GW - 1

WORK PLANS 1993

RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION TASK 1: DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS

BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY 50 COUNTRY ROAD 4990 BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

MARCH 1993

Prepared For:

BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY P.O. BOX 159 BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO 87413

Prepared by:

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY, INC. 2501 YALE BOULEVARD, S.E., SUITE 204 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87106

에 전화을 수 있는 것같이 않는 것을 물을 때마지 않는지 않는지 않는 것같이 않았는 것 같아요. 이 제품은



Groundwater Technology, Inc.

2501 Yale Blvd. SE. Suite 204, Albuquerque, NM 87106 Tel: (505) 242-3113 Fax: (505) 242-1103

RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION TASK I: DESCRIPTION OF CURRENT CONDITIONS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY 50 COUNTY ROAD 4990 (SULLIVAN ROAD) BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

MARCH 1993

Submitted to:

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region VI RCRA Technical Enforcement 1445 Ross Avenue Dallas, Texas 75202-2733

Submitted by:

Bloomfield Refining Company 50 County Road 4990 Bloomfield, New Mexico 87413

Groundwater Technology, Inc. Written/Submitted by

Comartha Cymantha Diaz

Senior Geologist

Groundwater Technology, Inc. Reviewed/Approved by

Sara C. Brothers Operations Manager, New Mexico

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES					
LIST OF TABLES					
LIST OF APPENDICES iv					
1.0	INTRO	DUCTIO	N		
	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	Facility 1.1.1 Hazaro Regula Previou 1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3 1.4.4 Docum	/ Background 1 BRC Activities 2 dous Waste Generation 4 atory Background 4 us Investigations 8 Installation of Wells 8 RCRA 3008 Order and Consent Agreement Investigation 8 RCRA 3013 Administrative Order Investigation 9 Off-Site Investigation 9 nentation of Product Spills at Facility 9	!	
2.0	ENVIR	ONMEN	TAL SETTING 12	2	
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Geolog Meteor Hydrol Land U Potent	gy/Hydrogeology	! } }	
3.0	NATU	RE AND	EXTENT OF CONTAMINATION 17	7	
	3.1	Geogra 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4	aphic Areas18Area 1183.1.1.1Wastewater Treatment System: API Separator, SOWP, and NOWP193.1.1.2Spent Caustic Tank213.1.1.3Drum Storage Areas213.1.1.4Crude Unit223.1.1.5Tanks 3, 4, 5, 6, and 723Area 223Area 3243.1.3.1Transportation Terminal Sump243.1.3.2Heat Exchanger Bundle (HEB) Cleaning Area253.1.4.1Evaporation Ponds263.1.4.2Fire Training Area263.1.4.3Spray Irrigation Area263.1.4.3Spray Irrigation Area26	**	
4.0	GROU	NDWAT	ER CONDITIONS	9	

BRC/task1.rep

1

i

GROUNDWATER

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Cont.)

5.0	SURFA	CE WATER CONDITIONS	31
6.0	PRE-IN	VESTIGATIVE EVALUATION OF CORRECTIVE MEASURES	32
	6.1	Screening Matrix For Seepage (see also Appendix B)	32
	6.2	Screening Matrix for SPH (Appendix B)	33
	6.3	Screening Matrix for Soil (Appendix B)	33
	6.4	Screening Matrix for Dissolved Groundwater (Appendix B)	34
	6.5	Pilot Tests	35
		6.5.1 Pilot Test to Mitigate Seepage	35
		6.5.2 Pilot Test for SPH Recovery	35
		6.5.3 Pilot Test for Soil Remediation	36
		6.5.4 Pilot Test for Dissolved Hydrocarbons	36
	6.6	Recommendations for Remedial Actions	37
7.0	SUMM	ARY	38
8.0	REFER	ENCES	39

BRC/task1.rep

- ------

GROUNDWATER

1

LIST OF FIGURES

- FIGURE 1 SITE LOCATION/TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
- FIGURE 2 PROPERTIES ADJACENT TO BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY
- FIGURE 3 SITE TOPOGRAPHIC MAP
- FIGURE 4 SITE MAP
- FIGURE 5 SITE WELL LOCATIONS
- FIGURE 6 SOIL VAPOR SURVEY RESULTS
- FIGURE 7 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT UNITS AND POTENTIAL SOURCE AREAS
- FIGURE 8 SITE CROSS-SECTION A-A'
- FIGURE 9 WELLS WITHIN A ONE-MILE OF FACILITY
- FIGURE 10 WATER TABLE CONTOUR MAP, NOVEMBER 1991
- FIGURE 11 WATER TABLE CONTOUR MAP, OCTOBER 1992
- FIGURE 12 SEPARATE-PHASE HYDROCARBON ISOPLETH MAP, OCTOBER 1991
- FIGURE 13 WATER AND WASTEWATER LINE DIAGRAM
- FIGURE 14 DISSOLVED HYDROCARBON DISTRIBUTION MAP, OCTOBER 1992

LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE 1
 SUMMARY OF HAZARDOUS WASTES GENERATED AT FACILITY
- TABLE 2 MONITORING WELL SPECIFICATIONS
- TABLE 3 SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS, CLOSURE SAMPLING FOR WASTEWATER PONDS, LANDFILL, AND LANDFILL PONDS
- TABLE 4SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS, HAMMOND
DITCH AND SAN JUAN RIVER
- TABLE 5 SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUNDWATER DATA
- TABLE 6SUMMARY OF INORGANIC GROUNDWATER AND WATER
QUALITY DATA

BRC/task1.rep

iii



LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)

- TABLE 7 SUMMARY OF SOIL VAPOR SURVEY RESULTS
- TABLE 8SUMMARY OF WELL INFORMATION FOR WELLS WITHIN ONE
MILE OF SITE
- TABLE 9 SUMMARY OF CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA

LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A SPILL REPORTS
- APPENDIX B TECHNOLOGY SCREENING MATRIX

BRC/task1.rep



1.0 INTRODUCTION

This "Task I: Description of Current Conditions" report has been prepared pursuant to Part I.C of Attachment II - Corrective Action Plan of the Administrative Order on Consent (Docket No. VI-303-H) dated December 31, 1992 between the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Region VI and Bloomfield Refining Company (BRC). The purpose of this report is to summarize the background of the BRC facility, provide information on the nature and extent of contamination and perform a preliminary evaluation of corrective measures technologies. This report provides the basis for the technical approach of the Draft RCRA Facility Investigation Work Plan (RFIWP) submitted concurrently. The RFIWP discusses the additional investigative work tasks proposed to complete the delineation of the nature and extent of contamination resulting from facility operations and to evaluate corrective measure technologies for application at the facility.

This report is organized as follows:

- Section 1.0 provides information on the facility background, previous investigations, and regulatory history;
- Section 2.0 describes the environmental setting of the facility, including geology, hydrology, land use and potential receptors;
- Section 3.0 discusses known and potential sources of releases to the environment and data previously collected in these areas;
- Section 4.0 describes groundwater conditions at the site;
- Section 5.0 describes surface water conditions at the site;
- Section 6.0 provides a pre-investigative evaluation of corrective measures;
- Section 7.0 is a summary of the Task I report; and
- Section 8.0 includes references used in compiling the report.

1.1 Facility Background

The BRC facility is located at 50 County Road 4990 (Sullivan Road), immediately south of Bloomfield, New Mexico in San Juan County (Figure 1). The site is located on a bluff approximately 100 feet above the south side of the San Juan River, a perennial river that flows to the west. On the bluff and between the river and the process area of the facility is the Hammond Ditch, a man-made channel



for irrigation water supply that borders all but the southern portion of the site. Bordering the facility is a combination of federal and private properties (Figure 2). The topography of the site is generally flat with low-lying areas to the east of the process area (Figure 3). The current facility layout is shown in Figure 4.

The BRC facility was originally constructed as a crude topping unit in the late 1950s by local entrepreneur Kimball Campbell. O. L. Garretson bought the facility in the early 1960s, renamed it Plateau, Inc., and sold it in 1964 to Suburban Propane of New Jersey. Suburban upgraded the facility in 1966, increasing the crude unit throughput to 4,100 barrels per day (bbl/day) and adding a 1,850 bbl/day reformer and naphtha hydrotreater. The crude unit was later expanded to 8,400 bbl/day in 1975.

In 1979, the crude unit was expanded again to 16,800 bbl/day capacity. A fluidized catalytic cracker (FCC) with a capacity of 6,000 bbl/day, an unsaturated gas plant, and a treater unit were also added at this time. The capacity of the reformer/hydrotreater was increased to 2,250 bbl/day. The FCC was upgraded in 1982 to conform with state and federal air quality standards.

In November 1980, Plateau applied for a Part A Permit as a generator, storer, treater, and disposer of hazardous waste as a protective filing. Plateau later petitioned for reclassification under a generator-only status (in 1982).

1.1.1 BRC Activities

Bloomfield Refining Company acquired the facility from Suburban Propane (Plateau) on October 31, 1984 and made several improvements in facility management and operations. These improvements are listed below and discussed in greater detail in the "Interim Measures Work Plan" dated February 11, 1993.

1986 Relocated spent caustic tank onto a concrete pad with concrete retaining walls

1987 Upgraded the reformer and increased capacity to 3,600 bbl/day, modified the laboratory and treater unit, and increased tank storage capacity

Cleaned up north and south bone yards

Decommissioned and dismantled old tanks 6 and 7

Relocated API crude tanks 8 and 9 onto concrete pads with concrete retaining walls

BRC/task1.rep



	Established a systematic inspection/maintenance/repair program for tanks
1988	Added a 2,000 bbl/day catalytic polymerization unit
	Removed the facility's two underground storage tanks (USTs) and replaced them with aboveground storage tanks (ASTs)
	Completed cathodic protection system for tank farm and underground piping
	Rebuilt process area sewer system and added curbed, concrete paving to the unpaved process areas
	Installed hydrocarbon recovery wells
1989	Increased reformer throughput to 4,000 bbl/day
	Activated hydrocarbon recovery system
	Installed a concrete pad with curbing between tanks 3 and 4
	Constructed first double-lined evaporation pond as part of discharge plan improvements
1990	Constructed second double-lined evaporation pond as part of discharge plan improvements
	Constructed a drum storage shed and converted to bulk chemical usage to minimize use of drummed chemicals
1991	Revamped burner fuel rack with concrete paving and curbing
	Submitted permit application for underground disposal well
	Upgraded hydrocarbon recovery system
1992	Submitted "Air Quality Permit Application to New Mexico Department of the Environment (NMED)," proposing the installation of a hydrodesulfurization (HDS) unit and a sulfur recovery unit (SRU) to decrease air emissions
1993	Submitted "Interim Measures Work Plan" to USEPA, proposing additional hydrocarbon recovery wells, well survey and monitoring
	Replaced portions of the underground cooling water piping
Future	Implement "Interim Measures Work Plan" upon approval by USEPA
	Install and operate underground disposal well upon permit issuance
	Close clay-lined evaporation ponds once disposal well is on-line
	Double-line NOWP and SOWP by March 29, 1994

BRC/task1.rep

and the second second

3



11

1

l i

Improve pollution prevention measures with additional paving and drainage controls Decrease emissions by installing the HDS and SRU upon air permit approval

1.2 Hazardous Waste Generation

A summary of substances generated or handled at the facility that have been identified as hazardous wastes, including the reason for listing and the approximate amounts generated, is provided as Table 1. These are wastes that have been generated at the facility in the past, or that continue to be generated as a part of normal operating procedures at the petroleum refinery. BRC currently lists heat exchanger bundle (HEB) cleaning sludge (K050), API separator sludge (K051), leaded tank bottoms (K052), primary oil/water/solids/separation sludges (F037), ignitable wastes (D001), and benzene toxic waste (D018) with generation potential. The D018 waste (refinery wastewater stream) is further identified by a Part A and a Part B application. BRC has also disposed of some spent caustic as a waste, but currently handles the material as a product.

1.3 Regulatory Background

Since 1980, the facility has undertaken a series of interactions with the USEPA, the New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (NMOCD), and the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) (formerly the New Mexico Environmental Improvement Division (NMEID)) regarding permitting and characterization of the site. The following chronology is a summary of regulatory activities concerning the site:

- August 19, 1980 Plateau (Suburban Propane) notified USEPA that the facility was a treater, storer, and disposer (TSD facility) of hazardous waste as a protective filing.
- November 19, 1980 Plateau was issued a RCRA Part A Permit as a generator, treater, storer, and disposer of hazardous waste.
- April 16, 1982 Plateau amended the Part A permit to reflect their status as a generator of hazardous waste, not a treater, storer, or disposer, and asked that interim status be withdrawn.
- July 1982 NMOCD conducted site inspection.
- May 21, 1982 Plateau applied for delisting of hazardous wastes K049, K050, and K051. BRC did not wish to continue the Plateau initiated petition because of deficiencies in the petitioning process.

BRC/task1.rep





- October 21, 1982 through November 30, 1982 Cleaned and lined SOWP and NOWP. Landfilled some material from SOWP and NOWP onsite.
- May 11, 12, 27 and June 8, 1983 USEPA and NMEID sampling events.
- February 1984 Groundwater monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-6 were installed at the facility.
- March 9, 1984 USEPA conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) of the Plateau facility.
- March 19 through 24, 1984 USEPA conducted a sampling investigation.
- October 31, 1984 BRC purchased the facility.
- March 29, 1985 USEPA issued a RCRA 3013 Administrative Order (as a result of March 9, 1984 CEI) identifying alleged violations and/or technical deficiencies and directing BRC to complete an investigation of geologic and hydrogeologic site conditions.
- April 1, 1985 USEPA issued RCRA 3008(a) Compliance Order (Docket No. RCRA VI-501-H).
- April 26, 1985 BRC submitted RCRA 3013 Workplan proposal.
- June 26, 1985 NMOCD sampling conducted.
- July 5, 1985 USEPA comments on 3013 Workplan.
- August 5, 1985 BRC submitted final 3013 Workplan.
- September 25, 1985 Consent Agreement and Final Order (CAFO) negotiated and signed, incorporated Compliance Order.
- November 26, 1985 USEPA issued a RCRA 3008 Order to pay fine of \$5,700.00 for failure to make timely ownership transfer notices. Required that a closure plan be developed for NOWP, SOWP, Landfill, and Landfill Pond.
- December 2, 1985 USEPA issued approval of 3013 Workplan.
- January 1986 through July 1987 BRC contracted Engineering-Science, Inc. (Austin, Texas) to implement the approved 3013 Workplan. The investigation included an electrical resistivity survey, installation of monitoring wells MW-7 through MW-10, four quarters of groundwater sampling from all wells (MW-6 was dry), performance of slug tests and surface water sampling of the Hammond Ditch and the San Juan River.
- March 4, 1986 NMOCD requested offsite hydrocarbon study.
- June 2, 1986 BRC submitted to NMOCD report on offsite hydrocarbon study("Report on Subsurface Hydrocarbon Data").

BRC/task1.rep



- June 30, 1986 BRC submitted to NMOCD Remedial Action Plan, including the installation and operation of hydrocarbon recovery wells.
- August 20, 1986 BRC submitted a "Final Closure Plan for the API Wastewater Ponds, Landfill, and Landfill Pond at the Bloomfield Refinery" (E-S, 1986) to USEPA and NMEID.
- February 6, 1987 BRC submitted "A Final Report on Section 3013 Administrative Order Work Elements" (E-S, 1987) in accordance with RCRA 3013 Administrative Order.
- June 26, 1987 USEPA conducted a CME inspection.
- August 1987 BRC installed offsite groundwater monitoring wells MW-11 and MW-12.
- January 26, 1988 USEPA and NMEID conducted a CEI inspection and recommended corrective action for the facility.
- March 8, 1988 BRC submitted to USEPA, NMEID, and NMOCD "Site Investigation and Remedial Action Conceptual Design".
- May 13, 1988 NMOCD notified BRC to define extent of subsurface hydrocarbons and to initiate recovery of fluids.
- July 31, 1988 BRC conducted a soil vapor survey on BLM property per NMOCD request.
- August 1988 BRC installed additional recovery wells and piezometers for subsurface hydrocarbon recovery and groundwater monitoring well MW-13.
- January 1989 BRC began operation of the hydrocarbon recovery system (RW-1, RW-2 and RW-3).
- April 27, 1989 NMOCD conducted sampling.
- August 1989 BRC submitted "Final Report on Soil Vapor Survey, Well Installation and Hydrocarbon Recovery System" (GCL, 1989) to NMOCD.
- September 12-14, 1989 USEPA conducted CME and CEI inspections, issued report and Notice of Violation for technical deficiencies and alleged violations noted during inspection.
- November 1989 BRC submitted a report to NMOCD about an investigation of the property between the Hammond Ditch and Highway 44 (Avis Salmon property).
- December 1989 BRC excavated the "landfill" area.
- April 9, 1990 NMOCD conducted sampling.
- May 7, 1990 BRC remediated an area of localized contamination on the property between the Hammond Ditch and Highway 44 (Avis Salmon property).

BRC/task1.rep



- August 1990 BRC installed additional recovery wells to augment the subsurface hydrocarbon recovery efforts.
- September 1990 BRC submitted a Part A Operating Permit Application for the SOWP and NOWP, and installed high-rate aeration system in these ponds.
- April 29, 1991 BRC submitted a Delisting Petition (ERM, April 1991) to USEPA and NMEID for the "landfill" area.
- September 1991 BRC installed groundwater monitoring wells MW-20 and MW-21 as part of the facility's RCRA groundwater monitoring program.
- September 25, 1991 BRC submitted a Part B Operating Permit Application (ERM, Sept. 1991) for SOWP and NOWP to USEPA and NMEID.
- August 1992 BRC submitted a Discharge Plan Approval (Tierra, 1992) application for an underground disposal well to NMOCD.
- December 18, 1992 BRC submitted an Air Quality Permit Application (BRC, 1992).
- April through December 1992 USEPA issued a draft Administrative Order on Consent to BRC and entered into negotiations with BRC on the Order. The final order was signed by USEPA on 31 December 1992.
- February 11, 1993 BRC submitted the "Interim Measures Work Plan" (GTI, 1993) to USEPA for review as part of the Administrative Order requirements.

The BRC facility currently operates under the following permits:

- RCRA Part A Permit (Interim Status) Hazardous Waste Facility A Part B Operating Permit Application was submitted to USEPA and NMOCD in September 1991. BRC conducts groundwater sampling of groundwater monitoring wells MW-9, MW-20, MW-21, RW-15 and RW-18 as part of the interim status compliance requirements.
- Discharge Plan Approval (GW-1) This approval is for the facility's wastewater treatment system. BRC conducts semi-annual monitoring of wells MW-1 and MW-5 to comply with NMOCD requirements. A new discharge plan approval has been requested (August 1992) for the underground disposal well. Compliance and monitoring requirements will change.
- General Air Quality Permit BRC operates under a General Air Quality Permit under the jurisdiction of the NMED Air Quality Bureau and submits reports for compliance as required. BRC submitted an Air Quality Permit Application and Notice of Intent in December 1992 to modify its existing permit to reduce emissions by adding a hydrodesulfurization unit (HDS), a sulfur recovery unit (SRU), and a cover for the API separator.
- Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeasure (SPCC) Plan The facility has a SPCC plan describing preventative and emergency procedures to control releases of hydrocarbons to the environment. In addition, BRC recently (February 1993)





submitted an Emergency Response Plan to USEPA (Contingency Planning Section) to describe emergency procedures in the unlikely event of a release to the San Juan River.

Stormwater Permit - BRC received a Stormwater General Permit Notice on December 31, 1992 (No. NMR00A013) from USEPA (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program). A Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan has been prepared. Implementation is proceeding to meet an October 1993 deadline.

1.4 Previous Investigations

A series of investigations has been conducted at BRC to characterize subsurface impacts at the site. Following is a discussion of the studies conducted at the site.

1.4.1 Installation of Wells

Between 1984 and 1991, 14 groundwater monitoring wells, nine recovery wells, and three piezometers were installed as part of the existing NMOCD discharge plan requirements, the RCRA 3013 Administrative Order investigation, or for voluntary recovery of separate-phase hydrocarbons (SPH). Well construction details are summarized in Table 2. Well locations are shown in Figure 5.

1.4.2 RCRA 3008 Order and Consent Agreement Investigation

After issuance of the April 1985 RCRA 3008 Order and Consent Agreement for alleged violations at the facility under previous ownership, BRC submitted a Closure Plan to USEPA and the NMEID to comply with requirements specified in the Order. This included the closure of the API wastewater ponds (SOWP and NOWP), the "landfill", and the landfill runoff pond. In October 1985, soil samples were collected from each of the oily water ponds for closure characterization, and the soil analytical results were consistent with clean closure for the units (E-S, 1986). The landfill runoff pond, created as a result of blockage of an arroyo during construction of the Hammond Ditch, was also sampled in 1985, and again, the results were consistent with clean closure for the unit. A summary of soil sample analytical results for the 1985 closure sampling event is provided in Table 3.

1.4.3 RCRA 3013 Administrative Order Investigation

Subsequent to the issuance by USEPA of the 3013 Order requiring an extensive groundwater study at the site (Docket No. RCRA 3013-00-185), a final report on groundwater conditions (E-S, 1987) was submitted to the USEPA on February 6, 1987. Included in this study were an electrical resistivity survey, installation of groundwater monitoring wells MW-7 through MW-10, monthly fluid-level measurements, quarterly groundwater sampling of wells MW-1 through MW-5 and MW-7 through MW-10 for a one-year period, and a series of slug tests. The results of surface water sampling of Hammond Ditch and the San Juan River (summarized in Table 4) were submitted to USEPA on September 14, 1987, so that samples could be collected during low-flow conditions. Tables 5 and 6 provide summaries of groundwater analytical data for organic and inorganic analyses, respectively.

1.4.4 Off-Site Investigation

In 1988, BRC conducted a soil vapor survey of land owned by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) adjacent to the facility. A report of findings was submitted in August 1989 (GCL, 1989). During this time, three piezometers, two recovery wells and one monitoring well were installed, MW-10 was converted to a recovery well (RW-3), pneumatic skimmer pumps were installed in the recovery wells, and the system was started up on January 4, 1989.

A total of 25 soil gas survey locations (three on the BRC property and 22 on the BLM property) taken at five-foot depths were analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, total xylenes, tetrachloroethene, and trichloroethylene using a portable gas chromatograph. The highest concentrations of soil vapors detected were in the onsite survey locations, decreasing to the south across Sullivan Road. Vapor concentrations were detected southeast of the site near monitoring well MW-11 (survey location 14). A summary of soil vapor survey results is presented in Table 7. Soil gas survey locations are shown in Figure 6.

1.5 Documentation of Product Spills at Facility

The facility has been an active petroleum refinery since its construction in the late 1950s. The following is a chronology of the known major product spills at the facility since BRC's ownership. These incidents were reported to the appropriate authorities (NMOCD), and copies of the spill reports are included in Appendix A. A map showing the approximate locations of major spills is provided as Figure 7.

BRC/task1.rep

GROUNDWATER

November 7, 1984 880 barrels of naphtha spilled, 80 unrecovered. Storage tank. Contained in tank dike, cleaned up, and returned to system.
May 19, 1985 140 barrels of diesel fuel spilled, 80 unrecovered. Inside Tank 19 dike. Within one hour of discovery, removed product from tank, water pumped into tank, small pit dug to contain leak and vacuum truck used to pump out pit. Modified piping to pump contents of tank into another tank. Maintained water level in tank until tank empty and all water recovered from pit.
April 8-9, 1986 200 barrels diesel fuel spilled from leaking diesel rundown piping, 150 barrels unrecovered. Lower piperack to the east of crude unit. Diesel rundown routed to slop tank, flanges tightened, line inspected. Vacuum truck used to recover pooled diesel fuel, area sanded to prevent fire hazard.
February 24, 1987 290 barrels regular gasoline spilled during blending, 5 barrels unrecovered. Inside Tank dike. Water added to float gasoline, cleaned up by vacuum truck. Added warning sign to check blends and instrumentation.
August 27, 1989 100 barrels gasoline blend/intermediate water spilled, 1 barrel unrecovered. Inside Tank 22 dike. Vacuum truck used to recover product.
March 8, 1991 180 barrels kerosene (Jet A) spilled during transfer, 60 unrecovered. Inside Tank 26 dike (transferred from Tank 5). Vacuum truck used to recover product.

In addition, indirect documentation of product releases as a result of discovering leaks in product storage tanks during inspections include:

Tank 17:	February, 1991 - Floor repaired with 120 mils of fiberglass.
Tank 18:	May, 1988 - Five holes patched in epoxy coated floor.
Tank 19:	July, 1985 - Repaired 28 holes in floor. June, 1990 - Repaired 60 pits/holes in floor. June, 1991 - Replaced old floor with new floor.
Tank 20:	November, 1990 - Repaired 5 holes in floor with fiberglass.
Tank 23:	June, 1992 - Repaired 1 hole in floor.
Tank 24:	May, 1986 - Installed 2 coats of epoxy to floor to repair leaks.
,	10 GROUNDWATER

BRC/task1.rep

. . .

- Tank 25: March, 1986 Installed 2 coats of epoxy to floor to repair leaks.
- Tank 26: February, 1989 Fiberglass/epoxy coating of floor to repair leaks.
- Tank 29: January, 1990 Replaced floor because of numerous holes.
- Tank 30: December, 1989 and March, 1992 Repaired holes in floor.
- Tank 31: March, 1992 Replaced part of floor on east side because of corrosion holes.

2.1 Geology/Hydrogeology

The BRC facility is located within the San Juan Basin, a subprovince of the Colorado Plateau physiographic province. The site is underlain by Quaternary Jackson Lake terrace deposits, consisting of 10 to 15 feet of coarse-grained fluvioglacial outwash and loess. A permeable cobble layer directly overlies the bedrock at the site, the Tertiary Nacimiento Formation. The Nacimiento Formation is an interbedded, black carbonaceous mudstone/claystone with white, medium to coarse-grained sandstones approximately 570 feet thick in this area. The bluff that crops out along the San Juan River near the site is similarly composed of these lithologies. Underlying the Nacimiento are the Ojo Alamo, Kirtland Shale, and Fruitland Formations (USEPA, 1987). A site cross-section is presented as Figure 8.

Details on ownership and construction of water wells within a one-mile radius of the site are summarized in Table 8, and the location of each is shown in Figure 9. A total of 22 wells were identified in a well search conducted by Tierra Environmental Company, Inc. (Tierra, 1992). The locations of 18 of these wells are shown on Figure 9, since the well records for three wells (#4, 11 and 12) were not reported and the location of well #21 was reported to be located in Township 29 N, Range 11W, Section 25, which is off the map. The locations of two wells (#15 and 22) are only approximate.

As shown in Figure 9, eight wells are within a one-mile radius of the center of the BRC site: #1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 13, 15 and 22. Well #1, located south of the site, is owned by C.W. Wooten. This well is double-cased and is screened between 266 and 306 feet. Well #6 is located west of the site on the opposite side of the Hammond Ditch. This well is owned by D.C. Looney and is reported to be screened between 22 and 32 feet below ground surface. Well #5 is located southwest of the site, is owned by E.H. Brown (Aztec, NM), and is reportedly cased to 20 feet. Wells #3, 7, 13, 15, and 22 are located across (north of) the San Juan River from the site. Due to their location and/or the depths of the screened intervals, none of these wells is at risk for impact from off-site migration of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents, although no testing has been performed.

Groundwater at the site occurs at depths ranging from 6 to 40 feet below ground surface, increasing in depth from west to east across the site. Groundwater flow direction is generally to the north-



northwest, toward the Hammond Ditch and San Juan River. BRC dikes the Hammond Ditch during the non-irrigation season (October 15 through April 15) to maintain a mounding effect of the ditch, thereby inhibiting northward groundwater flow. Groundwater in the perched aquifer migrates through the permeable sands, silts and cobble zone along the relatively impermeable Nacimiento Formation, which is reported to dip toward the north. Groundwater seeps along the bluff occur at the interface between the cobble zone and the Nacimiento. Two water table contour maps using data collected during November 1991 and February 1992 are presented as Figures 10 and 11.

In 1986, slug tests performed to estimate characteristics of the cobble zone indicated average hydraulic conductivity and transmissivity values of 2.08×10^{-4} feet/second and 171 square feet/day, respectively. The average saturated thickness was estimated at 9.6 feet. Using an estimated average gradient of 0.0025, the calculated flux over a 2,500 square foot area was 8,500 gallons per day or 6 gallons per minute (E-S, 1987).

