# GW - 28

# INSPECTIONS & DATA



## **REFINING COMPANY, L.P.**

FAX (505) 746-5283 DIV. ORDERS (505) 746-5481 TRUCKING (505) 746-5458 PERSONNEL

÷

501 EAST MAIN STREET • P. O. BOX 159 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88211-0159 TELEPHONE (505) 748-3311 FAX (505) 746-5419 ACCOUNTING (505) 746-5451 EXEC/MKTG (505) 746-5421 ENGINEERING (505) 746-5480 PIPELINE

March 6, 2007

Mr. Carl Chavez Environmental Engineer New Mexico Oil Conservation Division 1220 S. St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505

<u>FedEX</u>

### Re: Information Provided in Follow-up to Discharge Plan GW-028 Inspection Navajo's Artesia, NM Refinery

Mr. Chavez,

Navajo is providing this letter with enclosures in response to the information requests you made during your February 19 and 20, 2007 inspection, and February 21, 2007 close-out meeting at Navajo's Artesia refinery. This transmittal includes information requested to be submitted within 2 weeks of the inspection, along with additional information related to inquiries during the inspection and other information Navajo believes may be pertinent to the renewal of Discharge Plan GW-028.

A significant amount of information you solicited originated from the October 2001 inspection. A copy of our May 31, 2002 response to the October 2001 inspection questions is enclosed for your convenience. Consistent with your March 2, 2007 email clarifying the scope of the information request, Navajo is providing the below information that follows up on our May 31, 2002 submittal as well as the information you requested during the 2007 inspection.

### 2001 Inspection Items Follow-up

- API Removal and Closure
  - Navajo's May 31, 2002 response addressing items 8 and 12 referenced forthcoming submittals related to the removal and/or closure of various APIs. Navajo has worked closely with NMED on these items as part of Navajo's closure-post closure care permit. Relevant correspondence with NMED is enclosed comprised of two letters from Navajo to NMED and one letter from NMED to Navajo.

Mr. Carl Chavez March 6, 2007 Page 2

- Gas Oil Hydro-Treater (GOHT) Wastewater Lines Navajo's May 31, 2002 response addressing item 14 indicated that the plans and drawings for the new GOHT were yet to be prepared. These drawings are now complete and are included in the enclosure.
- SPCC and Stormwater Control

Navajo's May 31, 2002 response addressing item 19 indicated that the SPCC plan was being updated to reflect stormwater changes. A copy of the current SPCC plan drawing is enclosed along with Navajo's notice of termination (NOT) submitted to (and approved by) EPA. The NOT voided Navajo's NPDES permit for stormwater runoff based upon the successful implementation of stormwater retention measures that eliminated outfalls. These actions negated the need for a stormwater plan (i.e., all stormwater is contained on Navajo's property).

### 2007 Inspection 2-Week Items

Other than the 2001 inspection responses, the remaining information you requested relates to the GW-028 discharge plan itself. We believe the following fulfills your information request.

• <u>GW-028 Conditions 8 (Below Grade Tanks/Sumps/Pits/Ponds) and 9</u> (Underground Process/ Wastewater Lines)

Navajo has enclosed samples of the revised inspection results spreadsheet and hydro-test sheets used by our maintenance department. These have been updated to include repair information and installation dates or date ranges, where known, and the addition of a "pass/fail" section.

The specific documents provided are the summary spreadsheet and an individual test record form for sewer lines, boxes, hubs, and sumps.

Navajo has also enclosed drawings of underground process and wastewater lines for the new ROSE unit.

- <u>GW-028 Condition 11 (Housekeeping)</u> Enclosed is Navajo's vacuum truck sump pumping record for all refinery sumps which comprise the spill collection/prevention systems. These sumps are inspected two or three times each week. The annulus will be inspected for those sumps equipped with secondary containment. An aerial photo is enclosed which has been marked to indicate the sump locations.
- <u>GW-028 Condition 17.H.i. (Additional Requirements Update Maps)</u> Enclosed is an updated map which will include the existing and proposed retention basins, new recovery wells, and the wastewater line to the underground injection wells.

Mr. Carl Chavez March 6, 2007 Page 3

### **Other 2007 Inspection Issues**

Several other issues were discussed during your 2007 inspection. These are discussed below.

• <u>Chemical Tote Storage</u>

It is our understanding that you were satisfied with the information (i.e., plot plan of segregated container storage area) provided to you describing Navajo's planned new chemical storage area. Navajo wants to make clear our position that materials stored in containers on concrete slabs draining into the refinery sewer system need no further secondary containment.

- <u>GW-028 Condition 15 (Stormwater Plan)</u> Navajo has no plans to line the stormwater retention basins. The water received by these basins is fresh water. As operated, the regulations do not require these basins to be lined. If oily runoff somehow enters these basins, the water will be removed to prevent possible soil contamination.
- Pumps Adjacent to Tank T-400

You indicated your desire for Navajo to remove the unused pumps located adjacent to gas oil storage tank T-400. Navajo will determine if these pumps are needed for future use. If needed, Navajo will undertake action to improve containment measures. Otherwise, Navajo will remove the pumps from this location.

• Pumps Adjacent to Tank T-437

You observed that a tramp pump in temporary service (inter-tank transfer between crude tanks T-437 and T-439) did not have spill containment. Navajo will obtain and install spill containment for this pump until a permanent pump equipped with spill containment is installed.

• Stains on Tank T-58

Navajo is in the process of emptying this tank for inspection, cleaning, and repair. De-inventorying of tank T-58 had already begun because it was on this year's schedule for maintenance.

 <u>Hydrotest of Wastewater Line to Injection Wells</u> You indicated that you would like a copy of the procedures Navajo used to hydrotest the wastewater line which runs out to the Class I injection wells. A copy of the procedure and OCD's corresponding acceptance of test results are enclosed. Mr. Carl Chavez March 6, 2007 Page 4

£.

We believe the information provided in today's transmittal comprises a comprehensive response to your request and will allow you to proceed with renewing Discharge Plan GW-28 for Navajo's Artesia, NM refinery. Please contact me (746-5398) or Darrell Moore (746-5281) if you have any questions regarding our response. We look forward to reviewing your draft of the renewal in the near future.

Sincerely,

Donald E. Whaley, P.E. Sr. Environmental Specialist

Cc: OCD: Wayne Price, Brad Jones, Ed Hansen Navajo (Artesia): JER

Elec. cc: Navajo (Artesia): DGM, DBP, JLB, DLB, RAS Holly: Phil Youngblood, Dave Lamp

> Envr. File: OCD Discharge Permit GW-028 Inspections/Follow-up[Refining: ART.5.E.04.B] <u>Nmartnas01/Environmental/OCD-WW-Stormwater-Remed/OCD Inspections/2007-02-19 Inspection/</u>

### Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD

From: Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 9:01 AM

To: Monzeglio, Hope, NMENV

Cc: Price, Wayne, EMNRD; Cobrain, Dave, NMENV

Subject: FW: GW-028 Inspection Scope of Requested Information

### Hope:

FYI, please find attached some correspondence from Mr. Don Whaley of the Navajo Artesia Refinery subsequent to the OCD Feb. 19-21, 2007 inspection. You will notice in his comments some reference to the NMED-HWB, since some closure issues associated with the out-of-service API Units at the North/South and Waste Water Plant came up. The API Units have been replaced by the new above ground API Unit. However, Navajo is continuing to use the waste water API to process cat fines from the scrubber system I believe. They do not wish to decommission the N/S Plant API Units because there is an ongoing RCRA Investigation in those areas. In addition, we looked for product in wells east and south of Hwy 82 away from the refinery and did not find any. We notice at KWB-8 where we pulled about 18 inches of floating product that they are hand bailing from the well on a quarterly basis, but it would seem very easy for them to install a automatic product recovery system into the well and route it to back a couple hundred feet to the Mack Sump product collection system to maintain a constant recovery of free product in the vicinity of KWB-8. The OCD said it would discuss this with NMED. In addition, we verified that the new RWs south of Boulton Rd. and Hwy. 82 have been installed and they are working to connect the piping to RW-11 System. There is product there and there was some discussion about finding the leading edge of that plume moving E-SE into the farm field area that we also indicated that the OCD would be in contact with NMED about. I am working to complete the new discharge plan and possible NOV for the Navajo Artesia Refinery subsequent to our inspection. I envision adding certain requirements to the discharge plan to address some of the above issues; however, I expect to discuss any/all issues related to our work with the NMED as I work to finalize the documents.

Please contact me if you have questions. At some point we'll discuss the written requirements; however, we may also agree on a different way to address some or all of the issues. Stay tuned.....

From: Whaley, Don [mailto:Don.Whaley@hollycorp.com]
Sent: Friday, March 02, 2007 8:34 AM
To: Chavez, Carl J, EMNRD
Cc: Jones, Brad A., EMNRD; Price, Wayne, EMNRD; ed.hansen@state.nm.us:; Resinger, Jim; Moore, Darrell; Price, Doug; Byrd, Jeff; Bolding, David; Swafford, Ricky
Subject: GW-028 Inspection Scope of Requested Information

### Carl,

Navajo is working to respond to the information requests you made during your February 19 and 20 inspection, and February 21 close-out meeting at Navajo's Artesia refinery. You and Darrell Moore agreed that Navajo would provide OCD with certain information within 2 weeks of the inspection.

We plan to ship our response on Tuesday March 6, so you should receive it on March 7.

In order to assure you receive the desired information, we believe it is best to clarify the scope of the information request. This clarification holds even more significance since an unanticipated urgent matter arose for Darrell resulting in his being out of the office since last Friday.

A significant amount of information you solicited originated from the October 2001 inspection. We identified our May 31, 2002 response to the October 2001 inspection questions and a copy of the response letter is attached for your convenience. Unless you direct otherwise, Navajo will consider the attachment and OCD files to comprise the response to your information requests with respect to the 2001 inspection.

