (mg/L)	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	< 0.04	0.16	0.2
Selenium (mg/L)	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	< 0.002	0.05
Silver (mg/L)	0.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	< 0.01	0.02	0.05
Strontium (mg/L)	1.7	6.9	1.9	4.7	1.9	5.4	
Uranium (mg/L)	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.10	5.0
Zinc (mg/L)	0.012	0.419	0.282	0.134	0.019	0.012	10.0

Table 4. Results of Water Quality Sampling -- Metals

Notes:

The Section 10 Windmill is listed on the chain-of-custody and in analytical results as Section 9 because the well location was not precisely known at the time of sampling.

Water from MW-1 field filtered using 0.45 micron filter for dissolved concentration; all other samples show total concentrations.

A number shown in **Bold** format exceeds WQCC Standard.

		Produced Water		WQCC Standard
Sample Location:	Mohave Transfer Station	Bates Transfer Station	Patriot Transfer Station	
Sample Date:	29-Aug-97	29-Aug-97	29-Aug-97	
Constituent:				
Benzene (mg/L)	855	1,131	1,988	0.01
Toluene (mg/L)	550	585	514	0.75
Ethylbenzene (mg/L)	12.7	11.7	10.46	0.75
m,p-Xylene (mg/L)	69.0	62.6	60.6	
o-Xylene (mg/L)	42.8	44.6	45.2	
Total Xylenes (mg/L)	111.8	107.2	105.7	0.62
H ₂ S (ppm)*	330	330	320	
Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	5,940	6,130	6,840	1,000

Table 5. Results of Water Quality Sampling -- Organics

Notes:

A number shown in **Bold** format exceeds WQCC Standard

* H₂S (Hydrogen Sulfide) concentrations in excess of 300 ppm are considered to be immediately dangerous to life and health by OSHA. Release of lessor concentrations to the atmosphere are regulated by the NM Oil Conservation Division and NMED Air Quality Bureau.

4.3 Soils

The near-surface soils at the project site were fine grained and generally uniform in texture. Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc. performed particle size analysis on the 42 soil samples obtained at depths of 1, 3 and 6 feet at the 15 boring locations. Table 6 provides a summary of the more important soil physical and chemical parameters. Copies of the soil analyses are shown in Appendix E. The average percentages of sand, silt and clay determined by the particle size analysis were 16, 68 and 16 percent, respectively. Silt and clay total 84 percent of the average sample. Figure 11 shows the textural triangle used by the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) to classify soils. The most predominant soil texture was silt loam (17 samples) followed by silt (15 samples) and clay-loam mixtures (9 samples). Only one sample (B-3, 3 foot interval) was classified as a clay. Vertically, clay-loam mixtures were slightly more common at shallow depths (3 feet or less), and silts were more frequently found at a depth of 6 feet.

The hydraulic conductivity of fine grained silt/clay soil mixtures such as found at the project site is quite low. Although conductivity testing was not performed, hydraulic conductivity of such materials typically ranges from 10^{-4} to 10^{-6} cm/sec (Driscoll, 1986). The Eddy County soil survey report estimates the infiltration rate of native undisturbed soil at 0.8 to 2.5 inches/hour (5.6 x 10^{-4} to 1.8 x 10^{-3} cm/second). The report further characterizes the soil as having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted due to its fine to moderately fine texture.

ł.

Because of their high percentage of fine grained material, the soils have elevated cation exchange capacities (CEC), which is the total quantity of cations (sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and other positively charged ions) which a soil can adsorb by cation exchange. Further, the actual amount of sodium available for exchange is relatively low (about 1 to 2%) compared to the availability of calcium. This means that the clays are probably in a flocculated condition and the soil structure is likely to remain open and permeable to infiltration of water from precipitation or from low sodium irrigation water.

If water containing high sodium is applied, the usual result is dispersion of clays, lowering of soil permeability and formation of alkali soils. However, water containing both high sodium and high concentrations of total salts may retard clay dispersion, and partially maintain a favorable soil structure for infiltration. Although soil science specialists can provide further interpretation on the impact of produced water on soils at the project site, bench tests of soil samples and produced water may be necessary to determine specific impacts on the soils of water containing both high sodium and high total salts.

Application of irrigation water containing the slightly elevated concentrations of metals observed in the produced water sample should not cause environmental problems. Cadmium may be adsorbed by soil clay minerals, carbonates or hydrous oxides of iron and manganese, or may be precipitated as cadmium carbonate, hydroxide, and phosphate. At pH values greater than 6, cadmium is adsorbed by the soil solid phase or is precipitated. Soluble lead added to the soil reacts with clays, phosphates, sulfates, carbonates, hydroxides, and organic matter such that lead solubility is greatly reduced. At pH values above 6, lead is either adsorbed on clay surfaces or forms lead carbonate. Of all the trace metals analyzed, lead is retained by soils and soil constituents to the greatest extent under the conditions described (McLean and Bledsoe, 1992).

Table 7 provides a summary of soil nutrient properties. The soil is generally low in organic matter, and low to very low in nitrogen, available phosphorus, manganese, and zinc. Iron, copper and boron nutrients are low to medium in available nutrients. Available sulfur is medium to high, while free lime is high throughout all soil intervals. As previously mentioned in Section 2.3, when managed properly, these soils are among the most productive in the Artesia area.

05/18/98

Yates Petroleum Corpo

Pe

sco Irrigation Report

ai .			1							_				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Exchange Sodium	Percent- age (ESP	1.0%	2.0%	NS	0.6%	2.1%	2.5%	%9 .0	1.2%	NS	0.6%	0.8%	1.0%	0.5%	1.1%	2.7%	
Cation Exchange	Capacity (Meq/100g)	38.5	39.1	NS	41.7	40.1	41.7	39.5	38.2	NS	40.0	37.0	38.4	38.0	35.1	35.6	
Exchange- able	Sodium (PPM)	89	176	NS	53	195	240	51	105	NS	53	72	86	48	85	217	
Exchange- able	Magnesium (PPM)	420	510	NS	310	069	910	260	490	NS	305	420	740	250	360	680	
Exchange-	Calcium (PPM)	6,750	6,700	NS	7,460	6,600	6,500	7,100	6,640	SN	7,280	6,470	6,250	6,980	6,250	5,720	
Exchange-	Potassium (PPM)	320	235	NS	620	210	240	620	175	SN	310	310	240	320	190	150	
Soluble	cmbos/ cm)	0.48	0.42	NS	0.26	0.45	0.29	0.25	0.39	SN	0.23	0.42	0.31	0.19	0.30	0.29	
Wilting	rom	9.5	13.6	NS	14.6	15.4	11.5	14.2	18.3	SN	2.2	14.7	18.9	13.8	15.5	11.0	xas
Field	Capacity	17.4	24.7	NS	26.6	28.1	21.0	25.8	33.4	SN	26.5	26.7	34.4	25.1	28.2	20.1	bbock, Te
Soil	Texture	silt loam	silt loam	SN	silt loam	clay loam	silt	clay loam	clay	SN	clay loam	silty clay loam	clay	clay loam	silty clay loam	silt loam	, Inc., Lu
Percent	CIAY	9	26	SN	26	36	∞	28	: 46	SN	30	28	48	28	32	16	ratories
Percent Silt	SIIC	72	52	NS	64	40	88	52	40	SN	50	58	40	48	54	56	ral Labo
Percent Sond	Sand	22	22	SN	10	24	4	20	14	SN	20	14	12	24	14	28	gricultu
Lab	N0.	7671	7676	NS	7672	7677	7681	7673	7678	NS	7674	7679	7682	7675	7680	7683	ern A
Sample	Date (1998)	02-02	02-02	02-02	02-04	02-04	02-04	02-05	02-05	02-05	02-06	02-06	02-06	02-06	02-06	02-06	by West sample
Sample Locotion	Location and Depth	B-1, 1 ft.	B-1, 3 ft.	B-1, 6 ft.	B-2, 1 ft.	B-2, 3 ft.	B-2. 6 ft.	B-3, 1 ft.	B-3. 3-4 ft	B-3, 6 ft.	B-4, 1 ft.	B-4, 3-4 ft	B-4. 6 ft.	B-5, 1 ft.	B-5, 3-4 ft	B-5, 6 ft.	Note: Analyses NS – No
						-	_			_							

Table 6. Summary of Soil Physical and Chemical Properties

ſ

05/18/98

Ì

	- 63	11	-	-	-	-				_	_		_						-	-		-	
Exchange. Sodium	Percent-age (FSP)	0 7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	1.0%	1.1%	0.6%	1.0%	2.2%	0.6%	1.0%	1.2%	0.7%	1.1%	2.4%	0.6%		1.0%	2.2%	0.7%	2.0%	2.1%
Cation Exchange	Capacity Med/1000	35.0	39.4	41.1	39.1	38.1	36.6	40.0	40.8	40.7	39.3	39.4	39.8	39.5	37.9	41.1	42.5		44.4	42.2	33.9	45.0	42.6
Exchange- able	Sodium (PPM)	(****) <u> </u>	22	80	53	87	96	56	98	210	52	88	110	60	95	226	57		101	215	52	203	205
Exchange- able	Magnesium	180	250	530	210	270	480	220	420	740	225	390	069	220	380	780	265		475	850	255	750	730
Exchange- able	Calcium		7 240	7,140	7,200	6,980	6,330	7,310	7,250	6,620	7,200	7,020	6,600	7,250	6,760	6,600	7,750		7 820	6.720	6,060	7,400	6,960
Exchange- ahle	Potassium		330	235	420	235	195	520	260	220	470	250	225	450	210	240	500		340	245	480	350	320
Soluble	(mmhos/	0.37	0.00	0.49	0.20	0.32	0.36	0.22	0.38	0.43	0.20	0.33	0.29	0.18	0:30	0.35	0.22		0.30	0.35	0.19	0.45	0.56
Wilting		12.4	104	8.9	11.0	10.0	10.0	14.8	10.7	10.0	13.6	9.7	8.9	13.4	10.2	10.6	15.3		10.4	10.6	13.5	10.2	10.2
Field Canacity	(manda)	111	18.0	16.3	20.1	18.2	18.2	26.9	19.5	18.2	24.7	17.7	16.3	24.4	18.6	19.3	27.8		18.0	19.3	24.6	18.6	18.6
Soil Texture		1111000	sut roant	silt loam	silt loam	silt	silt	clay loam	silt	silt	silt loam	silt	silty	clay	silt	silt	silt loam	silt	silt loam				
Percent		76	07 V	04	16	4	4	32	4	4	26	9	9	26	9	9	30		×		24	4	9
Percent Silt		60	200	70	56	84	84	46 ·	92	84	52	74	70	50	80	84	58		00	84	58	86	80
Percent Sand		2	77 77	74	28	12	12	22	4	12	22	20	24	24	14	10	12		•	。 [18	10	14
Lab	5	0775	740/	700/	7643	7653	7662	7644	7654	7663	7645	7655	7664	7646	7656	7665	7647		7657	1001	7648	7658	7667
Sample	(1998)	50 00	10-70	10-20	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07	02-07		20 00	10-20	02-20	02-07	02-07
Sample	and	Deptin	D-1, 1 II.	B-1, 2 11. R-1 6 ft	B-2, 1 ft.	B-2, 3 ft.	B-2, 6 ft.	B-3, 1 ft.	B-3, 3 ft.	B-3, 6 ft.	B-4, 1 ft.	B-4. 3 ft.	B-4, 6 ft.	B-5, 1 ft.	B-5, 3 ft.	B-5, 6 ft.	B-6, 1 ft.		9 C 7 G	D-0, 2 IL.	B-7 1 A	B-7.3 ft.	B-7, 6 ft.

Table 6. Summary of Soil Physical and Chemical Properties (Continued)

E

Ď

Ĩ.

L

Analyses by Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc., Lubbock, Texas NS – No sample

Yates Petroleum Corposition

Perasco Irrigation Report

28

Table 7. Summary of Soil Nutrient Properties

Lab Soil	Free]	Percent	Nitrogen	Phosphorus	Phosphorus	Iron,	Manganese,	Zinc,	Copper,	Boron,	Sulfur,
Lime 0	ō≥	rganic Latter	(PPM)	(MAA)	Available (PPM)						
H		1.8	4 VL	3 VL	4 L	5.9 L	4.2 L	0.3 VL	0.5 M	M 6.0	112 H
H 1		1	4 VL	4 VL	4 L	7.6 M	2.7 L	0.2 VL	0.6 M	0.7 L	112 H
• :	'		:	1	1	L L	1	1		:	
H 1.		6	4 VL	4 VL	4 L	4.4 L	4.0 L	0.4 VL	0.3 L	0.9 M	15 M
H 1.	-	~	5 VL	4 VL	4 L	6.1 M	2.9 L	0.2 VL	0.4 L	0.7 L	65 H
H 2.(10.1		4 VL	4 VL	4 L	8.3 M	2.4 L	0.2 VL	0.8 M	0.7 L	26 H
H 1.9			4 VL	11 L	35 L	2.9 L	4.0 L	0.7 L	0.2 L	0.8 M	15 M
·H 1.4	1.4		5 VL	4 VL	4 L	4.4 L	1.6 VL	0.2 VL	0.5 M	0.8 M	50 H
1	;		:	E T	1	1 1		-	8 8	1	-
H 2.0	2.0		4 VL	8L	9L	6.3 M	2.5 L	0.2 VL	0.2 L	0.8 M	15 M
H 1.7	1.7		35 VH	4 VL	4 L	5.8 L	2.1 L	0.2 VL	0.7 M	0.8 M	20 M
H 1.6	1.6		5 VL	4 VL	4 L	7.0 M	2.5 L	0.3 VL	0.7 M	0.7 L	15 M
H 1.5	1.5	-	4 VL	4 VL	4 L	3.3 L	2.3 L	0.2 VL	0.2 L	0.9 M	15 M
H 1.4	1.4		4 VL	4 VL	4 L	5.0 L	2.3 L	0.2 VL	0.5 M	0.8 M	20 M
H 1.0	1.0		4 VL	4 VL	4 L	4.4 L	1.4 VL	0.1 VL	0.4 L	0.7 L	15 M
H 1.5	1.5	-	5 VL	4 VL	6 L	7.2 M	7.8 M	0.5 VL	0.8 M	0.9 M	18 M
H 1.5	1.5		5 VL	4 VL	4 L	8.3 M	3.9 L	0.2 VL	0.8 M	0.8 M	18 M
H 1.2	1.2		10 L	4 VL	4 L	6.3 M	1.9 VL	0.2 VL	0.6 M	0.6 L	15 M
H 1.5	1.5		5 VL	4 VL	4 VL	5.3 L	3.2 L	0.2 VL	0.6 M	0.8 M	18 M
H 1.4	1.4		5 VL	3 VL	4 L	8.6 M	3.6 L	0.2 VL	0.8 M	0.7 L	18 M
H 1.1	1.1		4 VL	3 VL	4 L	5.4 L	2.0 VL	0.4 VL	0.2 L	0.6 L	26 H
H 1.7	1.7		5 VL	4 VL	9 T	5.2 L	3.5 L	0.4 VL	0.5 M	0.9 M	18 M
H 1.6	1.6		5 VL	4 VL	4 L	8.5 M	3.1 L	0.1 VL	0.8 M	0.9 M	62 H
H 1.3	1.3		4 VL	4 VL	4 L	6.1 M	2.0 VL	0.1 VL	0.5 M	0.8 M	62 H
H 1.6	1.6		5 VL	4 VL	4 L	6.0 M	3.2 L	0.2 VL	0.5 M	0.7 L	18 M
H 1.5	1.5		5 VL	3 VL	4 L	5.2 L	2.7 L	0.1 VL	0.5 M	0.7 M	26 H
H 1.2	1.2		4 VL	4 VL	5 L	6.6 M	1.9 VL	0.3 VL	0.3 L	0.8 M	35 H

Analyses by Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc., Lubbock, Texas Abbreviations: H – high, M – medium, L – low, VL – very low

- 1

Ŷ

ź

Į.

ľ

Ŭ,

Ĩ

Ĩ,

I

l

31

5.0 DISCUSSION

5.1 Groundwater Protection

The subsurface investigation determined that groundwater is not present at shallow depths beneath the site. Further, extensive thicknesses of low permeability clays provide protection for the first detected groundwater at a depth in excess of 125 feet. The extensive unsaturated gravel beds beneath the site provide an opportunity to detect water which may migrate from the overlying soil. However, the gravels themselves hinder fluid migration by acting as a capillary barrier at the soil-gravel interface. Before water can flow into the gravels, the overlying soil must be saturated so as to overcome the very significant capillary forces holding the water in the fine grained pores of the silt and clay. Whether the fine grained soil can transmit significant volumes of water to the gravels even under an irrigation scenario is questionable.

5.1.1 Groundwater Monitoring

The groundwater monitoring wells installed at the base of the gravels during the investigation phase of this study will serve to detect significant fluid migration from the shallow soil due to over application of irrigation water. At least two more wells are necessary to characterize and monitor the shallow gravels in Section 14 of the project area. One well should be located in the northeast quarter of the section and the other should be placed adjacent to MW-1. Additional wells may be required as a condition of approval by the NMOCD.

5.1.2 Vadose Zone Monitoring

Tensiometers, which measure fluid potential, should be installed at a depth which is below the normal operating range for irrigation management. At this depth, they will be available to detect relatively large changes in the soil-water fluid potential such as might be caused by over application of water followed by deep percolation of water. At shallower depths tensiometers should be used to determine irrigation scheduling. A soil scientist should be consulted for particulars on tensiometer installation, use and maintenance.

5.2 Irrigation Management

The most important element that will determine the success of the irrigation project is irrigation management. Because of the physical and chemical changes in the soil resulting from applications of water containing high salt concentrations, it will be necessary to monitor carefully how often water is applied and what volumes are applied. The goal of irrigation management is to avoid salt buildup at the crop root zone which would prevent uptake of water by the plant. On the other hand, downward flushing of salt laden water below the root zone may cause negative regulatory impacts if such water migrates from the soil zone into the underlying gravels.

To prevent migration of water from the soil zone, water applications must be balanced against plant moisture requirements, evapotranspiration, precipitation and drainage requirements for prevention of salt buildup in the root zone. The goal is to establish a "plane of zero liquid flux" at some point beneath the root zone and above the gravels. Above this plane or surface,
Yates Petroleum Corporation

soil-water movement is upward due to evapotranspiration, and below this plane water moves downward only in response to capillary forces and gravity. At the zero flux plane, the hydraulic gradient is zero. If water is over-applied at the site, there is not a plane of zero flux and the hydraulic gradient is downward throughout the soils profile. This will cause movement of water lower into the soil zone and may eventually saturate the soil immediately above the gravel such that water will discharge into the gravel zone. The services of an agricultural engineer or soil scientist should be utilized to design irrigation application rates and cycles such that a plane of zero liquid flux is maintained at a depth that is protective of the plant roots and that also prevents further downward water movement in the soil.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusions

The investigation produced the following conclusions regarding the suitability of the site for use for irrigation with produced water from natural gas formations:

- 1. The location selected for the irrigation project is expected to provide adequate protection for the underlying groundwater due to the presence of thick and extensive clays at depths between 60 and at least 140 feet where the first groundwater is encountered.
- 2. Groundwater was measured at a depth of 130 feet in the deep monitor well installed to determine the depth to and quality of water beneath the project site.
- 3. The quality of the water in the monitor well is quite good with a TDS concentration of 750 mg/L, and cadmium the only constituent exceeding WQCC standards.
- 4. Between the bottom of the soil profile (located at a depth from 3 to 25 feet) and the top of the clay zone, extensive unsaturated gravel zones were located that will be useful for detection of any fluids that might migrate from the soil zone.
- 5. The soil profile itself is fine-grained with soil particles having an average composition of 84 percent silt plus clay content and an estimated hydraulic conductivity of 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻⁶ cm/sec for undisturbed soil.
- 6. Slightly elevated concentrations of cadmium and lead metals in the irrigation water will not pose an environmental hazard since these constituents will be adsorbed onto the clay particles in the soil.
- 7. Treatment of the produced water to remove high concentrations of BTEX and H_2S will be necessary before land application of the water will be authorized by the NMOCD.
- 8. The quality of the proposed irrigation water (approximately 8,200 mg/L TDS) will likely cause changes in the soil structure that will reduce further the hydraulic conductivity and cause difficulty in irrigation management including salt buildup within the root zone.
- 9. Proper irrigation management will require that the application of the irrigation water be balanced by crop growth needs, evapotranspiration from soil and plant surfaces, salt management in the root zone, and the need to prevent water movement downward to the gravel zone.