2.2 Meteorology

A compilation of climatological data for the Bloomfield area is provided in Table 9 (EPA, 1987). The mean temperature extremes for the Bloomfield area occur in January and July. Temperatures are above freezing for the majority of the year (February through November). Mean annual precipitation for the area is 8.37 inches, with the greatest precipitation during the month of August (1.27 inches). Evaporation in the area varies from 68 inches to 45 inches, depending on measurement method. A gross lake surface evaporation rate is estimated at 52 inches (90 percent of a 58-inch floating pan evaporation rate). A net loss of 44 inches results.

2.3 Hydrology

Surface waters in the vicinity of the facility include the San Juan River (to the north) and the Hammond Ditch (Figures 1 and 4). The San Juan River is used for potable water for the town of Bloomfield and surrounding areas, and is controlled by the upstream Navajo Dam (ERM, 1991). The Hammond Ditch, an unlined man-made channel used for irrigation of agriculture and watering of livestock, is not intended for human consumption.

The Hammond Ditch and the surface impoundments that are part of refinery operations distributed across the site contribute to groundwater recharge at the site. Toward the southern portion of the site, as the elevation of the Nacimiento Formation increases, the perched water table dissipates

BRC/task1.rep



(MW-6 has been dry since its installation in 1984). The Hammond Ditch (unlined in the vicinity of BRC) is actively flowing during the irrigation season (April 15 through October 15) for agricultural purposes and is diked by BRC during the non-irrigation season. When full, the Hammond Ditch creates a mounding effect, inhibiting groundwater flow. Seepage from the ditch has not been quantified at this time but is known to be substantial based on numerous seeps along the San Juan River bluff. Seepage from the raw water ponds is estimated at 16,500 gallons per day. Seepage from the clay-lined evaporation ponds is estimated at 14,400 gallons per day. No seepage is expected from the HDPE single-lined oily water ponds (SOWP and NOWP) or the HDPE double-lined evaporation ponds.

Stormwater is collected in the curbed, concrete paved process areas that have sewers connected to the wastewater treatment system. Other sewers outside of the paved areas collect stormwater runoff and channel it to the facility's wastewater treatment system. Some areas (not served by sewers) collect process and stormwater in sumps which are emptied by vacuum truck to the wastewater treatment system. Tank berms and dikes are used to control other stormwater runoff.

2.4 Land Use

Public property managed by the Bureau of Land Management borders the facility to the south. Undeveloped public and private lands in addition to several gravel pits border the property to the east and private undeveloped land borders the property to the west. The town of Bloomfield is located immediately north of the refinery, across the San Juan River, and has a population of approximately 5,000. The majority of the undeveloped land in vicinity of the refinery is used extensively for oil and gas production and, in some instances, grazing. U.S. Highway 44 is located approximately one-half mile west of the facility. The adjacent property owners are illustrated in Figure 2.

2.5 Potential Pathways and Receptors

Surface Water

As indicated above, the San Juan River is a source of potable water for the surrounding communities. In addition, the San Juan River is used for recreational purposes (i.e., fishing). The Hammond Ditch provides a barrier to groundwater migration between the facility and the San Juan River. However, seeps from the bluff are a potential source of contamination to the San Juan River and, if impacted, will need to be controlled as part of the facility's interim and final corrective

BRC/task1.rep



measures. Overland migration of dissolved petroleum constituents to water bodies is limited by the site-wide stormwater runoff control system.

The Hammond Ditch, because it is used for irrigation of agriculture and livestock, may be a potential pathway for transmission of hazardous constituents to sources of food for human consumption. The United States Department of the Interior - Bureau of Reclamation (USDOI - BOR) has proposed a plan to line the Hammond Ditch with impermeable materials to reduce seepage and thereby reduce the salinity of the water downstream (USDOI-BOR, January 1993). This project will eliminate the potential for impacts to the Hammond Ditch from the BRC facility.

<u>Groundwater</u>

No evidence has been found to date indicating that water wells used for human consumption are completed in the shallow perched water-bearing zone that contains dissolved concentrations of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents at the refinery. The shallow wells depicted in Figure 9 and summarized in Table 8 are used for non-human consumption (irrigation, etc.) purposes.

The deeper aquifer, the Ojo Alamo, is used for potable water, therefore the potential exists for migration of petroleum hydrocarbon constituents to this aquifer. However, the presence of the relatively impermeable Nacimiento Formation (approximately 570 feet thick) between the Ojo Alamo and the shallow, perched zone acting as a confining layer eliminates the possibility of downward migration of dissolved petroleum constituents.

Petroleum hydrocarbons are lighter than water tending to remain in the upper portion of the perched water zone. The lighter-than-water, non-aqueous phase liquids (LNAPLs) provide the primary source for dissolved and adsorbed-phase hydrocarbon contamination at the site. Therefore, these contaminant zones are also expected to be limited to the unsaturated zone and zone of seasonal water table fluctuation for the adsorbed-phase contamination, and the upper, perched water zone for dissolved-phase contamination.

<u>Soils</u>

The process areas of the facility are secure from access by the public with fencing and 24-hour surveillance. High-traffic process areas have been paved. Only facility personnel and contractors will potentially contact contaminated soils during construction or remediation projects at the facility. These projects would be performed in accordance with OSHA requirements and the site-specific Health and Safety Plan.



Contaminated soils presenting potential sources for groundwater contamination will be addressed as part of the corrective measures for the facility, but with consideration to the ongoing industrial activity at the site.

Subsurface Vapors

Volatile petroleum hydrocarbons are present in soils and groundwater at the BRC facility. Vapor hazards have not been identified at the site. Onsite buildings do not have basements where vapors may accumulate. As necessary, subsurface vapors will be addressed as part of the corrective measures for soil contamination at the facility.

<u>Air</u>

The facility operates under a General Air Quality Permit issued by the NMED. Refinery emissions are reported as required in compliance with this permit. BRC has recently submitted a permit modification Notice of Intent to install units to reduce emissions. The soil and groundwater contamination and investigation activities will not contribute significantly to the overall facility emissions. Air monitoring will be performed during the facility investigation in accordance with the site specific Health and Safety Plan. Possible air emissions as part of corrective measures (e.g., emissions from soil vapor extraction activities) will be permitted and monitored as required.



The current facility layout identifying all major aboveground structures is depicted in Figure 4. The refinery offices are located on the western end of Sullivan Road along with warehouse space, maintenance and shop areas, a drum storage area, raw water ponds (for temporary storage of water from the San Juan River), and one cooling tower (#1). Process units are located just east of the offices and include: the crude unit, the fluidized catalytic cracking unit, the gas con unit, the treater unit, one cooling tower, reformer/hydrotreater, catalytic polymerization unit, and API separator and oily water treatment ponds.

Aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) occupy a large portion of the facility from north of the process units east along Sullivan Road. Two clay-lined evaporation ponds are located to the east of the tank farms for treated wastewater discharge, and the fire training and "landfill" areas are east of the evaporation ponds near Hammond Ditch. South of Sullivan Road are the terminals where product trucks are loaded and crude trucks are off-loaded. The spray irrigation area and double-lined evaporation ponds are located east of the terminals.

From previous investigations, a separate-phase hydrocarbon (SPH) plume has been partially delineated at the BRC site, extending from the western area of the site (near the offices) to the eastern portion of the AST farm (Figure 12). The sources of this plume are believed to be product releases which occurred from ASTs and associated piping over the many years of the facility's operation as a petroleum refinery. In order to prevent any new releases, BRC has made numerous improvements to the facility's storage and processing units and has established a systematic tank inspection and maintenance program. A cathodic protection system was installed to minimize the potential for future corrosion.

Because of the nature of the SPH plume, and associated adsorbed- and dissolved-phase contamination, the BRC site has been geographically divided into four (4) areas for the purposes of the following discussion regarding the nature and extent of contamination. Potential source(s) within these areas are discussed in this section. It should be noted, however, that BRC intends to consider the entire site as one Solid Waste Management Unit (SWMU) for investigation purposes and as one Corrective Action Management Unit (CAMU) for remediation purposes.





3.1 Geographic Areas

The facility has been divided into four geographic areas which are shown on Figure 7 and are discussed below. In June 1987, an USEPA-led investigation identified 13 areas as Solid Waste Management Units (SWMUs), five of which were further classified as RCRA-regulated SWMUs. These include the two oily water ponds (NOWP and SOWP), the clay-lined evaporation ponds (north and south), the landfill, and the landfill pond. Since that time, it has been determined that the clay-lined evaporation ponds are not RCRA-regulated SWMUs.

3.1.1 Area 1

Area 1 is located on the northeast corner of the site and includes the following units:

- The API Oil/Water Separator and the NOWP and SOWP;
- The Spent Caustic Tank;
- The Former Drum Storage Area(s) (warehouse yard);
- The Major Processing Units; and
- Tank Area for Tanks 3, 4, and 5 and Former Location of Tanks 6 and 7.

As mentioned previously, the NOWP and SOWP are considered RCRA-regulated SWMUs since DO18 (benzene-contaminated) wastes are treated in these units. The API separator is considered a process unit and the spent caustic tank is currently for product storage. The former drum storage area in the warehouse yard was not used for waste storage. The crude unit is the site of a documented spill that occurred in 1986 (see list of spills in Section 1.5). Other spills, although undocumented, undoubtedly occurred during the long history of the refinery and the process areas. The tank areas for tanks 3, 4, and 5 and the former location of tanks 6 and 7 are also considered probable source areas.

Several monitoring wells (MW-4, MW-7, MW-9 and MW-20), recovery wells (RW-1, RW-2, RW-3, RW-18, and RW-19), proposed recovery wells (RW-22 and RW-23) and piezometers (P-1, P-2 and P-3) are located in Area 1. Discernable thicknesses of SPH historically have been observed in many of these wells. Recovery wells RW-2, RW-18 and RW-19 are currently active in the facility's hydrocarbon recovery system. Because SPH has been measured in MW-4, RW-2, RW-19, and RW-18, the entire eastern portion of Area 1 is known to be impacted. The source(s) of this impact are assumed to be product releases (documented and undocumented) from storage and processing areas over the many years of the refinery operations. The sources of the subsurface contamination





in this area are not considered to be limited to the SWMUs discussed in this section. It is likely that a portion of the subsurface contamination migrated from other areas.

3.1.1.1 Wastewater Treatment System: API Separator, SOWP, and NOWP

BRC has an intake in the San Juan River to provide raw water for facility processes. A line diagram illustrating the routing of water through the facility is shown as Figure 13. Water (approximately 369,000 gallons per day) is pumped from the river to the east and west raw water ponds. Water from the raw water ponds is filtered and then either channeled through softeners to the boilers for steam generation or to the two cooling towers. The steam is used in the process units. The process wastewater is discharged to the API separator. Cooling tower blowdown is directly discharged to the API separator.

The wastewater treatment system, which includes the API separator, the south oily water pond (SOWP), and the north oily water pond (NOWP), treats approximately 72 gallons per minute (gpm) of water. The separator, considered a process unit, is a double-chambered steel-reinforced concrete tank that acts as a physical separator of water and oil. Oil is skimmed in the separator and returned to the refinery process, water underflows a weir to the SOWP, and sludges accumulate in the bottom.

The facility drainage system, consisting of a network of tank farm sumps which are emptied by vacuum truck and sewer lines within the process areas, leads directly to the API separator unit. Accumulated API sludge is normally cleaned out annually (never less frequently than every two years) and is shipped offsite to a permitted hazardous waste disposal facility. The API separator discharges water to HDPE-lined SOWP and NOWP, which are equipped with aerators and serve to biologically treat the wastewater. The facility plans to double-line these hazardous waste impoundments in early 1994.

The facility began using aerators in the SOWP and NOWP in 1990 which averaged 91 percent removal of benzene concentrations. Additional aerators were added in May 1991 and again in July 1992. Samples collected between August 1992 and February 1993 on a monthly basis indicate non-detectable benzene concentrations in the effluent from the NOWP in five of the seven sampling events. Benzene was detected during the December 1992 and February 1993 samplings at 0.022 mg/l and 0.040 mg/l, respectively.



After aeration and biological treatment, water is discharged to the evaporation ponds (either the clay-lined north and south evaporation ponds or the HDPE double-lined north and south evaporation ponds). The total daily discharge averages 100,800 gallons. BRC is in the process of permitting an underground disposal well as an alternative to total evaporation of the refinery discharge. When the disposal well is permitted and installed (expected by late 1993), the clay-lined evaporation ponds will be taken out of service and the double-lined evaporation ponds will serve as backup and retention ponds prior to underground injection of treated wastewater.

The oily water ponds were cleaned out in 1982 and lined with 100-mil HDPE liners. The two ponds are scheduled to be double-lined in late 1994. A french drain system, consisting of 4-inch diameter PVC perforated pipe draining to a nearby observation well, was installed beneath the ponds to detect leakage. Leakage was detected in the system soon after its installation, so the ponds were emptied and the liners repaired. Daily monitoring of the ponds is conducted to insure no overbanking of the ponds occurs.

Some of the sludges removed from the SOWP and NOWP in 1982 were disposed onsite into the "landfill", which has been identified by the USEPA as a RCRA-regulated SWMU because of the alleged presence of these sludges (see Area 4).

Soil beneath the ponds were sampled in 1985 (E-S, 1986) as part of a closure plan for the units (Table 3). A total of thirteen soil samples were collected from beneath the two ponds and analyzed for benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes (BTEX), phenolics, total chromium and total lead. Only one soil sample contained a detectable concentration of BTEX constituents, which consisted of 7.4 micrograms per kilogram (ug/kg) of total xylenes in sample 51469-17. Phenolics were non-detectable in all samples. Chromium and lead levels were well within the range of background concentrations of these metals in soils.

One soil sample (51469-23) was also analyzed for "Skinner List" parameters (a subset of Appendix VII parameters that are expected to be present at a petroleum refinery.) None of these parameters, which include volatile organic compounds and base/neutral acid extractable compounds, were detected.

Monitoring wells MW-9 and MW-20 and recovery well RW-18 are located downgradient of the wastewater treatment units. During the 1987 EPA inspection, it was noted that the good condition of the liners, the fact that overtopping of the ponds was not occurring, and the presence of the leak

BRC/task1.rep



detection system all serve to minimize the possibility of migration of hazardous substances from the ponds to the soil, surface water, or groundwater. No further investigation is proposed to specifically address the API separator, the NOWP or the SOWP, although non-SPH bearing wells will be included in the groundwater sampling to be performed as part of the facility investigation.

3.1.1.2 Spent Caustic Tank

The contents of the spent caustic tank that had been located near the API separator were sampled during a 1984 USEPA inspection and were found to have a pH of 12.8, thereby rendering the material hazardous based on its characteristic of corrosivity (EPA, 1987). The material also contained levels of reactive sulfides. Since the storage of this waste was not included in the facility's RCRA permit application, this finding was cited in the 3008 Compliance Order issued by USEPA. Ensuing discussions resolved that BRC would not store corrosive or reactive wastes for more than 90 days at the facility.

In 1986, the caustic tank was cleaned out and the material was shipped to a hazardous waste disposal facility. In early 1987, a new spent caustic tank was installed to the west of the SOWP and NOWP. The tank (12 feet in diameter, 20 feet in height) rests on a concrete pad and is surrounded by a concrete containment wall. A transfer pump to remove spent caustic from the tank is located within the containment area. Currently, spent caustic is a product shipped to a pulp paper plant.

During the 1987 USEPA inspection, it was noted that the tank appeared to be in good structural condition with no signs of leakage, and that it was unlikely that releases from the tank would occur. No further investigation is proposed to specifically address the spent caustic tank.

3.1.1.3 Drum Storage Areas

North Bone Yard

Several areas where drums were stored at the facility were noted during the 1984 inspection by the USEPA, including the north bone yard located north of the clay-lined evaporation ponds (Area 4). In July 1987, BRC removed the drums from this area to the area west of the refinery offices. Currently only empty drums are stored in the north bone yard. Monitoring well MW-1 is located in the north bone yard and is sampled on a semi-annual basis as part of the facility's discharge plan compliance requirements. Dissolved hydrocarbon concentrations in MW-1 historically have been low or non-detectable.



Warehouse Yard

During the 1987 inspection, drum storage for solvents and oils used in the refinery processes had been consolidated to the fenced area west of the refinery office (warehouse yard). In 1988, the facility again upgraded its drum storage area by constructing a metal frame storage shed with concrete flooring and curbing and a collection trench. This project was part of the facility's program to convert to bulk storage and minimize drummed chemicals. Drums containing primarily lube oils are currently stored on a rack in the shed. For the most part, chemicals used in the refinery processes are stored in the process areas in portafeed tanks or stainless-steel totes. Recovery well RW-1 and piezometer P-1 are located in the warehouse yard. Well RW-1 is connected to the hydrocarbon recovery system but is not currently active since SPH has not been detected in this well for several consecutive monitoring events and the recovery well underlies the office and warehouse leachfield. Groundwater sampling as part of the facility investigation will include RW-1, provided SPHs are not present in the well.

90-day Hazardous Waste Storage Area

Drums of hazardous wastes are stored in an indoor, 90-day storage room in the east end of an auxiliary warehouse building (Area 3). The room is fully enclosed and has a concrete floor in good structural condition. The only drums stored in this area are those containing wastes awaiting off-site shipment to a hazardous waste disposal area. During the 1987 inspection, it was noted that releases of waste from this drum storage area were unlikely to occur. No further investigation is proposed to specifically address the 90-day waste storage area.

3.1.1.4 Crude Unit and Other Process Units

As discussed in Section 1.5 above, a spill near the crude unit was reported in April 1986. A total of 200 barrels of diesel fuel were spilled, 150 of which were not recovered. The area has since been paved with concrete. This area is not easily accessible for investigation. The facility investigation activities will characterize this portion of the site and provide data for corrective measures evaluation. Other process areas are likely to have had undocumented releases of products over the long history of the facility.



3.1.1.5 Tanks 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7

Tanks 6 and 7 were removed from service in mid-1987 because of corrosion and generally poor structural condition. Tanks 3, 4, and 5 have capacities of 420,000 gallons each and currently contain JP4 Jet Fuel (tanks 3 and 4) and premium unleaded gasoline (tank 5). The two additional recovery wells to be installed in this area as proposed in the "Interim Measures Work Plan" will further define groundwater and soil conditions in this location and will serve to enhance the existing subsurface hydrocarbon recovery system.

3.1.2 Area 2

A second geographic area of the facility consists of the main AST farm. The documented product releases in Area 2 are:

- Inside the Tank 19 Berm;
- Inside the Berms for Tanks 21 and 22; and
- Inside the Tank 26 Berm;

Product releases (documented and undocumented) from the ASTs and associated piping are believed to be the primary source of subsurface impacts at the BRC facility. Spills occurred in several of the tank berms in the past as documented in the spill reports included in Appendix A and discussed in Section 1.5 above. Additional and more substantial product releases have been documented as likely because of tank floor leaks detected during routine inspections.

There are four recovery wells (RW-14 through RW-17) and one monitoring well (MW-21) in Area 2. Discernable thicknesses of SPH historically have been observed in the all of the recovery wells which are currently active in the facility's hydrocarbon recovery system. Monitoring well MW-21 is sampled as part of the RCRA groundwater monitoring compliance (Table 5). Dissolved concentrations during the past year have shown an increasing trend, with the highest benzene concentration of 3.010 mg/l during the October 1992 event. Since SPH is present in the four recovery wells located across the entire bulk storage area, Area 2 is known to be impacted. The source(s) of this impact are product releases from storage tanks and associated piping. Further investigation in this portion of the facility is proposed as part of the SPH and dissolved plumes in this area.

BRC/task1.rep

23

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

3.1.3 Area 3

Area 3 is the portion of the site to the south of Sullivan Road and includes the following units:

- Transportation Terminal Sump;
- Heat Exchanger Bundle (HEB) Cleaning Area and RCRA 90-day Area;
- Crude Loading Area;
- Product Loading Rack; and
- Underground Piping.

The transportation terminal sump and HEB cleaning areas were identified in the 1987 EPA CME as potential SWMUs but were not considered RCRA-regulated units. The crude loading area was the site of a spill, and the product loading rack and underground piping are considered additional potential sources because of the nature of the activities conducted at these sites. Further investigation in the vicinity of the transportation terminal sump and crude loading rack is proposed as part of the facility investigation.

There are two monitoring wells (MW-13 and MW-6) in Area 3. Monitoring well MW-6 has been dry since its installation in 1984. Monitoring well MW-13 was sampled in 1988 for BTEX, 1,2-dichloroethane, trans 1,2-dichloroethane, nitrate as N, phenol, sulfate and total dissolved solids (TDS). Low levels of BTEX, 1,2-dichloroethane and phenols were detected. This well will be included in the groundwater sampling to be performed during the facility investigation.

3.1.3.1 Transportation Terminal Sump

An earthen sump was located to the south of the liquid propane gas (LPG) bullets in the southern portion of the refinery (south of Sullivan Road) and was used as a truck cleaning area at one time. The area was backfilled with soil in 1986 and is no longer used. It was noted during the 1987 inspection that although the terminal area was located outside the floodplain, the potential for leaching and migration of hazardous constituents was possible since no liners or containment features were documented for the area. Further investigation of this area is proposed as part of the facility investigation.



3.1.3.2 Heat Exchanger Bundle (HEB) Cleaning Area

The HEB cleaning area is located to the south of Sullivan Road in a room on the east end of the auxiliary warehouse. The room is fully enclosed with sheet metal walls and a concrete floor. A concrete sump in the floor of the cleaning area collects sludges generated during cleaning of the bundles. The sludges are then transported to a hazardous waste facility offsite. Monitoring well MW-13 is located downgradient (to the west) of this area. It was deemed unlikely during the USEPA-led 1987 inspection that the HEB cleaning area would be a source area for transmittal of hazardous constituents to soil, surface water, or groundwater because of the good structural condition of the unit. The downgradient monitoring well MW-13 will be included in the groundwater sampling to be performed as part of the facility investigation.

3.1.4 Area 4

The fourth geographic area includes the following units:

- Evaporation Ponds (north and south);
- Landfill;
- Landfill Pond;
- Fire Training Area; and
- Spray Irrigation Area.

The clay-lined evaporation ponds were considered by USEPA to be RCRA-regulated units during the 1987 USEPA inspection, but it has since been determined that they are non-regulated units. The landfill and landfill runoff pond were identified in the 1987 EPA CME report as RCRA-regulated SWMUs, although BRC has not agreed to this opinion. The fire training and spray irrigation areas were identified as non RCRA-regulated SWMUs by USEPA.

There are four monitoring wells (MW-1, MW-3, MW-5 and MW-8) in Area 4. None of these wells has ever contained discernable thicknesses of SPH. Wells MW-1 and MW-5 are sampled semi-annually as part of the facility's discharge plan compliance requirements for the following parameters:

- water level,
- ∎ pH,
- total dissolved solids (TDS),
- BTEX
- chlorinated purgeable volatile hydrocarbons,

BRC/task1.rep



- phenol,
- cyanide,
- iron, manganese, sulfate, nitrate/nitrite as N, ammonia, total Kjeldahl N,
- arsenic, barium, boron, cadmium, chromium, and lead.

Monitoring wells MW-3 and MW-8 were sampled quarterly in 1986 during the 3013 investigation. Samples from MW-3 were analyzed for BTEX, phenols, total organic carbon (TOC), cyanide, TDS, chloride and sulfate and metals. Samples from MW-8 were analyzed for these same parameters and volatile organic compounds and semivolatile organic compounds. Tables 5 and 6 summarize this data. Dissolved concentrations of volatile organic compounds, semivolatile organic compounds and phenols have been low or non-detectable. The units comprising Area 4 are not considered to be major contributors to subsurface contamination at the BRC facility. The groundwater monitoring wells in this area will be included in the groundwater sampling to be performed during the facility investigation.

3.1.4.1 Evaporation Ponds

As shown in the line diagram (Figure 13), treated wastewater from the NOWP is transferred first to the south evaporation pond, then into the north evaporation pond, both of which are located to the east of the AST area. The earthen dikes and bottoms of the ponds are lined with 4 to 6 inches of bentonite. The units are inspected daily to assure no overtopping of the ponds occurs. Water is removed from the ponds through evaporation or is transferred to the spray irrigation area to the southeast of the refinery. The two ponds are scheduled to be decommissioned in 1994 upon permitting and operation of the proposed underground disposal well.

Studies using neutron logging, thermonics, and radioactive tracers to determine seepage patterns indicated that water seeps from the ponds at a rate of approximately 10 to 20 gpm.

It was noted during the 1987 inspection that the ponds lie outside of the floodplain, they were observed to be in good condition, and daily inspections of freeboard are conducted by the facility. The USEPA inspection concluded that it was unlikely that hazardous constituents would be transferred to surface waters by overbanking of the ponds. However, because of the seepage of water from the ponds, the units were identified as potential sources of soil or groundwater contamination.



Monitoring well MW-1 is located north (downgradient) of these ponds and is sampled on a semiannual basis according to the facility's discharge plan approval requirements noted above. Concentrations of hydrocarbon contamination historically have been low to non-detectable. Soil and groundwater quality on the west side (also downgradient) of the ponds will be investigated during the facility investigation.

3.1.4.2 Landfill and Landfill Pond

The "landfill" is the low-lying area to the east of the process area into which sludges and contaminated soils from the SOWP and NOWP were placed in 1982.

Soils in the landfill and landfill pond areas were sampled in 1985 (E-S, 1986) as part of a closure plan for the units (Table 3). Eight samples in the landfill area and seven samples in the landfill pond area were collected and analyzed for BTEX, phenolics, total chromium and total lead. Only one soil sample contained a detectable concentration of BTEX constituents, which consisted on 1.3 ug/kg of benzene in sample 51469-13 taken from the landfill pond. Phenolics were non-detectable in all samples. Chromium and lead levels were well within the range of background concentrations of these metals in soils.

One soil sample (51469-23) from the landfill pond was also analyzed for "Skinner List" parameters (a subset of Appendix VII parameters that are expected to be present at a petroleum refinery.) None of these parameters, which include volatile organic compounds and base/neutral acid extractable compounds, were detected.

During the 1987 inspection, it was noted that runoff from the landfill was unlikely to reach surface waters since it is a low-lying area relative to the rest of the surrounding property. However, based on soil and water sampling conducted by USEPA in 1984, this area was noted as a potential source for soil and groundwater. Since 1987, the landfill has undergone the rigors of a delisting petition filed in 1991 (ERM, 1991). Composite soil samples were collected and analyzed for the following parameters:

- ignitability
- corrosivity
- reactivity (cyanide/sulfide)
- total metals
- metals in Toxicity Characteristics Leachate Procedure (TCLP) leachate (TCLP metals)
- EP Toxicity metals

BRC/task1.rep

27

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

- total pesticides
- total herbicides
- TCLP organics
- total organic carbon (TOC)
- oil and grease
- cyanide
- Appendix VIII constituents

USEPA has recently requested additional sampling in support of the petition which will be conducted by BRC. This SWMU has been subjected to extensive investigation and is being further characterized as part of the delisting process. In addition, as stated above, monitoring well MW-8 will be included in the groundwater sampling to be performed as part of the facility investigation.

3.1.4.2 Fire Training Area

The fire-training area is located to the east of the north evaporation pond in the northeast corner of the site. It is used to practice extinguishing fires similar to those that might occur at the facility. The area includes a fuel tank on the south end of the training area, and diesel fuel, gasoline, and other fuels are used to set the fires for training. The area is covered with gravel, and tanks and vessels in which the fires are set are distributed across the area. During the 1987 CME inspection, black oily stains were noted on the ground around several of the vessels. The area is outside the floodplain, but because of limited containment features, runoff from this area may be transported to surface waters, including Hammond Ditch. It was further noted during the 1987 CME that it is possible that organic compounds used during training exercises may leach to soil and groundwater. Because of the lack of soil data in this vicinity, further investigation of the fire training area is proposed as part of the facility investigation.

3.1.4.3 Spray Irrigation Area

The spray irrigation area is located to the southeast of the refinery and consists of a 10-acre parcel of land onto which water from the north evaporation pond is sprayed through stationary sprinkler heads (mainly from March through October). The area is diked to prevent runoff. It was noted during the 1987 inspection that contamination of surface waters by flooding or runoff from the spray irrigation field was not likely.

Monitoring well MW-5, which is sampled on a semi-annual basis as part of the facility's discharge plan, is located downgradient from this area (Tables 5 and 6). BTEX concentrations in this well have been non-detectable and phenols detected at very low (<0.15 mg/l) concentrations. This well will be sampled during the groundwater sampling to be performed as part of the facility investigation.