The remaining information you requested relates to the GW-028 discharge plan itself. We believe the scope of your requests will be met by the following:

### Conditions 8 and 9

Navajo plans to provide a sample of the inspection results spreadsheet and hydro-test sheets used by our maintenance department. These have been updated to include repair information and installation dates or date ranges, where known, and the

addition of a "pass/fail" section. Navajo also which is to provide drawings of underground provides and wastewater lines for the ROSE unit.

Condition 11

We plan to provide the schedule for Navajo's routine inspection of spill collection/prevention systems.

Condition 17.H

Navajo plans to provide an updated map which will include the existing and proposed retention basins, new recovery wells, and the wastewater line to the underground injection wells.

We believe this covers the scope of your information requests requiring a 2-week response.

Navajo understands that you intend to communicate directly with NMED regarding the post closure permit and other relevant items. We also understand that additional correspondence may be appropriate to assist OCD in renewing Navajo's Discharge Permit.

Please reply to this email to express your concurrence with this scope or to identify other information you requested which we did not identify herein.

Your timely response will be appreciated and will serve to facilitate Navajo in providing you the information you need to renew GW-028.

Don Whaley Sr. Environmental Specialist Navajo Refining Company, L.P. don@navajo-refining.com phone: 505.746.5398 cell: 505.703.5057 fax: 505.746.5421

This inbound email has been scanned by the MessageLabs Email Security System.





C:\Documents

















	-				- 40/00/00- 40	~~~	(F	age 2 of 2)
Offs	sile Hyd Nav Sep	rocarbon vajo Refir Artesia, N Itember -	Product Investigation ing Company lew Mexico October 2006	Date, Time Started Date, Time Completed Hole Diameter Drilling Method Drilling Equipment	: 10/09/06; 100 : 10/09/06; 140 : 8 1/4" : Hollow Stem : Foremosl-Mo	00 00 Auger bile B-57	Drilled By Logged By Northing Coordinate Easting Coordinate Survey By	: Eco/Enviro Drilling : D.G. Boyer, P.G. : :
Depth in Feet 20- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	SC ML CL CL CA CA CL CL CL CL CL CL CL		Water Levels ✓ Hydrocarbon Produc ✓ Measured Water Lev DESCR 20-20.3 ft. CLAYEY SA gray, dry, H/C odor 20.3-21.2 ft. CLAYEY SA mottled chalky, dry, H/C 21.2-23.6 ft. SILTY CLA odor 23.6-24.0 ft. CALICHE C brown, some saturation 24-25 ft. CLAYEY CALI fractured, gray, water ar saturated 25-26.9 ft. CLAYEY CALI fractured, gray, water ar saturated 26.9-28.6 ft. SILTY CLA brown, some gray, water saturated 26.9-28.6 ft. SILTY CLA brown, some gray, water saturated 28.6-28.9 ft. CLAYEY C/ prown (chalk color), water 28.6-28.9 ft. CLAYEY C/ prown (chalk color), water 28.9-30 ft. SILTY CLAY, H/C odor 20.30.8 ft. CLAY, mottled thalky, dry 10.8-31.1 ft. CALICHE C prown, water saturated 11.1-32.3 ft. CLAY, brow tiff, little or no H/C odor 2.3-32.9 ft. CALICHE G agments and clay, brow 2.9-35 ft. CLAY, light brown, plas cassional gravel and wa I/C odor	IPTION ND, brown and ILT, brown and Codor Y, brown, dry, H/C CLAY, gray and H/C odor CHE, caliche rock, nd product YEY CALICHE, light r and product Y, brown, damp, ALICHE, very light er saturated brown and LAY, very light n, plastic, very RAVEL, small n, wet bwn, possible i brown and LAY, very light n, plastic, very RAVEL, small n, wet bwn, plastic tic, very stiff, ter saturation, no	Well: R Elev.:	W-11-06 PVC Screen - Sand pack - Bottom Cap	Well ( Int COMPLETION D. Hole Depth TD Inside casing CASING, SCREE Material, joints Diameter Manufacturer Screen length Screen opening Scre. placement Sump Bottom Cap Protector Casing Lock Key # SEALS & SAND P Cernent seal type Seal volume Seal placement Sand pack type Sand placement Sand pack type Sand placement Sand placement Sand placement ELEVATIONS Ground elevation Inner casing, top Flush mount, top WELL INSTALLAT. Drilled to 40 ft. with caved to 36 ft., placed concrete to surface WELL DEVELOPM On 10/20/06 DTW odor. Developed we water to sample trail	Construction Formation Tata ATA : 40 ft. Below LS : 32.2 ft. Below TO N & CAP : PVC, threaded : 2 in. ID : MonoFlex : Slotted : 15 ft. : 0.020 slot : 17-32 ft. BLS : None : 0.2 ft PVC : Flush-mount steel : - : - : - : Concrele hand mix : 0 - 3 ft. BLS : Hole Plug Bentonil : 4 bags 3/8" chips : 3 - 10 ft. BLS : B-16 Oglebay silica : 18 bags : 10 - 36 ft. BLS : Native material (ca : 36-40 ft. :
40	CL		5 H/C stain or odor 9.2-40 ft. CLAY, light bro y, no H/C stain or odor	wn, soft, plastic,		-(slough)		

· -·

H/C = Petroleum Hydrocarbon



See drilling log for sampling information

H/C = Petroleum Hydrocarbon









7

î

### COVER LETTER

Tuesday, March 13, 2007

Carl Chavez NM Oil Conservation Division 1220 South St. Francis Drive Santa Fe, NM 87505

TEL: (505) 795-1222 FAX (505) 476-3462

RE: Navajo Artesia Refinery

Dear Carl Chavez:

Order No.: 0702246

Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc. received 2 sample(s) on 2/22/2007 for the analyses presented in the following report.

These were analyzed according to EPA procedures or equivalent.

Reporting limits are determined by EPA methodology. No determination of compounds below these (denoted by the ND or < sign) has been made.

Please don't hesitate to contact HEAL for any additional information or clarifications.

Sincerely,

Andy Freeman, Business Manager Nancy McDuffie, Laboratory Manager

NM Lab # NM9425 AZ license # AZ0682 ORELAP Lab # NM100001 MAR 14 PM 4 09



4901 Hawkins NE Suite D Albuquerque, NM 87109 505.345.3975 Fax 505.345.4107 www.hallenvironmental.com

CLIENT:NM Oil Conservation DivisionProject:Navajo Artesia RefineryLab Order:0702246

### **CASE NARRATIVE**

Date: 13-Mar-07

8270 Notes:

ý

1

The surrogate recovery was poor for 2,4,6-Tribromophenol and 2-Fluorophenol. This sample was analzed 3 times.

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation	n Division		le ID: S	SW Ret Pond			
Lab Order:	0702246		Date: 2	/19/2(	007 2:45:00 PM			
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refin	iery		Date Rec	eived: 2	2/22/2007 AQUEOUS		
Lab ID:	0702246-01	2		M	atrix: A			
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual Units	D	)F	Date Analyzed	
EPA METHOD 8	3310: PAHS						Analyst: JMP	
Naphthalene		ND	5.0	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
1-Methylnaphtha	alene	ND	5.0	ug/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
2-Methylnaphtha	alene	ND	5.0	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Acenaphthylene		ND	2.5	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Acenaphthene		ND	5.0	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Fluorene		ND	0.80	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Phenanthrene		ND	0.60	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Anthracene		ND	0.60	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Fluoranthene		ND	0.30	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Pyrene		ND	0.30	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Benz(a)anthrace	ene	ND	0.050	μg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Chrysene		ND	0.20	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Benzo(b)fluoran	thene	ND	0.10	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Benzo(k)fluoran	thene	0.020	0.020	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Benzo(a)pyrene	•	ND	0.030	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Dibenz(a,h)anth	iracene	ND	0.040	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Benzo(g,h,i)per	ylene	ND	0.080	µg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd	) pyrene	ND	0.080	μg/L	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
Surr: Benzo(e	e)pyrene	80.0	68-116	%REC	1		3/8/2007 2:39:24 PM	
EPA METHOD	300.0: ANIONS		•				Analyst: TES	
Fluoride		1.1	0.10	mg/L	1	1	2/22/2007 8:08:57 PM	
Chloride		72	0.50	mg/L	5	5	2/22/2007 8:26:21 PM	
Bromide		0.33	0.10	mg/L	1	l	2/22/2007 8:08:57 PM	
Nitrate (As N)+I	Nitrite (As N)	2.2	0.50	mg/L	5	5	2/24/2007 9:49:37 AM	
Phosphorus, Or	thophosphate (As P)	ND	0.50	H mg/L	1	I	2/22/2007 8:08:57 PM	
Sulfate		250	2.5	mg/L	5	5	2/22/2007 8:26:21 PM	
EPA METHOD	7470: MERCURY						Analyst: CMS	
Mercury		ND	0.00020	mg/L	1	١	3/2/2007	
EPA METHOD	6010B: DISSOLVED M	IETALS					Analyst: NMO	
Calcium		75	1.0	mg/L	1	1	3/6/2007 1:39:40 PM	
Magnesium		23	1.0	mg/L	1	1	3/6/2007 1:39:40 PM	
Potassium		5.7	1.0	mg/L	1	1	3/6/2007 1:39:40 PM	
Sodium		53	1.0	mg/L	1	1	3/6/2007 1:39:40 PM	
EPA 6010B: T	OTAL RECOVERABLE	METALS					Analyst: NMO	
Aluminum		0.41	0.020	mg/L		1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM	
Antimony		ND	0.050	mg/L	· . ·	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM	