6.2 Recommendations

The following recommendations are suggested for implementation if the irrigation project proceeds to the design and construction stage:

- 1. Two additional shallow monitoring wells should be installed in the project area prior to beginning operations:
 - a) The first well would be located in the northeast quarter of Section 14 where the elevation of the base of the gravels is anticipated to be at its lowest such that any water seepage into the gravel zone will migrate northeasterly towards this location, and
 - b) The second well would be adjacent to MW-1 to provide water detection in the gravels in the southern portion of Section 14.
- 2. Groundwater flow direction at the site should be determined by modifying the surface casing on nearby windmills so that access for groundwater level measurements can be obtained.
- 3. Resampling of the produced water and the following water wells should be performed for the reasons listed:
 - a) Produced water, MW-1, Section 10 windmill, and Ranch Headquarters well for cadmium and/or lead due elevated levels of one or both constituents in the initial samples.
 - b) MW-1 and Ranch Headquarters wells for BTEX since baseline levels were not established during the initial investigation.
- 4. An agricultural engineer or soil scientist should be consulted to further evaluate the results of the soil testing so that an irrigation schedule suitable for the site conditions, crop(s) to be grown, and the quality of the irrigation water might be designed. As part of this work the following activities are suggested:
 - a) Perform bench-scale tests on soil samples (preferably undisturbed samples) to determine changes in soil structure and hydraulic conductivities following applications of irrigation water;
 - b) Using the results of the bench-scale tests, and available information on 1) plant moisture requirements for crop growth, 2) evapotranspiration from soil and plant surfaces, and 3) salt management in the root zone, estimate the depth at which the salts should be immobilized to allow crop growth and to prevent migration of soluble salts downwards to the gravel zone; and
 - c) suggest depths for installation of tensiometers to monitor moisture deficiency in the upper soil zone for scheduling of irrigation, and moisture surplus in the lower zone that would indicate undesirable downward movement of water toward the gravel zone.
- 5. Before a large scale irrigation system is built, a pilot project should be initiated that would utilize the data collected from bench-scale test together with other relevant technical information to determine actual soil and plant impacts at the irrigation site.

7.0 REFERENCES

- Driscoll, F. G., 1986. Groundwater and Wells, Second Edition. Johnson Division, St. Paul, Minnesota, 1089 pages.
- Fiedler, A. G., and Nye, S. S., 1933. "Geology and Ground-Water Resources of the Roswell Artesian Basin, New Mexico," U.S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 639, U.S. Govt. Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Hendrickson, G. E., and R. S. Jones, 1952. "Geology and Ground-Water Resources of Eddy County, New Mexico," Groundwater Report, 3, New Mexico Bureau of Mines & Mineral Resources, Socorro, NM.
- Kelley, V. C., 1971. "Geology of Pecos Country, Southeastern New Mexico," New Mexico Bureau of Mines & Mineral Resources - Memoir 24, University of New Mexico Printing Plant, Socorro, NM.
- Lyford, F. P., 1973. "Valley Fill in the Roswell-Artesia Area, New Mexico," Open File Report 73-163, USGS Open File Services, Denver, CO.
- McLean, J., and B. Bledsoe. 1992. "Ground Water Issues, Behavior of Metals in Soils", USEPA, Superfund Technology Support Center for Ground Water, Robert S. Kerr Environmental Research Laboratory, Ada Oklahoma.
- Morgan, A. M., 1938. "Geology and Shallow-Water Resources of the Roswell Artesian Basin", New Mexico State Engineer Bulletin No. 5, Santa Fe, NM.
- New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission (WQCC), 1996. "New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission Regulations". New Mexico Water Quality Control Commission, Santa Fe, November 15.
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), 1982. "Monthly Normals of Temperature, Precipitation, and Heating and Cooling Degree Days, 1951-1980", Natural Climatic Data Center, Asheville, NC.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service (USDA-SCS), 1971. "Soil Survey Eddy County Area", New Mexico, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- Welder, G. E., 1983. "Geohydrologic Framework of the Roswell Ground-Water Basin, Chaves and Eddy Counties, New Mexico", USGS Technical Report 42, University of New Mexico Printing Plant, Socorro, NM.

. .

.

· · · – · · –

APPENDIX A

LITHOLOGIC AND MONITOR WELL COMPLETION LOGS

1 1	KEY TO SYMBOLS AND SOIL CLASSIFICATION										
Thin-wal Tube	SAMPLE TYPES COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TESTS Thin-walled Split- Thin-walled Split- Barrel Rock Core W/Testable Disturbed No Hand Penetrometer Torvane Unconfined U-U Triaxial										
Majo	r Divisi	ons	Gro Sym	oup ibols	Typical Names	Consistency Terms					
e Size.	orse un No.	Sravels or no es)	GW	50. 00	Well—Graded Gravels, Gravel—Sand Mixtures, Little or no Fines.						
200 Siev	VELS alf of Co RGER The Size.	Clean ((Little Fine	ĠP		Poorly—Graded Gravels, Gravel—Sand Mixtures, Little or no Fines.						
SOILS	GRA Than Ho on is LAF	fith Fines clable of Fines)	GM		Silty Gravels, Gravel—Sand—Silt Mixtures.	Penetration Descriptive					
AINED ARGER Th	More Fractic	Gravels W (Appre Amount o	GC		Clayey Gravels, Gravel—Sand—Clay Mixtures.	Resistance, Blows/Foot* 0-4 4-10 Loose					
SE-GR/ rial is LA	arse an No.	Sands or no es)	SW	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Well—Graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or no Fines.	10–30 Medium Dens 30–50 Dense Over 50 Very Dense					
CC.	JDS alf of Co NLLER The Size.	Clean (Little Fine	SP		Poorly—Graded Sands, Gravelly Sands, Little or no Fines.						
han Half	Than Ho to is SMA 4 Siev	ith Fines sciable of Fines)	SM		Silty Sands, Sand—Silt Mixtures.						
More T	More Fractio	Sands W (Appre Amount	SC ·		Clayey Sands, Sand—Clay Mixtures.	* Based on driving a split—barre sampler with a 140 lb. weight dropped 30 in.					
an No.		sse	ML		Inorganic Silts & Very Fine Sands, Rock Flour, Silty or Clayey Fine Sands or Clayey Silts with Slight Plasticity.						
DILS ALLER T	Ś	d Limit I Than 50	CL		Inorganic Clays of Low to Medium Plasticity, Gravelly Clays, Sandy Clays, Silty Clays, Lean Clays.						
VED S(tal is SM ve Size.	d CLA	Liqui	OL		Organic Silts & Organic Silty Clays of Low Plasticity.	Compressive Strength, ton/sq ft 0 to 0.25 Very Soft					
	TS an	reater	МН		Inorganic Silts, Micaceous or Diatomoceous Fine Sand or Silty Soils, Elastic Silts.	0.25 to 0.50 Soft 0.50 to 1.00 Firm 1.00 to 2.00 Stiff 2.00 to 4.00 Very Stiff					
FINE tan Half	SII	l Limit G Than 50	СН		Inorganic Clays of High Plasticity, Fat Clays.	Over 4.00 Hard					
More Th		Liquid	ОН		Organic Clays of Medium to High Plasticity, Organic Silts.						

F

•

Yates Petroleum Corporation

APPENDIX A1

LITHOLOGIC LOGS OF MONITOR WELLS AND SOIL BORINGS

Penasco Report App.doc













		PET COR	ES ROLEUN PORATI	- <u>1</u> <u>ON</u>				LOG	OF BORING B-4	(Dege 2 of 2)
	Yates Per	s Petro nasco 4-Dir	bleum Co Irrigation hkus Rar	prporatio Project nch	n 		Date Date Loca Hole	, Time Start : 02/06/98, 0800 , Time Finish : 02/06/98, 1345 tion : SE,SE,SW Sec Diameter : 6-5/8 in.	(Page 2 of 2) : Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer	
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 3520	Samples	Sample From:	Blows / Length (ft)	Recvy. (ft)	GRAPHIC	USCS	Sample Condition: Remoulded Undisturbed Lost Rock Core DESCR	Sample From: SS Split Spoon ST Shelby Tube CT Auger Cuttings CB 5 ft. Core Barrel	
40 -			CT SS	50/5"	0.5		GW CG	40-42 ft. Gravel (pea gravel s 42-45 ft. Soft at 42-42.7ft. Sp clay, very light brown to chalk rounded, some cementing be precurser to caliche?). Very h	40-42 ft. Gravel (pea gravel size), uniform with some clay 42-45 ft. Soft at 42-42.7ft. Splitspoon sample: Gravelly clay, very light brown to chalk color, gravel mixed sizes, rounded, some cementing between gravels (possible	
45	- 3475		ст				GW	45-50 ft. Gravel, cuttings retu	med mixed size gravels.	
50 -	- 3470		ss ст	50/13"	1.1		CL	50-54 ft. Soft drilling, clay returns, splitspoon sample: Clay, light brown, stiff, plastic, with occassional limestone inclusion and caliche streaks. Dry but moisture on barrel. (Photo taken)		
55 - - -	- 3465		ст				GW	54-57 ft. Gravel to 57 feet. Ve water at 57 ft. for cuttings ret	ery dry, added 5 gallons um.	-
60 - -	- 3460		ст					57-63 ft. Very hard drilling to gravel or caliche	63 feet. Possible clayey	-
- 65 - -	- 3455		ст				CL	63-69 ft. soft at 63 ft. Clay re	turns 63-69 ft.	
- - 7'0 - -	- 3450		SS	50/1'	1.2			69-71 ft. Splitspoon sample: plastic, low silt. (Photo taken	Clay, brown, dry, crumbly,)	
- - 75 - -	- 3445							Notes: Boring dry. Completed as mo well completion details.	onitor well. See MW-4 log for	
- - - 03	3440									

i

Ì























APPENDIX A2

MONITOR WELL COMPLETION LOGS

Í

	X	A T PETE CORI	ES ROLI POR	EUM ATION			LOG OF V	VELL MW-1	(Page 1 of 3)
	Yates Petroleum Corporation Penasco Irrigation Project 4-Dinkus Ranch			Date, Time Start Date, Time Finish Location Hole Diameter	: 02/0: : 02/04 : SE,S : 6-5/8	2/98, 0945 4/98, 1230 6E,SW Sec 14 8 in.	Drilling Method Drill Equipment Drilled By Logged By	: Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer	
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 3487	GRAPHIC	nscs	DESCRIPT		Well: M Elev.: 34	W-1 \$89.4		onstruction rmation
- - - 5 - -	- 3485 - 3480		ML GW	silty clay at 3 ft., brown plastic 3-5 ft. Gravel, limeston 1/4 - 1 1/2 in. 5-7 ft. Gravel 7-8 ft. Soft	, moist, very		1 -1 - - - -	Date completed Hole diameter Depth Hole BLS Drilling Method Drilled by Logged by CASING, SCREEN	: 02/04/98 : 8 1/4 in. : 148.5 ft. : HSA : Atkins Engineering : D. G. Boyer & CAP
10 -	- 3475		GM	8-13 ft. Gravel with clar light brown Auger refusal at 10 ft. bit - log from cuttings.	yey sand, Switch to drill		•	Screen type Screen type Screen length Screen opening Scr. placement Bottom Cap Protector Casing	: 2 in. ID : Johnson Slotted : 15 ft. : 0.020 slot : 133 - 148 ft. BLS : 0.2 ft PVC : Above-around steel
15 -	- 3470	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GC	13-18 ft. Gravel with cl gravel to 2 in., rounded 18-20 ft. Gravel with cl very hard drilling @20'	ay and sand, I, uniform. ay & sand,		PVC Pipe	SEALS & SAND P/ Cement seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Sand pack type	ACK : El Toro Type II : Portland, 26 bags : 0 - 125 ft. BLS : Med. bentonite : chips, ("Pure Gold") : 125 - 127 ft. BLS : 08-16 CSSI silica
20 -	- 3465		GP GW	20-21 ft. Gravel, pea-s 23-25 ft. Very hard dril cobble-sized gravel ch 25-28 ft. Cobble sized	ized, 1/4-3/8" ling, ips returned gravels		Grout	Sand placement ELEVATIONS Ground elevation Inner casing, top Outer casing, top	: sand : 127-148 ft. BLS : 3,487 ft. (approx.) : 3,489.4 ft. (approx.) :
- - - - - - -	- 3460 - 3455	00,0		28-33 ft. Gravelly clay,	soft drilling	•	- 4 	NOTES Notes: Depth to water 170 below land surface 1,100 umhos @ 72 Depth to water at 0	0, 02/03/98, 142.57 ft. (BLS), conductivity °F, pH ~6.8. 800, 02/04 is 133 ft. BLS
35 -	- 3450			33-38 ft. Installed 5 ft. 33-34.3 ft. Clay, browr w/ caliche inclusions 34.3-34.8 ft. Caliche, v and limestone fragmer	core barrel. a, stiff, plastic white with clay nts and chips.	•		(snown on diagram On 2/7/98 well was and bailing. Appro water were remove final conductivity pH of ~6.8. During levels declined fror On 2/9 the well wa	and the set of the
40 - - -	3445		CL	38-43 ft. Caliche clay, limestone inclusions 43-48 ft. Switch to drill	white with bit - log from		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	avaliable due to pr conductivity 1,250 On 03/02/98, DTW casing (BTC).	umbos/cm, pH 6.5. is 129.51 ft. below top of
45 - - -	3440			cuttings. Clay, brown, soft, plas sand grains 48-53 ft. Clay, brown, no sand grains.	tic with some	•	•		

Ĵ

ļ

ľ

Ĵ

, in the second s

		A T PET COF	TES ROL RPOR	EUM			_OG OF WE	ELL MW-2	(Page 1 of 1)
	Yates Petroleum Corporation Penasco Irrigation Project 4-Dinkus Ranch				Date, Time Start Date, Time Finish Location Hole Diameter	: 02/04/ : 02/05/ : NE,NV : 6-5/8 i	98, 1340 98, 1115 V,SW Sec 15 n.	Drilling Method Drill Equipment Drilled By Logged By	: Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 3524	GRAPHIC	USCS	DESCRIPT	FION	Well: MW Elev.: 352	-2 26.75	Well C Info	onstruction rmation
0 - 5 -	- 3520		ML	0-5 ft. Top soil, clayey brown with roots and w 5-8.5 ft. Clayey silt, ligh increasing small gravel	silt, light hite streaks ht brown with		Grout Bentonite Seal	DRILLING INFORM Date completed Hole diameter Depth Hole BLS Drilling Method Drilled by	AATION : 02/04/98 : 8 1/4 in. : 67 feet : HSA : Atkins Engineering
10 -	- 3515 - 3510	0.00000		At 8.5 ft. core barrel be gravel and torqued into no recovery (Photo tak 10-15 ft. Limestone gra	came stuck in corkscrew, en) avel to 1 1/2			Logged by CASING, SCREEN Material, joints Diameter Screen type Screen length	I & CAP PVC, threaded 2 in. ID Johnson Slotted
20 -	- 3505	0.00.00	GW	in., rounded, with clay 15-20 ft. Limestone gravel to 2 in., mixed size, little clay			– PVC Pipe – Backfill Cuttings	Screen opening : 0.020 slot Scrn. placement : 34.5 - 44.5 ft. BLS Bottom Cap : 0.2 ft PVC Protector Casing : Above-ground ster SEALS & SAND PACK	
25 -	3500	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		20-25 ft. Gravel, same size to 3 in. 25-30 ft. Gravel, same	as above, as above			Cement seal type Type II Portland Seal placement 0 - 2 ft. BLS Annular seal type Med. Bentonite ch Seal placement 2 - 4 ft. BLS Annular seal type Cuttings Seal placement 2 - 4 ft. BLS	: Type II Portland : 0 - 2 ft. BLS : Med. Bentonite chips : 2 - 4 ft. BLS : Cuttings : 4 - 30 ft. BLS
30 - 35 -	- 3495			30-35 ft. Gravel, same Pea gravel at 33 ft.	as above	XV. V.V.	– Bentonite Seal	Annular seal type Seal placement Sand pack type Sand placement ELEVATIONS	: Med. Bentonite chips : 30 - 32 ft. BLS : 08-16 CSSI silica : 32 - 44 ft. BLS
40	- 3485	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GC	35-40 ft. Clayey gravel increasing clay at 40 ft 40-43 ft. Gravel to 43 f	with t. then clay		– Sand Pack – PVC Screen	Ground elevation Inner casing, top	: 3,524 ft. (approx.) : 3,526.8 ft. (approx.)
45	3480			44-46 ft. Splitspoon. S brown, stiff, dry, crumt plastic when wetted. (f	ilty clay, Jy, very Photo taken)		– PVC Cap – Bent. Flour Seal	Boring dry on 02/0 was 44 ft. BLS. Thi which is appoximal base of gravels. W casing condensation	5/98. On 03/02/98 DTW is is water in casing cap tely 1 ft. into clay zone at ater is likely "sweat" from on plus water which was
50 55	3470		CL	50-55 ft. Hard drilling a gravel "chatter", return from upper zones 55-57 ft. Splitspoon. C	at 52 ft., no is are gravel lay with		— Backfill Cuttings	bentonite.	nie gravei zone io nyoraie
60	3465		-	caliche, occassional lir inclusions, clay brown specks, brown staining (iron?) streaks. 57-65 ft. Clay with cali	mestone with white g and black che as above.				
65	3460]	65-67 ft. Splitspoon. C very light brown, with I pebbles and fragments Notes:	aliche clay, limestone s, dry, crumbly		– Bentonite Seal		
70	+ 3455 - 3450			Boring dry. Backfilled y to 63 ft. Backfilled with ft. then with bentonite Completed as monitor	with bentonite a cuttings to 46 flour to 44 ft. well. See B-2 alogic details				

į, į

2

Ļ

Ĺ

ľ

! **Ĵ**

:

		PE	ES IROL	EUM			Ĺ	.OG OF WE	ELL MW-3	
										(Page 1 of 1)
	Penasco Irrigation Project 4-Dinkus Ranch			Date, Time Start Date, Time Finish Location Hole Diameter	: 02/05/98, 1130 : 02/05/98, 1700 : NE,NE,SE Sec 15 : 6-5/8 in.			Drilling Method Drill Equipment Drilled By Logged By	: Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer	
Depih in Feet	Surf. Elev. 3497	RAPHIC	scs	DESCRIPT	TION	Well: N Elev.: :	иW- 349	-3 9.35	Well Co	onstruction rmation
0 -		υ	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-		
5-	- 3495		ML	0-5 ft. Clayey silt, brow very light brown at base increasing clay. Plant n taken)	n grading to e with oots. (Photo			- Grout Bentonite Seal	DRILLING INFORM Date completed Hole diameter Depth Hole BLS	ATION : 02/05/98 : 8 1/4 in. : 65 feet
- - - 10 -	3490		CL	5-10 ft. Silty clay, light Gravel lens at 9 ft.	brown.				Drilling Method Drilled by Logged by CASING, SCREEN	: HSA : Atkins Engineering : D. G. Boyer & CAP
15 -	- 3485			10-15 ft. Silty clay, ligh occassional gravel	t brown with	X		– Backfill Cuttings	Diameter Screen type Screen length Screen opening	: 2 vC, threaded : 2 in. ID : Johnson Slotted : 10 ft. : 0.020 slot
20 -	- 3480	0000 0000 0000		15-20 ft. Gravel, sized from 3/4 in 2 in., non-uniform				– PVC Pipe	Scm. placement Bottom Cap Protector Casing SEALS & SAND PA	: 39 - 49 ft. BLS : 0.2 ft PVC : Above-ground steel .CK
25 -	3475	0000 0000 0000 0000	GW	20-25 ft. Gravel, same	as above				Cement seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Annular seal type	: Type II Portland : 0 - 1.8 ft. BLS : Med. Bentonite chips : 1.8 - 3.5 ft. BLS : Cuttings
30	- 3470			25-30 ft. Gravel, some 30-33 ft. Gravel, same	pea gravel as above		X		Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Sand pack type Sand placement	: 3.5 - 35 ft. BLS : Med. Bentonite chips : 35 - 37 ft. BLS : 08-16 CSSI silica : 37 - 49 ft. BLS
35	3460	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	 	33-35 ft. Gravel with cli Very hard drilling, poss	ay at 33 ft. ible caliche			– Bentonite Seal	ELEVATIONS Ground elevation Inner casing, top	: 3,497 ft. (approx.) : 3,499.4 ft. (approx.)
40	3455	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	GC	35-40 ft. Gravel with si hard drilling, lots of dril auger catching, likely o gravel and caliche	Ity clay, very I chatter, emented			– Sand Pack	NOTES Boring dry on 02/05 was 48.8 ft. BLS. Th	/98. On 03/02/98 DTW his is water in casing
45	3450	0 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	40-45 π. Clayey gravel 45-47.5 ft. Clayey grav	, as above rel, as above			– PVC Screen	cap which is appoxi zone at base of gra "sweat" from casing water which was pu gravel zone to hydra	vels. Water is likely g condensation plus t into hole opposite ate bentonite.
50	3445			47.5-50.5 ft. Soft drillin sample. Clay, very ligh becoming brown at bas plastic	g, splitspoon it brown se, stiff, very			– PVC Cap – Bentonite Seal		
55	3440		CL	55-60 ft. Silty clay, cut	tings show		X	– Backfill Cuttings		
60	3435			some small gravels an sand 60-65 ft. Clay to 63 ft.	d very coarse		X			
65	3430	¥2	<u> </u>	drilling, possible calich Notes: Boring dry. Backfill to bentonite to 49 ft. for c See B-3 log for drilling	e. 51ft. then asing set. and lithologic	K	X			

-

ļ

ı I



		PE COL	FES IROL RPOR	EUM EATION		LOG OF WI	ELL MW-4	(Page 2 of 2)
	Yates Petroleum Corporation Penasco Irrigation Project 4-Dinkus Ranch				Date, Time Start Date, Time Finish Location Hole Diameter	: 02/06/98, 0800 : 02/06/98, 1345 : SE,SE,SW Sec 15 : 6-5/8 in.	Drilling Method Drill Equipment Drilled By Logged By	: Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer
Depth in Feet	Surf. Elev. 3520	GRAPHIC	USCS	DESCRIPT	TION	Well: MW-4 Elev.: 3522.3	Well C Info	Construction prmation
40 - - - 45 - -	- 3475		GW CG GW	40-42 ft. Gravel (pea gi uniform with some clay 42-45 ft. Soft at 42-42.' sample: Gravelly clay, brown to chalk color, g sizes, rounded, some c between gravels (possi precurser to caliche?). drilling 43-45 ft.	ravel size), 7ft. Splitspoon very light ravel mixed xementing ble Very hard	PVC Pipe	DRILLING INFORM Date completed Hole diameter Depth Hole BLS Drilling Method Drilled by Logged by CASING, SCREEM Material, joints Diameter Screen type	MATION : 02/06/98 : 8 1/4 in. : 69 feet : HSA : Atkins Engineering : D. G. Boyer N & CAP : PVC, threaded : 2 in. ID : Johnson Slotted
50 - - - - - 55 -	- 3470 - 3465		CL	45-50 ft. Gravel, cutting mixed size gravels. 50-54 ft. Soft drilling, c splitspoon sample: Cla stiff, plastic, with occas stone inclusion and cal Dry but moisture on ba 54-57 ft. Gravel to 57 ft added 5 callons water	ay returned lay returns, y, light brown, isional lime- liche streaks. rrel. (Photo) eet. Very dry, at 57 ft for	Sand Pack	Screen length Screen opening Scm. placement Bottom Cap Protector Casing SEALS & SAND P Cement seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement	20 ft. 0.020 slot 43 - 63 ft. BLS 0.3 ft PVC Above-ground steel ACK Type II Portland 0 - 2 ft. BLS Med. Bentonite chips 2 - 4 ft. BLS Cuttings 4 - 37 ft. BLS
- - 60 - -	- 3460	0 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0		57-63 ft. Very hard drill Possible clayey gravel	ling to 63 feet. or caliche		Annular seal type Seal placement Sand pack type Sand placement ELEVATIONS Ground elevation Inner casing, top	: Med. Bentonite chips : 37 - 41 ft. BLS : 08-16 CSSI silica : 41 - 63 ft. BLS : 3,520 ft. (approx.) : 3,522.3 ft. (approx.)
- €i5 - -	- 3455		CL	63-69 ft. soft at 63 ft. C 63-69 ft.	Clay returns	PVC Cap Backfill cuttings	Boring dry on 02/0 was 64 ft. BLS. Th which is appoxima base of gravels. W casing condensati put into hole oppor bentonite.	6/98. On 03/02/98 DTW is is water in casing cap tely 1 ft. into clay zone at fater is likely "sweat" from on plus water which was site gravel zone to hydrate
70	- - - - -			69-71 ft. Splitspoon sa brown, dry, crumbly, p (Photo taken) Notes: Boring dry. See B-4 log	mple: Clay, lastic, low silt. g for drilling			
75	- 			and lithologic details.				
8 80 -	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -							

Ē				· · · ·	1			
			A TE PETRO CORPO	S LEUM RATION		LOG OF WE	ELL MW-5	(Page 1 of 1)
	Yates Petroleum Corporation Penasco Irrigation Project 4-Dinkus Ranch			um Corporation gation Project s Ranch	Date, Time Start Date, Time Finish Location Hole Diameter	: 02/06/98, 1415 : 02/06/98, 1930 : NW,SW,NE Sec 16 : 6-5/8 in.	Drilling Method Drill Equipment Drilled By Logged By	: Hollow Stem Auger : Ingersoll-Rand A-300 : Atkins Eng. Assoc. : D.G. Boyer
C:Miech461Xates\mon_well\mw-5.bor	Depth in Feet 0 5 10 15 20 25 30 25 30 35 30 40 45 55 50 55 60 60 65	Surf. Elev. 3555 - 3550 - 3545 - 3540 - 3535 - 3530 - 3535 - 3525 - 3520 - 3515 - 3510 - 3515 - 3510 - 3505 - 3500 - 3495 - 3490	Ω Q	DESCRIP 0-5 ft. Top soil, silty cla grading to light brown a (Photo taken) 5-10 ft. Silty clay, light 10-13 ft. Silty clay, light Gravel 13-14 ft. gradin gravel at 15 ft. 15-20 ft. Gravel with si limestone gravels to 3 20-25 ft. Gravel, same mixed sizes 25-30 ft. Gravel, mixed 30-35 ft. Gravel, mixed 30-35 ft. Gravel, mixed 30-35 ft. Gravel, same more pea gravel, very 40-45 ft. Gravel, same wery clean 45-50 ft. Gravel, same very clean 45-50 ft. Gravel, pea g at 47.5 ft. 50-55 ft. Gravel, pea g increasing clay 57-59 ft. Splitspoon. C plastic, slightly moist (Photo taken) 59-67 ft. Clay, brown, moist 67.5-69.5 ft. Splitspoon	TION ay, dark brown at base. brown, clean t brown g to clayey lty clay, in., rounded as above, fas above, few fines as above, as ab	Well: MW-5 Elev.: 3557.65	Well C Info DRILLING INFORM Date completed Hole diameter Depth Hole BLS Drilling Method Drilled by Logged by CASING, SCREEN Material, joints Diameter Screen type Screen length Screen opening Scr. placement Bottom Cap Protector Casing SEALS & SAND P. Cement seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Annular seal type Seal placement Sand pack type Sand placement ELEVATIONS Ground elevation Inner casing, top NOTES Boring dry on 02/0 was 57 ft. BLS. Th which is appoxima at base of gravels. from casing conde was put into hole of hydrate bentonite.	Available for the second state of the second s
05-28-1998	75 -	3480		Notes: Boring dry. Backfill to : bentonite to 57 ft. See drilling and lithologic d	59 ft. then B-5 log for etails.			



APPENDIX B

COPIES OF AVAILABLE DRILLER'S LOGS

Penasco Report App.doc



Form W



WELL RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be executed in triplicate, preferably typewritten, and submitted to the nearest district office of the State Engineer. All sections, except Section 5, shall be answered as completely and accurately as possible when any well is drilled, repaired or deepened. When this form is used as a plugging record, only Section 1A and Section 5 need be completed.

Section 1	(A) Owner of well E. R. POWELL	
	Street and Number Rt. 1 Pox 202	State New Hez
	Well was drilled under Permit No.11A + 1+060 <u>14</u> <u>14</u> <u>5</u> W <u>14</u> of Section10 (B) Drilling Contractor D. N. Gray Street and Number 1007 Mo.	and is located in the Twp. 10 S Rge. 25 E License No. WD-19
	City	State New Nex.
	Drilling was commenced July 17	<u>19 59</u>
(Plat of 640 acres)	- Drilling was completed	

Elevation at top of casing in feet above sea level. Total depth of well <u>300 Ft.</u> State whether well is shallow or artesian <u>Shallow</u> Depth to water upon completion <u>168</u>

Section	2		PRIN	CIPAL WATER-BEARING STRATA
No	Depth	in Feet	Thickness in	Description of Water-Bearing Formation
	From	To	Feet	
1	275	295	20	Sand (Charle Bluff)
2				······································
3		1		
4		1		
5	1	1	1 1	

			RECO					
Pounds	Threads	D	epth	Faat	Tumo Shoo	Perforations		
ft.	in	Top	Bottom	reet	Type Shoe	From	To	
	3.0	0	234	2:34	collar			
		225	300	75	none	243	300	
		1		1	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
			+					
	Pounds ft.	Pounds Threads ft. In I.O	Pounds Threads D ft. In Top 3.0 0 225	RECO Pounds Threads Depth It. Top Bottom 3.0 0 234 225 300	RECORD OF CA Pounds ft. Threads in Depth Top Feet 3.0 0 2314 2314 225 300 75	RECORD OF CASING Pounds ft. Threads in Depth Top Feet Type Shoe 3.0 0 234 234 collor 225 300 75 nono	RECORD OF CASING Pounds ft. Threads in Depth Top Feet Type Shoe Perf From 3.0 0 2.34 2.34 collor 3.0 0 2.34 2.34 collor 3.0 0 2.34 collor 2.34	

Section 4			RECORD	OF MUDDING AND C	CEMENTING
Depth in Feet		Diameter	Tons	No. Sacks of	
From	То	Hole in in.	Clay	Cement	Methods Used
		1 1			

Section 5	PLUGGING I	RECO	RD							
Name of Plugging Contract	tor			I	icense No					
Street and Number	City	7		St	tate					
Fons of Clay used	Tons of Roughage used			Type of p	roughage					
Plugging method used			Date	e Plugged_	19					
Plugging approved by:			Cement	t Plugs were	e placed as follows:					
WEX'	N TELASOB pervisor	No.	Depth From	of Plug To	No. of Sacks Used					
FOR USE OF SEA	HUNDELIVISY HUNDELIVISY HALLONG SEE AA									
FUL NORA-UNG8	LIGOT Carry		T.	ocation No.	18 25 18 2/1					
Section 6										
---	---------------	---------------------------------------	----------	---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--
Depth From	in Feet To	Thickness in Feet	Color	Type of Material Encountered						
The second se	, n	6.5	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
(,	4	-4	1:13	. Soll						
-1;	135	131		Gravel & Boulders						
135	150	15		Clay						
150	180	30		Gravel & Boulders						
130	200	20		Sand & Gravel Blue clay mixed						
200	272	72	Blue	Clay						
272	275	3	Red	Clay						
275	295	20		Sand						
295	300	5		Anhydrite						
:		P (11 1-11							
	Boar	1 Va	ly fill	190 ±						
		+								
	.	· · · · · ·								
			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
····				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·										
	+									
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · ·						
,	<u></u>									
S										
			-							
		a Naaaaa ahaadahaa		·						

5

...

· •

الدينية المحمد الم

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well

:

ł

			Ér,	n. Juny	
• 4 •	:	, ·	We	ll Driller	. C. / J.
		• • • •		1.	7 M
				·	•
		internation of a constant		p. e. e.	
		аранан сайта. Алар		100 M	el de la E
				2 T 11	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1		

 A second sec second sec · 4• ·

· : ,

|--|

مستورج ويرج والروار والاختراص - · · -

(This form is to be executed in triplicate)

WELL RECORD

Dermit	RG-3159
Let mite	EIV-F. J

ļ

Street or P.	0	Artesia		City and State New Mexic	
1. Well lors	ation and des	cription: The	Tell	is located in	10 No.
		(shal	low or artesian)	()	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
••••••	¥ of Se	ction	, Township		; Elevation of to
casing al	bove sea leve	l,	feet; diameter	of hole, inthes; to	otal depth,
depth to	water upon	completion,		illing was commenced	
and com	pleted	<u>44</u> .e		me of drilling contractor	367
RU. A	BOX 177	; Address,	KOBWELL	; Driller's Li	cense No.
2. Principa	I Water-bear	ring Strata:	: Thickness	Deteriation of Water-b	
No. 1	From	T.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
No. 2	245	250	5	gray shale	
No. 8					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
No. 4				··· ·	
No. 5		· · ·			<u></u>
		· · ·			
3. Casing I	Record:	e e e Regente			
Diameter in inches	Pounda per fi.	Threads Dopin per inch Ter	of Casing or Liner Bottom	Feet of Casing Type of Shee	Perferation From To
7.0.D.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			72	230 250
*******				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••••••••
	•••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••
*****	•••••	·····			
******			+ •••••••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
					· · · ·
4. II above	CODSULICION	I TEDIRCES OIG W	SII KO DE BOBDGOI	ned, give location:	'4 ,
, of Section	on	., Township	, Rang	e; name and add	ess of plugging contro
······································	i de la compañía de l Compañía de la compañía		••••	na an a	-
		1 17			· ······
date of	plugging	* 0		; describe how well was pl	ugged;
. 112					·** · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
, 117 	- i 130				
- 119 	130 144	·····			

Ra - 3159

18,25,18.110

	•	•	RA-3159 0
5. Log of We	u :		
/ Dopia (From	n Fost To	Thickness in feet	Description of Fermation
	50	50	gravel and white elay
50	110	60	red bed
110	150	40	gray clay
150	240	90	Red bed
240	270	30	yellow sand and sand rook
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1. 1. 1. 1. 1 . 1.		Let Max	(a) Control of the second s
si ci char	Report of the	ictor internation	a 199 tipe or generation of the Construction of the Construction of the Construction of the Construction of the
			la anna an ann à agus an an an an air an air an an air
	•• •		A construction of the second
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
New York		·····	21.35635
9 17 - 914 Galain Ganatina - 1	horger for Another for	ngo ngigoron	reine private de Estateur des Marchalles et de Marchalles de Mar Record de Marchalles de
C. Control 10	1.05 		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
115, h			
to, d			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
45 ¹⁰ 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

41⁵⁵ 3 Τ, 1... 1 · 7 Laberson Hele and 694.01 0.1155 MIGLORA 1.1. ENTRINE PRODUCE POP $(1,1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ • • · ...• , or so pe 1.14 second and an analysis in an transfer of 1 area cono

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge, and bellef, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well. 110 7 - p. . . .

sert dha ta NG 2 m Low Marine

.

1. 1. 19. 19.

· •

proving to Scott

. . ----the second second state and second seco

. . . .

ATTAGE STATA

()

This form shall be executed, preferably typewritten, in triplicate and filed with the State Engineer's Office at Roswell; New Mexico, within 10 days after drilling has been completed. Data on water-bearing strata and on all formations encountered should be as complete and accurate as possible. 1.000 a 21

MELLE DECOMP

and the unit before the ease cased the service endered

Form WE-23	LÜG	FIL	ED)
------------	-----	-----	-----

STATE ENGINEER OFFICE

WELL RECON

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be executed in triplicate, preferably typewritten, and submitted to the nearest district office of the State Engineer. All sections, except Section 5, shall be answered as completely and accurately as possible when any well is drilled, repaired or deepened. When this form is used as a plugging record, only Section IA and Section 5 need be completed.

Section 1	(A) Owner of well Sing alow Consity Licestocklo.
	Street and Number Box 660
	$\begin{array}{c} \hline \\ \hline $
	(B) Drilling Contractor (C. 7. Amilian License No. 1. D-28 Street and Number 3C (6 (1) ("Initiation")
	City $Cilesia $ State $77,777$
(Plat of 640 acr	Drilling was commenced <u>11 / 3</u> 19 60

381 Elevation at top of casing in feet above sea level State whether well is shallow or artesian <u>Atock</u> Depth to water upon completion 220'

Section	2		PRINCIPAL WATER-BEARING STRATA					
Ne	Depth in Feet		Thickness in	Description of Water-Bearing Formation				
NO	From	To	- Feet					
1				cleon 1 out hom 2.80 to 381'				
2				1				
3								
4								
5								

RECORD OF CASING									
Dia	Pounds	Threads Depth			epth Fact Ware Shee	Twee Shee	Perfor	etions	
in.	ft.	in	Тор	Bottom	reet	Type Snoe	From	То	
								·····	
								······	
						<u>↓</u>			

Depth in Fee	Seet Diameter T		No. Sacks of	Station 1 Trank
rom T	Hole in in.	Clay	Cement	Methods Used
		•		

Section 5 PLUG	geing f	RECO	RD				
Name of Plugging Contractor				L	icense No		
Street and Number	City			State			
Tons of Clay used	s of Roughage used			Type of roughage			
Plugging method used			Date	e Plugged			
Plugging approved by:			Cement	t Plugs were	e placed as follows:		
Basin Supervisor		No.	Depth From	of Plug To	No. of Sacks Used		
Date Received 572 8 40 92 NIN 1961	<u> R</u>						
File No #3/44Use_	akt	1	and L	ocation No.	8.25.21.110		

Section 6			LOG	OF WELL
Depth i	in For	Thickness in Feet	Color	Type of Material Encountered
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u> </u>	
	•			
	i 			
		1		

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well.