4.0 **GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS**

A site map illustrating the locations of monitoring and recovery wells is shown as Figure 5. In accordance with the NMED's request for monitoring of groundwater quality, under interim status BRC has conducted groundwater monitoring at the facility since November 1991. The wells chosen as compliance monitoring wells are: MW-9, MW-20, MW-21, RW-15, and RW-18. The wells have been sampled for the following analytes:

- BTEX (USEPA method 8020)
- Chlorinated herbicides (USEPA method 8150)
- Organochlorine pesticides and PCBs (USEPA method 8080)
- Total organic halides (TOX, USEPA method 9020)
- Priority pollutant metals (USEPA method 200.7/200 series)
- Total organic carbon (TOC)
- Phenols
- Fecal coliform
- Radiation: Gross alpha/beta, Radium 226/228
- Fluoride, nitrate as N, chloride, sulfate, sodium

As part of the NMOCD's requirements for the facility's discharge plan, wells MW-1 and MW-5 are

sampled on a semi-annual basis for the following constituents:

- Total dissolved solids (TDS)
- BTEX
- Chlorinated purgeable volatile hydrocarbons
- Phenol
- Cyanide
- Iron, manganese, sulfate, nitrate as N, total Kjeldahl N
- Metals: Arsenic, barium, boron, cadmium, chromium, lead

In addition to the above groundwater sampling plans, a sampling plan for the proposed injection well

will provide for analysis of the following parameters:

- Aromatic and halogenated volatile hydrocarbons (USEPA methods 8010/8020 or 8240)
- General water chemistry: Calcium, potassium, magnesium, sodium, bicarbonate, carbonate, chloride, sulfate, TDS, pH, and conductivity
- Heavy metals (USEPA method 6010)
- Arsenic and mercury (USEPA methods 7060 and 7470, respectively)

A summary of all available organic and inorganic groundwater data collected in the course of the numerous investigations conducted at the facility is provided in Tables 5 and 6, respectively, and a


map depicting the most recent (October 1992) distribution of dissolved hydrocarbons (BTEX) is shown as Figure 14. The tables show only compounds that were detected.

During the four quarters of monitoring of wells MW-1 through MW-10 (except MW-6 which was dry), samples from MW-4, MW-7, MW-8, MW-9, and MW-10 were also analyzed for full VOCs and BNAs. With the exception of a single occurrence of 1,2-dichloroethane (at 2 ug/l in MW-1 in December), no VOCs other than BTEX were detected. Based on these results, BTEX (by USEPA Method 602) would be an appropriate analysis for future groundwater sampling events.

Four quarters of data (November 1991 through October 1992) show that no significant changes in groundwater quality have occurred over the past year. It should be noted that wells RW-15 and RW-18 typically contain SPH which is decanted prior to analysis of the samples. Dissolved concentrations of BTEX in these wells are consistently elevated, which is expected. The dissolved concentrations in wells MW-9 and MW-20 have remained relatively constant over the four quarters monitored, while increasing during the last two quarters in well MW-21.

Similarly, concentrations of metals dissolved in groundwater have remained unchanged through four quarters of monitoring and are considered to be within the range of background concentrations. No concentrations of herbicides or pesticides have been detected, although they are routinely analyzed for in groundwater samples.

Groundwater contamination associated with the SPH plume is limited by the Hammond Ditch which surrounds the facility on all but the southern side. Beyond the ditch to the north, groundwater occurs as seeps in the bluff above the San Juan River. Dissolved contamination extends to the northern (downgradient) end of the facility in the process area of the site, as monitored by wells MW-9 and MW-20. Additional delineation is proposed in the northwestern portion of the site in the area between the fire house and the transformer station. Dissolved contamination in the western portion of the facility may be monitored using RW-1, which previously contained SPH that has since dissipated over time.

The eastern side of the site (upgradient) is monitored frequently and results indicate that the dissolved plume has been delineated in this area. Additional groundwater delineation is proposed in the southeastern, southern, and northeastern portions of the site.

Vertical distribution of soil and groundwater impacts is effectively limited by the physical characteristics of the underlying Nacimiento Formation. The Nacimiento Formation has an extremely low permeability and has exhibited an upward vector of groundwater movement.



5.0 SURFACE WATER CONDITIONS

As described in Section 1.4.3, surface water investigations were conducted in 1986-1987 and included sampling of the San Juan River and Hammond Ditch. Results of the sampling are summarized in Table 4. Four samples were collected from each of the water bodies during low-flow (worst case) conditions. As shown, concentrations of volatile and semivolatile organic compounds were not detected in any of the samples from the San Juan River. Low levels of benzene (6 ug/l) and toluene (3 ug/l) were detected in one of the four surface water samples from the Hammond Ditch (near Sullivan Road). Six targeted semivolatile compounds were also detected at low concentrations in the same sample. Fluoranthene was the only semivolatile compound detected in one of the other three surface water samples collected from the Hammond Ditch (1 ug/l). Phenols were detected in seven of the eight surface water samples at concentrations ranging from 2 ug/l to 18 ug/l.

Additional sampling of surface water and sediment in the vicinity of the site is proposed for both the Hammond Ditch and the San Juan River to obtain more current data.

BRC/task1.rep



子子 誠臣

Any corrective measure or remedial action for the BRC site needs to account for the contaminant type, volume, media which is contaminated, risk that the contaminant presents, cost for clean-up, and practicality of construction. The initial response in the proposed corrective measure is to mitigate potential seepage into the Hammond Ditch and/or the San Juan River. Other remedial objectives will be to eliminate/mitigate separate-phase hydrocarbons (SPH), remediate soil from which the SPH are still produced, and finally, reduce the concentration of dissolved hydrocarbons in groundwater beneath the site.

Because four remedial objectives are sought, no single technology is applicable across the site. In order to assess technologies, a screening matrix for each remedial objective has been prepared (Appendix B). This section lists the alternatives considered and provides recommendations for acceptable technologies, also identifying pilot studies which may be necessary to further assess the technologies. Risk assessment may be applicable if there are no offsite receptors. Remedial objectives will consider risk or the potential for exposure to chemicals of concern prior to selection of the final remedy.

6.1 Screening Matrix For Seepage (see also Appendix B)

The following corrective measures address mitigation of groundwater seepage into the Hammond Ditch and/or San Juan River:

- Dewater entire area by pumping water
- Construct a grout curtain
- Implement an air curtain
- Build an interceptor trench
- Reverse gradient with pumping
- Implement a water curtain (clean water)

As shown in Appendix B, three alternatives were retained for further consideration: a grout curtain, an interceptor trench and dewatering near the seeps. Dewatering near seeps has been proposed in

BRC/task1.rep



the Interim Measures Work Plan (GTI, 1993), although a grout curtain may be needed if the facility's injection well permitting is significantly delayed.

These measures may be temporary if BRC can decrease the use of evaporation ponds which contribute to the recharge of perched groundwater beneath the site. As described previously, a discharge plan approval application for an underground injection well has been submitted, and construction is planned for late 1993.

6.2 Screening Matrix for SPH (Appendix B)

The following corrective measures address mitigation of SPH (free floating hydrocarbons) from the water table:

- Install skimming pumps
- Construct dual pump system (groundwater and SPH)
- Remove SPH by vapor extraction
- Utilize high vacuum (Hi Vac) fluid removal
- Perform sparging/vapor extraction
- Perform total fluid pumping

As shown in Appendix B, three alternatives were retained for further consideration from the preliminary screening: skimming pumps, vapor extraction and Hi VAC total fluid extraction. Skimming pumps are already in use in seven recovery wells onsite. Vapor extraction will be evaluated as part of the facility investigation (pilot test).

6.3 Screening Matrix for Soil (Appendix B)

The following measures were considered as soil remediation alternatives:

- Risk assessment (determine exposure levels)
- In situ vapor extraction
- In situ bioventing

BRC/task1.rep

33

TECHNOLOGY

- Excavation and disposal/treatment of contaminated soil
- In situ soil washing
- Perform chemical fixation
- Stabilization of mobile contaminants
- Vitrification
- Steam-stripping of contaminants

As shown in Appendix B, two alternatives were retained for further consideration from the preliminary screening: risk assessment and *in situ* vapor extraction. Vapor extraction will be evaluated further during the facility investigation (pilot test). A risk assessment may be useful in determining site-specific remedial objectives.

6.4 Screening Matrix for Dissolved Groundwater (Appendix B)

The following measures were screened for groundwater remediation:

- Risk Assessment (eliminate exposures)
- Altered water management practices for facility
- -- i.e., Gradually reduce the amount of perched groundwater, by decreasing the leakage from the evaporation ponds and raw water ponds
- Pump, treat, re-inject of groundwater
- Pump, treat, re-infiltrate of groundwater
- Perform sparging (VOC stripping, oxygen addition)
- In situ biotreatment (nutrient addition)
- Natural biological degradation combined with source elimination (eventually dissolved contaminants will decrease)
 - -- Only 1% of hydrocarbon mass is dissolved in groundwater
 - -- The object is to eliminate residual sources and contain dissolved hydrocarbon on site

BRC/task1.rep

34



As shown in Appendix B, four alternatives were retained for further consideration during the preliminary screening: risk assessment; pump, treat, reinject; sparging/vapor extraction; and source reduction.

A risk assessment may assist in determining site-specific remedial objectives. If BRC can limit production water recharged into the perched aquifer directly beneath the site, groundwater should decrease in volume over time. The aquifer test to be performed during the facility investigation will assist in evaluating the feasibility of the pump, treat and reinject alternative. The air sparge/vapor extraction pilot study will provide information on the applicability of these technologies. Source reduction (removal of SPH) will be required regardless of the other measures selected.

6.5 Pilot Tests

Prior to investigating any of the preferred technologies identified in Appendix B, pilot tests and a more rigorous cost evaluation will be performed as part of the facility investigation and Task IV - Investigation Analysis.

6.5.1 Pilot Test to Mitigate Seepage

A pump test is necessary to define aquifer parameters for proper spacing of wells and rates of groundwater recovery for the dewatering alternative to mitigate seepage. Slug tests performed in 1986 provided values for aquifer characteristics, although it is preferable to have active pumping data upon which to base a remedial system design. Water pumped during the pump test will be directed to the facility's wastewater treatment plant. If a grout curtain is the selected measure, the type of curtain trench or mix will need to be evaluated. Cobbles and soil stability and excavation into the Nacimiento Formation will also have to be considered. An interceptor trench will require major excavation in order to capture water before it seeps from the bluff. The capital and operation and maintenance costs for this technology will be compared with barrier wells.

6.5.2 Pilot Test for SPH Recovery

Removal of SPH will eliminate the major source of dissolved hydrocarbons at the BRC site. Experience from hundreds of product removal projects has shown that soil vapor extraction (SVE) can be the most effective *in situ* method for accomplishing this objective. This method is applicable for volatile and semi-volatile organic constituents, which comprise the SPH at the BRC site. A pilot



test utilizing current monitor wells and specially constructed vapor extraction wells will be performed. This data will aid in extraction well spacing, determining removal rates and evaluating the effect of SVE on soils containing volatile and semi-volatile constituents.

Pilot testing for a high vacuum SVE may be considered but will not be tested at this time. This technology is still emerging, but offers great potential for simultaneous remediation of SPH, water and soil. By moving great amounts of air under a high vacuum, liquids (water and SPH) are brought to the surface. At the same time, volatile and semi-volatile compounds are evaporated from the soil column and biological degradation is enhanced because of the addition of oxygen. Off-gas treatment systems would have to be designed to accommodate the capacity of the subsurface yield.

6.5.3 Pilot Test for Soil Remediation

Vapor extraction and bioventing technologies will require a vapor extraction pilot test to ascertain site-specific removal rates and design criteria such as the effective radius of influence. Monitoring of CO_2 levels is necessary to determine the amount of biological activity occurring during venting. Before employing bioventing for remediation of semi-volatiles, samples to evaluate soil parameters such as moisture, phosphates, nitrates and organic carbon should be obtained during the installation of vapor extraction wells.

6.5.4 Pilot Test for Dissolved Hydrocarbons

Air sparging is one of the most promising technologies available for *in situ* remediation of groundwater with organic contaminants. Sparging will physically strip volatile and semi-volatile constituents from the water column while providing an oxygen source for enhancing biological decay of organic material. The depth, spacing, number and effectiveness can be estimated by pilot testing specially built sparge wells.

In situ bioremediation, with injection/infiltration of water containing greater than normal concentrations of oxygen and nutrients, may be considered if reinfiltration of treated water from dewatering or product recovery activities is possible. The dissolved solids content of the groundwater at the facility is relatively high and may limit the application of this technology. A tracer study for identifying preferential pathways may be necessary. *In situ* bioremediation should not be performed until SPH is removed.



6.6 Recommendations for Remedial Actions

Source elimination or reduction is the recommended course of action for remedial activities at the BRC site. Seepage of groundwater can be reduced by improved wastewater management practices by BRC (i.e. use of future injection well for process water). The Interim Measures Work Plan (GTI, 1993) proposed additional recovery wells to inhibit the migration of hydrocarbons to surface water. An aquifer test will be performed as part of the facility investigation to indicate optimum pumping rates and location of additional recovery wells needed (if any) to control migration of SPH and dissolved contamination.

Since treated groundwater is presently discharged to the evaporation ponds which contribute to groundwater recharge, this mode of disposal of treated groundwater for the long-term corrective measure is not preferred. Instead, the use of the underground injection well for treated water will limit groundwater recharge at the site and make source control more manageable. If permitting of the injection well is significantly delayed, a grout curtain to control seepage to surface water may be considered.

A risk assessment should be performed to determine remedial objectives for soil and groundwater. Remedial technologies being considered for soil and groundwater include vapor extraction and sparging. Sparging cannot be used without vapor extraction because without vapor extraction there is no way to remove contaminants from the subsurface. Elimination of SPH will significantly reduce dissolved hydrocarbons in groundwater. Vapor extraction is a strong candidate for SPH removal. This technology may also be utilized for soil remediation. A pilot study will be performed as part of the facility investigation to determine design parameters for a soil vapor extraction (and possibly, air sparging) system.



7.0 SUMMARY

Surface water, groundwater, and soil have all exhibited varying degrees of impact by petroleum hydrocarbons. The RFI work plan (Task II) is designed to address those areas in which additional investigation is necessary in order to design and implement an effective corrective measure strategy.

The investigation includes characterizing specific SWMUs identified by USEPA during the 1987 inspection as well as completing the delineation of the SPH and dissolved plumes at the site. The approximate horizontal dimensions of the separate-phase hydrocarbon plume are depicted in Figure 12. However, additional information is needed along the southern and northeastern edges to further delineate the extent of subsurface contamination. Pilot studies to evaluate various corrective measure alternatives will also be part of the facility investigation.

In general, further investigation of all phases of hydrocarbon impact (surface water, groundwater, and soil) will be necessary to the south of the facility, along Sullivan Road, and to the northeast of the AST farm. The additional data from the facility investigation will be used to design and implement a comprehensive, effective remediation program for the BRC site.



Bloomfield Refining Company, "Bloomfield Refining Company Air Quality Permit Application and Notice of Intent for the State of New Mexico", December 18, 1992.

United States Department of the Interior - Bureau of Reclamation, "San Juan River Unit - Hammond Project Portion, New Mexico (Colorado River Water Quality Improvement Program)", Preliminary Draft - Planning Report/Environmental Assessment (PR/EA), January 1993.

ERM-Rocky Mountain, Inc., "Bloomfield Refining Company Part B Operating Permit Application for Surface Impoundments", September 25, 1991.

ERM-Rocky Mountain, Inc., "Hazardous Waste Delisting Petition - Petroleum Contaminated Soil", April 18, 1991.

Groundwater Technology, Inc., "Interim Measures Work Plan", February 11, 1993.

Engineering-Science, Inc., "A Final Report on Section 3013 Administrative Order Work Elements", February 8, 1987.

Correspondence from Mr. Richard Traylor of Bloomfield Refining Company to Mr. William H. Taylor, Jr. of the USEPA-Region VI dated September 14, 1987.

Engineering-Science, Inc., "A Final Closure Plan for the API Wastewater Ponds, Landfill, and Landfill Pond at the Bloomfield Refinery", July 1986.

Geoscience Consultants, Ltd., "Final Report on Soil Vapor Survey, Well Installation and Hydrocarbon Recovery System - Bloomfield Refining Company", August 3, 1989.

United States Environmental Protection Agency - EPA Contract No. 68-01-7251, Work Assignment No. 92-6L-20.0, Project No. W68446, "Preliminary Review Report/Visual Site Inspection", August 25, 1987.

Tierra Environmental Co., Inc., "A Feasibility Study Class I Injection Well and Facilities", July 14, 1992.





a de la del de la del de la cara de la del de la del de del de la del d



lards.	ì	 -



			NO. DATE	BY	REVI	SION
				ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	EGEND	
				Pif	YEWAY DERGROUND	PIPEWAY
			- λ	- FE	NUL	
			ļ			
						٣
	FIRE-FIGHTING					
	AREA					
			· · ·			
	COTINATED LANDFILL AREA					
	ESTIMATED CONTRACTOR					
ATTENTION						
A DELTA	/ 					
ATTENTION THIS DRAWLOS AND ANY ATTACHMENTS CDRAWLOS AND ANY ATTACHMENTS CDRAWLOS AND ANY ATTACHMENTS MINISTER DRAWLOS ANY						
ATTENTION THE DEVICE AND ANY ATTOMY OF A MAD AND ANY ATTACH A MAD ANY ATTACH A MAD AND ANY ATTACH A MAD AND ANY ATTACH A MAD ANY A MAD ANY ATTACH A MAD ANY ATTACH A MAD ANY A MAD ANY ATTACH A MAD ANY A MAD					-	₽
	7		THIS DR	TTEI	NTION	ACHMENTS
THE DRAWER AND THE ACTION AT A MELEDRAWER AND PROPERTARY INFORMATION OF CAN DEPOSIT AND PROPERTARY INFORMATION OF			URAWINGS THE SOLE US NOT BE US MODIFIED C MANNER WITTE	J. HAVE IE OF TI ED, RE DR COP	HEEN PROD HE RECIPIENT USED, REP HED ("USE")R WRITTEN	AND MUST RODUCED, IN ANY PROVAL OF
ANY UNAUTHORIZED, USE OF THIS DRAWING SIGNATURE DATE ROJECT EVER PROJECT ENGR: PROJECT ENGR: PROJECT ENGR: PROJECT MGR: CUENT:	7		GROUNDW THIS DRAWIN AND PROP GROUNDW	ATER G MAY RIETAR ATER	TECHNOLO CONTAIN COI Y INFORMA TECHNOLO	GY, INC. NFIDENTIAL ATION OF GY, INC.
DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE DATE			IS STRI		PROHI	BITED.
PROJECT MGR: CLENT: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: COMPTOINT NOD GATE AS A SUBJECT AND NEXT PROJECT NOD: CONTRACT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CUENT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CUENT: CUENT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CONTRACT: CUENT: PROJECT NOD: CONTRACT: CUENT: CUE	SCALES	P	SIG			
DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: SITE PLAN DATE: FEBRUARY-1993 SITEPLAN.DWG PROJECT NO.: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4	Цā	P	ROJECT MGR			1
AD COUNTY YARA 460 ROUMTAD, NEW MERKO GROUNDWATER TDECHNOLOGY 2001 YALE BYO, BT, SUITE 204 ABB/DURENUE, NEW MERKO B7108 (905) 242-3113 SITE PLAN DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: J. ML DATE: FEBRUARY-193 SITE PLAN.DWG PROJECT NO: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4		c -	Bloo A Gam	mfield	Refining Corporation Sub-	Company
GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY 2801 YALE BIVD. SE, SUITE 204 (005) 242-3115 SITE PLAN DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: J. ML DATE: FEBRUARY-1993 SITEPLAN.DWG PROJECT NO.: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4			#50 CC	UNTY ROAD	4990 BLQOMFTEL	D, NEW MEXICO
280' YALE BLYD, SE, SUTE 204 ABBOQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 37106 (005) 242-3113 DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: J. ML DATE: FILE: FEBRUARY-1993 SITEPLAN.DWG PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4				KUUN ECHN	OLOGY	
DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: J. ML DATE: FEBRUARY-1993 SITEPLAN.DWG PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4			ALBUQUERQUE, NI 505) 242-3113	JL, JUITI	87106	
DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: CHECKED BY: J. ML DATE: FEBRUARY-1993 SITEPLAN.DWG PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4				` ┯ ┍ ━	.	
DESIGNED BY: DRAFTED BY: J. ML DATE: FILE: FEBRUARY-1993 FILE: PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4			()	SILE	PLAN	
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		DE	ESIGNED BY:	DRAFTE	D BY: CHE	CKED BY:
PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014 DRAWING: REVISION: FIGURE 4		D, F	ATE: EBRUARY-	993	FILE: SITEPLAN.	DWG
FIGURE 4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pł	RUJECT NO.: 02335301 RAWING:	4	CONTRACT: REVISION:	
		2. 25 2. 2.	FIGUI	?E 4		-



		NO.	DATE	BY	REVIS	ION
			L			
	NOTES		LE	GEND		
	1. RW-2, RW-14, RW-15, RW-16, RW-17, RW-18 AND RW-19 ARE IN SERVICE		··	PIPEWAY	(
	RECOVERY WELLS.			UNDERG	ROUND	
	2. RW-3 IS ALSO KNOWN AS MW-10. 3. WW-1 AND MW-5 ARE SAMPLED FOR			PIPEWAY	ſ	
	DISCHARGE PLAN.		x ——	FENCE		
	ARE SAMPLED TO MEET RCRA REQUIREMENTS. 5. ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, WELL LOCATIONS, AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPROXIMATE.	@ M	(W-1	EXISTIN WELL	g Moni	TORIN
		 <i>R</i>	?W−1	EXISTIN WELL	G RECO	VERY
		ФP	-1	PROPOS WELL	SED REC	OVER
		Ф <i>м</i>	(W-2	FORMER WELL	MONIT	ORING
		A	TTI	ENT	ION	1
			HIS DRA		ND ANY	HAVE
₽ м₩-	-8	BEEN	PRODU	CED FOR	THE S	MUS
		NOT	BE USE	D. REUS	ED. REF	PRO-
LANDFILL		OUT OF G	ROUNDY	VRITTEN	APPROV	AL
		INC	THIS D	RAWING	MAY CO	IARY
		TECH	NOLOGY	OF GRO	NY UN	JER AUTH-
		IS S	TRICT	LY PRO	HIBIT	ED.
مل ـــــ		s	GNATU	RE		DATE
		PROJ	ECT GEO): 		.
		PROJ	ECT ENG	GR:		
		PPO	FCT NG	D .		
		CLIEN	n:			
₽ мw-	-5	A G #50 BLO	Bloc Con Con Count Count OMFIELD	omfiel npany gy Corpor Y ROAD , NEW M	d Refi atton Su 4990 EXICO	ning beldlar
	1		6	GROU TECE	JNDWA INOLOG	fer ;y
		2501 ALBU (505	YALE E	4 BLYD. SE. E. NEW 1 5113	SUITE	204 8710
	ļ	w	FI 1		ΔΤΙΟ	NS
	N	(E	XISTING	G AND I	PROPOS	ED)
		DESIG	SNED	DRAFTED	СНІ	CKED
	P	BY:		BY: J. ML	BY:	
	1	DATE			FILE:	
		MARC	CH ~ 1	993	WELLS-	B4.DW
	300 150 0 300	PROJ	ECT NO).:)1 <i>4</i>	CONTR	CI:
	(IN FEET) 1 INCH = 300 FEET	DRAV	-5555C		REVISI	ON:
		,,	~~~~	r =		
		1 1 1	GUR	r o		



	NO. DATE BY REVISION
NOTES	LEGEND
1. RW-2. RW-14. RW-15. RW-16. RW-17	
RW-18 AND RW-19 ARE IN SERVICE RECOVERY WELLS	PIPEWAY
2. RW-3 IS ALSO KNOWN AS MW-10.	
3. MW-1 AND MW-5 ARE SAMPLED FOR DISCHARGE PLAN.	FIREWAT
4. RW-15. MW-21, MW-20, MW-9 AND RW-18 ARE SAMPLED TO MEET BEEN BEAUTERNESS	
5. ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, WELL LOCATIONS, AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPROXIMATE.	WW-1 EXISTING MONITORING
	RW-1 EXISTING RECOVERY WELL
	\oplus <i>MW-2</i> FORMER MONITORING WELL
	SOIL VAPOR VP1 SAMPLING POINT
	R = RENTENE
	T = TOLVENE F = TOLVENE E = ETHYLBENZENE X = TOTAL XYLENES ND = NOT DETECTED
	CONCENTRATIONS IN ppm
	ATTENTION
	THIS DRAWING AND ANY ATTACHMENTS ("DRAWINGS") HAVE BEEN PRODUCED FOR THE SOLF
	USE OF THE RECIPIENT AND MUST NOT BE USED, REUSED, REPRO-
	DUCED, MODIFIED OR COPIED ("USED") IN ANY MANNER WITH-
	OF GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY.
	CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY
	TECHNOLOGY, INC., ANY UNAUTH- ORIZED USE OF THIS DRAWING
	IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.
	SIGNATURE DATE
	PROJECT GEO:
	PROJECT ENGR:
	PROJECT MGR:
	CLIENT:
	Bloomfield Refining
	A Gary Energy Corporation Subsidiary
	#50 COUNTY ROAD 4990 BLOOMFIELD. NEW MEXICO
	GROUNDWATER
1	1 ECHNOLOGY
	2501 YALE BLVD. SE, SUITE 204 ALBUQUEROUF, NEW MEXICO 87105
	(505) 242-3113
l N	BTEX CONCENTRATIONS (ppm)
	SOIL VAPOR SURVEY JULY 1988
	DESIGNED DRAFTED CHECKED BY: BY: BY: J. ML
I	DATE: FILE:
	MARCH 9, 1993 BTEX0788.DWG
300 150 0 300 (IN FEET)	PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014
1 INCH = 300 FEET	DRAWING: REVISION:
	FIGURE 6



. ____







#	NO.	DATE	BY	REVISION
NOTES		LEG	END	
1. RW-2. RW-14, RW-15, RW-16, RW-17,		F	PIPEWA	,
RECOVERY WELLS.		· I	JNDERG	ROUND
2. RW-3 IS ALSO KNOWN AS MW-10.		F	PIPEWA	
DISCHARGE PLAN.	₽ M	(W-1	EXISTIN	G MONITORING
 RW-15. MW-21, MW-20, MW-9 AND RW-18 ARE SAMPLED TO MEET RCRA REQUIREMENTS. 			WELL	
5. ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, WELL LOCATIONS, AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPROXIMATE.	$\mathbf{\Phi}$ R	?₩—1	existin Well	G RECOVERY
	ФF	P-1	PROPOS WELL	ED RECOVERY
	Ф <i>м</i>	(W2	FORMER	MONITORING
	549	8.11	GROUNI ELEVATI (IN FEE	OWATER ON T – AMSL)
		{	5498	00.
	GROU		P FIFU	
	CONT	TOUR (IN	FEET	~ AMSL) = 0.5 FOOT
		ŤTC		
		IS DRAV	ING A	
V8	ATTAC	PRODUC	C DRAN	VINGS") HAVE
	NOT E	MODIF	REUS	ED, REPRO-
	CUSE OUT F	D") IN A PRIOR WI COUNDWA	NY MA Ritten Ster te	NNER WITH- APPROVAL CHNOLOGY
<u>\</u>	INC CONFI	THIS DR	AWING	MAY CONTAIN ROPRIETARY
	TECHN	IOLOGY. D USE C	INC A	DRAWING
	is s	TRICTL	Y PRO	HIBITED.
	S	GNATURE		DATE
	PROJE	CT GEO:		
	PROJE	CT ENG	t:	
	PROJE	CT MGR		
	CLIEN	T:		
-5		Bloo	mfield	l Refining
5	C	Com	pany	
	#50 BLOC	COUNTY	ROAD	4990 XICO
		6	GROI	INDWATER
	┝─┤		TECH	NOLOGY
	2501	YALE BL	VD. SE.	SUITE 204
	ALBU((505)	QUERQUE, 242-31	NEW N	EXICO 87106
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l				
N		WATE		ABLE
	'		BER -	1991
	DESIC	NED	RAFTED	CHECKED
	BY:	B	Y: J. MI	BY:
ſ	DATE:			FILE:
	FEBRL	JARY-19	93	WTCM1191.DWG
300 150 0 300	PROJI	ECT NO.: 335301	4	CONTRACT:
(IN FEET)	DRAW	ING:		REVISION:
1 INCH = 300 FEET	FI	GURF	10	
	110			



	NO. DATE	BY	REVISION
	l		L
NOTES	<u>_LE</u>	GEND	
1. RW-2, RW-14, RW-15, RW-16, RW-17, RW-18 AND RW-19 ARE IN SERVICE		PIPEWA	Y
RECOVERT WELLS. 2. RW-3 IS ALSO KNOWN AS MW-10. 3. MW-1 and MW-5 ARE SAMPLED FOR		UNDERG PIPEWA	ROUND Y
JISCHARGE PLAN. 4. RW-15, MW-21, MW-20, MW-9 AND RW-13 ARE SAMPLED TO VEFT RCRA REQUIREMENTS	⊕ MW−1	EXISTIN WELL	G MONITORING
5. ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES, WELL LOCATIONS, AND IMPROVEMENTS ARE APPROXIMATE.	♦ <i>RW</i> -1	EXISTIN WELL	G RECOVERY
	⊕ <i>P</i> −1	PROPOS WELL	SED RECOVERY
	⊕ <i>MW-2</i>	FORME	R MONITORING
	5 49 7.75	GROUNI ELEVAT (IN FEE	DWATER ION ET – AMSL)
		5498	3.00
	GROUNDWAT	ER ELEV	ATION
	CONTOUR (I Contour II	IN FEET NTERVAL	- AMSL) = 0.5 FOOT
		FNT	10N
	THIS DRA		ND ANY
/8	ATTACHMENTS BEEN PRODU	CED FOI	WINGS") HAVE R THE SOLE
	NOT BE USE	D. REUS	ED, REPRO-
	OUT PRIOR V	WRITTEN	APPROVAL ECHNOLOGY.
	INC THIS D CONFIDENTIAL	RAWING	MAY CONTAIN ROPRIETARY
	TECHNOLOGY	OF THIS	NY UNAUTH-
	IS STRICT	LY PRO	DHIBITED.
	SIGNATU	RE	DATE
	PROJECT GEO): 	
	PROJECT ENC	GR:	
	PROJECT MG	R:	
	CLIENT:		i
/-5	Blog	omfiel	d Refining
	A Gary Energy	apany gy Corpor	atio n Subsidiary
	#50 COUNT BLOOMFIELD	Y ROAD	4990 Exico
		GROU	UNDWATER INOLOGY
l	2501 YALE E	J BLVD. SE.	SUITE 204
	ALBUQUERQU (505) 242-3	E, NEW 1 5113	AEXICO 87106
1	WATF	R TA	BLE
Ň	CONT	OUR	MAP
l l	FEBRUA	ARY —	1992
	DESIGNED BY:	DRAFTED BY:	CHECKED BY:
	DATE	J. ML	FILE:
	FEBRUARY-1	993	WTCM0292.DWG
300 150 0 500	PROJECT NO	.:	CONTRACT:
(IN FEET)	DRAWING:	- 14	REVISION:
1 INCH = 300 FEET	FICUR	F 4 4	
	FIGUR.		