ş

Ŧ

Date: 13-Mar-07

Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

Ε

Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits S

Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank в

н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

RL Reporting Limit

Page 1 of 8

NM Oil Conservation Division	<b>Client Sample ID:</b>	SW Ret Pond	
0702246	<b>Collection Date:</b>	2/19/2007 2:45:00 PM	
Navajo Artesia Refinery	Date Received:	2/22/2007	
0702246-01	Matrix:	AQUEOUS	
	NM Oil Conservation Division 0702246 Navajo Artesia Refinery 0702246-01	NM Oil Conservation DivisionClient Sample ID:0702246Collection Date:Navajo Artesia RefineryDate Received:0702246-01Matrix:	NM Oil Conservation DivisionClient Sample ID:SW Ret Pond0702246Collection Date:2/19/2007 2:45:00 PMNavajo Artesia RefineryDate Received:2/22/20070702246-01Matrix:AQUEOUS

7

7

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual U	J <b>nits</b>	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA 6010B: TOTAL RECOVERABLE ME	TALS			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Analyst: NMO
Arsenic	ND	0.020	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Barium	0.049	0.020	n	ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Beryllium	ND	0.0030	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Boron	ND	0.040	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Cadmium	ND	0.0020	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Calcium	82	1.0	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Chromium	ND	0.0060	n	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Cobalt	ND	0.0060	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Copper	ND	0.0060	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Iron	0.25	0.050	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Lead	ND	0.0050	r	ng/L	<u>_</u> 1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Magnesium	24	1.0	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Manganese	0.026	0.0020	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Molybdenum	0.069	0.0080	Г	ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Nickel	ND	0.010	٢	ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Potassium	6.1	1.0	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Selenium	ND	0.050	r	ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Silver	ND	0.0050	r	ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Sodium	55	1.0	г	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Thallium	ND	0.050	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 2:39:49 PM
Vanadium	ND	0.050		ng/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
Zinc	ND	0.050	r	ng/L	1	3/7/2007 9:25:25 AM
Silica	2.8	1.1	I	mg/L	1	3/6/2007 4:13:23 PM
EPA METHOD 8270C: SEMIVOLATILES						Analyst: BL
Acenaphthene	ND	10	1	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Acenaphthylene	NÐ	10	1	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Aniline	ND	20		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Anthracene	ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Azobenzene	ND	10		μg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benz(a)anthracene	ND	15		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	10	,	µg/L	1	> 3/9/2007
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	15		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	10	I.	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benzoic acid	ND	50		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Benzyl alcohol	ND	20		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	ND	10	ł	µg/L	· 1	3/9/2007
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	ND	15	;	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	15	i	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	15	;	µg/L	1	3/9/2007

- - E Value above quantitation range
  - J Analyte detected below quantitation limits -
  - ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
  - Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits S
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank ₿

Date: 13-Mar-07

- н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- MCL Maximum Contaminant Level
- RL Reporting Limit

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation Division	Client Sample ID:	SW Ret Pond
Lab Order:	0702246	<b>Collection Date:</b>	2/19/2007 2:45:00 PM
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery	Date Received:	2/22/2007
Lab ID:	0702246-01	Matrix:	AQUEOUS

3

Analyses	Result	PQL Qu	al Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8270C: SEMIVOLAT	TLES				Analyst: BL
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Carbazole	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	20	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4-Chloroaniline	ND	20	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Chioronaphthalene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Chlorophenol	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Chrysene	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Dibenzofuran	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
3,3 <sup>-</sup> Dichlorobenzidine	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Diethyl phthalate	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Dimethyl phthalate	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	50	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	50	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Fluoranthene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Fluorene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	50	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Hexachloroethane	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Isophorone	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Methylphenol	ND	15	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	20	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Naphthalene	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Nitroaniline	ND	50	µg/L	1	3/9/2007

Qualifiers: \*

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Date: 13-Mar-07

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

RL Reporting Limit



Hall	Environmen	tal A1	alysis	Labor	atory, Inc.
------	------------	--------	--------	-------	-------------

Date: 13-Mar-07

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation Di	vision		C	lient Sample ID:	SW R	et Pond
	V7V2240				Conection Date:	2/19/2	2007 2:45:00 PM
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery				Date Received:	2/22/2	2007
Lab ID:	0702246-01				Matrix:	AQU	EOUS
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD	3270C: SEMIVOLATILES						Analyst: BL
3-Nitroaniline		ND	50		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4-Nitroaniline		ND	20		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Nitrobenzene		ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2-Nitrophenol		ND	15		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
4-Nitrophenol		ND	50		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Pentachloropher	nol	ND	50		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Phenanthrene		ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Phenol		ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Pyrene		ND	15		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Pyridine		ND	30		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
1,2,4-Trichlorob	enzene	ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4,5-Trichlorop	henol	ND	10		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
2,4,6-Trichlorop	henol	ND	15		µg/L	1	3/9/2007
Surr: 2,4,6-Tr	ibromophenol	0	16.6-150	S	%REC	1	3/9/2007
Surr: 2-Fluoro	biphenyl	78.0	19.6-134		%REC	1	3/9/2007
Surr: 2-Fluoro	phenol	2.17	9.54-113	S	%REC	1	3/9/2007
Surr: 4-Terph	enyl-d14	73.0	22.7-145		%REC	1	3/9/2007
Surr: Nitrober	nzene-d5	72.4	14.6-134		%REC	1	3/9/2007
Surr: Phenol-	d5	17.6	10.7-80.3		%REC	1	3/9/2007
EPA METHOD	8260B: VOLATILES						Analyst: LMM
Benzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Toluene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Ethylbenzene		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Methyl tert-buty	ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2,4-Trimethylt	penzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,3,5-Trimethyll	benzene	ND	1:0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dichloroeth	ane (EDC)	ND	1.0		µa/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dibromoeth	ane (EDB)	ND	1.0		, е µа/L	1	3/4/2007
Naphthalene	· · · ·	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1-Methylnaphth	alene	ND	4.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Methylnaphth	alene	ND	4.0	ŀ	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Acetone		ND	10	•	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromobenzene		ND	1.0	1	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromochlorome	ethane	ND	1.0	,	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromodichloror	nethane	ND	1.0	)	ug/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromoform		ND	1.0	)	μg/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromomethane	•	ND	2.0	)	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Butanone		ND		)	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Carbon disulfid	e	ND	10	)	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Carbon Tetrach	- Noride		20	)	-9/- uo/l	1	3/4/2007
Carbon Tetract Qualifiers:	Noride * Value exceeds Maximum Co	ND	2.0 vel	)	µg/L B Analyte detecte	1 d in the a	3/4/2007

Е Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

RL Reporting Limit

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation Division
Lab Order:	0702246
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery
Lab ID:	0702246-01

Date: 13-Mar-07

Client Sample ID: SW Ret Pond Collection Date: 2/19/2007 2:45:00 PM **Date Received:** 2/22/2007 Matrix: AQUEOUS

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES	<u> </u>				·	Analyst: LMM
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Chloroethane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Chloroform	ND	1.0	i	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Chloromethane	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Dibromomethane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0		μg/L	1	3/4/2007
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0		μg/L	1	3/4/2007
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Hexanone	ND	10		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	. 10		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
n-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Styrene	ND	1.5		µg/L	1	3/4/2007
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	I	μg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	)	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	)	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	1	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	)	µg/L	1	3/4/2007

Qualifiers:

\*

Е Value above quantitation range в Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

J

Analyte detected below quantitation limits ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant Level

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level RL Reporting Limit



Date: 13-Mar-07

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation D	Division Client Sample ID:				SW Ret Pond		
Lab Order:	0702246				<b>Collection Date:</b>	2/19/2007 2:45:00 PM		
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery	/			Date Received:	2/22/2	2007	
Lab ID:	0702246-01				Matrix:	AQUI	EOUS	
Analyses		Result	PQL	Qual	Units	DF	Date Analyzed	
EPA METHOD	8260B: VOLATILES				·····		Analyst: LMM	
Trichloroethene	e (TCE)	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007	
Trichlorofluoror	nethane	ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007	
1,2,3-Trichloro	propane	ND	2.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007	
Vinyl chloride		ND	1.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007	
Xylenes, Total		ND	3.0		µg/L	1	3/4/2007	
Surr: 1,2-Dic	chloroethane-d4	109	76.6-113		%REC	1	3/4/2007	
Surr: 4-Bron	nofluorobenzene	101	77-117		%REC	1	3/4/2007	
Surr: Dibrom	ofluoromethane	110	72.3-121		%REC	1	3/4/2007	
Surr: Toluen	e-d8	104	73-113		%REC	1	3/4/2007	
EPA METHOD	310.1: ALKALINITY						Analyst: <b>KS</b>	
Alkalinity, Tota	I (As CaCO3)	42	2.0		mg/L CaCO3	1	3/1/2007	
Carbonate		8.0	2.0		mg/L CaCO3	1	3/1/2007	
Bicarbonate		34	2.0		mg/L CaCO3	1	3/1/2007	
EPA 120.1: SF	PECIFIC CONDUCTANCE						Analyst: MAP	
Specific Condu	uctance	740	0.010		µmhos/cm	1	3/5/2007	
EPA METHOD	160.1: TDS						Analyst: <b>KS</b>	
Total Dissolve	d Solids	530	20		mg/L	1	2/26/2007	

### Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant LevelE Value above quantitation range
- E Value above quantitation rangeJ Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- MCL Maximum Contaminant Level
- RL Reporting Limit

Page 6 of 8



CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation Division
Lab Order:	0702246
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery
Lab ID:	0702246-02

Date: 13-Mar-07

Client Sample ID: Trip Blank **Collection Date:** Date Received: 2/22/2007 Matrix: TRIP BLANK

Analyses	Result	PQL	Qual Units	DF	Date Analyzed
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES				<u></u>	Analyst: LMM
Benzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Toluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Ethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Naphthalene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	4.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Acetone	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromobenzene	NÐ	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromochloromethane	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromodichloromethane	NÐ	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromoform	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
Bromomethane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Butanone	ND	10	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Carbon disulfide	ND	10	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	2.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Chlorobenzene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Chloroethane	ND	2.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Chloroform	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
Chioromethane	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
cis-1.2-DCE	ND	1.0	µa/L	1	3/4/2007
cis-1.3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
1.2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
Dibromochloromethane	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Dibromomethane	ND	2.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
1.3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	ug/L	1	3/4/2007
1.1-Dichloroethane	ND	2.0	ug/L	1	3/4/2007
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007
1.1-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	ua/L	1	3/4/2007