......

Well Driller · · L

RA-4344

Form WR-23

STATE ENGINEER OF

WELL RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be executed in triplicate, preferably typewritten, and submitted to the nearest district office of the State Engineer. All sections, except Section 5, shall be answered as completely and accurately as possible when any well is drilled, repaired or deepened. When this form is used as a plugging record, only Section 1A and Section 5 need be completed.

Section 1	(A) Owner of well bin Color Constants Sing tock Co.
	Street and Number Bod 660
	City Acaucell State 71, 771
	Well was drilled under Permit No RA- 4365 and is located in the
	7110 1/ 110 1/ of Section 2/ Twp. 18 h Rge. 25E
	(B) Drilling Contractor U. 7. America License No. 410-28
	Street and Number 30 6 w Chisum
	City arlisea State 7. M.
	Drilling was commenced fan 2/ 19.6/
	Drilling was completed 7 2 4 1 1961
(Plat of 640 acres)	

65 2 Elevation at top of casing in feet above sea level, State whether well is shallow or artesian ALTCK Depth to water upon completion. 1501

Section	n 2		PRINCIPAL WATER-BEARING STRATA				
No.	Depth From	in Feet To	Thickness in Feet	Description of Water-Bearing Formation			
1	230	240	10	paul & manel			
2							
3	1						
4							
5							

Section 3 RECORD OF						OF CASING				
Dia	Pounds	Threads	Depth		-	T	Perforations			
in.	ft.	in	Top	Bottom	- reet	Type Suce	From	То		
7"					241	none	201'	247'		
······	1			1						

Depth in Feet	Diameter	Tons No. Sacks of		Matheda Hand
om To	Hole in in.	Clay	Cement	Methods Used

Section 5 PLUGGIN	FRECORD				
Name of Plugging Contractor	License No.				
Street and Number	ity State				
Tons of Clay used					
Plugging method used	Date Plugged1				
Plugging approved by:	Cement Plugs were placed as follows:				
	Depth of Plug				
Basin Supervisor	No. From To NO. OL CHERE USED				
FOR USE OF STATE ENGINEER GNLY LL JONUSIO Date Received JOLICE GENIONS 11,115					
1961 FEB 16 AM 8: 23					
File No UPA 4365 Hast	OM, & Stock Location No. 18,25,21,110				

PRINCIPAL WATER REARING STRATA

Depth in Thickness Color Type of Material Encountered 0 10 10 \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}	Section 6			LOG	OF WELL	RA-4365
0 10 10 pail 10 20 10 prawel 20 50 30 grawel 50 30 Clay 70 90 20 Rock 70 90 20 Rock 70 90 20 Rock 70 90 20 Rock 90 15 Grawel 185 185 90 185 230 45 240 10 mand 240 265 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 25 37 185 3	Depth	in i	Thickness in Fest	Color	Type of	Material Encountered
10 20 10 granel 20 50 30 granel 50 70 20 Rack 70 90 20 Rack 75 95 Grand 240 265 Jack 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70 20 70 70	0	10	10	•	Dail	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20 50 30 50 70 20 70 90 20 70 90 20 Reck 90 105 15 90 20 Reck 90 105 15 90 20 Reck 90 105 15 90 20 185 230 45 Clay sandy 230 240 10 90 265 25 90 265 25 90 265 185 20 185	10	2.0	10		marie	l.
<u>50</u> 70 30 70 90 20 90 105 15 90 105 15 185 230 45 230 240 10 240 265 25	20	50	30		mai	. el.
70 90 20 Rock 90 105 15 grawl 15 185 80 Clay sandy 230 240 10 grawl 240 265 25 grawl 	50	70	30		Cla	<1-
90 105 15 90 125 125 80 185 2.30 45 Clay sandy 2.30 240 10 2.40 265 25 grand 	70	90	20	'	Re	ck
105 195 90 Clay sandy 185 230 45 Clay sandy 230 240 10 Grand 240 263 25 Janue	90	105	15		main	l
185 2.30 45 Clay sandy 2.30 2.40 10 2.40 2.65 2.5 Jacul	105	185	80		Clay	
2:30 240 /0 /0 /namel 240 263 25 //amel 	185	2.30	45		Clairs	andy
240 2/3 25 fraul	2:30	240	10		Gre	runt
	240	265	25		Ina.	uel-
	/					
						· · -
	<u></u>			,		
				Į.		
				- <u></u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		1				
	······	1				······································
	·					
	<u></u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	······					
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	<u> </u>					
			1			
·			1			
	ʻ					······

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well.

Well Driller

1.14

ţ

Declaration of Owner of Underground Water Right

eclarati	on NoRA	-8141		_Date received	Decembe	r 9, 1992		· ^
								80
. Name	of Declarant	Yates I	sta Petroleum C	OPD				
Mailir	ng Address 10)5 South 4	Ith Street,	Artesia	, NM 8	8210		
Count	y of <u>E</u> C	ldy		_, State of	New Mex	ico		
. Source	e of water supp	ly <u>shallo</u>	w water aq	uifer un un challow	Water Bouler)			
Descri	be well location i	inder one of the fo	llowing subheadings:		10.0			
a	Eddy	<u>_NW</u> ¼	<u></u> ¼ of Sec County.	(East we	wp. <u>185</u> 11)	. Rge. <u>25 E</u>	N.M.P.M., in	
b. Tra	ct No	of Map No	o o	f the				
c. X · in	the	leet, Y =		_ feet, N. M. Coo	rdinate System		Zone	
On	land owned by .		······					
4. Desc	ription of well:	date drilled	1920 ±	driller	unknown	_depth3	0.0 feet.	
outs	ide diameter of	casingi	nches; original cap	acity unknow	wngal. per min.;	present capacit	, <u>~ ≈ 2</u>	
gal.	per min.; pump	ing lift <u>unk</u> f	eet; static water le	vel_ <u>unk_</u> fee	et (above) (belo	w) land surface;		
-	e and turns of n	none	at this ti	me				
	e and type of p						i i	•
mak	e, type, norsep	ower, etc., of po	wer plant	1009				
Fra	ctitional or per	centage interest	claimed in well	1, TOD2			- F.)	-
5. Quai	ntity of water a	ppropriated and l	ceneficially used	Li (acre feet av	er acre)	J (acte feet per		-
for_	<u> </u>	vestock &	domestic				purposes.	
6. Acre	eage actually in	rigatedn/a	_acres, located an	d described as	follows (descrit	e only lands act	ually irrigated):	
					Acres			57
n	Sub-	division	Sec. Twp.	Ronge Irr	igated	Owne		с, ,
	· <u>2 8</u>					····	· · ·	-
								-
	<u> </u>				·····			-
đ	ШШ							-
<u> </u>	<u>, со ш</u>						•	- 10
e e		: location of well a	and acreage actually i	rrigated must be	shown on plat on	reverse side.)	9	A C
7. Whi	erweis-fün≅tap∣ ⊲ ⊲ ⊲	olied to beneficia	al use	<u>l</u>	<u>192</u>	1 <u>+</u> and	since they time	Ê
ha	been used full	y and continuous	ly on all of the abo	ve described la	unds or for the a	bove described p	urposes Reep	
a s	follows:		n/a				- 0	
<u> </u>								- E F
				· · · · ·				Ē
<u> </u>		<u></u>	······				ហ្វ	-12
8. Ada	ditional statem	ents or explanati	onsn/	<u>a</u>				- 0
	Va+.	Petrol	Corpora	tion how	when manal	in 1005		-
			-un COLPOID	LION DOUG	nt ranch	<u>'m rago</u>		-
					·			-
						· · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
l,		<u> </u>	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	bei	ng first duly swo	m upon my oath	, .
dej ver	pose and say th rse side of this	at the above is a form and submit	a full and complete ted in evidence of c	statement prepa wnership of a s	arco in accordan valid undergroun	ce with the instr d water right, th	uctions on the i at I have carefu	re- lly
rea	ad each and all	of the items con	tained therein and t	hat the same a	e true to the be	st of my knowled	ge and belief.	-
				Yat	es Petro	leum Corp	, declarar	nt.
					1 11	12	>	
				by:				

Revised December 1975



. Range

18 S

Locate well and areas actually irrigated as accurately as possible on following plat:

Section (s)

22

., Township

25 E

N. M. P. M.



INSTRUCTIONS

Declaration shall be executed (preferably typewritten) in triplicate and must be accompanied by a \$1.00 filing fee. Each of triplicate copies must be properly signed and attested.

A separate declaration must be filed for each well in use.

All blanks shall be filled out fully. Required information which cannot be sworn to by declarant shall be supplied by affidavit of person or persons familiar with the facts and shall be submitted herewith.

Secs. 1-3. Complete all blanks.

Sec. 4. Fill out all blanks applicable as fully as possible.

Sec. 5. Irrigation use shall be stated in acre feet of water per acre per year applied on the land. If used for domestic, municipal. or other purposes, state total quantity in acre feet used annually.

Sec. 6. Describe only the acreage actually irrigated. When necessary to clearly define irrigated acreages, describe to nearest 2¼ acre subdivision. If located on unsurveyed lands, describe by legal supdivision "as projected" from the nearest government survey corners, or describe by metes and bounds and the survey to some permanent, easily-located natural object.

Sec. 7. Explain and give dates as nearly as possible of any years when all or part of acreage claimed was not irrigated.

Sec. 8. If well irrigates or supplies supplemental water to any other land than that described above, or if land is also irrigated from any other source, explain under this section. Give any other data necessary to fully describe water right.

If additional space is necessary, use a separate sheet or sheets and attach securely hersto.

RA-8141

Form WR-23



WELL RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be executed in triplicate, preferably typewritten, and submitted to the nearest district office of the State Engineer. All sections, except Section 5, shall be answered as completely and accurately as possible when any well is drilled, repaired or deepened. When this form is used as a plugging record, only Section 1A and Section 5 need be completed.

Sec	Hon	.1

	(A) Owner of well Geo. V. Chrisholm	
	Street and Number	- New Marian
	Well was drilled under Permit No	and is located in the
	(B) Drilling Contractor	License No.
	City Sta	ate
	Drilling was commenced	<u>-1, 19 04</u>
(Plat of 640 acres	s)'	

Elevation at top of casing in feet above sea level.....Total depth of well.... 525 1t. _____ State whether well is shallow or artesian_ ____Depth to water upon completion__

Section 2			PRINCIPAL WATER-BEARING STRATA				
No.	Depth in Feet		Thickness in	Description of Water-Bearing Formation			
	From To	x.					
1							
2							
3							
4				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
5							

Dia	Pounds	Threads	De	epth	Feet Type Shoe	Type Shoe Perforations		
in.	ft.	in	Тор	Bottom		xype anoe	From	To
				·				
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				-				

Section	4
MCCOLULA.	-

RECORD OF MUDDING AND CEMENTING

Depth in Feet		Diameter	Tons	No. Sacks of	Mathada Iland			
From	To	Hole in in.	Clay	Cement	MELIOUS USEU			
 I								

Section 5	PLUGGING	RECO	RD		
Name of Plugging Contractor_			********		License No
Street and Number	City	y		8	State
Tons of Clay used				Type of	roughage
Plugging method used			Date	Plugged	
Plugging approved by:			Cement	Plugs we	re placed as follows:
		No	Depth	of Plug	No. of Saaka Head
	Basin Supervisor		From	To	NO. OI SACKE USED
FOR USE OF STATE E	NGINEER ONLY				,
	1				
Date Received					
	·				
File No.	Use		L	cation No	18.25.23.210

		· ·		
From	To	in Feet	Color	Type of Material Encountered
0	6			501)
6	13			Boulders and gravel
13	53			Yellow clay and gravel
53	153			Red clay
153	157		<u>.</u>	Quicksand
157	177			Red sand and sandstone
177	227		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Soft yellowish sand stone
227	267			Hard limestone
267	450			Red sandy clay alternating with soft red
				sandstone, which gives place to porous lime-
	· -			stone in the lower half of the series first i
450	500			Soft red sandstone
500	525			Porous limestone
525	535			Soft, red sandstone
			····	
· · · · ·				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		1		
		1	· · · ·	
			·····	
		<u> </u>	······	
		<u> </u>		
		┼────┼─		
		<u> </u>		
		╂		
		<u> </u>		
1		1 1		

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well.

....

· . . '

.

. 1

Į91

المورد و بيريو منت Well Driller

÷

. .

i

18,25,23,210

.

/

Ĩ

Ĩ

. .

. .

ľ

!	CGUTTED	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Form WR-23	

STATE ENGINEER OF

WELL RECORD

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be executed in triplicate, preferably typewritten, and submitted to the nearest district office of the State Engineer. All sections, except Section 5, shall be answered as completely and accurately as possible when any well is drilled, repaired or deepened. When this form is used as a plugging record, only Section 1A and Section 5 need be completed.

Section 1	
-----------	--

ection 1	(A) Owner of well David Fasken	-
	Street and Number 608 1st National Ba	ink Bldg.
	City	State Texas 79701
	Well was drilled under Permit No. <u>PA-5620</u> SN 14 NE 14 SF. 14 of Section 24	Twp. 18s Rec. 25e. Ed
	(B) Drilling Contractor Floyd M. Osbourn Street and Number 1011 Hermosa Dr.	License No.
	City Artesia,	State Next Co.
	Drilling was commenced Doc 9,	<u>19_</u> 70
	Drilling was completed Drc 13	
(Plat of 640 acres)	2448 27	

Elevation at top of casing in feet above sea level 4.1.4.1.4.1. Total depth of well 2014 ft.

Section	2		PRINCI	PAL WATER-BEARING STRATA
No	Depth	in Feet	Thickness in	Description of Water-Bearing Formation
N0.	From	To	Feet	
1	1.55	203	48	Gravel
2				
3				
4				
5				

RECORD OF CASING Section 3

File No. 1717-5620

Dia Pounds	Pounds	Threads	Depth		Faat	Type Shee	Perforations	
in.	ft. in Top Bottom Feet Type Shoe		From	To				
7" OD.	26	8 R.	0	205.	205	None	161.	204

RECORD OF MUDDING AND CEMENTING Section 4

_____X.....

Depth	in Feet	Diameter	Tons	No. Sacks of	Methode Used
From	To	Hole in in.	Clay	Cement	Methous Oseu
	· ·	1 1			

Section 5	PLUGGING RE	NG RECORD				
Name of Plugging Contractor		License No				
Street and Number	City			8	State	
Tons of Clay used	Fons of Roughage used	Type of roughage				
Plugging method used			Date	Plugged.		
Plugging approved by:		Cement Plugs were placed as follows:			re placed as follows:	
			Depth	of Plug	No. of Cooks Mand	
	Basin Supervisor	10.	From	То	No. DI Sacks Used	
FOR USE OF STATE EN Date Received	GINEER ONLY					

ection 6			LOG	OF WELL
Depth i	n Feet	Thickness	Color	Type of Material Encountered
From	То	in Feet		
	6	- 6	Brown	Soil
6	10	4	WALCH	Crevel
10 .	. 45	35	DLUB	
45	65	20	Tailow	Sanu
65	155	- 65	Blue	
155	203	49	Blue	Gravel-Water.
202	-204	- <u>1</u>	<u>Rod</u>	Clay
- <u>TD.</u>		ft.		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	-		······	· · · · ·
		ļļ		
			•	•
				,
			<u></u>	
			·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		· · · ·	···	
		T		
		· · · ·		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

The undersigned hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge and belief, the foregoing is a true and correct record of the above described well

2.5

617 Well Driller

RA-5620



APPENDIX C

J

PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

J

X



Photograph 1. Boring B-1 (MW-1), 33 - 38 feet (02-02-98).



Photograph 2. Boring B-1 (MW-1), 58 - 59 feet (02-02-98).

Yates Petroleum Corporation





Photograph 3. Boring B-1 (MW-1), 113 - 115 feet (02-03-98).



Photograph 4. Boring B-1 (MW-1), 143 -145 feet (02-03-98).

Yates Petroleum Corporation



Photograph 5. Boring B-2 (MW-2), 44 - 46 feet (02-05-98).



Photograph 6. Boring B-3 (MW-3), 0 - 3 feet (02-05-98).



Photograph 7. Boring B-4 (MW-4), 51 - 53 feet (02-06-98).



Photograph 8. Boring B-4 (MW-4), 69 - 71 feet (02-06-98).

l

Yates Petroleum Corporation

I

1

I

l



Photograph 9. Boring B-5 (MW-5), 0 - 5 feet (02-06-98).



Photograph 10. Boring B-5 (MW-5), 30 - 35 feet (02-06-98).

Yates Petroleum Corporation

l



Photograph 11. Boring B-5 (MW-5), 57 - 59 feet (02-06-98).



Peñasco Irrigation Report

1

Î



Photograph 12. Drilling Operation (02-02-98)



Photograph 13. Monitor Well Installation (02-03-98)



1

1

1

1

Peñasco Irrigation Report



Photograph 14. Monitor Well Installation (02-03-98)



Photograph 15. Core Barrel Damage, Boring B-2 (MW-2), 8.5 feet (02-05-98)



Yates Petroleum Corporation

 $\left|\right\rangle$

APPENDIX D

WATER QUALITY ANALYSES

Penasco Report App.doc





YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. DAVID BOYER 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

Fleceiving Date: 02/13/98 Sample Type: WATER Froject : 4 - DINKUS RANCH Project Location: ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO Analysis Date: 02/18/98 Sampling Date:02/08/98,02/09/98 Sample Condition: Intact/HCI

						Specific				
		F	NO3-N	TDS	pН	Conductance	a	SO4	CO3	HCO3
ELT#	Field Code	mg/L	*mg/L	mg/L	S.U .	uS/cm	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L
13669	SECTION 9 WINDMILL	1.51	<1.0	2,610	6.30	2,540	18	1,700	0	134
1 367 0	SECTION 11 WINDMILL	1.00	2.0	746	6.71	1,009	20	380	0	220
13671	SECTION 22 WINDMILL	1.15	5.5	2,337	6.80	2,410	23	1,550	0	220
13672	MW#1	1.35	<1.0	746	7.33	1,022	31	440	0	159
13673	RANCH HEADQUARTERS	0.92	<1.0	714	7.23	990	18	410	0	207
	QUALITY CONTROL	0.95	9.50	**	7.09	1,370	4,998	55	**	**
	TRUE VALUE	1.00	10.00	**	7.00	1,413	5,000	50	**	**
	% PRECISION	95	95	**	101	97	100	110	**	**

METHODS: EPA 340.1,352.1,160.1,150.1,120.1,325.3,375.4,310.1.

*NOTE: samples received past holding time.