	NO. DATE BY REVISION						
IOTES	LEGEND						
RW-2. RW-14. RW-15. RW-16. RW-17. RW-18. AND. RW-19. ARF. IN. SERVICE							
RECOVERY WELLS. RW-3 IS ALSO KNOWN AS WW-10.	UNDERGROUND PIPEWAY						
MW-1 AND MW-3 ARE SAMFLED FOR DISCHARGE PLAN. RW-15, MW-21, MW-20, MW-9 AND RW-18 ARE SAMPLED TO MEET RCRA REQUIREMENTS.	WW-1 EXISTING MONITORING WELL						
WELLS GAUGED PRIOR TO STARTUP OF RECOVERY PUMPS ON OCTOBER 21, 1991.	♦ RW-1 EXISTING RECOVERY WELL						
	⊕ MW-2 FORMER MONITORING WELL						
	0.00 HTUROCARBON THICKNESS (IN FEET)						
	CONTOUR (IN FEET) CONTOUR INTERVAL = .25 FEET						
	ATTENTION						
	THIS DRAWING AND ANY ATTACHMENTS (DRAWINGS) HAVE BEEN PRODUCED FOR THE SOLE						
	NOT BE USED, REUSED, REPRO DUCED, MODIFIED OR COPIED						
	OUT PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY.						
	CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY INFORMATION OF GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY, INC ANY UNAUTH- ORIZED USE OF THIS DRAWING IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.						
	SIGNATURE DATE						
	PROJECT GEO:						
	PROJECT ENGR:						
	PROJECT MGR:						
	CLIENT:						
	Bloomfield Refining						
	Company A Gary Energy Corporation Subaddary \$50 COUNTY ROAD 4990 BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO						
	GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY						
	2501 YALE BLVD. SE. SUITE 204 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87106 (505) 242-3113						
	SEPARATE PHASE						
Ň	HYDROCARBON ISOPLETH						
	DESIGNED DRAFTED CHECKED BY: BY: BY:						
l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	DATE: FILE:						
	FEBRUARY-1993 SPHC-B5.DWG						
150 225 300 450 750	PROJECT NO.: CONTRACT: 023353014						
(IN FEET) 1 INCH = 300 FEET	DRAWING: REVISION:						
	FIGURE 12						



TABLE 1

SUMMARY OF HAZARDOUS WASTES GENERATED AT BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY, BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

HAZARDOUS WASTE	USEPA REASON FOR LISTING	AMOUNT GENERATED
Heat exchanger bundle (HEB) cleaning sludge (K050)	Hexavalent chromium	5,000 lb/3 yrs
API separator sludge (K051)	Hexavalent chromium & lead	250,000 lb/2 yrs
Leaded tank bottoms (K052)	Lead	8,000 lb/tank/5 yrs
1,1,1-Trichloroethane and methanol in naphtha (D001, F002)	Ignitable, spent halogenated solvent	None Recent - Potential Waste
Process Waste Water Containing Benzene (D018)	Benzene	108,800 gal/day
Spent Solvent (D001)	Ignitable	2,000 lb/yr

SOURCE:

"Part B Operating Permit Application for Surface Impoundments," ERM, September 25, 1991.

"Preliminary Review Report/Visual Site Inspection," EPA Contract No. 68-01-7251, August 25, 1987.





TABLE 2

MONITORING WELL SPECIFICATIONS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

WELL	INSTALL	GRADE	TOC	TOP SCRN	BOT SCRN	TOTAL
ID	DATE	ELEV (FT)	ELEV (FT)	ELEV (FT)	ELEV (FT)	DEPTH (FT)
MW-1	8-Feb-84	5514.07	5515.77	5511.12	5491.12	24.65
MW-2	8-Feb-84	5517.95	5519.45	5512.55	5492.55	26.90
MW-3	9-Feb-84	5534.85	5535.85	5516.50	5496.50	39.35
MW-4	9-Feb-84	5522.90	5524.30	5511.80	5491.80	32.50
MW-5	6-Feb-84	5544.10	5545.10	5513.49	5493.49	51.61
MW-6	7-Feb-86	5549.63	5551.23	5521.60	5501.60	49.63
MW-7	25-Feb-86	5522.99	5524.09	5473.98	5463.98	62.11
MW-8	28-Feb-86	5530.12	5531.12	5518.18	5498.18	34.94
MW-9	3-Mar-86	5518.00	5519.70	5507.71	5487.71	33.99
RW-1	31-Aug-88	5524.52	5525.92	5507.12	5491.52	40.98
P-1	30-Aug-88	5523.82	5524.62	5503.32	5487.32	42.45
RW-2	29-Aug-88	5522.98	5523.48	5506.98	5491.28	38.03
P-2	29-Aug-88	5522.93	5523.73	5506.33	5491.03	38.33
RW-3	4-Mar-86	5515.46	5516.86	5504.93	5484.93	33.93
P-3	1-Sep-88	5506.40	5507.20	5500.85	5490.40	22.80
MW-11	31-Jul-87	5503.23	5506.83	5498.23	5488.23	24.73
MW-12	1-Aug-87	5495.86	5498.36	5491.86	5481.86	14.22
MW-13	3-Sep-88	5535.12	5538.42	5509.59	5493.82	53.00
RW-14	6-Aug-90	5532.07	5533.97	5510.97	5492.97	43.00
RW-15	7-Aug-90	5531.62	5533.32	5509.92	5491.92	43.40
RW-16	7-Aug-90	5530.19	5531.99	5508.89	5490.89	43.10
RW-17	7-Aug-90	5528.83	5530.43	5508.88	5490.88	41.55
RW-18	8-Aug-90	5523.45	5527.05	5506.10	5488.10	40.95
RW-19	8-Aug-90	5525.58	5527.08	5510.38	5492.38	36.70
MW-20	13-Sep-91	5514.64	5516.44	5506.26	5491.26	27.18
MW-21	16-Sep-91	5517.04	5518.64	5504.71	5489.71	30.93

SOURCE: Bloomfield Refining Company Groundwater Sampling and Analysis Plan, NMD 089-416-416, 1991



TABLE 3 (Page 1 of 3)

!

l

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FINAL CLOSURE PLAN FOR THE API WASTEWATER PONDS, LANDFILL, AND LANDFILL PONDS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

MEK	ħ	Į	T	T	Į	NT	L N	NT	Г	тх	ц
TOTAL XYLENES	QN	g	Q	Q	g	g	QN	QN	Q	Q	Ð
TOLUENE	QN	Q	Ð	Ð	Ð	ð	Q	g	Q Z	Q	Ð
ETHYLBENZENE	QN	Q	Q	Ð	Q	Q	QN	Q	Q	Q	Q
BENZENE	QN	Q	Q	g	g	Q	Ω X	Q	g	Q	Q
Pb	10	9.8	9.0	6.7	7.6	7.0	8.2	7.7	0.6	8.5	8.9
ü	11	8.9	6 .6	7.6	7.8	7.4	9.1	7.0	6.2	8.1	7.8
PHENOLICS	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	QN
DATE SAMPLED	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85
SAMPLE LOCATION	L1 & L2, 0-6" Quadrant # 1 - Landfill	L3 & L4, 6-12" Quadrant # 1 - Landfill	L5 & L6, 0-6" Quadrant # 2 - Landfill	L7 & L8, 6-12" Quadrant # 2 - Landfill	L9 & L10, 0-6" Quadrant # 3 - Landfill	L11 & L12, 6-12" Quadrant # 3 - Landfill	L13 & L14, 0-6" Quadrant # 4 - Landfill	L15 & L16, 6-12" Quadrant # 4 - Landfill	LP1 & LP2, 0-6" Points 1&2 @ Landfill Pond	LP3 & LP4, 6-12" Points 1&2 @ Landfill Pond	LP5 & LP6, 0-6" Points 3&4 @ Landfill Pond
SAMPLE NO.	51469-01	51469-02	51469-03	51469-04	51469-05	51469-06	51469-07	51469-08	51469-09	51469-10	51469-11

GROUNDWATER

TABLE 3 (Page 2 of 3)

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FINAL CLOSURE PLAN FOR THE API WASTEWATER PONDS, LANDFILL, AND LANDFILL PONDS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

			_								
MEK	μ	NT	N	Q	0.053	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
TOTAL XYLENES	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	0.0074	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
TOLUENE	Q	Q	QN	Q	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
ETHYLBENZENE	Ð	Ð	Q	Ð	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	QN
BENZENE	Q	0.0013	Q	Q	QN	QN	C N	QN	QN	Q	QN
Pb	12	12	13	4	4	5	S	5	4	5.1	5.9
5	10	8.0	7.8	2.3	2.4	4.4	5.3	5.5	14	6.8	27
PHENOLICS	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN
DATE SAMPLED	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/16/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85
SAMPLE LOCATION	LP7 & LP8, 6-12" Points 3&4 @ Landfill Pond	LP9 & LP10, 0-6" Points 5&6 @ Landfill Pond	LP11 & LP12, 6-12" Points 5&6 @ Landfill Pond	LP13 & LP14, 0-6" S. Evaporation Pond Landfill Pond	MS1 & MS2, Mystery Sample	APS1 & APS2, 0-6" NE & SE of SOWP	APS3 & APS4, 6-12" NE & SE of SOWP	APS5 & APS6, 0-6" N & S of SOWP	APS7 & APS8, 6-12" N & S of SOWP	APS9 & APS10, 0-6" NW & SW of SOWP	APS11 & APS12, 6-12" NW & SW of SOWP
SAMPLE NO.	51469-12	51469-13	51469-14	51469-15	51469-16	51469-17	51469-18	51469-19	51469-20	51469-21	51469-22

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY



TABLE 3 (Page 3 of 3)

SUMMARY OF SOIL SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS FINAL CLOSURE PLAN FOR THE API WASTEWATER PONDS, LANDFILL, AND LANDFILL PONDS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

MUX	QN	NŢ	NT	NŢ	NŢ	NT	ħ
	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q
IULUENE	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q
EIHTLBENZENE	Q	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q
BENZENE	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	QN	Q
PD	6.0	4	£	S	3	6	4
ظ	4.9	7.8	3.2	3.6	2.3	2.9	12
PHENOLICS	Q	QN	Q	Q	Q	Q	g
DATE SAMPLED	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85	10/15/85
SAMPLE LOCATION	APS13, 0-6" SE near influent SOWP	APN1 & APN2, 0-6" NE & SE of NOWP	APN3 & APN4, 6-12" NE & SE of NOWP	APN5 & APN6, 0-6" NE & SE of NOWP	APN7 & APN8, 6-12" N & S of NOWP	APN9 & APN10, 0-6" NW & SW of NOWP	APN11 & APN12, 6-12" NW & SW of NOWP
SAMPLE NO.	51469-23	51469-24	51469-25	51469-26	51469-27	51469-28	51469-29

ND= not detected NT= not tested Samples 51469-15, 51469-16 and 51469-23 also analyzed for VOCs (USEPA method 8240) and BNAs (USEPA method 8270).

Only compounds detected are reported. Analyses performed by Rocky Mountain Analytical Laboratory. SOURCE: "Final Closure Plan for API Wastewater Ponds, Landfill and Landfill Pond at the Bloomfield Refinery," Engineering-Science, Inc., July 1986.



TABLE 4 (Page 1 of 2)

SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO APRIL 1986 - JULY 1987

San Juan River	Upstream		24. July 1987					Q	Q				Q	Q	9	Q	Q	Ð	Q	0.018
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge	Farside	24. July 1987					Q	Q				QN	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	QN	0.013
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge	Middle	24. July 1987					QN	g				Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	QN
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge	Near Side	24. July 1987					Q	Q				Q	g	Q	g	Q	Q	Q	0.018
Hammond Ditch	Near Sullivan	Road (D64)	28. April 1986				-	Q	g				Q	Q	g	Q	Q	Q	9	0.002
Hammond Ditch	Near API Waste	Ponds (U6A)	28. April 1986					Q	Q				Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	QN	0.003
Hammond Ditch	Near API Ponds	(HAPI)	22. April 1986					Q	Q				Q	Q	Ð	0.001	Q	Q	Ð	0.002
Hammond Ditch	Near Sullivan Rd.	(HSRD5)	22. April 1986					0.006	0.003	-			0.006	0.003	0.005	Q	0.013	0.007	0.008	0.002
SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE ID		SAMPLE DATE		ANALYTES	VOLATILE ORGANIC	COMPOUNDS	Benzene	Toluene	SEMIVOLATILE	ORGANIC	COMPOUNDS	Anthracene	Benzo(a)anthracene	Chrysene	Fluoranthene	Naphthalene	Phenanthrene	Pyrene	PHENOLS

CROUNDWATER

i



SUMMARY OF SURFACE WATER SAMPLE ANALYTICAL RESULTS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO APRIL 1986 - JULY 1987

San Juan River	Upstream	24. July 1987				Q	0.044	232	4.46	62.4	S
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge Farside	24. July 1987				QN	0.053	248	4.96	64.9	9
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge Middle	24. July 1987				0.054	0.038	228	4.96	75.0	S
San Juan River	Hwy 44 Bridge Near Side	24. July 1987				0.061	0.066	238	4.96	64.5	2
Hammond Ditch	Near Sullivan Boad (D64)	28. April 1986				NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT
Hammond Ditch	Near API Waste Ponds (164)	28. April 1986				ħ	NT	NT	NT	M	TN.
Hammond Ditch	Near API Ponds	22. April 1986				2	Q	NT	NT	N	IN
Hammond Ditch	Near Sullivan Rd. HSRD51	22. April 1986				QN	QN	NT	NT	NT	TN
SAMPLE LOCATION	SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DATE		ANALYTES	METALS	Lead	CN	TDS	CI	SO4	100

Units= mg/l (approximately equivalent to parts per million (ppm)). ND= not detected NT= not tested

CN = Cyanide TDS = Total Dissolved Solids CI = Chloride

SO4 = Sulfate TOC = Total Organic Carbon Samples analyzed by Assaigai Analytical Laboratories (Albuquerque, NM) by SW-846 test methods. Only compounds detected are reported.

SOURCE

"A Final Report on Section 3013 Administrative Order Work Elements", Engineering-Sciences, Inc., February 8, 1987. Correspondence from Richard Traylor of BRC to William Taylor, Jr. of USEPA dated September 14, 1987.

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

TABLE 5 (Page 1 of 4)

SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

HEN									-								<u> </u>																_
FLUORA	IN .	Ī	IZ	LN .	E .	TN _	TN -	IN	NT	N	TN	NT	TZ .	R	TN	Z	NT	IN	Ĭ	Ν	z	IN	IN	L NT			2	Q	ΝŢ	IN	IZ	z	T
ANTH	۲Ľ	ź	Ī	ž	ħ	ž	ż	ž	r1	Ł	ž	ħ	ž	Ż	r	Ę	T	'n	ź	Ĩ	z	z	z	ź	Ş	2	2	g	z	Ł	z	z	NT
A. NAPH	IN	IJ	ž	I	z	1	ž	ž	r1	NT	M	IN	Ĭ	ħ	Ĭ	۲	N	N	Ĭ	NT	M	ц	Z	z	100	S	2	0.049	NT	N	IN	Ĩ	N
BENZ FLR	IN	T	ž	NT	Ł	z	z	r1	T	ł	ħ	Ĭ	z	NT	МТ	ţ	M	LN L	z	NT	ź	ł	L N	Ł	Ş	Ş	2	Q	NT	M	Ī	ž	NT
POMC	NT	N	ž	NT	NT	Ł	NT	МТ	NT	NT	t	NT	ž	Ł	NT	Ĭ	ħ	х	M	II	Ł	NT	T	ИТ	Ş	Z	0.045	Q	NT	NT	ż	ż	NT
2 CHR PHEN	NT	NT	L.	NT	Ĭ	NT	NT	NT	NT	NŢ	τı	M	IN	NT	ž	Ĩ	NT	T	NT	NT	r,	Ĭ	NT	r M	Ş	2 2	0.00	Q	NT	NT	I	I	NT
PYR	NT	NT	ž	Ĭ	Т	NT	Т	N1	ц	л	N	Į	Ĭ	Ł	NT	ĨN	ĪN	л	Т	Ŧ	IJ	N1	NT	 لع	9466	202	0.005	Q	t	NT	ħ	IJ	NT
NAPH	ЦN	ht	ţ	Ă	ž	Ŧ	ţ	х	Ł	Ł	Ĭ	Ŋ	лт	Ę	ŗ	Ĩ	ħ	лт	Ŧ	ц	Į	ŗ	Ţ	мт Т	3000	0010	0.015	0.036	NT	ţ	ţ	IN	τı
RLUO- RENE	IN	ti	цт	NT	r Z	Т	ŗ	M	LT N	ž	LN N	ł	ž	г	r T	ħ	NT	ħ	ţ	NT	ħ	ž	NT	Ч Т	Sic		2	0.023	NT	Ĭ	МŢ	Ĭ	τı
CHRY- SENE	Ĭ	NT	T1	Ĭ	Ł	ΤN	μŢ	NT	NT	NT	Ĩ	N	Ł	NT	μ	IN	NT	х	Į	NT	M	ž	T	м М			Q	Q	NT	NT	Ĭ	Ĭ	t N
PHEN	Ĭ	Ĩ	NT	M	Ĭ	IN	NT N	лт	Ν	ł	Ĩ	Ĭ	T	1 N	TN	ž	Т	Т	NT	NT	M	Ĭ	ħ	 tv	- 		2	Q	цт	Į	ħ	NT.	L
BENZ	ź	h	ź	Ĭ	Ż	Ĭ	ţ	Į	ħ	Ł	ž	Ĭ	ž	ż	ž	Ŧ	NT	Ł	ħ	r1	Ł	Ī	ŗ	NT L	Ş	0.016	0.010	g	r T	Ī	Ī	ŧ	ţ
4.NP	IN	ħ	ħ	ħ	ž	1N	Ł	хı	мt	r.	ž	Ĭ	ž	NT	Ĭ	ţ	NT	ħ	М	NT	۲	ž	r1	т Т	Ę	2000	0.331	g	NT	'n	Ĭ	ħ	ħ
2-NP	ĪZ	Ł	ž	цт	Į	11 N	ħ	NT	μ	NT	ł	μ	ħ	IN	h	r T	M	N	LN 1	NT	NT	ž	ţ	NT T	5	a lo	0.026	Ŷ	Ł	μ	M	μ	Ł
2.4 DNP	μı	Ĭ	Ł	TN -	ž	Ĭ	тı	NT	цт	ц	ц	M	ħ	Ĭ	Ŧ	T	N	ź	хт	NT	Ŧ	Ĭ	ž	۔ لا		ŝ	2	g	ц	ł	ž	хт	tz
\$€ ONC	LΙ	ž	ż	ħ	Ĭ	1N	Ĭ	Ł	F	Ł	Т	Ł	Ł	Ĭ	Ł	ź	IN	ΕN	ź	Į	z	IN	Ĭ	¥	Ę		2	Q	T	ţ	Ĭ	L.	NT
2,4 DMP	NT	NT	ž	хt	ž	ź	м	NT	r1	Ł	Ţ	F	ž	ž	ž	ż	¥	ţ	ž	Ę	Ę	ž	Ł	¥	Ş	820	Z	Q	NT	μ	T	хт	NT
2.4 DCP	IN	NT	¥	Ł	ž	Ĭ	ž	л	Ł	NT	r1	NT	Y	ž	ž	ż	NT	Ĭ	М	NT	ž	ż	Ę	Ĭ			Ş	g	NT	Ł	ł	Ł	NT
EDC	IN	QN	Q	0.002	QN	g	QN	g	NT	g	ç	Q	M	Ł	T	ţ	NT	Ĭ	NT	NT	Ł	M	ţ	ž	Ş		Q	Q	QN	Q	Q	Q	NT
TOTAL PHEN	0.009	0.017	0.19	0.012	0.123	0.02	0.021	0.05	0.214	0.151	0.231	0.50	0.022	g	0.04	0.01	0.063	0.023	0.17	0.110	0.006	0.006	0.082	0.012	0 633	0.430	0.085	0.096	0.278	0.73	0.069	0.101	0.250
×	QN	Ð	g	2 Z	Ł	Ŧ	ŗ	Į	ĝ	2 Z	g	0.0011	g	g	g	g	9	Q	g	Q	Ŷ	0.030	Q	9 2	μ	ž	ž	Ţ	Т	z	Ŧ	Ł	10.700
E	QN	ę	ç	g	Ĩ	Ĭ	Ч	ž	Q	ç	Q	Q	g	<u>ç</u>	0.0014	9	g	g	Q	Q	Q	g	g	Q	0 107	0.000	0.140	4.48	NT	NT	T	Ţ	1.100
Т	QN	Q	ĝ	g	ş	Ð	g	0.00268	Q	0.00375	9	g	9	ĝ	2	g	g	ç	Ð	Q	ę	0.003	Ð	 9	7 5	0.00	0.407	1.78	0.71	0.023	0.93	8.916	9.800
в	QN	Q	g	g	g	g	Ŷ	0.00075	Q	Q	g	2	g	Q	2	Q	g	g	Q	Q	Q	g	g	 9	4 1 0	5.6	6.65	1.91	10.7	8.5	8.9	11.130	9.200
TOX	NT	Ð	Ī	0.002	ž	T	ž	ž	Ĩ	ž	T	tz	T1	ž	ž	МТ	NT	ž	Т	Į	Ĭ	Ĭ	Ţ	NT N	۲ ۲۷	. L	T I	μ	NT	Ĭ		Ĭ	NT
100	18.0	24.0	24.0	18.0	ħ	МŢ	ħ	Ĭ	Ĭ	T	11.30	12.8	Ę	ź	Ĭ	ţ	18.0	27.0	23.0	15.0	29.0	17.0	16.0	12.0	1001	130.0	63.0	170.0	Ĭ	ž	Ę	ž	Ł
MPLE MTE	-Mar-86	-Jun-86	Sep-86	Dec-86	May-87	Nov-87	Jun-88	Nov-88	Mary-89	0ec-89	-Jun-90	Nov-90	Jun-91	10-vov	Jun-92	Dec-92	-Mar-86	Jun-86	Sep-86	Dec-86	-Mar-86	- 98-un-	Sep-86	Dec-86	Mar D6	Series -	Sep-86	Dec-86	May-87	Nov-87	Jun-88	Nov-88	May-89
s y	8	3	¢	\$	Ŕ	1-	સ	8	<u>5</u>	7	¢ ₽	4	₿	7	<u> </u>	ŧ	\$	\$	₫	16-	8	2	¢	16-	- 2	3 8	<u>ل</u>	₫ -	뒇	17-	<u> </u>	€	254
MELL D	I-W																AW-2				E-Wh												

GROUNDWATER

TABLE 5 (Page 2 of 4)

SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

SAMPLE DATE TO	26-Mar-86 14.	23-Jun-86 21.	18-Sep-86 20.	16-Dec-86 9.0	28-May-87 N	17-Nov-87 N	3-Jun-88 N1	18-Nov-88 N	25-May-89 N1	1-Dec-89 N1	19-Jun-90 7.4	14-Nov-90 8.6	18-Jun-91 N1	7-Nov-91 N	9-Jun-92 N1	11-Dec-92 N1	26-Mar-86 11.	23-Jun-86 4.(18-Sep-86 4.(1 16-Dec-96 2.0	Z6-Mar-86 5.0	23-Jun-86 13.	16-560-00 6.	16-Dec-86 8.0		22- him-86 187	18-Sep-86 24(16-Dec-86 27	8-Nov-91 63.	7-Feb-92 100	10-Jun-92 97.	16-Oct-92 48.	26-Mar-86. 34	23-Jun-86 76	18-Sep-86 12
<u>م</u> د	N NT	ON O.	NO NT				T	T T	т т	T 	₽ 	20 NT	н Т	T - NT	T T	T N	D.	0 N1						Q 0	!!	2 4	LX 0	5 NT	3 0.04	0.05	.7 0.04	9 0.03	• 	۲ ۶	Z - 2
•	QN	g	9	2 	2	9	2	g	g	0.0108	2	2	ž	g	g	Q	0.015	g	0.058	600 ⁻⁰	£	2 9	2	2	, , ,	<u>t</u> -	17.7	1.49	1 16.200	4 2.740	9 15.600	5 17.500	0.093	2	0.041
H	Q	Q	g	9 	2	9	9	0.00186	g	0.092	Q	9	z	g	Q	9 9	0.053	g	0.00	QN	Z	2 9	z	Q		0.5	10.6	0.754	0.309	1.570	1.18	0.700	9	9	0.054
ш	QN	g	g	9 2	¥	Ż	ł	LN K	2	0.098	g	ç	Ł	g	ç	Q	0.007	2 	8. 8	QN	0.107	29	Ì	2		7 1	0.015	0.504	8.700	0.610	4.800	2.200	9	g	Ð
×	Q	ç	g	Ş	z	ž	z	Į	g	0.0223	g	Ð	Ę	g	0.0012	9	ž	Ż	z	QN	g	ŧ!	Z	Q		2 5	ž	g	10.820	2.940	6.800	7.300	g	łz	Ż
TOTAL	0.006	0.007	0.034	0.021	0.334	2	0.064	0.16	0.362	0.00	0.102	0.03	Ŧ	0.002	0.02	0.0 2	ę	0.00	0.036	0.025	9	0.05	160.097	0.042		22.0	0.17	0.160	0.115	0.11	0.330	0.180	0.147	0.186	0.065
EDC	NT	Q	g	ç	0.72	2	g	g	Ĭ	Ŷ	Ð	Q	łz	ħ	ż	IN	Q	P	ĝ	QN	2	9 9	Z	g		2 5	2	2	NT	ы	M	Ł	ç	Q	g
\$\$	NT	M	NT	Tz	ž	łz	ž	Ţ	Ţ	ž	Ĭ	NT	T	Ł	Ĩ	NT	g	2	ş	QN	g	9	Ð	g				9	NT	М	ŗ	Г	g	g	Q
24 DMD	NT	NT	NT	IN	NT	z	Į	NT	NT	NT	TN TN	NT	NT	NT	ΝŢ	Ĭ	g	Ð	ę	QN	9 2	2	Q	QN			2	2	NT	NT	NT	NT	0.025	Ð	Q
÷28	NT	л	NT	11	Ĭ	τı	Ţ	Ĭ	NT	Ţ	NT	ţ	Ţ	Ŧ	Į	ž	0.013	Ð	ç	9	Q	9	g	9 9		2 5	2 9	g	T	T	NT	NT	0.020	g	Q
24	NT	T	NT	1z	T	Ĭ	T	Ĭ	11 N	M	NT	IN	T	NT	Ĭ	Ī	g	g	ç	Q	2	<u>P</u>	2	Q		2 9	2 5	2	TN	NT	tz	NT	g	Q	g
t L	NT	NT N	NT	L L	Tz 	ž L	- Lu	TN TN	NT N	NT N	LN LN	NT -	TN TN	T T	NT N	ž	2 Q	2		ND N	∡ 9	2	о́ ОN	4 QN					LN N	NT	NT	NT	2 9	2 Q	0.002 0
NP BE	1 1	Z L	ZT N	z - 17	z F	z 	ァ ー ラ	z Ļ	2 11	z 1	z 	<u>را</u> ح	z 5	z 	ע קו ד	z	ž Ģ	0.0	002 V	v Q	ź 9	z 9	z 88	ż Q		z 2	9	N O	N L	7 V	<u>را</u> ال	z Ļ	z ç	ž –	0.016 N
NZ TH PHE	T T	E E	IN N	ž –	N I	2 	II II II	z z	E E	Е Е	Z L	T N	Z Z	1 2	й - Т	ž	Z Q	N N	z 	ž o	ž	ž	2 	v v			00 00	01:0	T N	т –	IT N	ž	0.0	ž o	ž o
N SEN	LN J	- T	<u></u>	2 	2 	Ξ 		2 	- - -	LZ L	N	- - -		- - -	2 		UZ O	8	2 	0.0	2 	2	2 						Z	LZ L	Z Z	2	12 06	2 	<u>2</u>
Y. RUC F REN	NT	Tz	IN .	z _	T I	z	TZ	±	z	TZ	TN	T I	tz	TZ	17 	T T	9	02 22	2	2 Z	9		2	Q		59	2 2	2	ž	LZ .	vt	z ź	0.03	9 2	9
APP I	NT	r 1	NT	Ī	in in	z	Ŧ	ž	z	Ī	ž	NT	Ĭ	I	ž	z	9	2	2	9	9	Ş	2	Q			2 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ž	T	Ĭ	ź	Q R	Q	2
I PYR	NT	ž	Г	ž	LN L	Ł	Ĩ	ž	NT	Ĭ	Ĭ	N	ž	Ĩ	ħ	ž	Ŷ	g	g	Q	g	Q	2	Q		2 9	0000	G	ž	Ĭ	N	ħ	0:030	Q	g
CHER	NT	Ĭ	N	۲	N	z	Ĭ	ž	11 N	Ĭ	Ī	Ĩ	r1	ź	ž	ž	Ş	Ş	ç	9	2	Z	2	Q			2 5	2	Ĩ	IN	NT	NT	2	g	ĝ
PORC	Ĭ	r.	Z	ż	z	ż	Ĩ	ž	T	IN	Ĭ	ł	х	ž	Ĭ	Ī	Ş	2	0.00	g	g	ę	g	Q		2 9	2 5	2	ź	ţ	ź	Ĭ	g	Q	ç
2N38 2N38	NT	NT	NT	NT	NŢ	л	NT	NT	NT	Ĭ	IN	NT	NT	Ĭ	NT	Ĩ	g	g	ç	0.00	g	ç	2	Q		Şģ	Ē	9	T	NT	NT	NT	Q	Q	Q
A HGAN	NT	NT	лт	ţ	NT	T	11	NT	NT	ţ	NT	NT	NT	11	NT	ţ	ę	g	Q	g	9	g	Q	Q		2 9	0.028	Q	NT	NT	NT	Ĭ	9	9	Q
ANTH	IN	ž	NT	N	z	ž	ĪZ	z	Т	ħ	N	Ţ	11 N	ŗ	NT	T.	Ş	Q	g	Q	g	Ð	Q	Q			ŝ	Ş	Ţ	Ĩ	Ĭ	M	0.039	Q	Q
FLUORA	NT	NT.	NT	Ł	Ł	ħ	Ĭ	ħ	N	IN	NT	N	Ī	NT	TN	Ĭ	Ş	Ŷ	Q	g	2	Z	Q	9		2 9	ŝ	2	Ĭ	ТN	IN	NT	0.034	Q	QN

GROUNDWATER

ţ



SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

												_		_	_												-	
FLUORANTH	NT	NT	NT	μ	z	۲	Ł	z	tv	NT	Ł	LZ I	M	EN LA		NT	NT	ł	NT	M	:	2 4	žŻ	TN	ź	I	LZ I	L N
ANTH	Ţ	IN	NT	5		NT	Ţ	ž	5	N	ž	LZ :	Į	5		NT	NT	Į	Į	NT	!	ž	źŻ	NT	Ţ	ł	۶!	tz
A. Naph	NT	ł	M	μ	Z	И	ħ	Į.	ž	ħ	Į	z	¥	L.		ž	ž	Ĩ	۶	NT	!	z t	z	LT N	Į	NT	iz !	ż
BRZ FLR	IN	 F	- L	LN FN		NT	Ł	Į	 L	N	Ę	tz :	T T	- 5		NT	 L		 L	NT		zł	žŻ	Тл	Īz	Т	Ę	Ľ
PONC	Į	- tv	NT	ыт	-	NT 	NT	Ţ	NT 	 1	Ĭ	ž	NT	5		NT	NT -		NT 	NT				Ł	ţ	Ĭ	۲.	L Z
2 SHS FER	NT	- L	NT	- -	-	NT	Ĭ	ż	NT NT		ž	z	NT	5		NT	TN N		NT 	NT	- !:	z t	zż	Ĭ	Ĩ	лт	Į.	L L
Рүк	NT	TN -	NT	тт 		NT	ħ	NT	NT -	NT	Ł	ž	NT	ЧТ		NT	- Lu	NT 	 M	NT	!	z	zŻ	ţ	Ĭ	х	12	r T
NAPH	II	- L	TI I	μ		Į	LN N	T.	- LN	Ĭ	Ĭ	ž	Ĭ	1		1N	NT N	NT	۲ ۲	NT	!!	zł	zź	Ĩ	1 v	LT N	ħ	z
RENE	NT	- Lu	NT	TN TN		NT	ΝŢ	ż	TN N	TN	ŗ	ž	NT	 		1N	NT	NT	Ĭ	NT	- !:	z ł	z	Ĩ	Į	z	ž	
CHRY. SEME	NŢ	Į	NT	Į		Į	ł	Ł	ž	IN	M	Ę	۲.	5		ž	Ĩ	Į	¥	NT	!	zł	zŻ	IN	Ţ	z	Ę	Į
PHEN	N	L LN	NT	۲.		ħ	Ţ	ż	TN L	NT	Ę	ž	ħ	5		Ĭ	ħ	NT	Ĭ	IN	!	z ł	z	Ţ	MT	Ţ	Į.	1 X
BENZ	NT	N	NT	L.	z	N	Т	ž	L L	NT	ż	Ż	NT	Ę		NT	ħ	NT	Ĭ	NT	!	z :	žŻ	Ĩ	ž	ž	¥	Ł
4.NP	NT	Ł	Ĭ	5	Ξ	Ł	NТ	LN.	L L	h	NT	ż	ħ	μ		۲ı	ħ	I	Ł	ħ	!	z :	z	NT	ž	Ţ	T	NT
2MP	NŢ	Į	Ĭ	Į		Į	цт	Ż	ž	μī	T	ż	Ł	Ę	Ē	ħ	NT	Į	Ł	Ĭ	!	ž	z	NT	NT	T	T :	NT
2.4 DNP	NT	Ł	NT	M		N	NT	T I	Ł	ħ	ž	Ł	M	ţ		Ĭ	ħ	Į	Ĩ	ъ	!	z ł	ž	Ł	Ŧ	NT	tz	Ł
4.8- CMC	Ĭ	z	μ	ž		۶	ŊŢ	L.	z	NT	Ę	ž	ž	5		NT	ž	Į	NT	NT	!	z ţ	žŻ	Ŧ	т	ž	ž	NT
22 BMG	ĪZ	ž	м	Ļ		NT	Ł	Į.	ħ	NT	Ę	L.	z	ţ		Ĭ	Į	NT	M	NT	!	z 5	ž	ž	Į	Ŧ	۶!	ż
234 DCP 23	z	z	M	ź		ħ	NT	Į.	z	N	Ę	ž	۶	5		NT	Ł	Ł	Ł	ħ	!	Z	εŻ	N	ž	z	£ !	Ī
EDC	Q.	0.0022	Q	0.0156	3	۶	ž	£!	Ā	Į	T .	ŧ!	ħ	Ş		g	0.0016	Q	g	Q	!	z :	žŻ	NT	NT	Ĭ	T :	Ĭ
TOTAL PHEN	۲	80	ħ	50	3	Q	0.020	2	Q	Q	QN	0.010	Q	20	5	ħ	0.13	N	0.05	NT		800.0	0.140	0.260	0.044	0.070	0.140	QN
×	ĨN	3.406	M	2000 C	8	0.004	0.051	0.012	0.002	0.001	0.026	0.630	0.00		3. 	0.866	28.800	7.530	5.403	35.100		007.01	20.900	15.200	Q	1.401	3.200	0.370
ш	i,	0.063	M			Q	0.011	0.003	Q	Q	0.05	2	g	050	3	0.00143	2.9	0.900	0.00286	Q		43./W	27.300	25.200	Q	0.361	2	ç
F	0.46	0.840	Q	1 mm	1.77000	g	0.035	0.008	0.005	0.011	0.020	0.450	0.420	0200	2000	0.034	10.200	1.430	0.062	0.00435		1./00	3.800	2.500	Ð	0.150	1.800	0.440
a	3.0	44.400	Q	- 		0.002	0.201	0.017	0.022	0.001	0.010	1.940	3.010	0073	2	102.200	11.0	4.80	12.000	19.400		3	21.700	17.600	3.830	1.990	4.500	4.410
TOX	۲.	z	, T	- E		0.037	0.041	0.038	0.030	0.065	0.051	0.042	0.048	L L		tz	L L	IN	L L	NT			0.115	0.180	0.040	0.045	0.075	0.068
100	ž	- Z	NT	NT		19.7	21.4	19,2	15.2	12.2	12.9	14.6	14.9	1		NT	- Lu	NT	- L	NT		7.7		26.3	48.9	63.6	88.0	46.9
IMPLE DATE	Hun-88	-Sep 88	Mun-88	Can Re		-Nov-91	-Feb-92	0-Jun-92	6-0ct-92	-Nov-91	-Feb-92	0-Jun-92	6-Oct-92	Con 20		Sep-88	-Sep-88	Sep-88	-Sep-88	Sep-88			-reces	5-Oct-92	-Nov-91	-Feb-92	2-Jun-92.	6-Oct-92
MELL S	MW-11 3	5 	[MW-12] 3	INW 13 0		WW-20 8	2	~		MW-21 8	~	<i>=</i> :	÷			P-1 9	[RW-2] 9	P-2 9	[RW-3 9	P-3 9		6 CI-MN		#	RW-18 8-	~		~

CROUNDWATER

TABLE 5 (Page 4 of 4)

SUMMARY OF ORGANIC GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

NT=Not Tested

ND= Not Detected

Units≔mg/ (approximately equivalent to parts per million (ppm)).

KEY B=Benzene T=Toluene E=Ethylbenzene E=Ethylbenzene Total Phen=Total Phenols Total Phen=Total Phenols 2 4-DKP=2, 4-Dimtro-ornesol 4, 6-DNC=4, 6-Dimtro-ornesol 4, 6-DNC=4, 6-Dimtro-ornesol 2, 2-NP=2-A-Nitrophenol 2, 2-NP=2-A-Nitrophenol 2, 2-NP=2-A-Nitrophenol

BENZANTH=Benzo(a)anthracene PHENE=Phanol CHRY=Chrysene P-C-M-C=P-chtorc-m-cresol BENZFLUOR=Benzo(f)fluoranthene FLUOR=FLuorene A-NAPH=Acentaphthene P-NAPH=Acentaphthene A-NAPH=Acentaphthene A-NAPH=Acentaphthene P-CHLRPHEN=2-Chhoro-phenol FLUORANTH=FLuoranthene FLUORANTH=FLuoranthene TOC=Total Organic Carbon

TOX=Total Organic Halogens

GROUNDWATER

I

9 1 of 4)
6 (Pag
TABLE

Ŀ

SUMMARY OF INORGANIC GROUNDWATER AND WATER QUALITY DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

Ra 228	IN	Г	M	ź	M	h	N	Ţ	Ł	N	L1	r1	NT	ĪZ	N	ħ		z	IN	Ł	Į	5	2	z!	zł		Ł	F	11	ĥ	N	M	h	۲ ۲	
Ra 226	Ţ	ţ	Y	ţ	ħ	M	M	NT	Ł	NT	ź	Ĭ	Ĭ	Ĩ	ţ	ź		ţ	Ĭ	Ł	ħ	Ļ	2	±!	z t		Ĭ	Ĭ	Ł	ţ	ŗ	ž	ł	Į į	
3	NT	Т	Ĭ	Ţ	Ч	NT	Т	NT	T	NT.	ź	NT	TN,	ī	T1	Ŧ		ł	NT	Ĭ	TN	5	2	ż :	ž		ŗ	Ĭ	NŢ	1 T	лт	ž	r1	t t	
L	NT	0.100	NT	0.960	0.0353	0.76	0.60	0.92	Ŋ	Ĭ	TN	11	NT	1 N	0.80	ż		ž	Ĩ	NT	NT	ļ	Ē	z :	ž		Ĭ	0.21	T	0.41	Q	0.019	0.28	0.30 MT	Ē
z	NT	0.540	Ĭ	2.900	12.9	5.66	3.22	4.03	0.561	2.04	6.47	17	2.54	20.6	11.6	20.2		ž	ħ	Т	NT NT		2	±!	z		ž	g	T1	Q	0.035	0.03	0.14	80.0 4	Ē
¥	NT	IN	NT	Ĭ	NŢ	NT	NT	лт	NT	Ĭ	NT	NT	г	Į	8	NT		ż	NT	r T	L T	5		ŧ.	z ł		۲ ۲	Ţ	TN	NT	лт	r T	NT	۲.	Ī
ş	NT	0.25	N	1.11	1.51	1.45	0.85	2.11	Q	1.17	0.59	2.30	Q	2.79	0.27	3.29		Ī	r T	Ę	NT NT	5	Ē	±!	z 5	-	r T	3.5	ž	5.7	5.29	4.77	3.51	3.73	20.0
γγ	NT	g	ř	0.17	0.79	Q	0.21	Q	ž	Ĭ	ž	Ĭ	Ţ	Ę	Т	ž		ž	M	ŗ	Ĭ	-	2 !	±	zż		r F	g	ħ	Q	0.13	0.03	ę	25	ž
Ľ	NT	Q	Ĭ	Q	0.14	Ð	g	Q	Q	0.68	g	14.38	Q	g	Q	0.14		Ę	Ī	Ŧ	Į		2	±!	z 5		NT -	12.0	T T	18.6	0.17	4.59	6.44	5.95	1 7 <u>2'</u> 1
в	NT	ş	۲	0.27	0.70	0.32	0.25	0.32	0.03	0.28	0.31	g	0.32	0.35	0.39	0.55		Ł	NT	NT	LN LN	- !	2 !	Į.	z		r T	g	TN	0.7	0.97	0.59	0.47	0.57	20.0
g	NT	Q	r T	0.055	g	Q	g	Q	Q	g	g	Q	Q	g	Q	Q		Ę	NT	Ŧ	NT			±!	z		11 1	3.54	Ĭ	2.3	9.88	1.8	1.4	1.8	-
ą	Νī	2.07	лт	4.54 0	Q	Q	ç	g	ž	T I	ž	Ĭ	Ę	rt N	۲۲ ۲	T			L L	T N	NT	-	2	ŧ!	z		NT)	1.93	NT	3.8	ę	Q	g	95	z
Zn	QN	0.20	0.04	0.012	0.024	Q	0.03	g	NT	NT	Ĭ	NT	мт	NT N	T N	ħ		g	0.020	0.02	6000		2	0.018	0.00	-	0.012	0.019	9008	0.04	0.022	Q	100.0	21	ź
¥	an	g	g	Q	g	Q	Q	Q	Ţ	LT L	NT N	M	Ĭ	N	NT T	ţ		g	g	Q	QN	-	2			2	0 QN	g	Q	QN	g	Q	<u></u>	91	z
8	QN	0.035	0.033	0.030	0.10	g	ę	g	Ĭ	0011	Ŧ	ž	Ĭ	Ŀ	Ĭ	Ĭ		g	0.070	0.104	0.04		2	0.010	85		oz	0.080	0.063	0.03	0.08	ę	ę	2	z
¥	0.08	g	0.07	0.06	0.12	Q	0.03	Q	Ĭ	NT C	Ĭ	T I	LN LN	Tz	Ĭ	ţ		0.07	g	0.12	0.08	2	3	800	- - -	2	0.08	g	0.12	Q	0.12	Q	0.02	2 5	Z
\$¥	QN	g	Q	Ð	Q	Q	Q	g	Ĭ	ž	Ĭ	ž	Ŧ	T T	ž	Ŧ	8	000	ę	g	Q	ž	5	2 9	2 2	-	0.002	Q	g	ĝ	g	g	g	오 날	
đ	0.085	0.065	0.15	g	0.20	Q	Q	Q	0.05	Ŷ	0.007	g	g	Ŷ	Q	Q		0.12 (ę	0.08	9 9		5	0/0/0		2	0.074 0	0.066	Q	Q	0.14	g	Q	Q 2	3.7
ð	QN	g	Q	Ð	ĝ	Ŷ	Ð	g	ę	g	Q	Q	g	0.02	ş	Q		g	ę	Q	9 9	-	2 9			2	g	g	Q	Q	Q	ç	g	2 9	2
8	0:050	Ð	Q	g	0.023	ç	g	g	g	0.0073	â	g	g	Q	ç	g		0.060	Ş	0.030	Q	510		0.015	2 ÷		0.060	ç	Q	ą	0.018	g	g	<u>9</u>	
Be	Q	Ð	g	0.02	ž	T I	z	TZ	ħ	Ī	ž	Ł	Ł	LT L	M	хт Т		ę	Ð	Ş	9		2 !	2 9	2 2	2	ę	ę	ę	g	Ł	Ĭ	Ł	ž 5	Ē
As	QN	0.077	0.050	Ð	Q	ç	Q	ç	Q	.0005	.0092	9000	Ð	g	g	g		Q	0.094	080.0	9	-	2	0.15		2	- QN	0.070	0.08	g	Q	g	Q	2 5	2
Sb.	QN	ę	 g	0.25	Į	м	NT	LI I	Ĭ	<u></u>	<u>1</u>	LN LN	NT		N	NT		9 Z		 9	480	-	2 9	2 9		-	 9	02	<u>Q</u>	64.	T	NT .	Ī	ty ty	Ē
5	.5	8	13	<u></u>	1.6	55	51	65	3.46	5.61	1.3	8	020	2	82	47		0.1	8	2	372 0	-	3	89	8 8		13	2.5		0 0	8	0			
7	2	4.7 6	14 6	5	¥	0 0	40 8	40 6	T 65.	2.85 51:	1.0 1 46	20 2	8 ≍	9 8	8	200	Ű-	- 8	M.6 1.	۵ ۲	12 1:	۴ 	3 3	≍ 8 55 8	3 8 3 8	*	0 Q	9.7 1:	2	75	ک 4	2	-	<u>م م</u> 	
	36 75	8	8 8	8	72 72	6 6	\$ 8	11	z 	20 114.	52 126	17 17	₽ 0	۳ 9	<u>80</u> 12	17		× ~	80 120	8	9 7	- - -	2 !	2 2	2 ¢		8	8	32 8	29 28	ະ 8	8	8	¥ 2	
P E	28	7 7	7 28	245	321	8 0	22 35(¥ 8	2 2 2 2 2	317	Ř	ž	22	8 8	37.	149,		б С	796 1	8 35	8		ź ł	2 i 2 i	284		3 186	27	× ×	212	ž c	26 26	18,	- C - 18 - 18 - 18	
ъ ц	36 NL	o Q	<u>96 0.0</u>	86 N	87 0.00	87 NL	8 0.0	1N 86	N 80	Z Q	Z Q	N 06	31 - NC	ч Г	2 N	92 N		ž g	0 9	<u>36</u> 0.1	86 N		<u>;</u> ;	20 G		ŝ	70 NC	36 0.1	30 NC	36 NL	37 NC	37 0.00	8 R	2 Z Z	
SAMPI. DATE	26-Mar-	23-Jun-	18-Sept	16-Dec.	28-May-	17-Nov-	3-Jun-8	18-Nov-	25-May-	1-Dec.£	19-Jun-(14-Nov-	18-Jun-(3-VOV-5	9-Jul-9	11-Dec-		26-Mar-	23-Jun-	18-Sep-	16-Dec-	2017 SC					26-Mar-6	23-Jun-f	18-Sept	16-Dec-t	28-May-	17-Nov+	3-Jun-8	18-Nov-	- IBMLC7
WELL	MW-1																	MW-2				ן גאאון	}				MW-4								

CROUNDWATER

TABLE 6 (Page 2 of 4)

SUMMARY OF INORGANIC GROUNDWATER AND WATER QUALITY DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

28	۲ĭ	zź	ź	Ł	ž	Ĭ	Ĭ	лт	Ĭ	ħ	ž	ř	ž	Ĭ	Ĭ	Į	TN	Ţ	Ĩ	ž	ž	ž	Ł	ź	z	ž	r T	Q	g	ç	g	ħ	ŗ	ź	ž	5	ž
88	۲.	zŻ	N	ĩ	Į	NF	NT	NT	NT	Ĩ	ł	ŗ	Ł	Ţ	NT	NT	NT	NT	NT	Ī	ΝT	T	Ţ	ź	Ŧ	ł	л	g	7+14	ç	Q	Ŧ	NT	LN.	NT		z
Ē	tz !	žŻ	NT	Ĭ	NT	ţ	ž	Ŧ	ц	хŢ	M	ţ	μ	Ţ	МŢ	NT	NT	Ţ	мт	Ĩ	Т	ź	NT	ţ	Ĭ	Ч	Г	Q	Q	8	g	ž	NT	Ĭ	NT		z
ц	IN S		0.580	0.0156	0.24	0.22	0.35	NT	х	Ŧ	NT	ŗ	r1	0.25	МТ	NT	T N	Ł	NT	ħ	NT	Ŧ	NT	Ę	Ţ	NT	Ŧ	0.330	0.30	0.340	0.430	ЪТ	ž	N	NT N	2	ž
z	IN		36.000	27.01	36.4	32.9	27.8	21.04	24.85	16.75	23.1	Ł	24.1	9.11	6.57	TA L	Ĭ	Ł	ž	ħ	ħ	Ł	Ĩ	Ę	Ł	Ĭ	Į	ç	9	Q	Q	ħ	Ĭ	, T	r T		90.0
æ	۲.	z z	Ī	T	Ĭ	х	Ĭ	NT	Ĭ	NT	Ĭ	Ĭ	IN	1280	Ĭ	M	NT	M	Į	τı	TN.	NT	NT	ħ	ł	TN	лī	471	\$	ą	239	IN	Ł	Ţ	M		Iz
ş	TN 2		Q	0.09	ę	1.45	g	Q	Q	Q	g	Ч	0.12	9.11	0.0	NT	Ţ	L N	NT	Ĩ	Ĭ	ł	NT	Ŧ	Ł	ż	Ŧ	3.220	1.970	3.050	2.190	NT	Ĭ	TN	NT		Ī
Ŵ	۲.	ŻŻ	0.08	ç	Ð	g	Q	ł	T	N	TN.	t	ħ	ħ	Į	NT	Ł	Ł	ħ	Ę	ħ	ţ	ħ	ź	ΝT	IN	TN	Ŧ	TN	Ŧ	Ĭ	NT	хт	ž	NT		z
£	NT 220		Q	0.14	9	g	g	g	Q	g	g	Ĭ	g	g	3.72	NT	Ч	Ĭ	ž	Ţ	ř	T	ħ	ž	ž	Ĭ	μ	5.380	0.150	6.630	3.230	NT	Т	Ĭ	NT		z
8	IN S	2 5	0.24	0.24	0.54	0.48	0.45	0.41	0.58	0.06	Q	Ţ	0.48	0.63	0.76	NT	LN LN	ž	M	ž	NT	Ł	μ	ż	Ĭ	Ĭ	ц	Ŧ	ž	Ę	ţ	ΝŢ	ž	TN.	NT	!	z
æ	L C		0.010	Q	g	g	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	ŗ	g	Q	Q	NT	Ł	Į	Ĭ	NT	Ĭ	ħ	NT	ź	ž	Ĩ	ħ	1.600	. 18	1.770	1.100	NT	Ę	L I	NT		L N
2	Ŀ,	C IN	4.34	Q	Ş	Q	ç	T.	Ĭ	Ł	T	ł	ħ	ź	NT	N	Ł	ł	Ĩ	Ŧ	Ł	F	ż	Ę	ž	ż	NT	ž	Ĭ	ž	Ż	NT	ž	Ę	NT	:	z
24	0.012	7 000	0.016	0.024	Ð	9	Ð	NT	Ł	NŢ	Ĩ	'n	IN	Ł	M	0.018	0.016	0.02	0.017	9	0.020	0.02	0.016	0.012	0.015	0.05	0.011	Ţ	Ż	Ĭ	Į	Ð	0.015	0.16	0.01	2	- IN
¥	29		Q	Q	Q	Q	ç	T	Ĭz	ħ	Ĭz	NT	Ł	Ł	ž	QN	0.060	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	g	2	Q	9	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	Q	:	z
8	QN	0.030	0.030	0.14	Q	9	Q	NT	0.0003	NT	۲	T	LN 1	TN	'n	ð	0.65	0.36	0.0	g	0.210	Q	0.040	g	0.040	g	0.03	Ð	2	g	9	Q	0.04	0.071	0.03	ł	z
Z	0.10	2 8	0.07	0.25	Q	0.04	Q	NT	ĽΝ	N	ž	NT	Ĭ	NT	T	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.07	9	0.86	0.21	0.43	0.30	0.25	0.13	0.16	NT	z	Ţ	ħ	0.08	Q	0.18	QN	1	z
₽	o z		Q	Q	9	ĝ	9	хт	Į	NT	ž	Γ	۲	T	Ţ	Q	g	Q	Ş	g	Q	9	9	g	g	g	Ŷ	ĝ	g	g	9	g	9	g	Q	ł	ž
£	0.160	60 S	g	0.20	Q	Q	0.07	0.06	0.044	0.005	ç	Į	Q	0.11	g	g	0.24	0.05	0.26	ç	0.055	Q	Q	ę	0.059	Ş	ç	9	g	0.030	0.020	ę	0.059	0.05	Q	1	z
3	2 2	2 2	Q	g	g	9	9	9	ĝ	g	g	ž	0.03	g	0.02	g	0.052	Ş	0.08	g	g	ç	g	g	g	9	g	g	0.030	g	g	ĝ	9	g	g		z
8	0.100	2 2	0.010	0.026	g	2	Q	g	0.0039	9	ç	ž	ð	9	9	0.050	0.030	ð	0.02	0.010	2	Ş	Q	0.010	9	g	2	g	2	Q	Q	0.02	9	Ð	Q	:	z
Be	99	2 2	Q	Т	ł	Ī	ħ	¥	Ŧ	M	ž	ħ	Ĭ	ħ	Į	ç	QN	Q	Ŷ	Ð	ç	Q	₽	ç	ç	9	Ð	Т	z	NT	ħ	Q	ç	g	0.0	2	z
Ŗ	ov 5	0.07	Q	g	Q	g	Q	Q	0.0006	0.0126	g	ž	Q	9	0.010	Q	0.36	0.22	g	Q	0.072	0:030	Q	Q	g	0.02	Ŷ	0.013	0.010	0.009	0.008	Q	0.053	0.05	Q	5	z
ß.	g g	2 9	0.5	NT	T L	ž	Ĭ	ž	NT	NT	NT	ž	ž	z	T	Q	Q	9	0.83	Q	Q	Q	0.67	ç	Q	g	0.4	T	ż	Ĭ	ž	g	Q	9	0.56		z
ъ Я	14	1237	1132	772.4	1060	<u>8</u>	E	781.03	946.45	1131.6	1110	Ĭ	1370	1190	754	5.5	2400	5802	3630	4.0	1500	286	1270	13.0	114	Q	8	12	117	ន	12	5.3	165	<u>9</u>	10 I	-	8
3	1100	1151	1118	1112	1310	1300	1480	ź	715.62	751.4	1640	Ī	1770	3070	2820	8	8	ន	8	8	8 60	576	913	149	1010	8	<u>6</u>	123	114	117	ĸ	245	570	587	457		ī
g	3840	3184	3788	3902	4300	4200	4080	4196	4594 1	4918 1	4930	M	5390	7634	6960	5076	5406	6348	6940	ő	2910	2284	3450	2360	1718	1428	1684	T		NT 	NT	1546	2820	2408	3272		1900
5	QN C	0.24	g	g	0.016	0:030	<u>Q</u>	2	g	g	0.01	Ł	g	Q	g	Q	0.25	0.10	Q	Ð	Q	Q	0.1	g	0.4	g	g	Ĭ	ž	NT	NT	g	Ð	0:050	-l Q	:	z
PLE	lar-86	98-de	ec-86	ay-87	04-87		88-70	ay-89	8	06-un	06-20	10-01	v-91	J-92	ec-92	ar-86	m-86	80-86	ec-86	lar-86	un-86	ep-86	ec-86	ar-86	98-u	³⁰⁻⁸⁶	96-96	v-91	-92 4-92	n-92	ct-92	ar-86	Jn-86) 98-de	ec-96		894
NS 2	29 29 29	19.02	16-D	28-M	17-N	2	18-N	25-M	Ļ	19-1	14-N	18-1	2-NC	วี	<u></u>	26-M	22	18-S	đ	¥92	8	18-S	1	26-M	ž	18 S	ő	ň-ľ	7-Fe	1-1-	16-0	26-M	ž	18-S] 16-D		3
NELL WELL	8-WM				_											1-WW				MW-B				MW-9						_		MW-10			_		IL-WM