Qualifiers:

Ε Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank

Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

MCL Maximum Contaminant Level RL Reporting Limit

Page 7 of 8

CLIENT:	NM Oil Conservation Division
Lab Order:	0702246
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery
Lab ID:	0702246-02

Date: 13-Mar-07

Client Sample ID: Trip Blank Collection Date: Date Received: 2/22/2007 Matrix: TRIP BLANK

Analyses	Result	PQL Q	ual Units	DF	Date Analyzed		
EPA METHOD 8260B: VOLATILES					Analyst: LMM		
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
2-Hexanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Isopropylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	10	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Methylene Chloride	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
n-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
n-Propylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Styrene	ND	1.5	μg/L	1	3/4/2007		
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	3/4/2007		
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	1.0	μg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	NÐ	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	ND	2.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Vinyl chloride	ND	1.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Xylenes, Total	ND	3.0	µg/L	1	3/4/2007		
Surr: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4	103	76.6-113	%REC	1	3/4/2007		
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103	77-117	%REC	1	3/4/2007		
Surr: Dibromofluoromethane	106	72.3-121	%REC	1	3/4/2007		
Surr: Toluene-d8	104	73-113	%REC	1	3/4/2007		

Qualifiers:

- \* Value exceeds Maximum Contaminant LevelE Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- MCL Maximum Contaminant Level

в

RL Reporting Limit

9/21

Page 8 of 8

Date: 13-Mar-07

Page 1

### **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client: NI Project: Na	M Oil Conse Ivajo Artesia	conservation Division rtesia Refinery							Work Order: 0702246			
Analyte		Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLi	mit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual	
Method: E300						_						
Sample ID: MBLK			MBLK			Batch I	D: <b>R2</b>	2574	Analysis Dat	e: 2/22/	2007 10:17:04 AM	
Fluoride		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Chloride		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Bromide		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Nitrate (As N)+Nitrite (A	s N)	ND	mg/L	0.10								
Phosphorus, Orthophos	phate (As P)	ND	mg/L	0.50								
Suifate Sample ID: MBLK		ND	mg/L MBLK	0.50		Batch I	D: <b>R2</b>	2595	Analysis Dat	e: 2/23/	2007 10:32:26 AM	
Fluoride		ND	ma/L	0.10					-			
Chloride		ND	ma/L	0.10								
Bromide		ND	ma/L	0.10								
Nitrate (As N)+Nitrite (A	sN)	ND	mg/L	0.10								
Phosphorus, Orthophos	phate (As P)	ND	mg/L	0.50								
Sulfate	• • • •	ND	mg/L	0.50								
Sample ID: MBLK			MBLK			Batch	ID: <b>R2</b>	2595	Analysis Dat	e: 2/23	3/2007 3:32:55 PM	
Fluoride		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Chloride		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Bromide		ND	mg/L	0.10								
Nitrate (As N)+Nitrite (A	s N)	ND	mg/L	0.10								
Phosphorus, Orthophos	phate (As P)	ND	mg/L	0.50								
Sulfate		ND	mg/L	0.50							•	
Sample ID: LCS ST30	0-06026		LCS			Batch	ID: <b>R2</b>	2574	Analysis Dat	te: 2/22	2/2007 9:42:16 AM	
Fluoride		0.4829	mg/L	0.10	96.6	90	110					
Chloride	•	4.818	mg/L	0.10	96.4	90	110					
Bromide		2.397	mg/L	0.10	95.9	90	110					
Nitrate (As N)+Nitrite (A	As N)	3.380	mg/L	0.10	96.6	90	110					
Phosphorus, Orthophos	sphate (As P)	4.952	mg/L	0.50	99.0	90	110					
Sulfate		9.944	mg/L	0.50	98.0	90	110					
Sample ID: LCS ST3	00-06026		LCS			Batch	ID: <b>R</b> 2	22595	Analysis Da	te: 2/23/	/2007 10:49:51 AM	
Fluoride		0.5116	mg/L	0.10	102	90	110					
Chloride		5.003	mg/L	0.10	100	90	110					
Bromide		2.520	mg/L	0.10	101	90	110					
Nitrate (As N)+Nitrite (A	As N)	3.553	mg/L	0.10	102	90	110					
Phosphorus, Orthophos Sulfate	sphate (As P)	5.036	mg/L mg/l	0.50	101	90 90	110					
		10.11		0.00	101							
Sample ID: MB			MBLK			Batch	ID: R	22655	Analvsis Da	te:	3/1/2007	
Alkalinity, Total (As Ca	CO3)	2.000	ma/L CaC	2.0					2			
Carbonate	,	ND	mg/L CaC	2.0								
Bicarbonate		2.000	mg/L CaC	2.0								
Sample ID: LCS			LCS			Batch	ID: R	22655	Analysis Da	te:	3/1/2007	
Alkalinity, Total (As Ca	CO3)	80.00	mg/L CaC	2.0	97.5	80	120		,			
Qualifiers: E Value above qua	ntitation range	·		н	Holding	times for prepa	aration or	analysi	is exceeded			

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

### **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Method: SW8270C			·····						
Sample ID: MB-12384		MBLK			Batch	ID: <b>12384</b>	Analysis [	Date:	
Acenaphthene	ND	µg/L	10					•	
Acenaphthylene	ND	µg/L	10						
Aniline	ND	μg/L	20						
Anthracene	ND	µg/L	10						
Azobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
Benz(a)anthracene	ND	µg/L	15						
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	µg/L	10						
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	15						
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	µg/L	10						
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	10						
Benzoic acid	ND	µg/L	50						
Benzyl alcohol	ND	µg/L	20						
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	ND	µg/L	10						
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	ND	µg/L	15						
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	ND	µg/L	15			0			
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	ND	µg/L	15						
4-Bromophenyl phenyl ether	ND	µg/L	10						
Butyl benzyl phthalate	ND	µg/L	15						
Carbazole	ND	µg/L	10						
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	ND	µg/L	20						
4-Chloroaniline	ND	µg/L	20						
2-Chloronaphthalene	ND	µg/L	10						
2-Chlorophenol	ND	µg/L	10						
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	ND	µg/L	15						
Chrysene	ND	µg/L	15						
Di-n-butyl phthalate	ND	µg/L	10						
Di-n-octyl phthalate	ND	µg/L	15						
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	μg/L	10						
Dibenzofuran	ND	µg/L	10						
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	ND	µg/L	15						
Diethyl phthalate	ND	µg/L	10						
Dimethyl phthalate	ND	µg/L	10						
2,4-Dichlorophenol	ND	µg/L	10						
2,4-Dimethylphenol	ND	µg/L	10						
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	ND	µg/L	50						
2,4-Dinitrophenol	ND	µg/L	50						
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	ND	µg/L	10						
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	ND	µg/L	10						
Fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	10						
Fluorene	ND	µg/L	10					ť	
Hexachlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						

### Qualifiers:

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Page 2

Date: 13-Mar-07

0702246

3/9/2007

Date: 13-Mar-07

### **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Project: Navajo A	rtesia Refinery	/					,	Work Orde	r: 0702246
Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Method: SW8270C			<u> </u>		Datab	10004	A _} .'_ T		21010007
Sample ID: MB-12384		MBLK			Batch	ID: 12384	Analysis L	Date:	3/9/2007
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	µg/L	10						
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	ND	µg/L	50						
Hexachloroethane	ND	µg/L	10						
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	µg/L	10						
Isophorone	ND	µg/L	10						
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	µg/L	10						
2-Methylphenol	ND	µg/L	15						
3+4-Methylphenol	ND	µg/L	20						
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	ND	µg/L	10						
N-Nitrosodimethylamine	ND	µg/L	10						
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	ND	µg/L	10						
Naphthalene	ND	µg/L	. 10						
2-Nitroaniline	ND	µg/L	50						
3-Nitroaniline	ND	µg/L	50						
4-Nitroaniline	ND	µg/L	20						
Nitrobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
2-Nitrophenol	ND	µg/L	15						
4-Nitrophenol	ND	µg/L	50						
Pentachlorophenol	ND	µg/L	50						
Phenanthrene	ND	µg/L	10						
Phenol	ND	µg/L	10						
Pyrene	ND	µg/L	15						
Pyridine	ND	µg/L	30						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	10						
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	ND	µg/L	10						
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	<sup>·</sup> ND	µg/Ľ	15						
Sample ID: LCS-12384		LCS			Batch	ID: <b>12384</b>	Analysis	Date:	3/9/200
Acenaphthene	62.86	µg/L	10	62.9	11	123			
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	125.8	μg/L	20	62.9	15.4	119			
2-Chlorophenol	120.9	µg/L	10	60.4	12.2	122			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	54.26	ua/L	10	54.3	16.9	100			
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	59.24	µg/L	10	59.2	13	138			
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	62.42	µg/L	10	62.4	9.93	122			
4-Nitrophenol	65.96	µq/L	50	33.0	12.5	87.4			
Pentachlorophenol	149.0	µg/L	50	74.5	3.55	114			
Phenol	69.76	µg/L	10	34.9	7.53	73.1			
Pyrene	71.24	µg/L	15	71.2	12.6	140			
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	52.92	µq/L	10	52.9	17.4	98.7			
Sample ID: LCSD-12384		LCSD			Batch	1D: 12384	Analysis	Date:	3/9/200
Acenaphthene	55.88	µa/L	10	55.9	11	123	11.8	30.5	
4-Chloro-3-methvinhenol	113.3	ua/L	20	56.7	15.4	119	10.4	28.6	
2-Chlorophenol	104.3	uo/l	- 10	52.1	12.2	122	14.8	107	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	45 84	uo/l	10	45.8	16.9	100	16.8	62 1	
.,	10.01	rg/-			,0.0				