Michael R. Fowler

-23-98 Date



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. DAVID BOYER 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX; 505-281-1335

Receiving Date: 02/13/98 Sample Type: WATER Project : 4 - DINKUS RANCH Project Location: ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO Analysis Date: 02/22/98 Sampling Date:02/08/98.02/09/98 Sample Condition: Intact/HCI

		Total	
ELT#	Field Code	Hg	
13669	SECTION 9 WINDMILL	<0.001	
13670	SECTION 11 WINDMILL	<0.001	
13671	SECTION 22 WINDMILL	<0.001	
13672	MW # 1	<0.001	
13673	RANCH HEADQUARTERS	<0.001	
h	Ainimum Detection Limit (MDL)	0.001	
9	% IA	100	
9	6 EA	108	

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3005, 7470

Michael R. Fowler

Date



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. DAVID BOYER 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

Receiving Date: 02/13/98 Sample Type: WATER Project : 4 - DINKUS RANCH Project Location: ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO Analysis Date: 02/18/98 Sampling Date:02/08/98.02/09/98 Sample Condition: Intact/HCI

DISSOLVED METALS (ppm)

ELT#	Field Code	υ	В	Mo	Al	Co	Sr	•
13669	SECTION 9 WINDMILL	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.24	<0.10	6.9	
13670	SECTION 11 WINDMILL	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.19	<0.10	1.9	
13671	SECTION 22 WINDMILL	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.25	<0.10	4.7	
13672	MW # 1	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.22	<0.10	1.7	
13673	RANCH HEADQUARTERS	<0.20	<0.10	<0.10	0.21	<0.10	1.9	
	Reporting Limit	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.10	
	% IA	- 98	100	100	110	99	99	
	% EA	93	105	105	95	105	100	

METHODS: EPA 200.7

Michael R. Fowler

Date



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. DAVID BOYER 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX; 505-281-1335

Receiving Date: 02/13/98 Sample Type: WATER Project : 4 - DINKUS RANCH Project Location: ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO Analysis Date: see below Sampling Date:02/08/98,02/09/98 Sample Condition: Intact/HCI

DISSOLVED METALS (ppm)

ELT#	Field Code	Ag	As	Ba	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Se
13669	SECTION 9 WINDMILL	<0.01	0.003	<0.10	0.021	<0.03	0.06	0.16	<0.002
13670	SECTION 11 WINDMILL	<0.01	<0.002	<0.10	0.015	<0.03	0.03	<0.10	<0.002
13671	SECTION 22 WINDMILL	0.01	<0.002	<0.10	0.023	0.03	0.04	0.11	<0.002
13672	MW # 1	0.02	<0.002	<0.10	0.017	<0.03	0.02	<0.10	<0.002
13673	RANCH HEADQUARTERS	<0.01	<0.002	<0.10	0.019	<0.03	0.02	<0.10	<0.002
	Reporting Limit	0.01	0.002	0.10	0.005	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.002
	% IA	103	96	103	98	104	101	103	99
	% EA -	110	104	94	92	84	99	95	117
	Analysis Date	2/19/98	2/20/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/20/98

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3005, 7760, 7062, 7080, 7130, 7190, 7210, 7420, 7742

Michael R. Fowler

2-23-99

Date



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. DAVID BOYER 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX; 505-281-1335

Receiving Date: 02/13/98 Sample Type: WATER Project : 4 - DINKUS RANCH Project Location: ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO Analysis Date: see below Sampling Date:02/08/98,02/09/98 Sample Condition: Intact/HCI

DISSOLVED METALS (ppm)

ELT#	Field Code	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Mn	Na	Ni	Zn
13669	SECTION 9 WINDMILL	676	1.44	2.28	51	0.05	170	<0.04	0.419
13670	SECTION 11 WINDMILL	211	1.21	2.12	36	<0.01	25	<0.04	0.282
13671	SECTION 22 WINDMILL	656	0.99	1.75	31	0.02	25	<0.04	0.134
13672	MW # 1	147	0.05	5.44	43	0.06	27	<0.04	0.012
13673	RANCH HEADQUARTERS	144	0.12	1. 8 0	35	0.02	25	<0.04	0.019
	Reporting Limit	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.005	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.005
	% IA	104	101	102	98	100	98	100	9 6
	% EA	**	81	92	**	83	**	98	96
	Analysis Date	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98	2/19/98

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3005, 7140,7380,7610,7450,7460,7770,7520,7950.

Michael R. Fowler

Date

63 CEALIN-OF-CUSTODY RECORD AND ANALYSIS REQUEST	AVALYSIS REQUEST	S COL N D S D D S D X D S X D S S S S S S S S S S	хо үүс ү ро ж ху зо - х 4 3 0 х 6н qd х 6н qd х	۲ الا ح ۲ الا ح ۲ الا ح ۲ الا ح ۲ الا ۲ ال ۲ ا ۲ ال ۲ ا ۲ ۲ ا ۲ ا ۲ ا ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲ ۲		ПССР Sem V 1065				34 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2					WARKS		· .
A. IIIC. 12600 West 1-20 East Odesta, Jeras 7976 (915) 563-1800 FAX (915) 563-171	Frame 1: 1505 281- 8591 FAX 1: 1505 281 - 1335	S. Fourth Never N/17 802	Profect Name :	Sampler Signature:	C RESERVATIVE SAMPLIN	# CONTAINI Volume/Amou WATER SOIL SOIL AIR AIR HUO3 HCC ICE ICE ICE ICE DATE DATE	31 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	3 X K X 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	31 MIIXIX	3 X X I I X X DAAN	2 X 1 X X 563/8				Times: Received by: REI	There Received by 1200 A MCMUMEN	Times: Reterived by Laboratory
Environmental Lab of Texa	Brive Bryck	Yates Relation, 105	Molect: 4- BINKINS Ranch	Project Location		LAB # FIELD CODE (LAB USE)	13649 Section S. Winkill	13470 Setter 11 Willan 11	11 monte nai 122 IL-rel	13672 MIN #1	13673 Rarr H Harley wonlar S				Relinquished by Date DATISSAN Date	Relinquished by: 1 Dates 02-13-98	Retinquished by: Date:

L



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. JOHN BROWN 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA. NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

Concille

Sample Type: WATER Project : NONE GIVEN Project Location: MIMOSA #1 SWD Receiving Date: 3/5/98 Sample Condition: Intact Sampling Date:3/04/98 P.04

ELT#	Field Code	F mg/L	NO3-N *mg/L	1DS mg/L	pH s.u.	Conductance US/cm	Cl mg/L	504 mg/L	CO3 mg/L	HCO3 mg/L
13872	WATER	1.3	3.8	8,206	7.25	11,690	3,191	1,200	0	83 0
	QUALITY CONTROL TRUE VALUE % PRECISION	1.0 1.0 100	9.2 10.0 82	84 44 44	7.01 7.00 100	1368 1413 97	4,998 5,000 100	55 50 110	\$\$ \$2 \$\$	** 8* 84
	Analysis Date	3/11/98	3/6/98	3/5/98	3/5/98	3/5/98	3/11/98	3/5/98	3/5/98	3/5/98

METHODS: EPA 340.1,352.1,160.1,150.1,120.1,325.3,375.4,310.1.

Michael R. Fowler

-2498

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. JOHN BROWN 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

Sample Type: WATER Project : MIMOSA #1 SWD Project Location: MIMOSA #1 SWD

:

Sampling Date:03/4/98 Sample Condition: Intact Receiving Date: 3/5/98

DISSOLVED METALS (ppm)

ELT#	Field Code	Ca	Fe	K	Mg	Na	Mn	Mo	Ni	Zn
13872	WATER	480	0.20	81	110	2230	0.08	<0.10	0.16	0.012

Reporting Limit	0.01	0.03	0.10	0.001	0.05	0.01	0.10	0.04	0.005
% iA	98	102	99	99	100	102	103	102	96
% EA	**	78	**	**	**	71	93	91	97
Analysis Date	3/6/98	3/11/98	3/6/98	3/6/98	3/6/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/11/98

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3005, 7140,7380,7610,7450,7770,7460,7480,7520,7950.

Michael R. Fowler

3-24-98

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

APR-09-1998 14:17

92%



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. JOHN BROWN 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

Sample Type: WATER Project : MIMOSA #1 SWD Project Location: MIMOSA #1 SWD

Receiving Date: 3/5/98 Sampling Date: 3/4/98 Sample Condition: Intact

P.02

DISSOLVED METALS (ppm)

ELTI	Field Code	Ag	As	Ba	Cd	Cr	Cu	Pb	Se
13872	WATER	0.02	<0.002	<0.10	0.021	0.03	0.02	0.27	<0.002

Reporting Limit	0.01	0.002	0.10	0.005	0.03	0.01	0.10	0.002
% IA	102	106	96	102	104	105	99	105
% EA	98	94	116	9 7	94	9 9	96	89
Analysis Date	3/11/98	3/12/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/11/98	3/12/98

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3005, 7760, 7062, 7080, 7130, 7190, 7210, 7420, 7742

Michael R. Fowler

3.24.98 Date



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. JOHN BROWN 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-281-1335

> 0.001 108 86

Receiving Date: 03/05/98 Sample Type: Water Project: Mimosa #1 swd Project Location: Mimosa #1 SWD

Analysis Date: 03/12/98 Sampling Date: 03/04/98 Sample Condition: Intact

P.01

ELT	FIELD CODE	Total Hg ppm
13872	Water	<0.001

BLANK	
& INSTRUMENT ACCURACY	
& EXTRACTION ACCURACY	

Methods: EPA SW 846-3005, 7470.

3-24.78 Date

Michael R. Fowler

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713

APR-09-1998 14:16

92%



YATES PETROLEUM ATTN: MR. JOHN BROWN 105 S. FOURTH ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88210 FAX: 505-748-4585

Sample Type: WATER Project : NONE GIVEN Project Location: MIMOSA #1 SWD Sampling Date: 3/5/98 Sample Condition: Intact Receiving Date: 3/5/98 Analysis Date: 3/18/98 P.02

DISSOLVED METALS (mg/l)

ELT#	Field Code	<u>ບ</u>	8	Sr	AI	<u>©</u>
13872	WATER	<0.10	1.9	5.4	0.19	<0.05
	Reporting Limit	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05
	% IA	102	101	97	93	10
	% EA	93	105	95	95	87

METHODS: EPA SW 846-3015,6010B

Michael R. Fowler

74-98 Date

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa. Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1800 • Fax (915) 563-1713
09:50 🖀 505 748 4585

YATES PET ENG

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF \checkmark , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

BAKER PETROLITE ATTN: MR. ANDY R. MILLER 422 WEST MAIN STREET ARTESIA, NM 88210-2041 FAX: 505-746-3580

Receiving Date: 05/04/97 Sample Type: LIQUID Project: YATES PETROLEUM Project Location: ARTESIA, NM

11/14/97

Analysis Date: 08/08/97 Sampling Date: 08/29/97 Sample Condition: Intact

ELT#	FIELD CODE	TDS mgi	Sodium Chloride	lton mg/l	Total Hardness ngi	
12457	Mojave Transfer Station	5,940	3,629	0.05	850	
12458	Bates Transfer Station	5,130	4,125	0.07	1.200	
12459	Patriot Transfer Station	6.840	4,784	0.07	1,250	

METHODS: Iron by AA, Salinity by Argentometric, Hardness by Titration

had R. Lul

Michael R. Fowler

Post-It" brand fax transmittal	memo 7671 # of pages +
Dave, Baur,	John Bhown
Co. 0	"mpc
Dept.	Phone 5-748-1471
505-281-1335	505-748-4585

12600 West I-20 East • Odessa, Texas 79765 • (915) 563-1802 Fax (915) 563-1713

ENVIRONMENTAL LAB OF , INC.

"Don't Treat Your Soil Like Dirt!"

BAKER PETROLITE ATTN: MR. ANDY R. MILLER 422 WEST MAIN STREET ARTESIA, NM 88210-2041 FAX: 505-746-3580

Fleceiving Date: 09/04/97 Siample Type: LIQUID Froject: YATES PETROLEUM Froject Location: AFTESIA: NM Analysis Date: 09/05/97 Sampling Date: 09/29/97 Sample Condition: Intact

ELT#	FIELD CODE	BENZENE mg/l	TOLUENE	ETHYLBENZENE mgf	m.p-XYLENE <u>mpl</u>	o-XYLENE mgi	
12457	Moine Transfer Station	855	550	12.71	68.95	62 82	
12458	Beles Transfer Station	1131	585	11.74	62.58	44.58	
1:2459	Patrict Transfer Station	1988	514	10.46	6 0.56	45.15	
				• •			

% IA	108	108	108	107	108
% EA	109	118	109	109	112
BLANK	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

METHODS: SW 846-8020,5030

Michael R. Fowler





Appendix E

. -

İ

APPENDIX E

SOIL ANALYSES

I.

L

		P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806 S(5 5173 EXAS 7944 	69th 64 3 LYSIS I	REPORT	Grower: Address: Date:	KEITH 3/3/98	MORRIS
.ab. No.	7642	Sample No.	581-1			COMMENT	S:	
Soil pH	8.1	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	Н			1	<u></u>			
Soluble Salts mmncs (cm)	0.27	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ions (lbs/	Acre)	-		
% Organic Matter	1.5							
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	Nitrogen N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL							
Available Bray P2	6 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	490 VH	Potash K ₂ O				-1		
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6400 VH	Lime				-		
Magnesium - Mg Exchanceable	180 M	Magnesium Oxide						
Bodium - Na Exchangeable	55 VL	Gypsum						
DEC Meq/100g	35	Sulfur - S Elemental						
ron Available - Fe	7.2 M	Iron - Fe				~	. ••	-
Manganese - Mn Available	7.8 M	Manganese Mn		,				
Zinc - Zn Avariabre	0.5 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu		i				Soil Texture 0-8 Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loa 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur			×			28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	.4 % S	ilt 50	% C	Clay 26	Field Capac	city	24.4
Analysis	Soil Textur	e SILT LOP	I.M.	T		Wilting Poi	nt	13.4
deal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat	uration	91.4	Available W	Vater	

		NESTERN LABORA ricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 6 TEXAS 7946 5 794-4888 DIL ANAL	JLTUI , INC Iting Se 19th 4	RAL rvices	ORT	S hitted By Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES 105 S ARTES KEITH 3/3/98	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
Results are express	ed in Parts Pe 7643	r Million: For ap	SB2-1	bs./acre	multip	ly by 2.	COMMENTS:		
Soil 2H	8.1	Crop	L		T		COMINEITO		
Butter of		Vield Gool							
			<u> </u>						
Free Lime	H				<u> </u>				
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.20	Nutrient Rec	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre)				
% Organic Matter	1.5	Nitrogen							
Nitrogen - NC3	5 VL	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Obeenhete							
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P2O5							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	420 VH	Potash K ₂ O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7200 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	210 H	Magnesium Oxide							
Scoium - Na Exchangeable	53 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	39.1	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.3 L	Iron - Fe						, ··-	-
Manganese - Mn Available	3.2 L	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Za Availacie	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam 28-40 Claut som
Sulfur - SO4 Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	8 % 5	silt 56	%	Clay	16	Field Capacit	у	20.1
Analysis	Soil Texture	3	ייער 		<u>, </u>		Wilting Point		11.0
M H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-7	ration 75%	92	. 2	Available Wat	ter	1.5 in
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	2.8		% Na Satu	iration	. 5				
, % Mg Saturation I Ideai: 15-20%	4.5		Ideal: 0-29	*			BRUILLEN	x Oc	2.5.5

	Ag	LABORA pricultural Testin P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	TORIES ng & Consul 5 5173 6 FEXAS 7946 5 794-4888 DIL ANAL	JLIUR , INC Iting Se 99th 44	REPOR	Add Gro Add T Da	dress: ower: dress: te:	105 S ARTES: KEITH 3/3/98	4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
lesuits are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	bs./acre	multiply b	y 2.			······
Lab. No.	7644	Sample No.	SB3-1			co	MMENTS:	:	
Soil pH	8.2	Стор							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	H	······································	·		l,				
Soluble Saits (mmhos, cm)	0.22	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (ibs	s/Acre)				
% Organic Matter	1.7								
Nitrogen - NO ₃	5 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus	4 VL								
Available Bray P2	θL	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	520 VH	Potash K ₂ O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7310 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	220 H	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	56 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	40	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.2 L	Iron - Fe							-
Manganese - Mn Availapte	3.5 L	Manganese Mn						:	
Zinc - Zn Available	0.4 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B							8-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availacie	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	2 % 5	silt 46	%	Clay 32	Fie	ld Capacit	ty.	26.9
Analysis	Soil Textur	e CLAY LOP	IM		r	Wi	Iting Point		14.8
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-1	uration 75%	91.5	Av	ailable Wa	ter	2.1
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	3.3		% Na Satu	uration	. 5				

	Ac	WESTERN LABORA gricultural Testi	AGRICULTUR TORIES, INC	CAL • vices	Address:	05 S 4TH ST RTESIA NM
	り	P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, ² Phone: 806	6 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 6—794-4888		Grower: Address:	
lesults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	S(er Million: For at	DIL ANALYSIS	REPORT	Date: 3	/ 3/ 98
Lap. No.	7645	Sample No.	SB4-1		COMMENTS:	<u> </u>
Soil pH	8.1	Crop				
Buffer pH		Yield Goal			-	
Free Lime	Н		<u></u>	1	-	
Solupie Salts (mmhos cm)	0.20	Nutrient Rec	ommendations (lbs	/Acre)	1	
% Crganic Matter	1.6	Nitrogen				
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N				
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phosphate			1	
Availabie Bray P2	4 L	P ₂ O ₅				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	470 VH	Potash K₂O				
Calcium - Ca Excnangeable	7200 VH	Lime				
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	225 H	Magnesium Oxide				
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	52 VL	Gypsum				
CEC Mec/100g	39.3	Sulfur - S Elemental				
Iron Available - Fe	6.0 M	Iron - Fe				· · ·
Manganese - Mn Available	3.2 L	Manganese Mn				
Zinc - Zn Availaole	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn				Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu				Soll Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - 5 Avaliable	0.7 L	Boron - B				12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loarn 28-40 Clav Loarn
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	18 M	Sulfate				40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	22 % S	Silt 52 %	Clay 26	Field Capacity	24.7
Analysis % Η Saturation Ideal: 0-5%			% Ca Saturation	91.6	Wilting Point Available Water	13.6
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	3.1		% Na Saturation	.5	(Top Foot)	
% Mg Saturation Idea:: 15-20%	4.8		Ideal: 0-2%		BY CLEAN	5 Villady

	E. 178	ricultural Testi	ng & Consu	, INC	 rvice	s	Address:	ARTES	IA NM
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	IJ	P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, ¹ Phone: 306	6 5173 (TEXAS 7946 5794-4888	69th 64			Grower: Address:	2/2/00	MURRIS
esuite are avoresse	d in Parts Po	SC r Million: For ar			RE	PORT	Date:	3/3/90	.
Lab. No.	7646	Sample No.	SB5-1				COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.2	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	H		I	, ,	<u>I</u>				
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.18	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Ac	re)			
% Organic Matter	1.8								
Nitrogen - NO ₃	5 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL								
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P₂O₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	450 VH	Potash K2O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7250 VH	Lime	<u></u>			······			
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	220 H	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	60 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meg/100g	39.5	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	6.1 M	Iron - Fe	<u>.</u>						-
Manganese - Mn Available	3.6 L	Manganese Mn				······			
Zine - Zn Avaliable	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.3 L	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Logmy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SC ₄ Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	4 % 5	silt 50	%	Clay	26	Field Capacit	у	24.4
Analysis	Soil Texture	9					Wilting Point		13.4
deal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	9	1.8	Available Wat (Top Foot)	ter	1.9
Mon Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	2.9	<u> </u>	% Na Sat	uration		6	(