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY
TABLE 6 (Page 3 of 4)

SUMMARY OF INORGANIC GROUNDWATER AND WATER QUALITY DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

238 238	۲Z.	8 2 2 8	2 2 2 2 2	ħ	¥	¥	z	۶.	ŧ	₽₽₽	9	Ş	2+/-1 ND	2
Ra 236	M	ND 01-3 01 ND ND	2222	ţ	M	rt N	۶	F	ħ	ND 4/+9. ND	g	Ş	1.1+/-1	2
B	ΝŢ	5 5 8 5	₽ ₽ ∞ ₽	ħ	Ŧ	ž	ž	ŧ	ź	<u> </u>	g	ş	5 §	2
u.	NT	0.270 0.190 0.250 0.260	0.480 0.430 0.450 0.270	ħ	ħ	NT	Ĭ	Ę	Ĭ	0.290 0.270 0.300	0.170	0.330	0.310	0.260
z	13.1	ND ND 2.430 0.020	02 02 02 02 02 02	Q	¥	9	Þ	Ð	ž	2 2 2	9	Q	<u>9</u> 2	2 2
ka	TN	88 52 84 84 53 84 54	80 52 50	NT	NT	, F	L.	÷.	t N	750 676 709	744	492	470	428
ş	Т	3.860 7.900 5.680 5.200	6.230 5.550 6.800	ĬN	Ĩ	ž	ž	ž	ħ	4.590 3.050 1.130	4.720	4.690	4.240 4.480	4.370
ę	Ĭ	<u> </u>	****	ħ	Į	Į.	Į	ž	ž	555	Ł	NT	t t	ž
ų.	T	0.590 2.520 1.730 0.810	0.810 1.000 1.710 2.490	ħ	Į	¥	Ĭ	ŧ	ž	2.610 10.100 ND	1.940	0.060	10.400 4.300	0.450
a	Ĭ	5 5 5 5	* * * *	Ĭ	Ĭ	Ĭ	ź	۶	M	525	Ĭ	NT	T T	: 12
æ	NT	002.0 007.0 007.0 007.0	<u> </u>	NT 	Į	Į	 tz	Ę	tv	0.80 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.700	1.100	1.20	8
A.	Ĭ	5555	をちてた	NT	NT	Ţ.	M	Ę	z	をちち	- Iz	Į	z z	ž
Ŗ	Ĭ	***	5555	Ĭ	۶	Ĭ	z	۶	M M	555	ž.	NT	t t	: 5
2	NT	<u> </u>	6 9 9 9	Ţ	TN TN	Ţ	r V	Ł	Ĭ	<u> 2 2 2</u>	Q	Q	<u>9</u> 5	2 g
8	I		<u> </u>	Ĭ	'n	¥	۶	ž	z	<u> 9 9 9</u>	g	QN	2 2	2 Q
Ŧ	NT	***	***	Ł	Į	¥	Þ	¥	¥	555	ħ	Į	Ξź	ž
.	NT	2 2 2 2	₽₽₽₽	ħ	Į	Ł	ħ	Į	¥	<u>999</u>	g	Ð	2 5	2
đ	NT	<u> </u>	2 2 2 2	Ĭ	Į	ħ	ź	Ł	¥	<u>999</u>	0.001	g	ð	0.002
8	NT	0.020 ND 0.060 ND 0.060	99999	¥	ŧ	¥	¥	¥	z	00 00 00 00 00 00	g	g	0:030 ND	2
3	NT	<u> 9 8 9 9</u>	99999	ħ	ţ	M	Ł	Ł	¥	<u>555</u>	9	Q	2 2	2
8	ħ	* * * *	***	Ł	MT	ħ	۶	¥	NT	i i i	tN	NT	T T	Ī
Å	NT	0.005 0.007 0.005 0.005	07 07 07 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	M	rt	NT	M	ħ	ź	5 8 8	g	Ş	900. C	2
ß	ti	7 7 7 7	***	ħ	IJ	ħ	Ę	۶.	ħ	t t t	IN I	N	tz tz	- 1 2
8	728	37 37 215 215	416 443 210 210	4.5	- LN	₽	tz	9.5	- I	0 4 0	3	24	م م	59100
0	NT	193 554 361	481 420 737 737	NT M	nt L	tz	- N	LN N	NT N	82 82 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83 83	758	238	20 SQ	3 6
sç	3200	 <u> </u>		3130	LI LI	[<u>8</u> 83		3250	NT -) tu	NT	Į	- E
ā	NT	 * * * * *			 •	L L	- z	<u> </u>	 LN		- LN	L L		ž
PLE	884	7-92 7-92 7-92		- 88 -	82			ಕ್ಷ		ዸ፟ዿ፟ዿ	st-92	-91	-6- -6- -6-	192
S. A	9-Se	1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		8	B-Se	8 6	8 8 	3	8 8 -	1-No 1-No 1-Lu	₫ ₽	1-Ng	7-Fet	٩ ٩
MEIL	MW-13	WW-20	MW-21	RW-1	P-1	RW-2	P-2	RW-3	P-3	RW-15		RW-18		

Į,

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY 1(

i

:



SUMMARY OF INORGANIC GROUNDWATER AND WATER QUALITY DATA BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

NT = Not Tested ND = Not Detected Units = mg/ (approximately equivalent to parts per million [ppm])

KEY:

Zn = Zinc	Al = Aluminum	Ba = Barium	B = Boron	Fe ⊭ Iron	Mo = Molybdenum	Mn ≤ Manganese	Na = Sodium	N = Nitrogen	F = Fluoride	Coli = Coliform	Ra 226 = Radium 226	Ra 228 = Radium 228	
Cn = Cyanide	TDS = Total Dissolved Solids	CI = Chloride	SO4 = Suffate	Sb = Antimony	As = Arsenic	Be = Beryttium	Cd = Cadmium	Cr = Chromium	Pb = Lead	Hg = Mercury	Ni = Nickeł	Se = Selenium	Ag = Silver

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY

:

TABLE 7

Ì

SOIL VAPOR SURVEY RESULTS BLOOMFIELD REFINING SOMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO JULY 1988

ND = not detected

approximate distance east from well MW-11

approximate distance east from well MW-11
 approximate distance north from well MW-11
 SOURCE: "Final Report on Soil Vapor Survey, Well Installation and Hydrocarbon Recovery System", Geoscience Consultants, Ltd. August 1989.

TABLE 8 WATER WELLS WITHIN ONE MILE RADIUS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO

:

	PERMIT NUMBER	WELL	ADDRESS	LOCATION	DATE	TOTAL DEPTH	CASING	SCREEN
	SJ-2148	C.W. Wooten	P.O. Box 1841 Bloomfield, NM 87413	S 1/2, NE 1/4, SE 1/2 Section 27 Twp 29 N Range 11-W	Nov. 1987	305'	7" steel to 39.5' 4" PVC to 266'	266'-306'
તં	SJ-1870	D.E. Walters	P.O. Box 2131 Bloomfield, NM 87413 Tract 2	NE 1/4 Section 23 Twp 29 N Range 11-W	Aug. 1984	58'	6" steel to 58'	None
ઌં	SJ-2026	S. Hinsen	P.O. Box 2562 Bloomfield, NM 87413	SW 1/2, SW 1/4, Section 22 Twp 29 N Range 11-W	Jan. 1986	27'	6 5/8" steel to 21'	21' to 26'
4	SJ-2121	H. Chatto	Lot 10, Huntington Circle, Bloomfield, NM 87413	Not Reported	July 1987	30'	7" steel to 21'	21' to 26'
. ທ ່	002-rs	E.H. Brown	Rt #1, Box 248, Aztec, NM 87410	SW 1/4, SW 1/4, NW 1/4 Section 27 Twp 29 N Range 11-W	July 1978	20'	7" steel to 20'	None
ю	SJ-2210	D.C. Looney	P.O. Box 2462 Bloomfield, NM 87413	S 1/2, NW 1/4, NW 1/4 Section 27 Twp 29 N Bande 11-W	Dec. 1988	32'	6" PVC to 22'	22'-32'

GROUNDWATER

WATER WELLS WITHIN ONE MILE RADIUS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO (Continued) **TABLE 8**

i i

22' to 26' SCREEN 40' to 48' 65' to70' 24'to 34' 107' to 127' None CASING 6" to 24' 7" to 22' 6" to 65' 5.5° to 40' 5" PVC to 107' 6 5/8" to 70' **TOTAL DEPTH** 128' 34' 2 2 27 50' Sept. 1991 Mar. 1979 Nov. 1988 July 1978 July 1988 July 1978 DATE NE 1/4, NW 1/4 NW 1/4, NE 1/4 SW 1/4, SE 1/4 NE 1/4, NE 1/4 Not Reported Not Reported Range 11-W Range 11-W Range 11-W Range 11-E LOCATION Section 22 Section 28 Section 22 Section 21 Twp 29 N Twp 29 N Twp 29 N Twp 29 N P.O. Box 2548 CR Lot 14, Block 2 of Bioomfield, NM 87413 Bloomfield, NM 87413 Bloomfield, NM 87413 116 Road 5010 Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM Southside Add P.O. Box 2386 the Bloomfield Tract A; Loma P.O. Box 1071 Lot 16, Green Valley Estates 5005 #65 A, ADDRESS Addition, 87413, 87413 87413, G.T. Rodriguez W.N. Wampler T.P. Johnson R.H. Phelps M. Faverino M. Aronson OWNER WELL NUMBER PERMIT SJ-2195 SJ-2182 SJ-2330 SJ-695 SJ-796 SJ-701 Ξ. ġ. 12. 2 ω റ്

TECHNOLOGY

GROUNDWATER

TABLE 8 WATER WELLS WITHIN ONE MILE RADIUS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO (Continued)

ŀ

38' to 40' SCREEN 20' to 24' 35' to 55' 28' to 34' 25' to 36' CASING 7" to 20' steel to 25' 7" steel to 38' Plastic 6 3/8" to 28' 6 3/8" to 35' പ് **TOTAL DEPTH** 38, 47' 27 55, 37 Sept. 1984 Sept. 1977 July 1989 July 1978 Oct. 1977 DATE NE 1/4, NE 1/4, SE 1/4 Section 21 NW 1/4, SW 1/4, NW 1/4 NW 1/4, NW 1/4 NE 1/4, NE 1/4 Section 22 Twp 29 N Range 11-W Range 11-W LOCATION Section 27 Section 22 Section 22 Range 11 Range 11 Range 11 Twp 29 Twp 29 Twp 29 Twp 29 Huntington Circle P.O. Box 594 Bloomfield, NM P.O. Box 634 Bloomfleld, NM 87413 Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM P.O. Box 1412 Lot 2&3, Blk 4 Hwy 64, West-P.O. Box 503 P.O. Box 641 Loma Vista ADDRESS Broadway 87106 87413 87413 87413 G.P. McKeown C.W. Jaramillo G.A. Chacon M. Wileman Y. Chavez OWNER WELL NUMBER PERMIT SJ-2227 SJ-1888 SJ-704 SJ-484 SJ-320 4 17. 15. 16. ц.

. . .

GROUNDWATER

WATER WELLS WITHIN ONE MILE RADIUS BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO (Continued) **TABLE 8**

27' to 31' 30' to 47' SCREEN 36' to 39' 35' to 39' 50' to 55' CASING 7" steel to 50' to 27' 5" PVC 7" steel to 36' 7" steel to 35' 6" to 37' steel o, **TOTAL DEPTH** 47' ß 45 \$ 37' June 1985 Aprl. 1985 June 1987 Oct. 1978 May 1985 DATE NW 1/4, NW 1/4 NE 1/4, SE 1/4 Range 11-W Range 11-W Range 11-W Range 11-W Range 11-W W 1/4 Section 25 LOCATION Section 24 Section 22 Section 22 Section 21 Twp 29 N Twp 29 N Twp 29 N Twp 29 NE 1/2 Twp 24 87413, Lot 2, Blk 4, Southside Add 700 South Turner 87413, Lot 9, Blk View Subdivision 87413, Lot 6, Blk 4, Wade Grand Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM Bloomfield, NM 309 S.Johnson Bloomfield, NM 5, Turner No.2 303 Chestnut, P.O. Box 649 Star Route 3 P.O. Box 11 ADDRESS Box 142-B Box 16 87413 87413 A.R. Carpenter C.J. Dunson S.C. Byland M. Gilbert J.Cadvain OWNER WELL PERMIT NUMBER HC-124885 SJ-2138 SJ-1974 SJ-1962 SJ-804 φ 19. 21. 22. 20.



TABLE 9

CLIMATOLOGICAL DATA SUMMARY BLOOMFIELD REFINING COMPANY BLOOMFIELD, NEW MEXICO 1951-1980

	TEMPERATUR	RE (^o f) MEANS		PRECIPITATI	ON (INCHES)
	DAILY MAX.	DAILY MIN.	MONTHLY	MEAN	SNOW-MEAN
JANUARY	40.7	17.8	29.3	0.59	4.3
FEBRUARY	48.1	23.0	35.6	0.44	2.1
MARCH	56.3	28.4	42.4	0.66	1.7
APRIL	66.6	35.5	51.1	0.55	0.5
MAY	77.0	44.5	60.8	0.41	0
JUNE	88.5	53.5	71.0	0.28	0
JULY	92.7	60.1	76.4	0.93	0
AUGUST	89.3	58.3	73.8	1.27	0
SEPTEMBER	82.3	50.6	66.5	0.83	0
OCTOBER	70.0	39.3	54.6	1.16	0
NOVEMBER	53.8	27.5	40.7	0.64	1.0
DECEMBER	42.7	18.8	30.8	0.61	4.6
YEAR	67.3	38.1	52.8	8.37	14.2

Reference: National Climatological Data Center (NCDC, 1984)

SOURCE: "Preliminary Review Report/Visual Site Inspection" EPA Contract No. 68-01-7251, August 25, 1987.



BRC/climatol.tab

APPENDIX A

SPILL REPORTS

BRC/task1.rep

İ

÷

GROUNDWATER



March 18, 1991

Mr. Charles Gholson Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a spill that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on March 8, 1991. Approximately 180 barrles of Jet A (kerosene) were spilled inside a tank dike. The spilled material was immediately recovered by vacuum truck.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

CHOND Howing

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

cc: Richard Traylor Gerald Collins Chad King Joe Warr John Goodrich

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

ALE Dr			بر در معند د من ومن		ADPPFSS		<u> </u>	<u></u>			
	Blomfield	Refining IERFAK	Company TSP111			r Box 1	.59, с 10тн			1. 0/415	
			X			•					
PEUF	DRLG	PROD	TANK P	IFE	GASO	OIL		OTHER*			
ACILITY	WELL_	IWELL I	BIIN IL	INE	:" <u>.NI</u>	<u>IRFY</u>	<u>^</u>				
CILITY	Bloomfiel	d Refining	Company								
D MOLLAN	F FACILIT	Y QUARTER	70042-		······	SEC.		TVP.	RGE.	COUNTY	
R SECTIO	N OR FOOT	AGE DESCRI	PTJON)		••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	L	<u>27</u>	T29	N RIIW	San Ju	an
ESTANCE A	ND DIRECT	JUN FROM N	EAR- v 2 mi	les sout	h of cen	ter of	f Blo	omfield	d. N.M.		
VIF FUD H	OUR				DATE AN		R				
OCCUREN	CE 3/8/	'91 at 10:3	0 p.m.		OF DISC	OVERY	` 3/3	8/91 a	t 10:30	p.m.	
45 INFIEDI	472 1	ES NO	NOT RE	~	IF YES,					······································	••
DTICE SIV	EN?	<u>X</u>	QUIRED		TO WHOM	81					- <u></u>
r 2014 - C	hris Haw	lev			DATE	p 3/1	11/91	at 10	:41 a.m.		
PE OF	11 13 114				QUANTIT	$\frac{1}{Y}$ ·		UANTIT	γ	LOSS	
UID LOST	Jet	A (Keroser	ne)		OFSPILL	180	BBLR	ECOVER	ED 120 BB	EL 60 BBL	
ID ANY FL	UIDS READ	TH YES	NO V	QUANTIT	Y						
WAIERCOU	KSE:	<u> </u>	X	L							
		PROBLEH AND		ACTION 1	AVENA						
While tr problem operator	ansferri was caus was giv	ng Jet A (ed by fail en discipl	kerosene) f ure of the inary actio	rom tanl operator on and co	c 5 to ta r to prop punseling	nk 26 Derly j in a	i, tar monit iccorc	nk 26 w cor the lance w	vas over e transfe vith com	filled. Th er. The pany polic	ne ∶y.
ESCRIBE A	REA AFFE	CTED AND CL	EANUP ACTI	ON TAKEN	**						
The spi	11 occurr	ed at tank	26 and was	; inside	the tank	(dike	e. A \	/acuum	truck Wa	as immedia on the so	il
called	to vacuum on after	spill remo	val. The ac	tual lo	ss is pro	stimat	ted fi	rom tar	nk gauge	S.	
ESCRIPTIC F_AREA	R	FARMING	GRAZIN	IG	URBAN		OTHE	R*	Industr	ial	
URFACE	; 1	SANDY	SANDY	CLAY	ROCKY	,	WET	X	DRY	SNOW	
ESCRIPE O	ENF.RAL C	ONDITIONS	REVAILING	TEMPER	TURE, PE	ECIPI	TATIO	DN. ETC)**		•
The wea the soi	ther was l inside	clear, win the tank d	dy, and ab ike was st	out 35°F ill wet	. There from pre	was no vious	o pre rain	cipita fall.	tion tha	t day, bu	t
IOWLEDGE	AND BELI	HAT THE IN EF	FORMATION A	BOVE IS	TRUE AND	D COMP	PLETE	TO THE	E BEST O	FMY	
IGNED	COMB,	prun	1	TI	ſLE Env	ironm	ental	Engin	ee DATE	March 20), 199
IFY		** \TTAC	H ADDITION/	L SHEET	5 IF NECL	SSARY	1				.
-											

1



August 28, 1989

Mr. Charles Gholson **Oil Conservation Division** 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe. New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a spill that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on August 27, 1989. Approximately 100 barrels of gasoline blend intermediate and water were spilled inside a tank dike. The spilled material was immediately recovered by vacuum Junk 22 will be taken Junk 22 will & slope Junk service & terminate and service terminate and to from API. Apoline from API. truck.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Howing

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King Joe Warr

PO. Box 159 • Bloomfield, New Mexico 87413 • 505/632-8013

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

			ج <u>يديند</u> من بدي ي	المحدد التاري	NDODECC					
ALLE OF	· Ploomfin	ld Dafini.	D. Company		אטויוינא י ה פ	00V 150		d No. 4	ovice 0	7/12
TUP	BIOUNTIE	TOPEAN	iy company			T 159,	DIOOMTIEI	u, <u>New M</u>	exico 8.	/413_
	F IKC	DREAK	SFILL Y	LEAK	DLUWUI		וחכא"			
	<u> </u>	IPPOD	TIANK	PFF	02421		INTHER*			. <u>.</u>
	WELL	WELL	IBTTY	I INE	PI NT	REY X	I			
AME OF		1	,		······	1				
ACILITY	Bloomfie	ld Refini	ng Company	,						
MOLIADO	UF FACILIT	TY (QUARTE	R/QUAR-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SEC.	TYP.	RGE.	COUNT	(
ER SECTI	ON OR FOOT	TAGE DESCR	<u>RIPTION)</u>			27	T29N	R11W	San J	uan
ISTANCE	AND DIRECT	FION FROM	MEAR-	1		с р)				
ST TINN	OR PROMINE	ENT LANDIN	<u>IRK 2 mi</u>	les south	or cent	er of Bl	oomfield,	N.M		
WIE AND	HOUR	30 nm t	0 5·00 p m	n <u>8/27/8</u>	DATE AN	ID HOUR	5.00 p m	912719	20	
F OCCUPE						<u>.UVERY</u>	<u> </u>	, 0/2//0		
AS IMPED	141C VEN2	ιςο ΕΜ(Χ Ι	רטאין ע מדווח	κ <u>τ</u> ~	TO LUO	h Cha	rles Chole	٥n		
VIILE OL					TO WHU					
HOM Ch	ris Hawle	у				IR 10:	35 a.m. 8	/28/89		
YPE OF		<u> </u>			QUANTI	TY 100	TOUANTITY	99+	LOSS	Small
LUID LOS	TGasoline	Blend In	termediate	e/Water	OFSPIL	- bbls	RECOVERE	D bbls	amt.to	evap.
ID ANY F	LUIDS REA	CH YES	INO	QUANTI	TΥ					
WATERCO	URSE?		X							
F YES, D	ESCRIBE F	ULLY**							•	
TIRE	CALLER OF	PRORI FIL A	NO REMEDI	ACTION	TAKEN**					
Water	fraw to su	mp was on	ened and	left unatt	ended T	he sump		י פער גע	was clos	ed
(operat	tor should	l have ope	ned it) ca	ausing the	sump to	overflo	w. The cal	ISE Was	operator	
error.	The opera	tor will	be counse	led.		5151110				
	•		,							
ESCRIBE	AREA AFFE	CTED AND	CLEANUP A	CTION TAKE	N** .					
Mainter	nance was	called ou	it to vacu	um up the	spill.	The spil	1 occurred	l at tanl	k 22 and	
was ins	ide the t	ank dike.		·	•	·				
ESCRIPT		CADMINC		71NC			TUC 04			
E ADEA		rakutnu	вка	21NG	UKRAN	- Ic	JIHEK*	tnial		
LIRFACE		SANDY	ISANDY			·v 1.			LCHUN	
ONDITIO	NS	3/11/21	LOAM	X			n. I	X	1.51104	
ESCRIPE	GENERAL C	CONDITIONS	PREVAILI	NG (TEMPFI	RATURE .	RECIPIT	ATION. FTC	<u></u>		
_				1 · · · · · ·				- 1		
Warm a	nd dry, at	bout 80°F.	,							
- UFACE										
HEREBY	CERTIFY 7	IHAT THE	INFORMATIO	IN ABOVE I	S TRUE AI	ID COMPL	ETE TO THE	BEST OF	MY	
NOWLEDG	E AND BEL									、
	nenh1	10.11		FNUIR	ONMEN	TAL EN	UGINEER	8-3	50-34	1
IGNED	XIN	1 male	Y	r					- /	
					1166			UME.		

CIFY

**ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY

Bloomfield Refining Company A Gory Energy Corporation Subsidiory

July 25, 1989

Mr. Charles Gholson Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a minor spill and fire that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on July 24, 1989 at 8:30 p.m. The spill and fire resulted when the flare discharged liquids that caught on fire. The fire was put out with dry chemicals which minimized dispersion of hydrocarbons. The only losses were from burning. Liquids were collected in the flare sump.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely yours,

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King Joe Warr

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

AILE O:	Rloomf:	ald Pofini		v		NDO PESS	ov 150		mfiald	Nov May	100 97/12
PERATUR			Tentu	J J J J J L C C T	_ L		<u></u>	, DIUU	mi ieid	, new nex	10 0/413
	FIRE X	BREAK	SPILL	LEAK		BLOWOD	1	OTHER	{ *		
YPE OF	DRLG	PROD	TIANK	PIPE	-10	SASO	OIL	10	THER*		
ACILITY	WELL	WELL	BTTY	LINE];	H_NT	RFY	X			
AME OF											
ACILITY	Bloomfi	eld Refini	ng Compan	y		·	·				
OCATION U	F FACILI	TY (QUARTE	R/QUAR-				SEC.	_ <u>{T</u>	γP.	RGE.	COUNTY
ER SECTIO	<u>N OR FOO</u>	TAGE DESCR	<u>IPTJON)</u>	···			2	7 1	29N	<u>R11W</u>	San Juan
ISTANCE A	ND DIREC	TION FROM	NEAR							-	
ST TOWN O	<u>R PROMIN</u>	ENT LANDMA	<u>RK</u>	miles	sou	th of ce	<u>nter o</u>	f Bloo	mfield	<u>, N.M.</u>	
RIE AND H	OUR	. 04 1000				DATE AN	ID HOUF	₹			
F OCCUREN	CE JUIJ	24, 1989	at 8:30 p).M.		OF DISC	OVERY	Sa	me		
AS IMMEDI	478	YES NO	NOT	RE-		IF YES,	Ch	arles	Gholso	n	
OTICE GIV	<u>EN?</u>	<u> </u>		RED	<u>X</u>	TO WHOM	NM	OÇD			
Y	.			•		DATE					
HOM	<u>Chris</u> I	<u>lawley</u>				AND HOU	<u>JR Ju</u>	<u>1y 25,</u>	1989	11:30/	<u> </u>
YPE OF						QUANTIT	Y _		[]	VOLUME RE	-
LUID LOST	Light	nydrocarbo	ns			OF LOSS	<u>5 5</u>	bbls.		<u>COVERED</u>	<u></u>
ID ANY FL	UIDS REA	CH YES	MO	QUAN	TIT	Y.					
WATERCOU	RSE?	L	X								
F YES, DE	SCRIBE F	ULLY**									•
RIBE C	AUSE OF	PROBLEM AI	ND REMEDIA	N. ACTI	т ис	AKEN**					
Power fa	ilure an	d start-up	causing (excess l	nydr	ocarbons	to fl	are di	ru n. Fir	e caused	when
liquids (discharg	ed from fl	are stack	. Fire p	out	out with	ı dry c	hemica	als. Li	quids co	llected
							•			. (92	below)
ESCRIBE A	REA AFFE	CTED AND	CLEANUP AG	CTION T	AKEN	** .					•
In flare	sump an	d vacuumed	to API so	eparato	r. S	ome cons	umed i	in fire	e. Some	e surface	staining
to south	of flar	e. Reviewi	ng causes	of exce	ess	hydrocar	bons t	to flai	re drum	n to prev	ent future
occurren	ce.										
						• <u> </u>					
ESCRIPTIO	ן או	FARMING	GRA:	LING		URBAN		OTHER	*		
IF AREA						<u> </u>		<u> </u>	Indus	<u>strial</u>	
URFACE		SANDY	SANDY	, CI.A	Y	ROCK	Y	WET	D	RY	SHOW
UNDITIONS	; }		HOAM >	< 1		1		I	- I	X	

ESCRIPE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPERATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)**

Wind blowing to south which kept hydrocarbons on refinery property. Recently rained, about 75°F.