### Qualifiers:

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

12/21

Page 3

### **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

# Client:NM Oil Conservation DivisionProject:Navajo Artesia Refinery

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Method: SW8270C Sample ID: LCSD-12384		LCSD			Batch	ID: 12384	Analysis [	Date:	3/9/2007
N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine	51.10	µg/L	10	51.1	9.93	122	19.9	30.3	
4-Nitrophenol	60.10	µg/L	50	30.0	12.5	87.4	9.30	36.3	
Pentachlorophenol	136.8	µg/L	50	68.4	3.55	114	8.55	49	
Phenol	60.44	µg/L	10	30.2	7.53	73.1	14.3	52.4	
Pyrene	65.90	µg/L	15	65.9	12.6	140	7.79	16.3	
1.2.4-Trichlorobenzene	46.98	ua/L	10	47.0	17.4	98.7	11.9	36.4	

Qualifiers:

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

### Date: 13-Mar-07

**Work Order:** 0702246
# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client: N Project: N	M Oil Conservation D avajo Artesia Refinery	vivision V					Wo	rk Order: 0702246
Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD F	RPDLimit Qual
Method: SW8310	······							
Sample ID: MB-1238	1	MBLK			Batch	ID: 12381	Analysis Date	: 3/5/2007 12:46:34 PM
Naphthalene	ND	µg/L	5.0					
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	µg/L	5.0					
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	µg/L	5.0					
Acenaphthylene	ND	µg/L	2.5					
Acenaphthene	ND	µg/L	5.0					
Fluorene	ND	µg/L	0.80					
Phenanthrene	ND	µg/L	0.60					
Anthracene	ND	µg/L	0.60					
Fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	0.30					
Pyrene	ND	µg/L	0.30					
Benz(a)anthracene	ND	µg/L	0.050					
Chrysene	ND	µg/L	0.20					
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	0.10					
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	µg/L	0.020					
Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	µg/L	0.030					
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	µg/L	0.040					
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	µg/L	0.080					
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	e ND	µg/L	0.080					
Sample ID: LCS-123	81	LCS			Batch	ID: 12381	Analysis Date	: 3/5/2007 1:34:33 PM
Nanhthalene	20.85	ua/l	50	52 1	33.9	87 9		
1-Methylnaphthalene	19.63	μα/i	5.0	49.0	35.2	85		
2-Methylnaphthalene	19.59	uo/l.	5.0	49.0	33.7	83.9		
Acenaphthylene	26.31	r-9/ uo/L	2.5	65.6	55	97.9		
Acenaphthene	22.80	ua/l_	5.0	57.0	42.2	86.6		
Fluorene	2 560	µg/L	0.80	63.8	47.3	85.1		
Phenanthrene	1 280	uo/l	0.60	63.7	53.5	97.3		
Anthracene	1.280	ug/L	0.60	63.7	53.6	93.7		
Fluoranthene	2 850	μg/t μα/l	0.00	71.1	60.0	98.5		
Pyrene	2.830	µg/L	0.00	70.6	57.5	108		
Renz(a)anthracene	0.3000	P9//C	0.050	74.8	57.7	106		·
Chrysone	1 390	P9/C	0.000	69.2	59.1	112		
Benzo(h)fluoranthene	0.3600	μg/L μα/i	0.20	71 0	67	112		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.0000	μ <u>ο</u> /Ι	0.10	76.0	63.2	106		
Benzo(a)pyrope	0.1900	µg/L	0.020	70.0	/0.Z	100		
Dibenz(a)pyrene Dibenz(a b)antbraceno	0.1800	р <u>9</u> ,с uo/l	0.030	75.8		183		
Benzo(a b i)pendene	0.3900	µg/t	0.040	70.0	51 3	111		
Indono(1,2,3,cd)pyron	0.000	µg/L	0.000	820	52.3	103		
Sample ID: LCSD-1	2381	10SD	0.000	02.5	Batch	103	Analysis Date	
Na alaka 1		. "					Analysis Dale	
Naphthalene	20.88	µg/L	5.0	52.2	33.9	87.9	0.144	32.1
1-Methylnaphthalene	20.41	µg/L	5.0	50.9	35.2	85	3.90	52.1
2-Methyinaphthalene	20.37	µg/L	5.0	50.9	33.7	83.9	3.90	34
Acenaphthylene	26.77	µg/L	2.5	66.7	55	97.9	1.73	38.8
Acenaphthene	23.71	µg/L	5.0	59.3	42.2	86.6	3.91	38.6
Fluorene	2.560	µg/L	0.80	63.8	47.3	85.1	0	29.3

Qualifiers:

Value above quantitation range Ε

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded Н

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

14/21

Page 5

Date: 13-Mar-07

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client:	NM Oil Conservation Division
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery

Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDL	imit Qual
Method: SW8310									
Sample ID: LCSD-12381		LCSD			Batch	ID: 12381	Analysis [	Date:	3/7/2007 11:00:12 PM
Phenanthrene	1.350	µg/L	0.60	67.2	53.5	97.3	5.32	25	
Anthracene	1.340	µg/L	0.60	66.7	53.6	93.7	4.58	23.9	
Fluoranthene	2.980	µg/L	0.30	74.3	60.1	98.5	4.46	15.7	
Pyrene	2.870	µg/L	0.30	71.6	57.5	108	1.40	15.3	
Benz(a)anthracene	0.3200	µg/L	0.050	79.8	57.7	106	6.45	19	
Chrysene	1.490	µg/L	0.20	74.1	59.1	112	6.94	16.6	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.3900	µg/L	0.10	77.8	67	110	8.00	21.7	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.2000	µg/L	0.020	80.0	63.2	106	5.13	19.4	
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.1900	µg/L	0.030	75.7	49.7	109	5.41	16.7	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	0.4000	µg/L	0.040	79.8	54.1	111	5.13	17.3	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.4100	µg/L	0.080	82.0	51.3	111	5.00	18	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.8840	µg/L	0.080	88.2	52.3	103	6.18	17.7	
Method: SW7470									
Sample ID: 0702246-01CMSD		MSD			Batch	ID: 12426	Analysis	Date:	3/2/200
Mercury	0.004974	mg/L	0.00020	99.5	75	125	0.151	20	
Sample ID: LCS-12426		LCS			Batch	ID: 12426	Analysis	Date:	3/2/200
Mercurv	0.005014	ma/L	0.00020	100	80	120			
Sample ID: 0702246-01CMS		MS			Batch	ID: 12426	Analysis	Date:	3/2/200
Mercury	0.004966	mg/L	0.00020	99.3	75	125			

Qualifiers:

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Work Order: 0702246

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client:	NM Oil Con	servation D	Division							
Project:	Navajo Artes	sia Refiner	Ý					ν	Vork (	Drder: 0702246
Analyte		Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPD	Limit Qual
Method: S	SW6010A		<u></u>				·			
Sample ID:	0702246-01C MSD		MSD			Batch II	D: <b>R22714</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 1:44:27 PM
Magnesium		67.68	mg/L	1.0	89.3	75	125	1.33	20	
Potassium		50.92	mg/L	1.0	82.1	75	125	1.94	20	
Sodium		96.72	mg/L	1.0	86.6	75	125	0.521	20	
Sample ID:	0702246-01C MSD		MSD			Batch II	D: <b>R22714</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 2:35:27 PM
Calcium		116.6	mg/L	2.0	84.4	75	125	0.623	20	
Sodium		91.93	mg/L	2.0	82.5	75	125	0.552	20	
Sample ID:	MB		MBLK			Batch I	D: R22714	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 12:05:04 PM
Calcium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Magnesium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Potassium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Sodium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Sample ID:	LCS		LCS			Batch I	D: <b>R22714</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 12:07:54 PM
Calcium		47.88	mg/L	1.0	94.3	80	120			
Magnesium		48.47	mg/L	1.0	95.5	80	120			
Potassium		51.05	mg/L	1.0	92.4	80	120			
Sodium		51.73	mg/L	1.0	102	80	120			
Sample ID:	0702246-01C MS		MS			Batch I	D: <b>R22714</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 1:41:48 PM
Magnesium		66.79	mg/L	1.0	87.5	75	125			
Potassium		49.94	mg/L	1.0	80.4	75	125			
Sodium		96.22	mg/L	1.0	85.6	75	125			
Sample ID:	0702246-01C MS		MS			Batch I	D: <b>R22714</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/6/2007 2:47:58 PM
Calcium		115.8	mg/L	2.0	82.9	75	125			
Sodium		91.42	mg/L	2.0	81.5	75	125			

Qualifiers:

- Ε Value above quantitation range
- Analyte detected below quantitation limits J
- R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