	Ag		AGRICL TORIES	JLTUR , INC	AL • vices	Submitted B Address:	YATES ^{y:} 105 S ARTES KEITH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
	Ŋ	P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	5 5173 6 FEXAS 7946 5—794-4888	9th 4		Address:	3/3/98	3
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	SC r Million: For ar		.YSIS	REPORT	Date:		<u> </u>
Lab. No.	7647	Sample No.	586-1			COMMENTS	S:	
Soil pH	8.1	Crop						
Buffer p∺		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	H	<u>,</u>	1			-		
Soluble Salts	0.22	Nutrient Reco	ommendatio	ons (lbs	/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.9							
Nitrogen - NO ₃	5 VL	Nitrogen N						
Phosphorus	4 VL							
Available Bray 22	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Potassium Exchanceable - K	500 VH	Potash K2O						
Calcium - Ca Exchanceable	7750 VH	Líme						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	265 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	57 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meg/100g	42.5	Sulfur - S Elementai						
Iron Availabie - Fe	8.4 M	Iron - Fe					. • •	
Manganese - Mn Available	8.4 M	Manganese Mn				-		
Zinc - In Available	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Availabie	0.8 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Availacte	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur						20-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Partic.e Size	% Sand	2 % S	AV LOAN	4 %	Clay 30	Field Capac	ity	27.8
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	8	04 On Ont		91.2	Wilting Poin	t	15.3
Ideal: 0-3%	3		70 Ca Sati Ideal: 65-1	75%		Available W (Top Foot)	ater	2.2
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	5,2		% Na Satu Ideal: 0-29	uration %	. 6	(0	Tone (کار کرچر

		WESTERN LABORA pricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICULT TORIES, ng & Consulting 6 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 6-794-4888 DIL ANALYS	TURAL NC. 9 Services	ORT	Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/98	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
Results are expresse	od in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate lbs./ SB7-1	acre multip	bly by 2.	COMMENTS		
Soil oH	8.2	Crop				COMMENTS.		
Buffer oH		Yield Goal						
	H		l					
Soluble Salts	0.19	Number 2		/1h c / A =				
(mmhos / cm)	0.15	Nutrient Rec	ommendations	(Ibs/Acre))			
Matter	1.4	Nitrogen						
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phosphate						
Availabie Bray P2	5 L	P ₂ O ₅				9		
Potassium Exchangeable - K	480 VH	Potash K ₂ O						
Calcium - Ca Excnangeable	6060 VH	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	255 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	52 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	33.9	Sulfur - S Elemental						
lron Available - Fe	7.4 M	Iron - Fe						
Manganese - Mn Available	5.2 M	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur						40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.8 % S	Silt 58	% Clay	24	Field Capacity	/	24.6
Analysis	Soil Textur	e				Wilting Point		13.5
Ideal: 0-5%			% Ca Saturat	ion 89	.4	Available Wate	er	2.0
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	3.6		% Na Saturat	ion . 6	,	()	·	······
_ideal: 15-00%	0.3		Ideal: 0-2%			BY	C B	lassi

		VESTERN LABORA gricultural Testin P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 7 Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 5 5173 (TEXAS 7946 5 794-4888 DIL ANAI	JLTUF , INC Iting Se 39th 34	RAL rvices	Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES ad By:105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/9	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
lesuite are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	bs./acre	multiply b	y 2.	·	
Lab. No.	8.2	Sample No.					NTS:	
		Crop						
Buffer pH	11	Yield Goal						
Free Lime	n							
Soluble Saits (mmnos / cm)	0.21	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.7	Nitrogen						
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL							
Availapie Bray P2	4 L	P_2O_5						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	460 VH	Potash K ₂ O						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6200 VH	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	210 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	56 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	34.2	Sulfur - S Elemental						
Iron Available - Fe	5.2 L	Iron - Fe					. · -	-
Manganese - Mn Available	3.4 L	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zo Availabie	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam 28-40 Class Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur						40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	4 %S	56	%	Clay ²⁰	Field Ca	pacity	22.2
Analysis	Soil Textur	e	·		00 7	Wilting F	Point	12.2
Max Saturation	3 5		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	90.7	Available (Top Fo	e Water ot)	1.8
% NG Saturation	5.1		% Na Sati Ideal: 0-2	uration %	.7	(-		2000

Ľ

)) ^g	WESTERN AGRICULTURAL LABORATORIES, INC. Agricultural Testing & Consulting Services P.O. Box 84666 5173 69th LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79464 Phone: 806—794-4888 SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT in Parts Per Million: For approximate Ibs./acre multiply by 2.					Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/9	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
lesuits are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	SC r Million: For an	DIL ANA	LYSIS	REPO	DRT ly by 2.	Date:	 	
Lab. No.	7650	Sample No.	589-1				COMMENTS:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Scil pH	8.3	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				<u>.</u>			
Free Lime	Н	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	·····			
Soluble Saits (mmpos / cm)	0.23	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre)				
% Organic Matter	1.9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Nitrogen - NC3	5 VL	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	5 VL		<u></u>						
Available Bray P2	5 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	600 VH	Potash K ₂ O					-		
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6860 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	290 _. H	Magnesium Oxide				-			
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	55 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	38.5	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.0 L	Iron - Fe						. <i>.</i> .	
Manganese - Mn Available	0.6 VL	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.7 L	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Availabie	0.6 M	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - S Available	0.5 L	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availacie	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.8 % S	ilt 64	%	Clay	18	Field Capacity	/	22.4
Analysis	Soil Texture	8			ł		Wilting Point		12.3
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	89	.1	Available Wat	er	1.8
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	4		% Na Sat	uration	. 5				
% Mg Saturation Ideal: 15-20%	6.3		Ideal: 0-2	%			BY Dife	U EK	rasog

		WESTERN LABORA		ILTUR , I NC	XAL 	Submitted By Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM
))	P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	5 5173 6 FEXAS 7946 	9th 4		Grower: Address:	KIETH	MORRIS
		sc	DIL ANAL	YSIS.	REPORT	Date:	3/3/98	3
esults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate II	bs./acre	multiply by 2.			
Lap. No.		Sample No.						
Soil pH	8.3	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						· .
Free Lime	H		L					
Soluble Salts (mmhcs.cm)	0.23	Nutrient Reco	ommendatio	ons (ibs	s/Acre)	1	4	
% Organic Matter	1.8	Niitrogoo						
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phoenhete						
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	490 VH	Potash K₂O						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7690 VH	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	285 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	57 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	42.3	Sulfur - S Elemental						
lron Available - Fe	5.2 L	Iron - Fe					. · ·	-
Manganese - Mn Available	3.2 L	Manganese Mn						
Zino - Zn Avaliacie	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC t
Cooper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu						Soll Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loa 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - 304 Available	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur						40 + Ciay
Particle Size	% Sand ¹	SILT LOX	66	%	Clay 20	Field Capacit	y	23.8
Analysis	Soil Textur	el	1		90.9	Wilting Point		13.1
Ideal: 0-5%	3		% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-7	uration 75%	30.0	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	1.9
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	5.6		% Na Satu Ideal: 0-29	uration %	. 5	BY DUNCE		

		LABORA gricultural Testin P.C. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806	TORIES ng & Consu 5 5173 TEXAS 7944 5 794-4888 DIL ANA	69th 64 3 LYSI	IC. Service S RE	PORT	Grower: Address: Date:	KIETH 3/3/98	MORRIS
esuits are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	Sample No.	proximate SBL-3	lbs./a	cre mul	tiply by 2.	COMMENTS		
	8.2	Sample No.		1		<u></u>			
	l 								
Buffer pH		Yield Goal		<u> </u>		····			
Free Lime	H							-	
Soluble Saits (mmhos / cm)	0.29	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ions (lbs/Ac	re)			
% Organic Matter	1.5	Nitrogon							
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phosobate							
Available Bray P2	4 L	P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	330 H	Potash K₂O							
Dalcium - Ca Exchangeable	7240 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	250 H	Magnesium Oxide				······································			
Socium - Na Exchangeable	72 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meg/100g	39.4	Sulfur - S Elemental							
ron Availabie - Fe	8.3 M	Iron - Fe						· · -	
Manganese - Mn Available	3.9 L	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu]		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availapie	18 M	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	L2 STIT %S	ilt 82		% Clay	6	Field Capacity		18.9
Analysis	Soil Textur	e					Wilting Point		10.4
6 H Saturation deal: 0-5%	0	·	% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	uratic 75%	n S	91.8	Available Wate	er	1.5
6 K Saturation deal: 5-7%	2.1		% Na Sat	uratio		7			
% Mg Saturation deal: 15-20%	5.3		Ideal: 0-2	.%			BY CL	b C	u.s.Su

)) Ac	LABORA pricultural Testir P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	AGRICI TORIES 19 & Consu 5 5173 EXAS 794 	JLTUR 5, INC ulting Ser 69th 64 3 LYSIS	Submitted By Address: Grower: Address: Date:	XATES ARTES KIETH 3/3/98	ATH ST A NM MORRIS	
esults are expresse	7 653	er Million: For ap	proximate SB2=3	Ibs./acre	multiply by 2			<u></u>
Lab. No.	8 0	Sample No.						
Soil pH		Сгор		L				
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	H	/						
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.32	Nutrient Reco	mmendat	ions (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.4							
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N	•					
Phosphorus Brav P1	3 VL	Phoenhote						
Available Bray P2	4 L	P ₂ O ₅						
Potassium Exchangeaple - K	235 M	Potash K₂O						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6980 VI	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	270 H	Magnesium Oxide	<u></u>					
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	87 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/160g	38.1	Sulfur - S Elemental						
Iron Availabie - Fe	8.6 M	iron - Fe					. · ·	-
Manganese - Mn Available	3.6 L	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Za Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	50 H	Sulfate Sulfur				, * •	-	40 + Clay
Particle	% Sand	12 STLT %S	ilt 84	%	Clay 4	Field Capaci	ty	18.2
Analysis	Soil Textur	e		<u> </u>		Wilting Point		10.0
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	% Ca Sa ideal: 65	turation -75%	91.5	Available Wa	iter	1.4
% K Saturation	1.6							

		LABORA gricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	TORIES, INC ng & Consulting Se 6 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 5794-4888	rvices	Address: Address: Address: 3/3/9	MORRIS
esults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	S(er Million: For ar	DIL ANALYSIS	REPORT	Date:	
Lab. No.	7654	Sample No.	5 53-3		COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.1	Crop				
Buffer pH		Yield Goal			1	
Free Lime	н		₫ <u>~~~,~~</u>			
Soluble Saits (mmnos / cm)	0.38	Nutrient Rec	ommendations (lbs	s/Acre)	1	
% Organic Matter	1.6	Nitrogon			1	
Nitrogen - NC3	5 VL	N				
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Dhaanhata			-1	
Available Bray P2	4 L					
Potassium Exchangeaple - K	260 H	Potash K ₂ O				
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7250 VF	Lime			1	
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	420 VH	Magnesium Oxide			1	١
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	98 VL	Gypsum			1	
CEC Meg/100g	40.8	Sulfur - S Elemental			-	
Iron Available - Fe	8.5 M	Iron - Fe			–	•
Manganese - Mn Available	3.1 L	Manganese Mn				
Zinc - Zn Availaole	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn				Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu				Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B				12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	62 H	Sulfate Sulfur				40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	4 SILT % S	Silt ⁹² %	Clay 4	Field Capacity	19.5
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	e	% Ca Saturation	88.8	Wilting Point	10.7
Ideal: 0-5% % K Saturation	1.6		Ideal: 65-75%		Available Water (Top Foot)	1.5
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation Ideal: 15-20%	8.6000	001	% Na Saturation Ideal: 0-2%	1	BUIKUN U	2232

	Ag	WESTERN LABORA pricultural Testir P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	AGRICULT TORIES, Ing & Consulting 5 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 5-794-4888	URAL NC. Services	SU Ad Gr Ad	omitted By dress: ower: dress:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
		SC	DIL ANALYS	IS REPOF	RT Da	ate:	3/3/98	3
lesults are expresse	d in Parts Pe 7655	r Million: For ap	proximate lbs./a 384-3	acre multiply	by 2.			
	8.1	Crop			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	H							
Soluble Saits (mmhos.cm)	0.33	Nutrient Reco	ommendations	(lbs/Acre)				
% Organic Matter	1.5							
Nitrogen - NO ₃	5 VL	Nitrogen N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	3 VL	Dhosehste						
Available Brav P2	4 L	Prosphate P2O5						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	250 H	Potash K₂O						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7020 VH	Lime			-			
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	390 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	88 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	39.4	Sulfur - S Elemental						
Iron Available - Fe	5.2 L	Iron - Fe					. ··	-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.7 L	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SC₄ Available	26 H	Sulfate Sulfur				<u></u>		40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	20 % S	ilt 74	% Clay 6	Fi	eld Capacit	у	17.7
Analysis	Soil Textur	e			w	ilting Point		9.7
M n Saturation Ideal: 0-5%		<u>.</u>	% Ca Saturati Ideal: 65-75%	on 89.	- Av (T	vailable Wa op Foot)	ter	1.4
Mg Saturation	±.6 8.3		% Na Saturati Ideal: 0-2%	on 1		Gira	118 F	Desce

				ULTU 5, I N	RAL C.	5	Submitted By: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTESI	PETRO 4TH ST A NM
	Ŋ	P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	5 5173 EXAS 794 794-488	69th 64 8			Grower: Address:	KIETH	MORRIS
		sc	DIL ANA	LYSIS	REF	PORT	Date:	3/3/98	3
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	er Million: For ap	proximate	lbs./aci	e mult	iply by 2.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lab. No.	/656	Sample No.	585-3				COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.0	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				····.			
Free Lime	Н			- }					
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.30	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ions (II	os/Acr	e)			
% Organic Matter	1.3	Nitrogen							
Nitrogen - NO3	6 VL	N				• .	· .		
Phosphorus Bray P1	3 VL	Phoephata							
Available Bray P2	4 L	Prospirate P2O5							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	210 M	Potash K₂O	. <u> </u>						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6760 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	380 H	Magnesium Oxide	····						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	95 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	37.9	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.1 L	Iron - Fe						, · ·	-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.2 L	Manganese Mn			:				:
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.2 L	Copper - Cu							Soll Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B]		12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	44 H	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	L4 %S	ilt 80	9	Clay	6	Field Capacity	/	18.6
Analysis	Soil Textur	e					Wilting Point		10.2
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	turatior -75%	8	9.1	Available Wat	er	1.5 _i ,
% No Saturation	1.4	20.0	% Na Sat	turation		.1	(7		<u>"</u>
Idea: 15-20%	0.3995	777	Ideal: 0-2	2 % 0			BY	- 24-	ready

		VESTERN LABORA gricultural Testin P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICULTUR TORIES, INC ng & Consulting Se 5 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 5-794-4888 DIL ANALYSIS	RAL rvices REPORT	Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES PETRO 105 S 4TH ST ARTESIA NM KIETH MORRIS 3/3/98
lesults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	er Million: For ap	proximate lbs./acre	multiply by 2.		
Lab. No.		Sample No.				
Soil pH	8.1	Crop				
Buifer pH		Yield Goal				
Free Lime	H		9]	
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.30	Nutrient Reco	ommendations (lbs	s/Acre)	1	
% Organic Matter	1.6				1	
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	Nitrogen N				
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL				1	•
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	340 H	Potash K₂O				
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7820 VH	Lime				
Vlagnesium - Mg Exchangeable	475 VH	Magnesium Oxide				
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	101 L	Gypsum			1	
CEC Meq/100g	44.4	Sulfur - S Elementai			1	
Iron Available - Fe	7.5 M	iron - Fe			-	· · · -
- Manganese - Mn Available	3.2 L	Manganese Mn			1	
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn				Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Availabie	0.7 M	Copper - Cu				Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loomy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.6 L	Boron - B				12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availacie	35 H	Sulfate Sulfur				28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particie Size	% Sand ⁸	3 SILT % S	5ilt 88 %	Clay ⁴	Field Capacity	18.9
Analysis	Soil Textur	e			Wilting Point	10.4
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Saturation Ideal: 65-75%	88.1	Available Wate	r 1.5
% K Saturation	2		% Na Saturation	1		
ldeal: 15-20%	8.8999	777	Ideal: 0-2%		BY	My Webdy

)) Ag	P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 (TEXAS 7946 3 794-4888	JLTUR , INC Iting Sei 39th	RAL • • vices	Submitted By: 1 Address: A Grower: K Address: 3	ATES PETRO 05 S 4TH ST RTESIA NM IETH MORRIS
lesults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	DIL ANAI	LYSIS bs./acre	REPORT multiply by 2.	Date:	
Lab. No.	9 7658	Sample No.	SB7-3			COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.3	Crop				1	
Buffer pH		Yield Goal					
Free Lime	н				<u>+</u>	-	
Soluble Salts	0.45	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	Acre)	-1	
% Organic Matter	1.7					-	
Nitrogen - NO ₃	4 VL	Nitrogen N					
Phosphorus Bray D1	4 VL					-	
Available Bray P2	5 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅					
Potassium Exchangeable - K	350 H	Potash K2O					
Calcium - Ca Exchanceable	7400 VH	Lime					
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	750 VH	Magnesium Oxide					
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	203 M	Gypsum				-	
CEC Meg/100a	45	Sulfur - S Elemental				1	
Iron Available - Fe	10.4 M	iron - Fe					· · · ·
Manganese - Mn Available	4.2 L	Manganese Mn				1	:
Zinc - Zn Availapie	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn	 			-1	Approximate Belation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu					Soli Texture 0-8 Sand
Borcn - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B					8-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Available	75 H	Sulfate Sulfur	<u> </u>				28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.0 % S	Silt 86	%	Clay 4	Field Capacity	18.6
Analysis	Soil Textur	9	······			Wilting Point	10.2
% H Saturation Ideai: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	82.2	Available Water	1.5
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	2		% Na Sati	ration	1.9		

	Ag	P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 7	AGRICULTU TORIES, INC ng & Consulting Se 5 5173 69th TEXAS 79464	RAL C. ervices	Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address:	YATES PETRO 105 S 4TH ST ARTESIA NM KIETH MORRIS
		SC	DIL ANALYSIS	REPORT	Date:	3/3/98
Lab. No.	d in Parts Pe 7539	Sample No.	proximate lbs./acr 588-3	e multiply by 2.	COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.2	Crop			=	
Buffer pH		Yield Goal			-	
Free Lime	H				-	
Soluple Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.32	Nutrient Reco	ommendations (Ib	s/Acre)	-	
% Organic Matter	1.2	Nitrogen				
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N				
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phosphate				
Available Bray P2	4 L	P ₂ O ₅				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	210 M	Potash K₂O				
Caicium - Ca Excnangeable	6870 VH	Lime				
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	490 VH	Magnesium Oxide			7	
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	110 L	Gypsum				
CEC Meq/100g	39.5	Sulfur - S Elemental				
Iron Available - Fe	5.4 L	Iron - Fe				
Manganese - Mn Availabie	2.5 L	Manganese Mn]	
Zinc - Zh Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn]	Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu]	Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Learny Sand
Boron - S Available	0.9 M	Boron - B			1	12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	20 M	Sulfate Sulfur			1	28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	L4 %S	Silt 82 %	Clay 4	Field Capacit	y 17.9
Analysis	Soil Textur	e	······	1	Wilting Point	9.8
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-3%	0		% Ca Saturation Ideal: 65-75%	87.1	Available Wat (Top Foot)	ter 1.4
Ideal: 5-7%	10.4		% Na Saturation	1.1	(2	

	Ag	VESTERN LABORA	AGRICL TORIES	JLTUR , INC	RAL rvices		Submitted By: Address: Grower:	YATES 105 S ARTESI KIETH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
	Ŋ	LUBBOCK, T Phone: 806	EXAS 7946 	91N 14			Address:	3/3/99	3
	dia Darta Da	SC			REP		Date:		
Lab. No.	7660	Sample No.	SB9-3	os./acre	mun	ply by 2.	COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	7.9	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	H	1							
Soluble Salts (mmnos / cm)	0.70	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.6								
Nitrogen - NO3	70 VH	Nitrogen							
Phosphorus Bray P1	3 VL	Phoenhato							
Available Bray P2	4 L	P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	320 H	Potash K ₂ O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7080 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	510 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	93 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	40.9	Sulfur - S Elementai							
Iron Available - Fe	9.8 M	lron - Fe						. 	-
Manganese - Mn Availabie	4.9 L	Manganese Mn							
Zine - Za Availaple	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu				-			Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Available	75 H	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	4 % S	ilt 82	%	Clay	4	Field Capacity	/	17.9
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	9	% Ca Sat	iration	8	5.6	Wilting Point		9.8
Ideal: 0-5% % K Saturation	2		Ideal: 65-	75%			Available Wat (Top Foot)	er	1.4 i
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	10.4		% Na Satu Ideal: 0-29	uration %	1		Gila		الالكمور

I.