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

		and the	ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEER	7-25-89
	IGNED	anothing	TITLE	DATE
:	STCIFY	** ATTACH	ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY	



September 18, 1987

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a minor spill of 10 barrels of slop oil that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on September 12, 1987. The spill was contained inside a diked area and immediately cleaned up, resulting in little or no loss.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Cons 1

Shris Hawley / Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Attachment

cc: Richard Traylor Chad King Mike Macy

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

ALLE DE PERATUR	Bloomfi	eld Refin	ing Compa	iny	ADPPFSS P. 0.	Box 159,	Bloomfie	ld, N.M.	87413
	FIRE	BREAK	SPILL X	LEAK	BLOWO	JT	OTHER*		
YPE UF	DRLG	PROD	TIANK	PIFE	GASO	OIL	UTHER*		
ACILITY	WELL	WELL	IBTTY	LINE	1:1.NT	RFY	<u>^ </u>		
AME OF ACILITY	Bloomfi	eld Refin	ing Compa	any					
JCATION U	F FACILIT	Y (QUARTE	R/QU12-			SEC.	TVP.	RGE.	COUNTY
ER SECTIO	N OR FOOT	AGE DESCR	<u>IPTJON)</u>			27	T29N	RIIW	San Juan
ISTANCE A	ND DIRECT	ION FROM	MEAR-					•	
ST TOUN C	R PROMINE	NT LANDHA	<u>RK</u>	2 miles sou	ith of BI	oomfield	1, New Mex	100	·····
ATE AND H	IOUR			~~	DATE A	ND HOUR		12 1007 -	+ 9.30 n m
F OCCUPEN	ICE Septem	ber 12, 1	<u>98/ at 8</u>	:30 p.m.	<u> 10F DIS</u>	<u>COVERY</u>	September	12, 1907 a	
45 INMEDI	ATE Y	ES NO	ТОМ	RE-	IF YES	,			
OTICE GIV	EN?			RED X	TO WHO	M			
Y					DATE				
1011					AND HO	UR		7	
YPE OF		1			QUANTI	TY	0	VOLUME RE	- 10 barrels
UID LOST	STOP UT	1			OF LOS	<u>S</u>		COVERED	
ID ANY FL	UIDS REAC	H YES	0M	V QUANTI	TY	•			
WATERCOL	JRSE?	<u> </u>		<u>^</u>					
F YES, DE	SCRIBE FU								•
			0 05450		TAVENE				
165 0	AUSE OF 3	RUBLEH AN	IN KEMEDI	AL AUTION	IAKEN**				

Failure to closely monitor Tank #9 (API slop tank) for level resulting in overflow. Operator talked to about the incident. Solutions to give Operators better warning or piping overflow to API being considered.

ESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKEN**

Diked area near API separator affected. Oil cleaned up and returned to separator.

ESCRIPTION F AREA	FARMING	GRAZIN	G	URBAN	OTHER* Indu	strial		1
URFACE	SANDY	SANDY	CLAY	ROCKY	WET	DRY	SHOW	-1
JNDITIONS	iX	LUAM			<u> </u>	^		
ESCRIBE GENERAL	CONDITIONS	PREVAILING	(TEMPERA	TURE, PRECIPI	TATION.	ETC.)**		1

Dry, temperate day.

HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY NOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

IGNED TITLE Environmental Engineer DATE September 18, 1987 SPICIFY **ATTACH/ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY

Bloomfield Refining Company A Gary Energy Corporation Subsidiary

March 2, 1987

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a notification of a spill of 290 barrels of regular gasoline that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on February 24, 1987. The spill occurred inside a tank dike.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

Consitoning

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

ADPPESS															
Ĕ, Ĵr IP	Bloomf	ield A	Refinin	ng Co	ompany	,		AD P	NNESS .0. Box	159,	B16	oomfield	, New	Mexi	co 87413
	FIRE	BREA	NK	SPI	LI. X	٦î	EAK		BLOWOU	T T	101	HER*			
EUF	DREG	PRO) T	' / ÆNK RTTY	FP 	11 11	PE NF	GÅ	SO NT	OIL	X	TOTHER*			
E 05	Bloomf	ield F	Refinii	ng Co	ompany	 ,	<u></u>	:. <u>-</u>		<u></u>					
ATION O	F FACILI	TY (QI	JARTER	70ูบิง	<u>8</u> -			-		SeC.		TVP.	RGE		COUNTY
SECTIO	N OR FOO ND DIREC	TION I	ROM M	PT JO F.AR	<u>N)</u>			•.		L	_27	<u>[129N</u>		<u>IW</u>	San Juan
F AND H	<u>R PPGMIN</u> OUR Fet	IENT L/	100MAR	K 987	2 mil	es	south	o TD	F Bloon	nfield D HOU	I, N R	ew Mexic	0		
OCCUREN	CE at	4:00 a	<u>a.m. t</u>	<u>5 5:</u>	00 a.m],_	<u></u>		F DISC	OVERY	F	ebruary	24, 1	.987 a	t 5:00 a.m.
ICE GIV	EN?	X			QUIREC	<u>D</u>		Ī	O WHOM	Off	ici	al at Az	tec o	office	for Chavez
)H Chri	s Hawley	/			<u></u>				ND HOU	R Ma	irch	2, 1987	at 1	1:00	a.m
E OF	Reg	jular (Gasoli	ne					UANTIT	Y 5 5	5 bb	1		JME RE ERED	- 285 bb1
) ANY FL	UIDS REA	КН	YES	N	<u>х</u> 0		QUANTIT	Ŷ	•						
YES, DE	SCRIBE I	ULLY*	*			_1_						,			
															
Problem	AUSE OF 1 was cau	PROBL	EM AND y oper	REP ator	IEDIAI. error	۸ i م	CTION 1 in maki	rak ng	(EN** a ble	nd of	reg	ular gas	oline	e. The	blend
compone indirec	ent, base t inform	e gas, nation	was d about	iale the	d into blend	b t d c	the ble problem	nd s	meter was no	at 50 t inve)75 esti	instead gated. 1	of 30 he or)75 an Derato	d subsequent r was
SCRIRE A	REA AFE	FCTED	AND CI	FANI	IP ACT		N TAKE	1							
sign wi	ill be p	osted	to rem	ind_	pumper	rs .	to che	ck	blend	serea s thoi	by roug	hly and	insti	rument	ation is
added t	reviewed to float	tor c	hanges asolin	. i e, s	he gas ubsequ	so Jer	line wa ntly pi	s ck	contai ed up 1	ned in by vac	n th cuum	e tank d truck.	like.	Wate	er was
SCRIPTIC)N	FARMI	NG		GRAZI	NG		-)î	IRBAN		TOT	HER*			
AREA IRFACE		SANDY		SAN	I DY	-	CLAY	L	ROCK	Y	WE		DRY		SNOW
SCRIPE (SENF.RAL	CONDIT	X IONS F	LOAD	M MILING		TEMPER	AT	URE, PI	RECIP	 1 1 1 1	X ION, ET(<u> </u>	- •	
The are	ea was s	aturat	ed fro	m re	cent r	ra	in. Th	e	temper	ature	was	below 1	freez	ing.	
HEREBY (CERTIFY	THAT T	HE INF	ORM	ATION	AE	BOVE IS	T	RUE AN		PLET	E TO TH	E BES	TOFI	
OWLEDGE	AND BEL	164													
IGNED (2/11/3/-	ton	m				TI	TL	E Envi	ronme	ntaì	Engine	er DA	.T.E. Ma	arch 2, 1987
IFY.		**	ATTACI	A AD	DITION	1AL	SHEET	S	IF NEC	ESSAR	Y				



July 25, 1986

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a minor spill of 20 barrels of naphtha that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on July 24, 1986. The spill occurred inside a tank dike. Immediate action was taken to clean up the spill, resulting in a minimal loss.

Please call me if you need additional information.

Sincerely,

CATUS / Amm

⁺ Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

Cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

F A.					ADPPESS	°27, 21, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27, 27				
μ. A	Bloomfi	eld Refini	ng Company		<u>P. O. B</u>	ox 159	, Blo	omfield	I, New Me	xico 87413
UKI	FIRE	BREAK	SPILL	LEAK	BLOKOU	[OTHE	R*		
'E UF	DRLG	PROD	TIANK P	IPE 341	GASO	OIL	X 10.	THER*		
	[NELL	IWELL		INE J	:1.181	<u>KFY</u>	<u>^</u>			
	Bloomfi	eld Refini	ng Company							
CATION U	F FACILI	TY QUARTE	R/QUAR-			SEC.		μp T20N	RGE	COUNTY
B SECTIO	N OR FOO	TAGE DESCR	IPTJON)	·		2		1291	RIIW	San Juan
T TOWN O	RD DIREC P PROMIN	FINT LANDMA	RK 2 mil	es south	of Bloom	ifield,	New	Mexico		
IE AND H	OUR				DATE AN	U HOUR				
OCCUPEN	<u>CE</u> Ju	ly 24, 198	6 at 7:00 a	.m.	OF DISC	OVERY	July	24, 1	986 at /:	20 a.m.
S 1MMED1	47E	YES NO	NOT RE	<u> </u>	IF YES,					
THE OIL		i		<u> </u>	DATE					
0M					AND HOU	R		<u> </u>		
PEOF	Na Na	nhtha			QUANTIT	Y <	1 har	rel	VOLUME RE	20 barrels
DANK FL	III DS DEA	CH IYES		TOUANTI	JUF LUSS				LUVERED	
WATERCOL	IRSE?		X X	QUANTI	ł					
YES, DE	SCRIBE F	ULLY**								
SCRIBE C	AUSE OF	PROBLEM AN	ID REMEDIAL	ACTION	TAKEN**					
Napht	ha was bi	eing gravit	ated from (one tank	into ano	ther ta	ank.	A drai	n valve,	about 1 foot
befor	e the op	en valve wa	ie second ta As discovera	ank, was ed and c	losed. T	n. ADO he Opei	out 20 rator	u barre was co	unseled	to grade hv supervisio
ESCRIBE A	AREA AFFI	ICTED AND (LEANUP ACT	ION TAKE	N** .					
The s	pill occ	urred insid	le a tank d	ike and w	vas immed	iately	clear	ned up	by vacuu	m truck.
			······································			<u>.</u>				
ESCRIPTI(м.	FARMING	GRAZI	NG	URBAN		OTHE	{* 1.n.d.		
F AREA		SANDY	TSANDY		L BOCK	,	WET			I SHOW
ONDITION	5	X	LOAM					X		5104
ESCRIPE	GENERAL	CONDITIONS	PREVAILING	TEMPER	ATURE, P	RECIPIT	TATIO	N, ETC.	J**	## =
The a	rea was	saturated	from recent	rainfal	l, theref	ore, a	mini	mal amo	ount of n	aphtha
soake	d into t	he soil. '	The morning	was coo	and cle	ar.			•	
HEREBY	CERTIFY	THAT THE I	NFORMATION	ABOVE IS	TRUE AN	COMPL	LETE	TO THE	BEST OF	м <u>ү</u>
NOWLEDGE	AND BEL	IEF								
	Gonfit.	truck	1	Envir	onmental	Engine	er		Jujv	25, 1986
ĔD.		/)	TI	TLE	J -			DATE	-
CIFY	······································	**A1TA	CH ADDITION	AL SHEFT	S IF NFC	ESSARY				



July 11, 1986

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Mr. David Boyer Land Office Building P. O. Box 2088 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Gentlemen:

Attached is a subsequent notification of a minor spill of 10 barrels of light natural gasoline that occurred at Bloomfield Refining Company on July 5, 1986. Please call me if you need addtional information.

Sincerely,

hun

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

Cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King

DEM REVISO OIE CONSERAVIION COMMISSION

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

VALLE D:						ĀD	PPFSS					
PEPATUR B	l <u>oomfield</u>	Refinin	g Comj	pany		Ρ	<u>. O. Bo</u>	<u>x 159</u> ,	B1	oomfiel	d, New Mex	ico 87413
RT	FIRE	BREAK	SPI	Ĩ LĘ	LEAK	Τ	BLOWOUT		OTH	IER*		
				λ			. <u></u>					
NPE OF	DRLG	PROD	TANK	< P	IFE	GA	SO	01L		OTHER*		
ACILITY	WELL	WELL	BTT	<u>/L</u>	INE	1	NT	RFY /	(
VAME OF	o											
ACILITY	Bloomfiel		ng Lo	mpany		·· _			,		1000	LOOUNTY
LOCATION OF	- FACILII	T (QUART)	5.K/UU4	1:(-)))				Sel.	,	IVP.	RGE.	COUNTY
IER SECTION	V OR FOUT	AUE DESU		<u></u>				2	/	129N	RIIW	San Juan
JISPANCE AN	VU DIKEU	JUN FRUM		- 2 mil	las sout	ho	f Bloor	nfiold	No	w Movic	· 0	
						11 0 7 17			, 110			
JALE FRU RU	re Jujv S	5. 1986 a	nt 6:4	5 p.m.			F DISCO) NEDV	Յոյ	v 5. 19	986 at 6:4	5 p.m.
JAS INGEDIA		FSIN		NOT RE	••• 	H	F VFS					
HOTICE GIVE	EN?		Ŭ	OUIRED	X	1 T	O WHOM					
JY				L_ <u>\</u>	· · · ·	D	ATE					
HOM							ND HOUF	ર				
YPE OF						10	UANTITY	Y			VOLUME RE	-
LUID LOST	Light	Natural	Gasol	ine		0	F LOSS		2 Ы	ols	COVERED	8 bbls
DID ANY FLU	UIDS REAC	H YES		<u>0</u> .	QUANTI	ΓY	•					
N WATERCOU	<u>RSE?</u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>							
IF YES, DES	SCRIBE FU	LLY**										
TRF C	AUSE OF P	ROBLEM A		MEDIAL	ACTION	TAK	FN**					

Improper hookup for truck unloading. Driver was made aware of the proper procedure and why the spill occurred.

ESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKEN** .

Light natural was vacuumed up with vacuum truck and the area was washed down.

ESCRIPTION	FARMING	GRAZIN	G	URBAN	OTHER*		
)F_AREA					ł	Industria	
URFACE	SANDY	SANDY	CLAY	ROCKY	WET	DRY	SNOW
ONDITIONS		LOAM					
ESCRIBE GENERAL	CONDITIONS	PREVAILING	(TEMPERA	TURE, PRECIP	ITATION,	ETC.)**	

Summer day.

HEREBY	CERTIFY	THAT	THE	INFORMATION	ABOVE	15	TRUE	AND	COMPLETE	TO	THE	BEST	OF	MY
INOWLEDGE	E AND BEI	.1EF										0		

	ALMA LAD	Environmental Enginee	r July	11, 1986
IGNED	UMINS / Winy	TITLE ,	DATE	
CIFY	**ATTACH ADDITIONA	L SHEETS IF NECESSARY		



April 9, 1986

۰.

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division 1000 Rio Brazos Road Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Dear Mr. Chavez

On April 9, 1986, Bloomfield Refining Company in Bloomfield, New Mexico had a spill occur in the crude unit area of our facility. Enclosed please find the completed notification report. It you need more information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

app Howey

Chris Hawley Environmental Engineer

CH/jm

Enclosure

Cc: Richard Traylor Mike Macy Chad King David Boyer, Oil Conservation Division, Santa Fe

• .'

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

A									
AHE OF	loomfic	ld Refining	Company		P 0 P	lox 150	Bloomfie	1d. NM 87	413
VIUK 1		IN REFITTING	TSPILL	TIFAK			ΠΤΗΓΟ*		
E	FIRE	DICLAR	J. ILL	X	DECHOIS	•	OTHER		
VPF OF	DRI G	PROD	TANK	PIFE	IGASO	1011	OTHER*		
ACTITTY	WFLL	WELL	BTTY	LINE	PLINT	RFY	X		
AME OF	<u> </u>								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ACILITY	Bloomfie	ld Refining	g Company						
OCATION OF	FACILI	TY (QUARTER	2/0049-			SEC.	THP.	RGE.	COUNTY
ER SECTION	V OR FOO	TAGE DESCRI	PTJON)			27	T29N	R11W	San Juan
ISTANCE A	VD DIREC	TION FROM N	EAR-						
ST TOWN OF	<u>R PROMIN</u>	ENT LANDMAR	<u> ?K</u> 2 mi	les sout	<u>n of Bloo</u> r	<u>nfield,</u>	New Mexic	0	
ATE AND HO	DUR				DATE AN	D HOUR			
F OCCUREN	<u> 7:00</u>	p.m. 4/8/80	5 to /:40	a.m.4/9/8	SOF DISC	<u>OVERY</u>	7:40 a.r	n. on 4/9/	86
AS IMMEDI	ATE	YES	NOT	₹E~	IF YES,	-			
OTICE GIV	EN?	X		<u> </u>	TO WHOM	Fran	<u>k Chavez</u>		
Y Ch	mic Unul	<u></u>					0/06 0.4	.	
	ris nawi	ey			AND HUU	<u>к 4/</u> v	9/80, 9:4		
TPE UP	Dies	ام				1 15		VULUME KI	
LUID LUST				TOUANTE				ICOVERED	<u> </u>
	UTUS KEN DCE?			QUANTI	11 .				
F YES DE	SCRIEF F	<u></u>	^	<u>l_</u>					
1 103, 50		0221							•
RIBE C	AUSE OF	PROBLEM ANI	D REMEDIAL	ACTION	TAKEN**				
New 6" di	esel rúr	down line w	was instal	lled and p	out in se	rvice a	t 7:00 p.	n. on 4/8/3	86. Two 6"
flanges.w	ere not	tightened p	properly a	and leaked	d. When	leak wa	s found a	t 7:40 a.m	. on 4/9/86,
diesel ru	ndown wa	s rerouted	to slop,	the flang	jes were	tighten	ed and the	e line to :	storage was
thoroughl	y checke	d for more	problems.	The line	e was bac	k in se	rvice aft	er 20 minu	tes.
ESCRIBE A	REA AFFE	CTED AND CI	LEANUP AC	TION TAKE	N** .				
The leak	was in t	he low pipe	erack just	t east of	the crud	e unit.	Immedia	tely upon	detection,
the vacuu	m truck	was used to	o recover	pooled d	iesel and	sand w	as added	to elimina	te fire hazar
Because o	t the si	ow and long	g duration	n of the	leak, a s	ubstant	ial amoun	t of the s	pill soaked
		ne area is	not acces	sible to	r soll re	moval.			
ESURIPTIO	м	FARMING	GRAZ	ING	URBAN		OTHER*) Undara	- t
F AREA		CANOV					Industria	I - Under	рірегаск
UKFALE		SANDY	SANUT	CLAY	RUCK		WEI		SNOW
UNUTITUNS								$\downarrow \neg \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \frown \frown \frown$	
ESURICE 6	ENRICAL U	CNDITIONS	rkrv <i>P</i> .1LIN	GIEMPER	ATURE, PE	(ECIPII	ATTON, ETC)**	
The tempe	rature c	luring the	night was	in the 3	0 to 40°	range.	The weat	her was cl	ear.
HEREBY C	ERTIFY	HAT THE IN	FORMATION	ABOVE IS	TRUE AND		FTF TO TH	E BEST OF	MY
NOWLEDGE	AND BELI	EF			1102 1111				•••
		1							
	MARA L	maller		Envi	ronmental	Engine	er	4/9/86	
IGNED		1.0000		יד	TIC				
		· · ·		11	165			DATE	

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

NAME OF	Bloomfi	eld Refinir			ADPPESS	x 159.	Bloomfie	ld. New Me	xico 87413
ATOR 101	ETDE	IPEFAV	CD111	TIFAR		T	TOTHED*		
DE UNI	FIKE	DREAK	SPILL	X	DLUWUJ	1	OTHER."		
TYPE OF	DREG	PROD 1	IANK TP	IFE T	GASO	IOIL	TOTHER*	r	
FACILITY	WELL	WELL I	BTTY IL	INE	-1 NT	RFY	x		•
VAME OF		ald Doffmin			· ·	•	·····		
FACILITY	BLOOMI 10				<u> </u>	<u></u>			ICOUNTY
TEP SECTION	N AR FAAT	ACE DESCRI				SEC.	27 T29N	RUL RIIW	San Juan
DISTANCE A	ND DIRFCT	TON FROM N	FAR-	····· = ····	· ··· ••• ••• ••••	i			
EST TOWN OF	R PROMINE	NT LANDMAR	K 2 mi	les sout	h of Blog	omfiel	d, New Mex	ico	
DATE AND H	OUR				DATE AN	D HOUR			
)F OCCUREN	<u>CE 1:30</u>	p.m. on Ma	iy 19, 198	5	OF DISC	OVERY	1:35 p.m	1. on May 1	9, 1985
VAS IMMEDI	ATE TY	ES NO	NOT RE		IF YES,				
NOTICE GIV	EN?	2	QUIRED) 	TO WHOM				
3Y JUOM		_			DATE LOU	р	~		
					AND HOU	<u>K</u>	••		
	Diesel	Fuel				' 80	bbls	COVERED	60 bbls
DID ANY FLI	UIDS REAC	H IYES	NO	IOUANTI	101 L000			10012120	
WATERCOUI	RSE?		x						
F YES, DE	SCRIBE FU	LLY**		ر رست کرد. د معر					•
DOTRE C		DODI EN AND	DEMEDIAL	ACTION					
The hetter		No 10 (J	RENEDIAL	a) downl	Innen a 1	aak (a	nnrovimate	1v 80 gal	ons per
ine bollo	m of lank Thie was	. NO. 19 (0) . probably	due to lon	a-term (orrosion	to bo	ttom of ta	ank. Produ	ict make into
the tank	was immed	fately tak	en out. D	iesel sa	ales were	tempo	rarily shu	it down. N	Water was -
						•	(Se	ee attached	l sheet)
ESCRIBE A	REA AFFEC	TED AND CL	EANUP ACTI	ON TAKE	1**				
The leak o	occurred	inside the	tank dike	area.					
ESCRIPTO		ADMINC	CDA7TA	IC.					
F ARFA	in [1	ANPING	UNAL IN	iu	UKDAN		Inside ta	ank dike.	
URFACE		ANDY	SANDY	ICLAY	TROCKY		WET	DRY	SNOW
ONDITIONS		X	LOAM					X	
ESCRIPE G	ENERAL CO	NDITIONS P	REVAILING	(TEMPER/	ATURE, PR	ECIPIT	ATION, ET	<u>C.)**</u>	
Temperatu	re was ap	proximatel	y 75°F wit	h light	winds fr	om nor	thwest.	The sky wa	s cloudy
with some	precipit	ation.							
HERERY C	COTICY TH	AT THE INC	ODMATION A			COMPL			uv
NOWLEDGE	AND RELIE	ма на <u>с</u> дле Е	UNIMI IUN P	100VC 13	INUE ANU			E BEST UP I	11
HUNGLUGE /		•							
	n/l	. A ir							
IGNED	/YZ	QX [VAN	a	TI	TLE Opera	ations	Superinte	ndemate M	ay 20, 1985

**ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY

CIFY

.

pumped into the tank so water would leak instead of hydrocarbon. A small pit was dug to contain leak and a vacuum truck used to pump pit out. These actions were done within one hour of discovery of the leak.

Piping modifications were done to allow contents of this tank to be pumped to another tank. Diesel sales were started. Water level will be maintained until tank is empty and vacuum truck will continue to recover water from pit. These actions are on-going.

-2-

PLATEAU, INC.

P.O. BOX 26251 ALBUQUEROUE, N M. 87125-6251 PHONE 505/262-2221

March 29, 1984

Mr. Joe Ramey, Director Oil Conservation Division P.O. Box 2088 State Land Office Building Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501

Dear Mr. Ramey:

On March 27, 1984, the Plateau refinery in Bloomfield, New Mexico, had a spill occur in the tank farm. The spill amounted to 400 barrels. We were able to recover all but 20 barrels. Immediate action was taken to clean up the spill; a subsequent telephone notification was given within 24 hours to yourself. Enclosed please find the completed report for notification of fire, leaks, breaks, spills and blowouts. If you have further questions, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

DJS/m

cc: P. W. Liscom

G. A. Masson

Stockham

Dwight J. Stockham Associate Environmental Engineer

Ernie Busch - Oil Conservation Division

1000 Rio Brazos Boulevard Aztec, New Mexico 87410 RECEIVED

MAR 0 0 19:1

P.W. LISCOM



PETROLEUM REFINERS • MARKETERS

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

OPERATOR Plateau, Inc. P.O. Box 159, Bloomfield, NM 87413 REPORT FIRE BREAK SPILL LEAK BLOWOUT OTHER* OF DRLG PROD ITANK PIPE GASO OIL OTHER* ANAME OF FACILITY WELL BTY LINE PLNT RFY xxxx OTHER* COCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- INC SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY Its section of FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm
REPORT FIRE BREAK SPILL LEAK BLOWOUT OTHER* OF DRLG PROD TANK PIPE GASO OIL XXXX OTHER* TYPE OF DRLG PROD TANK PIPE GASO OIL XXXX OTHER* NAME OF FACILITY WELL WELL BITY LINE PLNT RFY XXXX OTHER* LOCATION OF FACILITY WELL WELL BITY LINE PLNT RFY XXXX OTHER* LOCATION OF FACILITY WELL WELL BITY LINE PLNT RFY XXXX OTHER* LOCATION OF FACILITY QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY IER SECTION OR FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm DISTAINCE AND DINC YES NO NOT RE- IF YES, NO DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY J27/85 10:00 pm DATE AND HOUR OF LOSS VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS R
TYPE OF DRLG PROD ITANK PIPE GÁSO OIL XXX OTHER* NAME OF FACILITY Bloomfield Refinery LINE PLNT RFY XXX OTHER* LOCATION OF FACILITY Bloomfield Refinery LOCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY IER SECTION OR FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY JISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm WAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- IF YES, 10:00 pm OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm WAS IMMEDIATE YES NO XXX QUIRED TO WHOM DOTO 0F DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm BY NOT NOT NO NOT RE- IF YES, 0F LOSS 20 bbls COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES
FACILITY WELL BTTY LINE PLNT RFY Note NAME OF FACILITY Bloomfield Refinery ECCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY IER SECTION OR FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm MAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- IF YES, NO 0F DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm MAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- IF YES, IVOM DATE BY DATE AND HOUR YES QUIRED TO WHOM DATE NOUR TYPE OF FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline OF LOSS 20 bbls COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO XXX QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? 380 bbls IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to
NAME OF FACILITY Bloomfield Refinery LOCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- LER SECTION OF FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- EST TOWN OR PROMINENT LANDMARK DATE AND HOUR 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm MAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- NOTICE GIVEN? NOT RE- NOTICE GIVEN? NOT RE- BY DATE WHOM AND HOUR TYPE OF FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline OF LOSS 20 bbls VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO AWATERCOURSE? IF YES NO DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO SXX QUANTITY DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to big duties to remedy this cipation
PACILITY DISCRIPTION LOCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- IER SECTION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- EST TOWN OF FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY IER SECTION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR- EST TOWN OF PROMINENT LANDMARK DATE AND HOUR SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY DATE AND HOUR OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm WAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- QUIRED IF YES, NOTICE GIVEN? NO MOT RE- DATE IF YES, QUIRED TO WHOM BY MAD AND HOUR QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline NO xxx QUANTITY VOLUME RE- OF LOSS VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH FLUID LOST EFULLY** VOLUY XXX QUANTITY DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attertion to big due to operator error.
LECKTION OF PROTACE DESCRIPTION) DEC. TWP. NOL. CONTIN IER SECTION OR PROMINENT LANDMARK DATE AND HOUR 3/27/84 9:30 pm DATE AND HOUR 3/27/85 10:00 pm OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm WAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- IF YES, NO NOT RE- NOTICE GIVEN? Xxx QUIRED TO WHOM DATE WHOM AND HOUR QUANTITY 20 bbls COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO xxx QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** NO xxx QUANTITY DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay Closer attemption to bic duties to promote this situation Distribution Distribution
DATE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- EST TOWN OR PROMINENT LANDMARK DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/84 9:30 pm OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm NOT RE- NOTICE GIVEN? NO NOT RE- NO NOT RE- UN WHOM BY WHOM TYPE OF FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline DID ANY FLUIDS REACH IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to his duties to product this eitertion
EST TOWN OR PROMINENT LANDMARK DATE AND HOUR OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm MAS IMMEDIATE NAS IMMEDIATE NOTICE GIVEN? YES NO NOT RE- yes IF YES, OUIRED IF YES, DATE BY WHOM DATE AND HOUR DATE AND HOUR VOLUME RE- COVERED VOLUME RE- 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH A WATERCOURSE? YES NO xxx QUANTITY AWATERCOURSE? VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to big duties to remode this citation
DATE AND HOUR OF OCCURENCE 3/27/84 9:30 pm DATE AND HOUR OF DISCOVERY 3/27/85 10:00 pm WAS IMMEDIATE NATICE GIVEN? YES NO NOT RE- UIRED IF YES, DATE NO NOT RE- UIRED IF YES, DATE BY WHOM AND HOUR DATE AND HOUR BY WHOM AND HOUR QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH AWATERCOURSE? YES NO XXX QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? NO XXX QUANTITY DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to bis duties to remedu this circuition
WAS IMMEDIATE YES NO NOT RE- QUIRED IF YES, TO WHOM BY DATE WHOM AND HOUR TYPE OF QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- COVERED FLUID LOST Unleaded gasoline OF LOSS 20 bbls COVERED DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO XXX QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** NO XXX DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to big duties to remode this action to big duties to remode this action. The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay
NOTICE GIVEN? XXX QUIRED TO WHOM BY DATE WHOM AND HOUR TYPE OF QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline OF LOSS 20 bbls COVERED DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO XXX QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? VOLUME RE- 380 bbls IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to bis duties to remedy this actuation Statistics
BY DATE WHOM AND HOUR TYPE OF QUANTITY FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO XXX QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO XXX QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to big duties to remote this attention
WHOM AND NOOK TYPE OF QUANTITY 20 bbls VOLUME RE- COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? NO XXX QUANTITY IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay
FLUID LOST unleaded gasoline OF LOSS 20 bbls COVERED 380 bbls DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY**
DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO xxx QUANTITY A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to his duties to remedy this sizuation
A WATERCOURSE? IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY** DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to his duties to remedy this situation
DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to his duties to remedy this simultion
DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to bis duties to remedy this situation
DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to his duties to remedy this simultion
DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to bis duties to remedy this simultion
DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN** The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay closer attention to bis duties to remedy this simulation
The cause of the problem was due to operator error. The operator will pay
\mathbf{v}
croser accention to mis outres to remeny units situation.
DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKEN**
The area affected was inside a tank dike on refinery property. A vacuum truck
was used to pick up the spill.
DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING URBAN OTHER*
OF AREA refinery property
SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY ROCKY WET DRY SNOW
DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPERATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)**
clear skies, temperature 25°F
,
T HERERY CERTIES THAT THE THEORMATION APONE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE REST OF MY
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF SIGNED Durght A Houton TITLE Assoc Environ Engin DATE 3/28/84
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF SIGNED Durant A Attack by TITLE Assoc Environ Engin DATE 3/28/84 *SPECIFY // // **ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY

A Gory Energy Corporation Subsidiary

November 14, 1984

Mr. Frank Chavez Oil Conservation Division State of New Mexico 1000 Rio Brazos Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Dear Frank:

This letter is notification that a hydrocarbon spill occurred at this refinery on November 7, 1984 at approximately 12:15 p.m. As I mentioned in our conversation on November 8th, the spilled product was naphtha out of a storage tank. A total of 880 bbls. of product spilled and was contained in the tank dike. 800 bbls. of this product was recovered and returned to our system.