16/21

## Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client:	NM Oil Con	servation D	ivision							
Project:	Navajo Artes	sia Refinery	,						Work	Order: 0702246
Analyte		Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLin	nit	%RPD RPC	Limit Qual
Method: SW60	)10A							N		
Sample ID: MB-	12394		MBLK			Batch II	D: 12	2394	Analysis Date:	3/6/2007 4:04:02 PM
Aluminum		ND	mg/L	0.020						
Barium		ND	mg/L	0.020						
Magnesium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Molybdenum		ND	mg/L	0.0080						
Nickel		ND	mg/L	0.010						
Selenium		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Silver		ND	mg/L	0.0050						
Vanadium		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Silica		ND	mg/L	1.1						
Sample ID: MB-	12394		MBLK			Batch I	D: 12	2394	Analysis Date:	3/7/2007 9:15:25 AM
Antimony		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Arsenic		ND	mg/L	0.020						
Beryllium	<b>、</b>	ND	mg/L	0.0030						
Boron		ND	mg/L	0.040						
Cadmium		ND	mg/L	0.0020						
Calcium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Chromium		ND	mg/L	0.0060						
Cobalt		ND	mg/L	0.0060						
Copper		ND	mg/L	0.0060						
Iron		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Lead		ND	mg/L	0.0050						
Magnesium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Manganese		ND	mg/L	0.0020						
Potassium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Sodium		ND	mg/L	1.0						
Zinc		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Sample ID: MB	-12394		MBLK			Batch I	ID: 1	2394	Analysis Date:	3/7/2007 2:32:24 PM
Thallium		ND	mg/L	0.050						
Sample ID: LCS	S-12394		LCS			Batch	ID: 1	2394	Analysis Date:	3/6/2007 4:07:05 PM
Aluminum		0.4799	mg/L	0.020	96.0	80	120			
Barium		0.4670	mg/L	0.020	93.4	80	120			
Magnesium		49.90	mg/L	1.0	99.5	80	120			
Molybdenum		0.4963	mg/L	0.0080	99.3	80	120			
Nickel		0.4536	mg/L	0.010	90.7	80	120			
Selenium		0.4159	mg/L	0.050	83.2	80	120			
Silver		0.4783	mg/L	0.0050	95.4	80	120			
Vanadium		0.4871	mg/L	0.050	97.4	80	120			
Silica		5.255	mg/L	1.1	98.2	80	120			
Sample ID: LC	S-12394		LCS			Batch	ID: 1	2394	Analysis Date:	3/7/2007 9:19:22 AM
Antimony		0.5641	mg/L	0.050	107	80	120			
Arsenic		0.5036	mg/L	0.020	101	80	120			
Beryllium		0.5085	mg/L	0.0030	102	80	120			
Boron		0.5039	mg/L	0.040	101	80	120			
			-							

#### Qualifiers:

- E Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

Date: 13-Mar-07

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client:	NM Oil Conservation Division
Project:	Navajo Artesia Refinery

Project: Navajo A	rtesia Refiner	Ý					Work	<b>Order:</b> 0702246
Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD RPI	DLimit Qual
Method: SW6010A								
Sample ID: LCS-12394		LCS			Batch	ID: <b>12394</b>	Analysis Date:	3/7/2007 9:19:22 AM
Cadmium	0.4889	mg/L	0.0020	97.7	80	120		
Calcium	51.68	mg/L	1.0	102	80	120		
Chromium	0.4976	mg/L	0.0060	99.5	80	120		
Cobalt	0.5046	mg/L	0.0060	101	80	120		
Copper	0.5214	mg/L	0.0060	104	80	120		
Iron	0.5189	mg/L	0.050	98.2	80	120		
Lead	0.4882	mg/L	0.0050	97.6	80	120		
Magnesium	51.72	mg/L	1.0	102	80	120		
Manganese	0.4915	mg/L	0.0020	98.3	80	120		
Potassium	53.98	mg/L	1.0	107	80	120		
Sodium	54.56	mg/L	1.0	108	80	120		
Zinc	0.4767	mg/L	0.050	95.0	80	120		
Sample ID: LCS-12394		LCS			Batch	ID: 12394	Analysis Date:	3/7/2007 2:34:54 PM
Thallium	0.5030	mg/L	0.050	101	80	120		
Method: E160.1								
Sample ID: MB-12387		MBLK			Batch	ID: 12387	Analysis Date:	2/26/2007
Total Dissolved Solids	ND	mg/L	20					
Sample ID: LCS-12387		LCS			Batch	ID: 12387	Analysis Date:	2/26/2007
Total Dissolved Solids	1005	mg/L	20	100	80	120		

Qualifiers:

Ε Value above quantitation range

Analyte detected below quantitation limits J

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

18/21

## , Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

i

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client: NM (	Dil Conservation D	oivision									
Project: Nava	jo Artesia Refinery	/							Work Orde	er: (	702246
Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	Hig	hLimit	%RPD	RPDLimi	t Qua	
Method: SW8260B											
Sample ID: 5mL rb		MBLK			Batch	ID:	R22689	Analysis	Date:		3/4/2007
Benzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Toluene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Ethylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Methyl tert-butyl ether (MT	BE) ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,2-Dichloroethane (EDC)	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Naphthalene	ND	µg/L	2.0								
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	µg/L	4.0								
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	µg/L	4.0								
Acetone	ND	µg/L	10								
Bromobenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Bromochloromethane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Bromodichloromethane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Bromoform	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Bromomethane	ND	µg/L	2.0								
2-Butanone	ND	µg/L	10								
Carbon disulfide	ND	µg/L	10								
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	µg/L	2.0								
Chlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Chloroethane	ND	µg/L	2.0								
Chloroform	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Chloromethane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
2-Chlorotoluene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
4-Chlorotoluene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
cis-1,2-DCE	ND	µg/L	1.0								
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropa	ane ND	µg/L	2.0								
Dibromochloromethane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Dibromomethane	ND	µg/L	2.0								
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	µg/L	2.0								
1,1-Dichloroethene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
1,3-Dichloropropane	ND	µg/L	1.0								
2,2-Dichloropropane	ND	µg/L	2.0								
1,1-Dichloropropene	ND	µg/L	1.0								
Hexachlorobutadiene	ND	µg/L	2.0								
2-Hexanone	ND	µg/L	10								
Isopropylbenzene	ND	μg/L	1.0								

#### Qualifiers:

- Ε Value above quantitation range
- J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

- Н Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits



# Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory, Inc.

Date: 13-Mar-07

# **QA/QC SUMMARY REPORT**

Client: N	M Oil Conservation	Division					i.		
Project: N	lavajo Artesia Refine	ry						ork Order	: 0702246
Analyte	Result	Units	PQL	%Rec	LowLimit	HighLimit	%RPD	RPDLimit	Quai
Method: SW8260B									
Sample ID: 5mL rb		MBLK			Batch	ID: <b>R22689</b>	Analysis Da	ate:	3/4/2007
4-Isopropyltoluene	ND	µg/L	1.0						
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ND	µg/L	10						
Methylene Chloride	ND	μg/L	3.0						
n-Butylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0						
n-Propylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0						
sec-Butylbenzene	ND	µg/L	2.0						
Styrene	ND	µg/L	1.5						
tert-Butylbenzene	ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroeth	ane ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroeth	ane ND	µg/L	1.0						
Tetrachloroethene (PC	CE) ND	µg/L	1.0						
trans-1,2-DCE	ND	µg/L	1.0						
trans-1,3-Dichloroprop	ene ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzen	e ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzen	e ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	µg/L	1.0						
Trichloroethene (TCE	) NĎ	µg/L	1.0						
Trichlorofluoromethan	e ND	µg/L	1.0						
1,2,3-Trichloropropan	e ND	µg/L	2.0						
Vinyl chloride	ND	µg/L	1.0						
Xylenes, Total	ND	µg/L	3.0						
Sample ID: 100ng I	cs	LCS			Batch	ID: <b>R22689</b>	Analysis D	ate:	3/4/200
Benzene	19.54	µg/L	1.0	97.7	75.6	111			
Toluene	17.87	µg/L	1.0	89.3	69.6	113			
Chlorobenzene	18.54	µg/L	1.0	92.7	79.7	112			
1,1-Dichloroethene	20.90	µg/L	1.0	105	72.5	121			
Trichloroethene (TCE	) 17.25	µg/L	1.0	86.3	63.7	123			

Qualifiers:

E Value above quantitation range

J Analyte detected below quantitation limits

R RPD outside accepted recovery limits

H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded

ND Not Detected at the Reporting Limit

S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits

20/21

Page 2

Hall Environmental Analysis Lab	oratory, Inc.					,
	Sample	Receipt	Checklist			
Client Name NMOCD SF			Date and Tim	e Received:	2/2	2/2007
Work Order Number 0702246	$\Delta I$		Received b	y AT		
Checklist completed by	nome	D	2/22/0 ate	7		
Matrix	Carrier name	Client dro	pp-off			
Shipping container/cooler in good condition?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present		
Custody seals intact on shipping container/coole	r?	Yes 🗌	No 🗌	Not Present	Not Shipped	
Custody seals intact on sample bottles?		Yes 🗌	No 🗹	N/A		
Chain of custody present?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Chain of custody signed when relinquished and	received?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Chain of custody agrees with sample labels?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Samples in proper container/bottle?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Sample containers intact?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Sufficient sample volume for indicated test?		Yes 🗹	No 🗔			
All samples received within holding time?		Yes 🗹	No 🗌			
Water - VOA vials have zero headspace?	No VOA vials sub	mitted	Yes 🗹	No 🗌		
Water - Preservation labels on bottle and cap m	atch?	Yes 🗹	No 🗔	N/A		
Water - pH acceptable upon receipt?		Yes 🗹	No 🗔	N/A 🗋		
Container/Temp Blank temperature?		1°	4° C ± 2 Accep	otable		
COMMENTS:			If given sufficie	ent time to cool.		
			·			
Client contacted	Date contacted:		Ρε	erson contacted		
Contacted by:	Regarding					
Comments:						
			,,,,			
						· · ·
Corrective Action						
	<u></u>				<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
					·····	