		WESTERN AGRICULTURAL LABORATORIES, INC. Agricultural Testing & Consulting Services P.O. Box 64666 5173 69th LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79464 Phone: 806-794-4888 SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT in Parts Per Million: For approximate lbs./acre multiply by 2.					105 S ARTESI KIETH 3/3/98	ATH ST A NM MORRIS
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	er Million: For ap	proximate	bs./acre	multiply by 2.			
Lab. No.	8.0	Sample No.			1			
		Сгор				_		
Butter pH	IJ	Yield Goal				_		
Free Lime	п							
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.49	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs/	(Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.2							
Nitrogen - NO ₃	10 L	Nitrogen N						
Phosphorus Bray P1 Available	4 VL	Phosphate P₂O₅						
Bray P2						_		
Potassium Exchangeable - K	235 M	Potash K₂O			-			
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7140 VI	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	530 VH	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	80 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	41.1	Sulfur - S Elemental						
I <mark>ron</mark> Available - Fe	6.3 M	Iron - Fe						
Manganese - Mn Available	1.9 VL	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Avallable	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.6 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur						20-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particie Size	% Sand	24 STT %S	ils 72	% (Clay 4	Field Capacity	,	16.3
Analysis	Soil Textur	e	<u></u>			Wilting Point		8.9
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	86.9	Available Wate	er	0.8
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	1.5		% Na Sati	uration	.7			<u> </u>

	Ag	WESTERN AGRICULTURAL LABORATORIES, INC. Agricultural Testing & Consulting Services P.O. Box 64666 5173 69th LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79464 Phone: 806—794-4888 SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT in Parts Per Million: For approximate lbs./acre multiply by 2					Submitted By Address: Grower: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/98	YETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
esults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ac	DIL ANA	LYSIS	REP multi	ORT plv bv 2.	Date:		
Lab. No.	7662	Sample No.	SB2-0				COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.2	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	н			<u> </u>	l_				
Sciuble Salts	0.36	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ions (lbs	s/Acr)			
% Organic	1.1						4		
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus Brow 21	3 VL					<u>.</u>			
Available Brav 22	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	195 M	Potash K₂O	, ,						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6330 VH	Lime				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	480 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	96 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/10Cg	36.6	Suifur - S Elementai							
Iron Available - Fe	5.4 L	iron - Fe			+-	<u></u>	1		-
Manganes <mark>e - Mn</mark> Availaole	2.0 VL	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Availaple	0.4 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.2 L	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loomy Source
Boron - B Available	0.6 L	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	26 H	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.2 % S	silt 84	%	Clay	4	Field Capacit	у	18.2
Analysis	Soil Textur	e					Wilting Point		10.0
Heal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	turation -75%	8	5.6	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	1.4
Min Saturation	1.4		% Na Sat	turation	1	.1			

7

	Ag	VESTERN LABORA Inicultural Testil P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICI TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 TEXAS 7944 5-794-4888	JLTUR 5, INC ulting Ser 69th 64	AL vices		Submitted By Address: Grower: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
		SC	DIL ANA		REP		Date:	3/3/90	5
esuits are expresse Lab. No.	7663	Sample No.	SB3-6	ibs./acre	multi	biy by 2.	COMMENTS:	· · ·	
Soil pH	8.1	Сгор		1					
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	Н			L					
Soluble Saits	0.43	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ions (lbs	/Acre)			
% Crganic Matter	1.3	<u></u>							
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus Broy P1	4 VL								
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅	- -						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	220 M	Potash K2O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6620 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	740 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	210 M	Gypsum							
CEC Meg/100a	40.7	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Availabie - Fe	6.1 M	Iron - Fe							
Manganese - Mn Available	2.0 VL	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu			•				Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Learny Sand
Boron - 5 Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	62 H	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.2 % 5	silt 84	% (Clay	4	Field Capacit	y	18.2
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Texture	9 9141	N 0- 0-			2	Wilting Point		10.0
Ideal: 0-5% % K Saturation	U		Ideal: 65-	75%	81		Available Wat (Top Foot)	er	1.4
Ideal: 5-7%	1.4		% Na Sat	uration	2	<u> </u>			

	Ag	LABORA P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, ² Phone: 806	TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 (TEXAS 7946 5 794-4888	lting Ser	vices	Address: Grower: Address:	105 S ARTESI KIETH	4TH ST A NM MORRIS
	d in Parts Po	SC • Million: For ar			REPORT	Date:	3/3/98	}
.ab. No.	7664	Sample No.	SB4-6			COMMENT	S:	
Soil pH	8.2	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				-		
Free Lime	Н		l	L	<u>l</u>	1		
Soluble Salts	0.29	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	/Acre)	1		
6 Organic Matter	1.2	Nitrogen				-		
Nitrogen - NC3	4 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Dhaanhata						
Available Bray P2	5 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅		•				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	225 M	Potash K₂O						
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6500 VH	Lime	·					
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	690 VH	Magnesium Oxide				7		
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	110 L	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	39.8	Sulfur - S Elemental						
ron Available - Fe	6.6 M	Iron - Fe					. · -	
Manganes <mark>e - Mn</mark> Available	1.9 VL	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.3 L	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - 3 Availapie	0.8 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SC₄ Available	35 H	Sulfate Sulfur						28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particie Size	% Sand 2	4 % S	ilt 70	% (Clay 6	Field Capac	ity	16.3
Analysis & H Saturation	Soil Texture	SILT LOA	M			Wilting Poir	nt	8.9
deal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	75%	82.9	Available W (Top Foot)	/ater	0.8.
deal: 5-7%	1.4		% Na Sati	uration	1.3	C.		

2

57	ļ	ESTERN	AGRICU	JLTUF	RAL		Submitted By	YATES 105 S	PETRO 4TH ST
		LABORA	TORIES	, NC			Address:	ARTES	IA NM
	Ag	pricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466	ng & Consu 6 5173 6	lting Se 9th	rvices		Grower:	KIETH	MORRIS
)	LUBBOCK, Phone: 80	TEXAS 7946 67 94-4888	4			Address:		
		S	OIL ANAL	YSIS	REP	ORT	Date:	3/3/9	8
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For a	pproximate l	bs./acre	multi	ply by 2.			
Lab. No.	7665	Sample No.	SB5-6				COMMENTS		
Soil pH	8.2	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							~
Free Lime	н								
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.35	Nutrient Rec	ommendati	ons (lb	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.5	Nitrogen							
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Phosphate							
Available Bray P2	4 L	P2Ó5							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	240 M	Potash K₂O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6600 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	780 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	226 M	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	41.1	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	6.9 M	Iron - Fe						. ··	-
Manganese - Mn Availacie	1.9 VL	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu							0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B				·			12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Available	44 H	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.0 % 5	Silt 84	%	Clay	6	Field Capacit	Х У	19.3
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	6					Wilting Point		10.6
Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	80	0.3	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	1.5 ir
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	1.5		% Na Satu	uration	2	. 3	()	110 15	
Ideal 15-20%	72'Q		148ai. V-2	7 0			BY	<u> </u>	waay

)) ^g	VESTERN LABORA ricultural Testin P.C. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806	AGRICULTU TORIES, INC ng & Consulting Se 5 5173 69th FEXAS 79464 5 794-4888	RAL C. ervices	Submitted B Address: Grower: Address: Date:	y:YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/9	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
esults are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate lbs./acre	e multiply by 2.	L		·····
Lab. No.	7666	Sample No.	SB6-6			5:	
Soil pH	8.1	Crop					
Buffer pH		Yield Goal					
Free Lime	H		· ∮,			•	
Solubie Salts (mmnos / cm)	0.35	Nutrient Reco	ommendations (lb	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.3	Nitrogon					
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	N					
Phosphorus Bray P1	3 VL	Phoenhete			-		
Available Brav P2	4 L	P_2O_5					
Potassium Exchangeable - K	245 M	Potash K2O					
Calcium - Ca Exchanceable	6720 VH	Lime					
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	850 VH	Magnesium Oxide			-		
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	215 M	Gypsum					
CEC Meg/100g	42.2	Sulfur - S Elemental					
Iron Available - Fe	6.2 M	Iron - Fe			1		-
Manganese - Mn Availabie	1.9 VL	Manganese Mn			-		
Zinc - Zn Availaole	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn					Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu			-		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Learny Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B					12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SC₄ Available	62 H	Sulfate Sulfur					28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	0 % S	ilt 84 %	Clay 6	Field Capac	ity	19.3
Analysis	Soil Texture	SILT		,	Wilting Poin	t	10.6
Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Saturation Ideal: 65-75%	79.5	Available Wi	ater	1.5
M Naturation	1.5		% Na Saturation	2.1	Ć		

	Ac		AGRICL TORIES	JLTUR , INC.	AL vices	Submitted By: YATES 105 S Address: ARTES	S PETRO S 4TH ST SIA NM H MORRIS
	Ŋ	P.C. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	6 5173 6 TEXAS 7946 6—794-4888	i9th i4		Address:	
Results are expresse	: ed in Parts Pe	S(er Million: For ar		_YSIS I	REPORT	Date: 3/3/3	
Lab. No.	7667	Sample No.	SB7-6			COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.3	Crop					
Buffer pH		Yield Goal					
Free Lime	н]	<u> </u>		7	
Soluble Salts	0.56	Nutrient Rec	ommendati	ons (lbs/	/Acre)	-	
% Organic	1.5					-1	
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N					
Phosphorus Prov. P1	4 VL					-1	
Available Bray P1	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅					
Potassium Exchangeable - K	320 H	Potash K ₂ O					
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6960 VH	Lime				1	
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	730 VH	Magnesium Oxide					1
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	205 M	Gypsum					
CEC Meq/100g	42.6	Sulfur - S Elemental					
Iron Availabie - Fe	7.5 M	Iron - Fe				-	-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.7 L	Manganese Mn				1	
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn					Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu				1	Soil Texture , 0-8 Sand
Boron - B Available	0.6 L	Boron - B				1	12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	75 H	Sulfate Sulfur		·			28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	.4 % S	Silt 80	% (Clay 6	Field Capacity	18.6
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	e SILT LOI	M	T		Wilting Point	10.2
Ideal: 0-5%	0	·	% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-7	Jration 75%	81.7	Available Water (Top Foot)	1.5
Ideal: 5-7%	1.9		% Na Satu	uration	2.1	(5)	2
Ideal: 15-20%	14.3			70		BY	JERCY

	Ag	WESTERN LABORA gricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466	AGRICULTU TORIES, IN ng & Consulting S 6 5173 69th	RAL C. ervices	Submitted By:YA 10 Address: AF Grower: KI	ATES PETRO 95 S 4TH ST RTESIA NM TETH MORRIS
	J	LUBBOCK, [*] Phone: 806	TEXAS 79464 5—794-4888		Address:	2/09
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For at	OIL ANALYSIS	REPORT	Date: 3/	3/98
Lab. No.	7668	Sample No.	SB8-6		COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.3	Crop				
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				
Free Lime	н		▲	I	-	
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.43	Nutrient Rec	ommendations (It	os/Acre)	-	
% Organic Matter	1.4				4	
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N				
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL				-	
Available Prav 92	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	210 M	Potash			4	
Calcium - Ca	6370 VH	Lime			-	
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	850 VH	Magnesium			-	
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	213 M	Gypsum			-	
CEC Meg/100g	40.4	Sulfur - S			-	
Iron Available - Fe	5.8 L	Iron - Fe				· · ·
Manganese - Mn Available	2.0 VL	Manganese Mn			1	
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn			1	Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.3 L	Copper - Cu			-	Soil Texture
Boron - 3 Available	0.7 L	Boron - B				8-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Available	35 H	Sulfate Sulfur			-1 :	28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Glay
Particie Size	% Sand 1	.0 % s	Silt 80 %	Clay 10	Field Capacity	20.8
Analysis	Soil Textur	eSILT	T		Wilting Point	11.4
Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Saturatior Ideal: 65-75%	78.8	Available Water (Top Foot)	1.6 [:]
% No. Saturation	1.3		% Na Saturation	2.3	(e.	
Ideal. 15-20%	17.5		Ideal: 0-2%		BY UL ICLEY	Ule E. Car

	Ag	NESTERN LABORA Inicultural Testin P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 5 5173 FEXAS 7944 5 794-4888	JLTUF JLTUF Inc Inting Set 69th 54	AL rvices	00T	Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES KIETH 3/3/98	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	LTSIS	multi	ply by 2.		· · ·	
Lab. No.	7669	Sample No.	SB9-6				COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.2	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal	<u></u>						
Free Lime	H		<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>		1		
Soluble Saits (mmhos / cm)	0.35	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ons (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.2								
Nitrogen - NO ₃	4 VL	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1	5 VL	Dhasah i							
Available Bray P2	6 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅				,			
Potassium Exchanceable - K	190 M	Potash K2O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	5920 VH	Lime				——————————————————————————————————————			
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	770 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	92 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	36.9	Sulfur - S Elemental							
ron Available - Fe	4.9 L	Iron - Fe							•
Manganese - Mn Available	1.7 VL	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Availabie	0.3 L	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - E Available	0.6 L	Boron - B]		12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SC₄ Available	35 H	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 4	% S	ilt. 90	%	Clay	6	Field Capacity	/	20.2
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	e [N 0 0			<u> </u>	Wilting Point		11.1
deal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideai: 65-	uration 75%	8	.2	Available Wat (Top Foot)	er	1.6
deal: 5-7%	1.3		% Na Sat	uration	1	. 1			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

J

J

	Aq	VESTERN LABORA	AGRICU TORIES		RAL rvices		Submitted By Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM
))	P.C. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806	6 5173 6 TEXAS 7946 6—794-4888	59th 54			Grower: Address:	KIETH	MORRIS
Results are expresse	d in Parts Pa	r Million: For ar		YSIS	REP		Date:	3/3/9	8
Lab. No.	7670	Sample No.	SB10-6			<i>p.y.cy z.</i>	COMMENTS		,
Soil pH	8.3	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal					-		
Free Lime	H		l			·····	1		
Scluble Saits (mmnos / cm)	0.32	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre	e)	1		
% Organic Matter	1.3						1		
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL	Oharsta							
Available Brav P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	240 M	Potash K2O				·			
Calcium - Ca Exchanceable	6500 VH	Lime				 			
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	730 VH	Magnesium Oxide				-			
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	170 L	Gypsum		·····			1		
CEC Meq/100g	39.9	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.3 L	Iron - Fe					1	. • •	-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.2 L	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Availacle	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copcer - Cu Available	0.3 L	Copper - Cu					1		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	35 H	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 4	l % S	Silt 90	%	Clay	6	Field Capacit	ţy	20.2
Analysis	Soil Texture	6 91 11	[Wilting Point		11.1
Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	8.	1.4	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	1.6
Ideal: 5-7%	1.5		% Na Satu	uration	1	. 8	()		~

Records any intended only for the sample tested. Reports, letters, and name of Western Agricultural Laboratories, Inc., are not to be used under any circumstance in advertising to the general public. Unused portions of the sample are retained for a period of 30 days after the sample is received.