If you need more information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

Chad King ' **/** Operations Supervisor

١

CK/jm

cc: Paul Liscom



PLATEAU, INC.

P.O. BOX 26251 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87125 PHONE 505/262-2221

May 17, 1982

Mr. Jeff Edmister 1000 Rio Brazos Boulevard Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Dear Jeff:

On May 14, 1982, the Plateau Refinery had a spill occur in the tank farm. The spill amounted to 20 barrels. We were able to recover all but 10 barrels that coated the gravel and was mixed with dirt during the cleanup operation. Immediate action was taken to clean up the spill, a subsequent telephone notification was given the same day to yourself. Enclosed please find the completed report for notification of fire, breaks, leaks and blowouts. If you have further questions, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Durght J. Stockham

D. J. Stockham Associate Environmental Engineer

DJS/shm

Enclosure



 $\left(\begin{array}{c} \\ \end{array} \right)$

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

÷ د. د

. .

	كالمعاري ويشاقعه والأستاني والمراجع									
NAME OF	Plate	au, Inc.			ADDRESS	P. O.	Box			
REPORT		BREAK	ISPILL	LEAK	BLOWOU	<u>т т</u>	OTHER*			
OF			ĬĬXX		10201100	.	0111211			
TYPE OF	DRLG	PROD	TTANK	PIPE	GASO	101	OTHER*	r		······
FACILITY	WELL	WELL	BTTY	LINE	PLNT	RFY XX				
FACTI ITY	Plate	au, Inc.								
LOCATION OF	F FACILIT	Y (QUARTE	R/QUAR-			SEC.	TWP.	RGE.	COUNT	<u>ry</u>
TER SECTION	N OR FOOT	AGE DESCR	IPTION)						San	Juan
DISTANCE A	ND DIRECT	ION FROM	NEAR-							
DATE AND HO	OUR	NT LANDRA			DATE AN	D HOUR	6.16	00 (0.00		
OF OCCUREN	CE 5	-16-82 (:	3:00 AM)		OF DISC	OVERY_	5-16-	82 (3:00	AM)	
WAS IMMEDI	ATE Y	ES NO	NOT F	RE- XXX	IF YES,					
NOTICE GIVE	EN?		IQUIRE	D	TO WHOM					
мном					AND HOU	R				
TYPE OF					QUANTIT	Y	10	VOLUME R	E	<u> </u>
FLUID LOST	1100 0010	1		1000000000000	OF LOSS		T0	COVERED	1	.0
UIU ANY FLU	JIDS REAC	H YES			r					
IF YES. DES	SCRIBE FU						i			
1									•	
								!	:	
							1			
DESCRIBE CA	AUSE OF P	ROBLEM AN	D REMEDIAL	ACTION T	AKEN**					
The	cause o	f the pro	blem was	due to o	nerator (error.	A rank	spill occ	urred	
due	to the	opérator	error. I	the opera	tor will	pay cl	.oser att	ention to	his	
dut	ies to r	emedy thi	is situati	lon.		. ,				
DESCRIBE AF	REA AFFEC	TED AND CI	EANUP ACT	ION TAKEN	**					
The	area af	fected wa	s inside	a tank d	ike on re	efinery	v propert	y. A fro	nt	
end	loader	and hand	shovels w	vere used	to clear	n up th	ne spill.	-		
1										
DESCRIPTION	I F.	ARMING	GRAZI	NG	URBAN	C	THER* Po	finery Pr		- <u>-</u>
OF AREA									Lever	·
CONDITIONS	S.	XXX ^{YUNP}	LOAM	LLAY	RUCKY		XXX	υκτ	SNOW	
DESCRIBE GE	NERAL CO	NDITIONS	REVAILING	TEMPERA	TURE, PRE	CIPITA	TION, ETC	.)**	L	<u> </u>
0	roact al-	ioc tor-	orature -	د د ⁰ ۳	-		• • • -			
l ove	ICASE SK	ies, cemp	eracure C	OU L				•		
1										•
I HEREBY CE KNOWLEDGE A	RTIFY TH	AT THE INF	ORMATION	ABOVE IS	TRUE AND	COMPLE	TE TO THE	BEST OF	MŸ	
			nn							I
) in St	ISZ	the	דוד	LF Assoc	z. Envi	ron. Eng	r. _{ПАТЕ} №	lav 17.	1982
*SPECIFY	mari	**ATTACI	ADDITION	AL SHEFTS	TE RECES	SADV		DATE	<u>, 1</u> ,	
3. 2011 1	U	ATTACI	- ADDITION	ne JHLEIJ		IJNC				

currente i fen MAR - 4 1982

PLATEAU, INC.

P.O. BOX 26251 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87125 PHONE 505/262-2221

March 3, 1982

Mr. Frank Chavez 1000 Rio Brazos Blvd. Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Dear Frank:

On February 24, 1982, the Plateau Refinery had a spill occur at the crude unloading rack. The spill amounted to approximately 20 barrels. We were able to recover all but 3 or 4 barrels that coated the gravel and was mixed with dirt in the cleanup operation. Immediate action was taken to cleanup the spill. Enclosed please find the completed report for notification of fire, breaks, leaks and blowouts.

If you have further questions, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Dinght J. Stockham

D. J. Stockham Associate Environmental Engineer

DJS:sac

Enclosure

cc: K. D. Sinks



PETROLEUM REFINERS • MARKETERS

ļ

í

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

NAME OF					ADDRESS				
OPERATOR	Plat	teau, Inc.			<u> </u>	P. O.	Box 159		
REPORT	FIRE	BREAK	SPILL	LEAK	BLOWOU	T	OTHER*		
		0000				1011		+	
				FIFE FINE	GASU			<u>^</u>	
NAME OF		IMELL I		LINE	PLNI	IKFT 2			
FACTITY	Pla	teau, Inc.							
LOCATION O	F FACILIT	Y (OUARTER	ZOUAR-			I SEC.	TWP.	IRGE .	ICOUNTY
TER SECTIO	N OR FOOT	AGE DESCRI	PTION)						- San Juan
DISTANCE A	ND DIRECT	ION FROM N	EAR-			J		L	
EST TOWN O	R PROMINE	NT LANDMAR	K One	Mile Sou	uth of th	ne City	y of Bloc	mfield	
DATE AND H	OUR				DATE AN	D HOUR			· · · ·
OF OCCUREN	<u>CE 2/2</u>	4/82 (2:0)0 a.m.)		OF DISC	OVERY	2/24	1/82 (2:0	JO a.m.)
WAS IMMEDI	ATE Y	ES NO	NOT R	E-	IF YES,				
NOTICE GIV	EN?		QUIRE	<u>D XXX</u>	TO WHOM				
BY					DATE	-			
WHUM					AND HOU	IR			
FUTD LOST	Cri	ida Oil			QUANTI	Ϋ́.	5 BRIS	VULUME	IS BBIS
DID ANY EL	UIDS DEAC			IOU'ANT T	TUP LUSS		5 0025	TUVERED	
A WATERCOU	DIDS REAL			QUANTI	IT				
IF YES DE	SCRIBE FU	<u> </u>		·					
	00.122.10								
									••
DESCRIBE C	AUSE OF P	ROBLEM AND	REMEDIAL	ACTION	AKEN**				
The car	se of th	e nrohlem	was due	to oper	ator err	or A	valve v	as accide	ently
left ope	n at the	crude un	loading r	ack cau	sina cru	de oil	to spill	onto the	around.
The ope	erator wi		ser attent	tion to h	nis dutie	s to r	emedy th	nis situati	ion.
	<u></u>	<u></u>							
DESCRIBE A	REA AFFEC	TED AND CL	EANUP ACT	ION TAKE!	**				
The are	a affecte	d was the	e Plateau	Refiner	v proper	·tv (ci	rude unle	pading),	
a front	end load	ler and ha	and shove	els were	used to	clean	up the s	pill.	
							•		
DESCRIPTIO	N IF	ARMING	IGRA71	NG			ATUED*		
OF ARFA	n li	Adding	GIGAZI	NU			Refinery	Property	(crude unloadi
SURFACE	5	ANDY	SANDY	ICLAY	TROCKY		WET		ISNOW
CONDITIONS	Š	(XXXXXX	LOAM		Rocki		XXXXX		5110
DESCRIBE G	ENERAL CO	NDITIONS P	REVAILING	(TEMPER/	TURE, PR	ECIPIT	ATION, FT	C.)**	
				(· - · · - · ·	···, ···			,	
The tem	perature	was 35°F	with no	precipi	tation pr	evaili	na.		
				11	·····		J.	•	
1 HEREBY C	ERTIFY TH	AT THE INF	ORMATION	ABOVE IS	TRUE AND	COMPL	ETE TO TH	IE BEST OF	MY
KNOWLEDGE	AND BELIE	F							
	-	,	10						
	H	1 57			•	_	. –		2/25/02
DIGNED U	wight	4. dese	shan	TI	LEAssoc	. Env	iron. En	gr.DATE	2/25/82
*SPECIFY	() (/ **ATTACH	ADDITION	AL SHEETS	S IF NECE	SSARY			

1

"**、**、

1. X. - X.

P.O. BOX 26251 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87125 PHONE 505/262-2221

February II, 1982

Mr. Frank Chavez 1000 Rio Brazos Blvd. Aztec, New Mexico 87410

Dear Frank:

On January 26, 1982, the Plateau Transportation Department had a line freeze, causing a sump to overflow. The overflow amounted to approximately 10 barrels spilling onto the ground. Immediate action was taken to cleanup the spill.

Enclosed please find the completed report for notification of fire, breaks, spills, leaks and blowouts. If you have any further questions, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Durght J. Stockham

D. J. Stockham Associate Environmental Engineer

DJS:sac

Enclosure



PETROLEUM REFINERS . MARKETERS

•)

()

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

					LANDESCE				
NAME OF	Distant	u Inc			ADDRESS	DO	Box 150		
UPERATOR	riated		TCOTU			r.U.	DOX 109		
IKEPUKI	rikt	DREAK	I STILL	LEAK	BLOWOU	· _	UTHER"	Quarfle.	
		PROD T		PPF	10350	1011		CVELTION	
INFE UP			RTTY	1 1 1 1 1	DINT	DEVVV			
NAME OF	NELL	Incr 1			<u>nen</u>	TULIXY	<u>^_</u> [
)] = + = = = =	Trancno	rtation						
I OCATION OF	FACILIT	Y (OUARTER	70UAR-			I SEC	TTUP	IRGE	
TER SECTION	OR FOOT	AGE DESCRI	PTION)				"		San Juan
DISTANCE AN	ID DIRECT	ION FROM N	EAR-			I	l		
EST TOWN OF	PROMINE	NT LANDMAR	K O	ne mile	south	of th	e city (of Bloom	field
DATE AND HO	DUR				DATE AN	D HOUR			
OF OCCURENC	E 1-2	6-82 (Ni	ght a.m	.)	OF DISC	OVERY	1-26-82	(Morni	ng a.m.)
WAS IMMEDIA	TE Y	ES NO	NOT F	RE-	IF YES.		<u> </u>		
NOTICE GIVE	EN?	XXX	QUIRE	D	TO WHOM	Fran	k Chave	Z	•
BY					DATE				
MHOM Lei	nn McQu	itty			AND HOU	<u>R 1-</u> 26	-82 (M	<u>orning</u> a	m.)
TYPE OF					QUANTIT	Y		VOLUME R	E-
FLUID LOST	Crude (0i1			OF LOSS	None	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	COVERED1	0 Bbls
DID ANY FLU	JIDS REAC	H YES	NO	QUANTI	TY				•
A WATERCOUP	RSE?	<u> </u>							
IF YES, DES	SCRIBE FU	LLY**					·	A (4)	
	•	•					•	•	
							•		
			-						
DESCRIPE CI				ACTION					
DESCRIBE CA	NUSE OF F	KUDLEN AND	KENEDIAL	. ACTION	IAKEN				
The	e drain	line fr	oze cau	sing th	e sump	to ov	erflow.		•
. Hea	at trac	ing was	install	ed on t	he drai	n lin	e to pr	event th	ie
lin	ne from	freezin	g۰						
DESCRIBE AF	REA AFFEC	TED AND CL	EANUP ACT	ION TAKE	N**		·	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
					•		:		
The The	e area a	affected	was th	e Plate	au Tran	sport	ation P	roperty.	
A 1	front-e	nd loade	r and h	and sho	vels we	re us	ed to c	leanup	
the	e spill	•					÷ _		
DESCRIPTION	I F	ARMING	GRAZI	NG	URBAN		OTHER*		•
OF AREA							Refiner	y Proper	ty
SURFACE	S	ANDY	SANDY	CLAY	ROCKY	Ī	IET	DRY	SNOW
CONDITIONS		XXX	LUAM]
UESCRIBE GE	NERAL CO	NULLIONS P	REVAILING	(TEMPER	ATURE, PR	ECIPITA	ATION, ETC	.)**	
The The	e temper	rature wa	as belo	w freez	ing, th	e gro	und at	that tim	ne
was	s frozei	n.							
1		•							•
HERERY CO	PTIEV TH	AT THE THE	ORMATION	AROVE IS	TDUE AND	COMPLE		DECT OF	MV
KNOWI FDAF	ND RELTE	ri nacintri F		NDU12 13	INUE ANU	COMPLE		DESI UP	1.11
	NU DELIEI	•							
	Λ	1 0	1 11)					
SIGNED) worki	1.52	ulla	_ TI	IFAss.	Envir	onmenta	1. MATE 2-	8-82
*SPECIEV	- yes	A*ATTACU				CCARV	Eng	r.	
1	U	VALIACI	NUUTIUN	NE SHEEL	S IT REUE	JUNKI	0		
NEW ! NICO OIL CONSERVATION COM SSION

. • -

NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS, AND BLOWOUTS

ENTROP LIP	
RATOR Plateau Refinerv	Box 159, Bloomfield, NM 87413
PORT IFIRE TURLAK TSPILL ILLAK	BLOWOUT OTHER*
DF >	
TYPE OF DRLG PROD TANK PIPE	GASO OIL OTHER*
FACILITY WELL WELL BTTY LINE	PLNT RFY X
NAME OF	
FACILITY Plateau Refinery	
LOCATION OF FACILITY (QUARTER/QUAR-	SEC. TWP. RGE. COUNTY
IER SECTION OR FOOTAGE DESCRIPTION) S 1/2 OF N	E 1/2 27 29N 11W S.J.
DISTANCE AND DIRECTION FROM NEAR- Approxim	ately 2 miles south of Bloomfield -
EST TOWN OR PROMINENT LANDMARK East on	Hammond Road
DE OCCUPENCE Approximatoly 1/4/92	DATE AND HOUR
WAS IMMEDIATE LYES ING INOT DE-	TE VEC
NOTICE GIVEN?	TO WHOM
BY	DATE
жном	AND HOUR
ITYPE OF	QUANTITY Less than VOLUME RE-
FLUID LOST Diesel product	OF LOSS one barrel COVERED Same
DID ANY FLUIDS REACH YES NO QUANTI	ТҮ
A WATERCOURSE? X Sa	me
IF YES, DESCRIBE FULLY**	
It was found that	a hydrocarbon, appearing as diesel,
was seeping into the Hammor	d irrigation ditch.
SCRIBE CAUSE OF PEOPLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION	
by hydrographon spills accounting in the	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years.
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. Tated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. Tated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. The hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. The hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. The hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. The hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER*
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY ISANDY ICLAY	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. cated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* Refinery IROCKY WET
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOW LOAM	TAKEN**The problem appears to be causeda refinery area over the past 25 years.cated with the hydrocarbon and duringa s when the water flow in the HammondN**Approximately 1000 feet of theinery was affected by this seep. Thein the ditch was immediately pumped outink 36" diameter by 4' long conduitURBANOTHER*ROCKYWETDRYXSNOW
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to sDESCRIPTIONFARMING DESCRIPTIONOF AREASANDY LOAMSURFACESANDY LOAMDESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONSCLAY CONDITIONS	TAKEN**The problem appears to be causede refinery area over the past 25 years.cated with the hydrocarbon and duringa as when the water flow in the HammondN**Approximately 1000 feet of theinery was affected by this seep. Thein the ditch was immediately pumped outink 36" diameter by 4' long conduitURBANOTHER* RefineryROCKYWETDRYSNOWX
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. cated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY X ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)**
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. cated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period.
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused e refinery area over the past 25 years. The hydrocarbon and during a as when the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY SNOW X ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)**
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS SANDY CLAY DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. cated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY X ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period.
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during a as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused a refinery area over the past 25 years. sated with the hydrocarbon and during a s when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* Refinery X ROCKY WET X DRY X ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period.
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* Refinery ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TRUE AND COMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF SNED M	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* Refinery ROCKY WET DRY SNOW X ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** Ing this period. TLE OMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY TLE OMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF *SNED Summer Source State	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET ROCKY WET N DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TLE Date 325m/582 S IF. NECESSARY
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF **SNED A.M. MALL TI **ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEET	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TLE OMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY TLE OMPLETE TO THE BEST OF MY S IF. NECESSARY DATE 325001582
by hydrocarbon spills occurring in the This has caused the ground to be satur periods of declining water table (such DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKE Hammond Ditch located north of the ref water and small amount of hydrocarbon with a vacuum truck. The plan is to s DESCRIPTION FARMING GRAZING OF AREA SURFACE SANDY SANDY CLAY CONDITIONS LOAM DESCRIBE GENERAL CONDITIONS PREVAILING (TEMPER The weather has been cold and wet duri 1 HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION ABOVE IS KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF SNED K, MALL ALL PECIFY **ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEET	TAKEN** The problem appears to be caused refinery area over the past 25 years. rated with the hydrocarbon and during as when the water flow in the Hammond N** Approximately 1000 feet of the inery was affected by this seep. The in the ditch was immediately pumped out ink 36" diameter by 4' long conduit URBAN OTHER* ROCKY WET DRY SNOW ATURE, PRECIPITATION, ETC.)** ng this period. TLE Concil 22 gr DATE 32 Jrw 1982 S IF. NECESSARY

|

NEW MEXICO OIL CONSERVATION COMMISSION NOTIFICATION OF FIRE, BREAKS, SPILLS, LEAKS AND BLOWOUTS Page 2

DESCRIBE CAUSE OF PROBLEM AND REMEDIAL ACTION TAKEN (continued)

Ditch is cut off during winter), this hydrocarbon seeps to the lowest point, being the Hammond Ditch.

DESCRIBE AREA AFFECTED AND CLEANUP ACTION TAKEN (continued)

vertically at two places in the ditch. These will attract water and any hydrocarbon and will be pumped on a continual basis. Also, accidental hydrocarbon spills in the refinery area have been virtually eliminated.

Plateau is engineering a well to be placed in the area of the past spills. This will recover the oil in the spill area and should reduce the likelihood of the diesel migrating toward the Hammond irrigation ditch.

APPENDIX B

TECHNOLOGY SCREENING MATRIX

BRC/task1.rep

GROUNDWATER TECHNOLOGY.



1

TECHNOLOGY SCREENING MATRIX SOIL REMEDIATION

TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERED		APPLICABILITY to	SITE		VILLER	E COSTS	TREATMENT TIME ACCEPTIABILITY	RATING	RETAN YES/NO
	Advantage	Disadvantage	Helative Ap Technical 0 • 5	pticability Permits 0 - 3	Capital C High\$ 1 2	Operating 0 3 4 5 Low\$	Not Acceptable Acceptable 123	A*P*(C+O+T)	
Risk Assessment	•	CNT, C1	5	3	4	5	3	180	YES
<u>in situ</u> vapor extraction/	DEST, PERE,i, MRED	ADTRT	Ş	2	3	4	2	6	YES
in situ Bio	DEST	ADTRT, SMAT	e	~	ε	e	-	35	Q
in situ Soil Washing	VRED	ia, area	2	2	4	8	-	28	Q
Chemical Fracture	MRED	ia, area	2	e	2	4	5	2	Q
Vitrification	MRED	EI	-	e	5	4	5	44	Q
Steam Stripping	VRED	Αİ	2	3	5	2	3	99	NO



1

0225TTL2.TSM

1

TECHNOLOGY SCREENING MATRIX LNAPL PHASE RECOVERY

TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERED		APPLICABILITY ID (STE		REATIN	E COSTS	TREATMENT TIME ACCEPTABILITY	RATING SUMMATION	RETAIN YES/NO
	Advantage	Disadvantage	Relative Ap Technical 0 - 5	plicability Permits 0 - 3	Capital C	Operating O	Not Acceptable Acceptable		
			V	٩	High\$ 1 2	3 4 5 Low\$	123	A*P*(C+O+T)	
Skimming Pumps	VRED, PERF,I	AREA	3	З	e	S	ŧ	81	YES
DVEL Pump System	MRED, PERF, i	AREA, ADTRT	4		2	3	-	24	Q
Vapor Extraction	DEST, PERF, i	ADTRT	5	2	4	4	2	100	YES
Hi VAC Total Fluid Ext.	DEST, MRED	ET, ADTRT	4	~	2	e	ю	8	YES
Total Fluid Pumping	MRED, VRED, I	ADTRT, AREA	4	-	~	e	-	24	Q
Sparging/Vapor Extraction	DEST, i	À	2	~	3	е	2	32	Q

ļ

LEGEND

ł

e	
É >	
ପ୍ର ତ୍	ŝ
F o š	
8	
<u> </u>	
	ĺ
Ser 2 €	ļ
<u> </u>	
⊨ \$,	ì
8 ^ b	ĺ
0 - X	i.
2	Ì
Ω Λ	ŝ
Č Č N	į
	l
LE Dal	ŝ
₩ ∧ Q	į
E - 2	f
-	ŝ
a de la compañía de l	l
ai Ia	
	ŝ
nat ate	l
	l
Š 7 "	ŝ
pt te	
de b	Ì
6 1	ŝ
<u> </u>	
e b	ļ
	ŝ
Ë å	
y Ma	ļ
5 8 Ē	
Q iz is	ŝ
허히	ŝ
ΩĘ į̇́ž	ļ
Die e	
P C S L	
N is de	
	i.
	Ì
X H -	
t a <u>i</u> g	
	ŝ
ç _	ģ
	ŝ
5 5	ŝ
	ľ
했 준 혈탄	ļ
E to train	
₹₽ ₹ ã₽\$	1000
5 22 ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷	
ТЩЕ SSE	



RETAIN		<u>N</u>	YES	Q	YES	Q	Q	YES
RATING SUMMATION	A*P*(C+O+T)	3	88	ß	45	24	24	55
TREATMENT TIME ACCEPTABILITY	Not Acceptable Acceptable 1 2 3	Ŧ	С	2	3	2	2	3
TE COSTS	Operating 0 3 4 5 Low\$	-	S	4	e	2	e	4
REAIN	Capital C High\$ 1.2	-	3	4	3	2	3	4
	pficability Permits 0 - 3 P	-	3	3		-	-	٠
SIE	Relative Ap Technical 0 - 5 A	÷	5	-	2	3	с	5
APPLCABUTY to	Disadvantage	ADTRT	CNT, DTW	ET, CNT	CNT, ADTRT, DTW	ADTRT	ADTRT	ADTRT
	Advantage	VRED	MRED, i, PERF	MRED	MRED, i, PERF	MRED, I	MRED	MRED, VRED
TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERED		Dewater entire site	Grout Curtain	Air Curtain	Intercept Trench	Reverse Gradient	Water Curtain	Dewater Near Seeps



0225TTL2.TSM

-

!

TECHNOLOGY SCREENING MATRIX REDUCE DISSOLVED CONCENTRATIONS

ļ

TECHNOLOGY CONSIDERED			SILE		RELATIV	E COSTS	TREATMENT TIME ACCEPTABILITY	RATING SUMMATION	RETAIN
	Advantage	Disadvantage	Relative Apt Technical 0 - 5	plicability Permits 0 - 3	Capital C	Operating 0	Not Acceptable _T Acceptable		
			v	٩	High\$ 1 2	3 4 5 Low\$	123	A*P*(C+O+T)	
Risk Assessment		CNT, CI	S	m	4	ъ	3	180	YES
Pump, Treat, Reject	MRED, VRED	AREA, ADTRT	3	~	e	4	2	63	YES
Pump, Treat, Infiltrate	MRED, VRED, I	AREA, ADTRT	в	2	4	3	1	24	ON
Sparging/Vapor Extraction	MRED, DEST, i, PERF	ET, ADTRT	4	2	e	3	2	64	YES
in situ Bio	DEST	AREA	8	÷	3	e	1	14	ON
Source reduction		CNT	4	3	5	4	1	120	YES

38 Ħ TECHNICAL 2 > 1-3 years 2 \$200-500K/CY 5= 0 COST LEGEND 1 > \$500K/CY 3 < 1 **yea**r 1 > 3 years improbable TIME LEGEND na = not available et - Emerging Technology smat = inappropriate soil material dtw = depth to water nperm - Not Permittable ia - Inappropriate Technology DISADVANTAGES mred - Mobility Reduction dest - Destruction very implementable vred - Volume Reduction ADVANTAGES LEGEND

LEGEND

0225TTL2.TSM