é o 🎓					(N Jo X) (	spea	e or He	9lddu8 riA									Ì		-		
						<u> </u>											-†	-+			
	107			$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathcal{U}}$	175	wit	Ð	wing	X									-+			fa fa
⊣⋩	<del>1</del> 5. 4				6A	olt	ふり	16+6					×		·						No se su
	15.37				<u>()</u>	2 Shi	m	0102				$\times$									2
			5			(	40V-in			×											A A
Suit Suit	ntal.		5				(∀0	V) 80928						$\varkappa$							e m
	1975 1975 1976				(8082)	, PCB's		1299 1 1808			2		 								د. ۲
	aue, 1 345.( enviro		5		("05""0	1 "ON "	ON IC	(,7) enoinA			$\mathbf{X}$										t m
	quer' 605.3 7. halle						stals	M 8 ARDR													so t
A A B A A B A A B A A B A A A A A A A A	Tel. 5 WWW					(H)			×								$\rightarrow$				22 w
						04) 															2 · 40
						(L'8								L	i						233
			L		(lezeiO\s		108 pc									-	- +				₹ < _
				(أبا	nO enilose	9) Hdl	+ 381	BTEX + M													ks:
					(1208)	s'8MT	+ 381	BTEX + M	<sup> </sup>										+		Remai
				_				9					Z								
		_				ere		P G.					~	-	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$					Ī	in
	. 4	Ş			23	0		EP EP							3					1	12
	E.	14			her	a se	10	<u><u></u> <u></u></u>	<u> </u>	ļ											27
ckage: evel 4	A	ž			9	E		We Weso					X						1		
Le Par	e e				1-1-1	22		servati HNO <sub>3</sub>				X									naturé nature
GA/G	Na.	<b>,</b> ,			లి	the		Pres JCI_H											1	7	(Sign
Sto	Na				er:	Ţ	ature	<u>Ŧ</u>											-+	$\mathcal{H}$	ed By
i.	ame:				lanag	ల	amper	Volum													leceiv
Othe	ject N		ject #		ject <b>N</b>	npler:	nple Te	mber/												ł	
			E E		<u>е</u>	San	Sar	Nu													
P			~					1	1												
an a			Ø					). No.	and a						Y						
ĒĈ			Ľ.					ple I.C							R						ture) 1
X A	2	่ .1	(Å			491	122	Sam	Ret	5		13	1	н	3						Signa
<u>a</u>		15	5	_		m	M		Ł						12						
57(	2	Je Je	S <sub>1</sub>	N N		21	5	, XL	5						<u> </u>						uishec
ij	N N	e	Z	اط		1		Mat	Wet	1	5	1	11	le la							Zeling Zeling
	Ψ.	4	20	t L		10	-		45	60	20	55	54	2							8
Ż	Ž	ථ	5	Š		N N		Tim	st.	5	14:	it.	F	E							Time:
IAI	ا يز		SSS:	,		e #:	 	ite	1/07			_	[							_	101
CH	Client		Addr			Phon	Fax ∌	ŭ	2/2	\$	19	0	58	13							Date: Date:

Ļ

cause the operations of any HEAL instrumentation to be reduced, stopped, or aircred, HEAL is entitled to compensation by the Customer for any loss of revenue due to the instrument's downline, and/or the parts and labor. The amount of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and Conditions and the individual circumstances warranting the reimburssement.	LACKLEMENT: 52 VERABLIAT 1 These Terms and Conditions, together with any additions or revisions which	may be agreed to in writing by HEAL as provided in Societo 7.1, embodied the whole agreement of the prates. There are no promises, terms, the whole agreement of the prates. There are no promises, terms, conditions, understandings, obligations or agreements other than those contained herein, unless made in accordance with Section 7.1; and these Terms and Confidents shall superside all previous communications, representations, or agreements, ether vetaal or written, between the Customer and HEAL. HEAL specifically rejects all additional, inconsistent, or conflicting terms, whether printed or otherwise as for thin any purchase order or obtacting terms.	The invalidity or unenforceability, in whole or in part of any provision, term or condition heacof shall not affect in any way the validity or anforceability of the termainder of the Terms and Conditions, the intent of the parties being that the provisions be severable. MENTS AND WAIVERS	HEAL shall not be subject to or bound by any provision, term or condition which is in addition to or inconsistent or conflicting with these Terms and Conditions. HEAL shall not be deemed to have amended or waived and provision, term or condition, that we given any required constant or approval, or to have waived any breach by the Customer of any of these Terms and Conditions unless specifically set forth in writing and executed on behalf of	HEAL DY 3 GUY SHORDERD ONDER: NO ORDER GRIPOLYES, SEYSTA, REGEN OF TEPTESERTIATIONS OF THEAL has any authority whatscorer to add to, delete, alter or vary any of these Terms and Conditions in any manner, or to give any consent, approval or waiver, and HEAL shall not be bound by any such purported addition, detetion, alteration, variation, consent, approval or waiver. No waiver by HEAL of any provision, term or condition hereof or of any breach by or obligation of the Customer hereunder abil constitutes a variver breach by or obligation of the Customer hereunder abil constitutes a variver of such provision, term or condition on any other boccasion or a waiver of any other breach by or obligation of the Customer.	E STORAGE Bulk samples will be retained for thirty (30) days after the analytical report has been issued unless alternate arrangements have been made in advance. Storage of samples or extracts for longer periods is by request only. Sample	storage charges depend upon storage requirements and daration. Norminally, a sample storage fee of \$5.00 per sample, per amonth will be billed monthly undess othe amangements are made. If requested, unused sample material may be returned at the client's expense. Materials, which are identified as hazardous, will be returned to the client or disposed of as hazardous waste and billed at the rate of \$25.00 per sample. HEAL reserves the right to return all dibenzodioxins/dibenzoftmans to the client.	IN HEADING The section headings of these Terms and Conditions are intended solely for convenient reference and shall not define, limit or affect in any way These Terms and Conditions or their interpretations.	These Terms and Conditions, and transaction or agreement, to which they apply, shall be governed both as to interpretation and performance by the Bars of the State of New Mercino
	TITNE		AMEND			SAMPL		SECTIO	_
9 5	وت <b>او</b>		4 6.2 7	Г' <b>с</b>	7.2	<b>ei</b> 80		9. 1.0 10	10.1
Customer, such mine prints cannot be guaranteed. Unless prodification indicated on the Price Schedule or expressly mode part of a written agreement between HEAL and the Customer, analytical turnaround times are not guaranteed. Verhal Results may be given in advance of At HEAL'S is discretion, verhal Results may be given in advance of the written report of Results. Such verhal Results are TENTATIVE RESULTS ONLY, subject to confirmation or change based on HEAL'S	statuted of the unit assumance review procedures. LRRANTIES, LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION	HEAL warrants only that its services will fulfill obligations set forth in Section 4.3 and 4.4 hereof. This warranty is the sole and exclusive warranty given by HEAL in connection with any such services, and HEAL gives and makes no other representation on warranty of any kind, express or implied. No representative of HEAL is authorized to give or make any other representation or warranty of the warranty in any way.	The liability and obligations of HEAL, and the remedies of the Customer in connection with any services performed by HEAL will be limited to repeating the services performed or, at the sole option of HEAL, refunding in full or in part fees paid by the Customer for such services. HEAL'S obligation to repeat any services with respect to any sample will be configured to the Customer's providing, at the request of HEAL, and at the Customer's evences an additional sample if necessary.	Any reanalysis generating Results consistent with the Original Results will be at the Customer's correstent software specifically provided hearn, HEAL shall have no liability, obligation or responsibility of any kind for any losses, costs, expenses, or other damges (including but not minued to any special, indired, incidental or consequential damages) for any representation or warranty of a kind with respect to HEAL'S Services or Results.	In no event shall HEAL have any responsibility or liability to the Customer for any failure or delay in performance by HEAL, which results, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, from any cause or arcumstance beyond the reasonable control of HEAL. Such acuse and circumstance shall include, but not be limited to, acts of God, acts of Customer, acts of orders of any government authority, strikes or other labor disputs, natural diasters, accidents, wars, civil disputes, infiliculties or delay in trapsportation, mail or delivery services, inability to obtain from HEAL usual sources sufficient services or supplies, or any other cause beyond HEAL'S reasonable control.	All results provided by HEAL are strictly for the use of its Customers, and HEAL is in no way responsible for the use of such results by Customers or third parties. All results should be considered in their entirety, and HEAL is in no way responsible for the separation, detachment, or other use of any portion of the results.	The customer represents and warrants that any sample delivered to HEAL will be preceded or accompanied by complete written disclosure of the presence of any hazardous substances known or suspected by the customer. The Customer further warrants that any sample containing any hazardous substance, which is to be delivered to HEAL'S premises will be packaged, labeled, transported and delivered properly and in accordance with applicable haw:	It is understood and agreed that all samples and cuttings of materials containing hazardous contaminants are the property and the responsibility of the Customer. All contaminated samples and laboratory bygroducts will be returned to the Customer for disposal. It is understood and agreed that HEAL is not, and has no responsibility as, a generator, the next, stories, or dispose of thazardout or trade substances found reductioned as a site and the Customer to sense to sense the	tours of manufactures at a sing and the Customing agrees to assume the responsibility for the foregoing.
	Customer, such thire firmts cannot be guaranteed. Unless specifically indicated on the Pince Schedule or expressly made part of a written agreement between HEAL instrumentation to be reduced, stopped, or altered, HEAL is antibal to compensation by the Customer for any loss of indicated on the Pince Schedule or expressly made part of a written agreement between HEAL and the Customer, analytical turnaround times are not guaranteed. Textual activity loss of the entroped to the instrument back to its former operating condition. The amount of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and Labor hered. Such as the entroped to the instrument back to its former operating condition. The amount of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms ESSULTS ONLY, subject to confirmation or dhange based on HEAL'S and the individual circumstances warranting the entroped to confirmation or dhange based on HEAL'S and the individual circumstances warranting the entroped to confirmation or dhange based on HEAL'S and the individual circumstances warranting the entroped to confirmation or dhange based on HEAL'S and the individual circumstances warranting the entroped to the individual	Customer, such the family actual family a	<ul> <li>Customer, such the first arrent spectration of any HEAL instrumentation to be reduced, stoped, indiancion matcher environmentation to be reduced, stoped, indiancial and the Customer of a written agreement between HEAL is not under the parts and labor to expression in advance of the arround of compensation is analyted it may be given in advance of the arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and conditions. The arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and conditions are the viole agreements back to the former operating condition. The arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and cluding sumance review procedures.</li> <li>At HEAL 's tole discretion, vertal Results may be given in advance of the arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and quality assumance review procedures.</li> <li>At HEAL 's sole discretion, vertal Results may be given in advance of the arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and quality assumance review procedures.</li> <li>At HEAL 's sole discretion, vertal Results may be given in advance of the arround of compensation is negotiable upon acceptance of these Terms and Conditions and the individual circumstances warranting the terms and quality assumance review procedures.</li> <li>At HEAL 's and a diation or revisions which may additions or revisions which may given by HEAL in connection with any solutions, to egreements, envirts obligations or revisions and the rubot egreements, envirts of HEAL is authorized to give or indications, in any vertice arrow and the customer and envirts and express and make any other representation or warranty or modify the warranty in any web.</li> <li>At HEAL warrants on the representation or warranty or modify the warranty in any web.</li> <li>At HEAL is and the customer and effect. HEAL is and conditions, in agreements, envived at a diditional circumstances and whole egreements and win</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Constants, and the Christmentation of the reduced, stoped, or christ attrantion of the reduced, stoped, or the re</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Constraints and there furnise action by presention to be reduced, atoped, arophed parametrizion to be reduced, atoped aron, any position are arrow of compensation by the parametrizion to be reduced, atoped, arophed parametrizion to be reduced, atoped aron, any position are arrow of compensation by the parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation by the parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation parametrizion. The amount of compensation parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation parametrizion. The amount of compensation parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation parametrizion. The amount of compensation parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation parametrizion. The amount of compensation parametrizion of early into a continue arrow of compensation parametrizion. The amount of compensation parametrizion of the custom and the individual arrow and how arrow and the custom and the custom</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Ceremen in the interment of particular conductions and particu</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Alter of the first shorth or experision. We first strutter is shorth or experts or expects or exp</li></ul>	<ul> <li>and the cluster and the product of the cluster and the cluster an</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Consider determination of the strategies of the strat</li></ul>