			AGRICULTUR TORIES, INC	RAL	Submitted By Address:	YATES 105 S ARTESI	PETRO 4TH ST A NM
	り	P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 7 Phone: 806	5 5173 69th FEXAS 79464 5—794-4888		Grower: Address:	KIETH	MORRIS
		SC		REPORT	Date:	3/3/98	
Lab. No.	7671	Sample No.	B1-1	multiply by 2.	COMMENTS		
Soil pH	8.1	Crop					
Buffer pH		Yield Goal			1		
Free Lime	Н			.!	1		
Soluble Salts	0.48	Nutrient Reco	ommendations (Ib	s/Acre)	4		
% Organic Matter	1.8				1		
Nitrogen - NO ₃	4 VL	Nitrogen N					
Phosphorus Bray P1	3 VL				4		
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P₂O₅					
Potassium Exchangeable - K	320 H	Potash K₂O					
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6750 VH	Lime			-		
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	420 VH	Magnesium Oxide			-1		
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	89 VL	Gypsum					
CEC Meg/100g	38.5	Sulfur - S Elemental			1		
Iron Available - Fe	5.9 L	Iron - Fe			1	. ••	
Manganese - Mn Available	4.2 L	Manganese Mn			1 :		
Zinc - Zn Availacle	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn			1		Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu					Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Logmy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B			1		12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	112 H	Sulfate Sulfur					28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	22 % 5	Silt 72 %	Clay 6	Field Capacit	ty	17.4
Analysis	Soil Textur	e SILT LOP		1	Wilting Point		9.5
M H Saturation	0		% Ca Saturation Ideal: 65-75%	87.8	Available Wa (Top Foot)	iter	1.3
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	2.1		% Na Saturation	1	(. ~	
Idea: 15-20%	3.1000	,		[BNULA	ene O	12 S & C =

		WESTERN AGRICULTURAL LABORATORIES, INC. Agricultural Testing & Consulting Services P.O. Box 64666 5173 69th LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79464 Phone: 806-794-4888 SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT					Submitted E Address: Grower: Address:	By YATES 105 S ARTES KEITH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
sults are express	od in Parts Pe	S(er Million: For ar			RE	PORT	Date:	3/3/98	3
.ab. No.	7672	Sample No.	B2-1				COMMENT	S:	
Soil pH	8.2	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				<u> </u>			
Free Lime	Н		J	- i	1				
Soluble Saits	0.26	Nutrient Rec	ommendat	ions (lb	s/Acr	e)			
% Organic Matter	1.9	····					-		
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus	4 VL	<u> </u>					-		
Bray P1 Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	620 VH	Potash K ₂ O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7460 VH	Lime					1		
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	310 H	Magnesium Oxide	<u> </u>						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	53 VL	Gypsum					-		
CEC Meq/100g	41.7	Sulfur - S Elemental							
ron Available - Fe	4.4 L	Iron - Fe					1		-
Manganese - Mn Available	4.0 L	Manganese Mn					1		
Zinc - Zn Available	0.4 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC t
Copper - Cu Available	0.3 L	Copper - Cu				<u></u>	1		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B					1		0-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loa 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SOa Available	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur					- :		28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle	% Sand	LO % S	Silt 64	%	Clay	26	Field Capac	city	26.6
Analysis	Soil Textur	e SILT LOA	AM '	- <u></u> ł			Wilting Poil	nt	14 5
% H Saturation deal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65	turation -75%	8	9.4	Available W	/ater	2.1
6 K Saturation	3.8						()		

	Ac	ESTERN LABORA gricultural Testi P.O. Box 6466	AGRICULTU TORIES, IN ng & Consulting Si 6 5173 69th TEXAS 79464	RAL C. ervices	Submitted By Address: Grower:	y YATES 105 S ARTESI KEITH I	PETRO 4TH ST A NM MORRIS	
		Phone: 806	6	DEDORT	Address:	3/3/98		
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ar	oproximate lbs./acr	e multiply by 2.				
Lab. No.	7673	Sample No.	B3-1		COMMENTS	:		
Soil pH	8.3	Crop						
Buffer pH	<u>-</u>	Yield Goal			4			
Free Lime	Н		I		-			
Soluble Salts	0.25	Nutrient Reco	ommendations (It	os/Acre)	1			
% Organic	1.9				4			
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen N						
Phosphorus	11 L				4			
Bray P1 Available Bray P2	35 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	620 VH	Potash KoO			-			
Calcium - Ca	7100 VH	Lime			-			
Magnesium - Mg	260 H	Magnesium			-			
Sodium - Na	51 VL	Gypsum			-			
CEC Meg/100g	39.5	Sulfur - S			-			
Iron	2.9 L	Iron - Fe			-			
Manganese - Mn	4.0 L	Manganese			-			
Zinc - Zn	0.7 L	Mn Zinc - Zn			1		Approximate	
Available Copper - Cu	0.2 L	Copper - Cu			4		Relation of CEC to Soil Texture 0-8 Sand	
Available Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B			4		8-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam	
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur			1		28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay	
Particle Size	% Sand 2	20 % S	silt 52 %	Clay 28	Field Capaci	ty	25.8	
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Texture	e CLAI LOR	ли Г		Wilting Point	t	14.2	
Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Saturation	89.9	Available Wa	ater	2.0 i	
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	4		% Na Saturation Ideal: 0-2%	. 5	Gue	icus IP		
	Ag	VESTERN LABORA pricultural Testir P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES, ng & Consult 5 5173 66 TEXAS 79464 	LTUR INC ting Ser	AL vices	Submitted By Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES 105 S ARTES KEITH 3/3/9	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
---------------------------------	----------------	---	---	-------------------------	----------------	--	---	---
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate It	s./acre	multiply by 2.	L		
Lab. No.	/6/4	Sample No.	B4 0-1			COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.3	Сгор						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	H		·····					
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.23	Nutrient Reco	ommendatic	ons (lbs	/Acre)]		
% Organic Matter	2.0	Nitrogen]		
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	8 L	Phoenhate						
Available Bray P2	9 L	P2O5						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	310 H	Potash K₂O				-		
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	7280 VH	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	305 H	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	53 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	40	Suifur - S Elemental						
Iron Available - Fe	6.3 M	Iron - Fe				_	. ••	•
Manganese - Mn Available	2.5 L	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.2 L	Copper - Cu						Soli Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Availabie	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur						40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	20 % S	ilt 50	% (Clay 30	Field Capacit	у	26.5
Analysis	Soil Textur	e	r	<u> </u>		Wilting Point		14.6
M H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0	~	% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-7	ration 5%	91.1	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	2.2
M Saturation	2		% Na Satu	ration	. 5			
Mig paturation Ideal: 15-20%	6,4		Ideal: 0-2%	6		BY DIA	515 IT	Dece

	Ag			ULTUI S, INC ulting Se	RAL C.	s	Submitted By Address:	ARTES	4TH ST IA NM
	IJ	P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 800	6 5173 TEXAS 794 6794-488	69th 164 8			Grower: Address:	3/3/0	9
loculte are express	d in Parts Pe	S(ar Million: For a		LYSIS	RE		Date:	5/5/5	.
Lab. No.	7675	Sample No.	B5-1	103./2010	5 1101	upiy by 2.	COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.3	Crop		1					
Buffer pH		Yield Goal					1		
Free Lime	Н		I				-		
Soluble Salts (mmnos / cm)	0.19	Nutrient Rec	ommenda	tions (Ib	s/Aci	re)	-		
% Organic Matter	1.5						-		
Nitrogen - NO ₃	4 VL	Nitrogen							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL					<u> </u>	-		
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	320 H	Potash K₂O		1			-		
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6980 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	250 H	Magnesium Oxide					1		
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	48 VL	Gypsum					1		
CEC Meq/100g	38	Sulfur - S Elemental					1		
Iron Available - Fe	3.3 L	Iron - Fe					1		-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.3 L	Manganese Mn						i	
Zinc - Zn Availapie	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn]		Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.2 L	Copper - Cu]		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.9 M	Boron - B				·			12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand		Silt 48	%	Clay	28	Field Capacit	у	25.1
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Textur	e	R 00 00	+	6	1 8	Wilting Point		13.8
Ideal: 0-5% % K Saturation	2 2		Ideal: 65	-75%		· • • •	Available Wa (Top Foot)	ter	2.0
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	5.5		% Na Sa Ideal: 0-3	turation 2%		5	BUNG		

)) Ag	VESTERN LABORA pricultural Testir P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, T Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES og & Consu 5 5173 FEXAS 7944 5 794-4888 DIL ANA	JLTU 5, IN ulting S 69th 64 3 LYSIS	Agricultural Testing & Consulting Services P.O. Box 64666 5173 69th LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79464 Phone: 806—794-4888 SOIL ANALYSIS REPORT in Parts Per Million: For approximate Ibs./acre multiply by 2. B1-3					
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	lbs./acr	e multi	ply by 2.				
Lab. No.	7070	Sample No.	<u> </u>				COMMENTS:			
Soil pH	8.2	Crop						.		
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						r 1		
Free Lime	Н		L	4						
Soluble Saits (mmhos / cm)	0.42	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	ions (It	s/Acr	9)				
% Organic Matter	1.7									
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	Nitrogen								
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL									
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅								
Potassium Exchangeable - K	235 M	Potash K₂O								
Calcium - Ca Exchanceable	6700 VH	Lime								
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	510 VH	Magnesium Oxide							·	
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	176 L	Gypsum								
CEC Meg/100g	39.1	Sulfur - S Elemental								
Iron Available - Fe	7.6 M	Iron - Fe				<u> </u>			-	
Manganese - Mn Available	2.7 L	Manganese Mn					1			
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to	
Copper - Cu Available	0.6 M	Copper - Cu							Soli Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand	
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loarn	
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	112 H	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay	
Particle	% Sand	22 STT % S	52	%	Clay	26	Field Capacity		24.7	
Analysis	Soil Textur	e!					Wilting Point		13.6	
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sat	uration	8	5.6	Available Wate	er,	2.0	
% K Saturation	1.5						(10p Foot)	•		

		LABORA pricultural Testin P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	A I UKIES, INC ng & Consulting Se 6 5173 69th TEXAS 79464 6—794-4888	rvices	Grower: KEITH Address: 3/3/9	MORRIS
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ar	DIL ANALYSIS	REPORT multiply by 2.	Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Lab. No.	7677	Sample No.	82-3		COMMENTS:	
Soil pH	8.2	Crop				
Buffer pH		Yield Goal				
Free Lime	H		<u> </u>		-	
Soluble Salts	0.45	Nutrient Rec	ommendations (lbs	s/Acre)	1	
% Organic	1.2				-	
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	Nitrogen N				
Phosphorus	4 VL					
Available Bray P1	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅				
Potassium Exchangeable - K	210 M	Potash K ₂ O				
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6600 VH	Lime				
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	690 VH	Magnesium Oxide			-	
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	195 L	Gypsum			-	
CEC Meg/100g	40.1	Sulfur - S				
Iron Available - Fe	6.1 M	Iron - Fe				
Manganese - Mn Available	2.9 L	Manganese Mn				
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn				Approximate Relation of CEC t
Copper - Cu Available	0.4 L	Copper - Cu				Soil Texture 0-8 Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B				0-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loa 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	62 H	Sulfate Sulfur				28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	4 % 5	Silt 40 %	Clay 36	Field Capacity	28.1
Analysis	Soil Textur	e chui roi	<u>,</u>		Wilting Point	15.4
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Saturation Ideal: 65-75%	82.2	Available Water	2.2
% K Saturation Ideai: 5-7%	1.3		% Na Saturation	2.2		
% Mg Saturation Idea:: 15-20%	14.3		Ideal: 0-2%		BY DI VALYE G	2232

	Ag	VESTERN LABORA pricultural Testin P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICI TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 TEXAS 794 6 794-4888	JLTURA 5, INC. Jiting Servid 69th 64 3	Ces	Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES 105 S ARTES KEITH 3/3/9	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
esuits are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	ibs./acre m	ultiply by 2.			······································
Lab. No.	0 1	Sample No.	<u>ь</u> з / з	- 4 <u>1</u>		COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	0.1	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	H							
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.39	Nutrient Rec	ommendat	ions (lbs/A	(cre)			
% Organic Matter	1.4	Nitrogen						
Nitrogen - NO3	5 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1 Available	4 VL 4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Bray P2 Potassium	175 M	Potash						
Exchangeable - K Calcium - Ca	6640 VH	K₂O		ļ		4		
Exchangeable	490 11	Lime		<u> </u>		4		
Exchangeable	490 VI	Oxide				-		
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	105 L	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	38.2	Sulfur - S Elemental						
Iron Available - Fe	4.4 L	Iron - Fe]		-
Manganese - Mn Available	1.6 VL	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn			-			Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu				1		Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Availabie	0.8 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loa 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabte	50 H	Sulfate Sulfur]		40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	4 % S	silt 40	% Cli	ay 46	Field Capacity	,	33.4
Analysis	Soil Textur	e	1	1	86.9	Wilting Point		18.3
M H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	1 2		% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	00.7	Available Wate (Top Foot)	er	2.6
Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	10.7		% Na Sat	uration	1.1	()		

		VESTERN LABORA gricultural Testin P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 5 5173 (TEXAS 7946 5 794-4888	JLTUF , INC Iting Set 39th 34	RAL rvices		Submitted By: Address: Grower: Address:	YATES 105 S ARTES KEITH	PETRO 4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
lesuits ara avoresse	nd in Parts Pe	SC er Million: For ar	DIL ANA	LYSIS	REP		Date:		
Lab. No.	7679	Sample No.	B4 / 3-	- 4		piy 0y 2.	COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	7.9	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	H			L					
Soluble Salts (mmnos / cm)	0.42	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.7	Nitrogon							
Nitrogen - NO3	35 VH	N							
Phosphorus Bray P1 Available Bray P2	4 VL 4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	310 H	Potash K ₂ O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6470 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	420 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	72 VL	Gypsum							
CÈC Meq/100g	37	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	5.8 L	Iron - Fe							-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.1 L	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Za Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Availabie	0.7 M	Copper - Cu				-			Soli Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Lormy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.8 M	Boron - B							12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	20 M	Sulfate Sulfur							28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	.4 % S	III 58	. %	Clay	28	Field Capacity	/	26.7
Analysis	Soil Textur	e					Wilting Point		14.7
% H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	8.	7.5	Available Wate	ər	2.1
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	2.2 9.5		% Na Sati	uration %	. 1	3			

	Ag	VESTERN I LABORA pricultural Testin P.O. Box 64666 LUBBOCK, T Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ig & Consu 5 5173 (EXAS 7946 —794-4888 DIL ANAI	JLTUR, , INC. Iting Serv 39th 4 LYSIS F	Address: Grower: Address: Date:	105 S ARTES KEITH 3/3/9	4TH ST IA NM MORRIS	
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe 7680	r Million: For ap	proximate I B5 / 3 ⁻	bs./acre r - 4	nultiply by 2.	COMMENTS		
Soil pH	8.1	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_	-		
Free Lime	H	I		L		1		
Soluble Salts	0.30	Nutrient Reco	ommendati	ons (lbs/	Acre)	-		
% Organic Matter	1.4	Nitrogen						
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1 Available	4 VL 4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅						
Bray P2 Potassium	190 M	Potash	<u></u>					
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6250 VH	Lime				-		
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	360 H	Magnesium Oxide	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	85 VL	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	35.1	Sulfur - S Elemental						
iron Available - Fe	5.0 L	Iron - Fe						-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.3 L	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.5 M	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - 3 Available	0.8 M	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam 28-40 Clay Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Availabie	20 M	Sulfate Sulfur					<u></u>	40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand	4 % S	ilt 54	M % C	32 ³²	Field Capacit	у	28.2
Analysis % H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0	e	% Ca Sat Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	89	Wilting Point	ter	15.5
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7%	1.4		% Na Sat	uration	1			

	Ag	VESTERN LABORA pricultural Testin P.O. Box 54660 LUBBOCK, 1 Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES, ng & Consult 5 5173 69 TEXAS 79464 3 794-4888	ITUF INC ing Sei	RAL rvices		Address: Grower: Address:	105 S ARTESI KEITH	4TH ST IA NM MORRIS
acuite are average	d in Parts Pa	so r Million: For an		YSIS			Date:		
Lab. No.	7681	Sample No.	B2-6	3./ 40/ 0		<i></i>	COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.4	Crop							
Buifer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	H		I		<u> </u>				
Soluble Salts	0.29	Nutrient Reco	ommendatio	ns (lbs	s/Acre)			
% Organic	2.0								
Nitrogen - NO ₃	4 VL	Nitrogen N							
Phosphorus	4 VL								
Bray P1 Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	240 M	Potash K2O							
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	6500 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	910 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	240 M	Gypsum							
CEC Meg/100g	41.7	Sulfur - S							
Iron Available - Fe	8.3 M	Iron - Fe						.	-
Manganese - Mn. Available	2.4 L	Manganese							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.2 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.8 M	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand
Boron - 3 Available	0.7 L	Boron - B							8-12 Loamy Sand 12-20 Sandy/Silt Loan 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO ₄ Available	26 H	Sulfate Sulfur					1		28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 4	STT % S	silt 88	%	Clay	8	Field Capacit	у	21.0
Analysis	Soil Textur	9	1		1		Wilting Point		11.5
W H Saturation Ideal: 0-5%	0		% Ca Satu Ideal: 65-7	ration 5%	77	.9	Available Wat	ter	1.6
% K Saturation Ideal: 5-7% % Mg Saturation	1.5		% Na Satu Ideal: 0-2%	ration	2.	3			

	Ag	VESTERN LABORA pricultural Testii P.O. Box 6466 LUBBOCK, Phone: 806	AGRICU TORIES ng & Consu 6 5173 (TEXAS 7946 3-794-4888	JLTUF , INC olting Se 69th 54	RAL 	; PORT	Sconitted By: Address: Grower: Address: Date:	YATES 105 S ARTESI KEITH 3/3/98	PETRO 4TH ST A NM MORRIS
Results are expresse	ed in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	lbs./acre	mult	iply by 2.			
Lab. No.	/682	Sample No.	84-6				COMMENTS:		
Soil pH	8.0	Crop							
Buffer pH		Yield Goal							
Free Lime	н								
Soluble Salts (mmhos / cm)	0.31	Nutrient Rec	ommendati	ons (ibs	s/Acr	e)			
% Organic Matter	1.6	.					1		
Nitrogen - NO ₃	5 VL	Nitrogen							
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL		-						
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P ₂ O ₅							
Potassium Exchangeable - K	240 M	Potash K ₂ O							
Caicium - Ca Exchangeable	6250 VH	Lime							
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	740 VH	Magnesium Oxide							
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	86 VL	Gypsum							
CEC Meq/100g	38.4	Sulfur - S Elemental							
Iron Available - Fe	7.0 M	Iron - Fe							-
Manganese - Mn Available	2.5 L	Manganese Mn							
Zinc - Zn Available	0.3 VL	Zinc - Zn							Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.7 M	Copper - Cu							Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B]		12-20 Sandy/Silt Loam 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO4 Available	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur							40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 1	2 % S	ilt 40	%	Clay	48	Field Capacity	/	34.4
Analysis % H Saturation	Soil Texture	ə					Wilting Point		18.9
Ideal: 0-5%			% Ca Sati Ideal: 65-	uration 75%	8	1.4	Available Wat (Top Foot)	er	2.7
Mg Saturation	1.5		% Na Sati Ideal: 0-2	uration %		8	DUNG	= (j)e	5.60

	Ag	LABORA ricultural Testir P.O. Box 64660 LUBBOCK, ⁻ Phone: 806	TORIE: ng & Cons 5 5173 TEXAS 794 3-794-488	OLION S, INC ulting Ser 69th 164 8	vices	Address: Grower: Address: Date:	ARTESI KEITH 3/3/98	MORRIS
esults are expresse	d in Parts Pe	r Million: For ap	proximate	Ibs./acre	multiply by :	2.		
Lab. No.	7683	Sample No.	B5-6			COMMENTS	S:	
Soil pH	8.3	Crop						
Buffer pH		Yield Goal						
Free Lime	Н							
Soluble Saits (mmhos / cm)	0.29	Nutrient Reco	ommendat	tions (lbs	/Acre)			
% Organic Matter	1.0	Nitro Tot						
Nitrogen - NO3	4 VL	N						
Phosphorus Bray P1	4 VL							
Available Bray P2	4 L	Phosphate P₂O₅						
Potassium Exchangeable - K	150 M	Potash K2O		_		_		
Calcium - Ca Exchangeable	5720 VH	Lime						
Magnesium - Mg Exchangeable	680 VH	Magnesium Oxide						
Sodium - Na Exchangeable	217 M	Gypsum						
CEC Meq/100g	35.6	Sulfur - S Elemental						
ron Available - Fe	4.4 L	Iron - Fe						
Manganese - Mn Available	1.4 VL	Manganese Mn						
Zinc - Zn Available	0.1 VL	Zinc - Zn						Approximate Relation of CEC to
Copper - Cu Available	0.4 L	Copper - Cu						Soil Texture 0-8 Sand 8-12 Loamy Sand
Boron - B Available	0.7 L	Boron - B						12-20 Sandy/Silt Loar 20-28 Loam
Sulfur - SO₄ Available	15 M	Sulfate Sulfur						28-40 Clay Loam 40 + Clay
Particle Size	% Sand 2	8 % 5	ilt 56	% (Clay 16	Field Capac	ity	20.1
Analysis	Soil Textur	e			00.0	Wilting Poir	nt	11.0
deal: 0-5%			% Ca Sa ideal: 65	turation -75%	80.3	Available W (Top Foot)	ater	1.5
n Saturation	1.1		}					······