1. DEFINITIONS

- "Acceptance of a sample" means the determination of HEAL to proceed with work following receipt and inspection of such sample.
- 1.2 "Outlotme" means the individual or entity who may request laboratory services and his or its heirs, successors, assigns, and representatives.
- 1.3 HEAL means Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory its employees, servants, agents, and representative.
- 1.4 "Price schedule" means HEAL'S standard price schedule, as such, document may be amended from time to time by HEAL.
- "Results" mean data generated by HEAL from the analysis of one or more samples.

SI

1.6 "Terms and Conditions" mean these Terms and Conditions of sale, including the Price Schedule, and any additions or amendments hereto which are agreed to in writing by HEAL as provided in Section 7.1

# CRDERS

51

- The customer may order services by submitting a written purchase order to HEAL, by placing a telephone order, which will be subsequently confirmed in mriting. Or by negotiated contract. Any such order constitutes a) an acceptance by the Customer of HEAL. So fifer to do buiness with the Customer under these Terms and Conditions. The Customer's derivery of a supplex to HEAL constitutes the Customer's express assent to be bound by these Terms and Conditions. The Customer's derivery of amples to HEAL constitutes the Customer's express assent to be by these Terms and Conditions. HE Customer's derivery of proceed with work at any time based upon an unfavorable outcomer credit report.
- 2.2 Any order placed by the Customer under Socion 2.1 is subject to a minimum cancellation charge of \$2.50.

# 3. PAYMENT TERMS

- 3.1 Services performed by HEAL will be in accordance with prices quoted and later confinmed in writing or as taken on the Price Schedular which prices are subject to change periodically writhout notice. The Customer should confirm with HEAL the current price prior to placing an order for work.
- 3.2 Payment terms are not 30 days from the date of invoice by HEAL. All overdue payments are subject to an additional interest and service charge of one and one-half percent (1.5%) per month or portion thereof from the due date until the date of payment. All payments shall be made in United State ourseto.
- The prices stated on the Price Schedule do not include any sales, use or other taxes unless specifically stated. Such taxes will be added to invoice prices when required.

5

# 4. RECEIPT OF SAMPLES AND DELIVERY OF SERVICES

- 4.1 Prior to HEAL'S Accorptance of any sample (or after any revocation of Accorptance), the entite risk of loss or damage to such sample will remain with the Customer. In no event will HEAL's have any responsibility or liability for the action or inaction of HEAL'S carrier altipping or delivering any sample to or from HEAL'S premises.
- 4.2 HEAL reserves the absolute right, exercisable at any time to refuse delivery of, refuse to accept, or revole Accordance or, any sample which in the sole judgement of HEAL a) is of unsuitable volume, b) unsuitable containers as required for the requested analysis, or o) may be or boome unsuitable for, or may post a risk in, handling, transport or processing for any health, safety, arritorimental or other reacton, whether or not such presence in the sample of any hazardous abstance and whether or not such presence has been disclosed to HEAL by the Customer.
- Where applicable, HEAL will use analytical methodologies which are in substantial conformity with US. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), state agency. American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), Association of Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC), Standard Methods for the examination of Water and Waterwater, or other recognized methodologies. HEAL reserves the right to deviate from these methodologies.

43

Date:	Date:						) 1.			••			Cac	Dato	Fax #:	ne #			Address:		Client:	CHA	
Time:	Time:						ст. с	•			-		ā	T						+ .		N-0F-	
Relinquish	Relinquish						•••			. *	-	•	1VIQUI IX	Matrix	\ \			-	( .		-	CUST	
id By: (Signature)	d By: (Signature)							•												er.	*	ODY RECORD	
Received	Received		,											NumberMalume	Sample Temperatu	Sampler:	Project Manager:		Project #:		Project Name:	Other:	
By: (Sig	By: (Sig												HgCl <sub>2</sub>	Pre	ure:	<i>1</i> 4						QA/ Std 🗖	
Jnature	Jnature												HNO3	servat	-~-	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						QC Pao	
<u>e</u>														Ne		4					-	ckage: svel 4 [	
	Rema												BTEX + MTBE + TMB's (8021)										
	irks:			 		<u> </u>							BTE)	BTEX + MTBE + TPH (Gasoline Only) TPH Method 8015B (Gas/Diesel) TPH (Method 418.1) EDB (Method 504.1)									
e					-					. 			TPH										
						+	+				<u> </u>		EDC	EDC (Method 8021) 8310 (PNA or PAH)					-		<		
•												به نعمی	831								1901 Albuq vww.t		
													RCR	RCRA 8 Metals Anions (F, Cl, $NO_3$ , $NO_2$ , $PO_4$ , $SO_4$ )						haller			
													Anio						ن 		15.30 11/10	™ Kins NS	
						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		ļ	·			808	1 Pest	ticides	/ PCE	3's (8082)				975 1ment		
							· ·		<u> </u>		· .		826	0B (V	(A0						Fax Fal. co		
											<i></i>		827	0 (Ser	ni-VO/	<i>v</i>					505		
						-		· .										.345	TOR 109				
													+					.410	≺ -				
						<del> </del>					-							7					
									,			1	Air E	Air Bubbles or Headspace (Y or N)									

\_\_\_\_\_

,

					-					
The Custome shall indemnify and hold harmless HEAL from and against any and all chaims, suits, judgements, damages, losses, liabilities, expenses, payments, taxes, duties, fines and/or other costs (including but not limited to liability to a third party) arising out of a) the presence of haradous substances in any sample of the Customer regardless of the Customer's compliance with paragraph 5.5 hereof[b] accidents cocaming during the	transport of any sample of the Customer, c) events control, or d) negligence by the Customer in the use, evaluation, or application of Results provided by HEAL. Should any Customer sample, due to its matrix or constituents of its matrix, cause the operations of any HEAL instrumentation to be reduced, stopped, or altered LHAL is entitled to compensation by the Customer for any loss of revenue due to the instrument's downtime, and/or the parts and labor moessary to bring the instrument back to its former operating condition The anomin of commensation is mercibable two nearestance of these Terms	and Conductors and the individual circumstances warranting the reimbursement. reimbursement. FIRE A GREEMENT: SEVERABILITY	These Terms and Conditions, logether with any additions or revisions which may be agreed to in writing by HEAL as provided in Section 7.1, embodied the whole agreement of the parties. There are no promise, terms, conditions, understandings, obligations or agreements other than those contained heren, unless made in accordance with Section 7.1, and these Terms and Conditions shall supersede all previous communications, representations, or agreements, either verbal or written, between the Customer and HEAL HEAL Projectically repeates all additional, unconstent or conflicting terms, whether printed or otherwise set forth in any purchase order or other communication from the Customer to HEAL	The invalidity or unerforceability, in whole or in part of any provision, term or condition hereof shall not affect in any way the validity or enforceability of the remainder of the Terms and Conditions, the intent of the parties being that the provisions be severable. ENDMENTS AND WAIVERS	HEAL shall not be subject to or bound by any provision, term or condition which is in addition to or inconsistent or conflicting with these Terms and Conditions. HEAL shall not be deemed to have amended or warved and provision, term or condition, or have given any required consent or approval or to have waived any breach by the Customer of any of these Terms and Conditions unless podicially fact. No other emolowee servant aneal of HEAL hy a throw indicated offset. No other emolowee servant areart or	representatives of HEAL has any authority whatsoever to add to, delete, alter or vary any of these Terms and Conditions in any manner, or to give any consent, approval or waiver, and HEAL shall not be bound by sary such purported addition, deletion, ulteration, variation, consent, approval or waive. No waive by HEAL of any provision, term or condition hereof or of any breach by or obligation of the Customer hereunder shall constitute a waiver of such provision, term on on any other occasion or a waiver of any other breach by or obligation of the Customer.	APLE STORAGE Bulk samples will be retained for thirty (30) days after the malytical report has been issued unless alternate crrangements have been made in advance Storage of samples or extracts for longer periods is by request only. Sample	a storger charges doen door storge requirements and nation. Normally, a storger charge doen of 50.00 per sample, per month will be billed monthly unless other arrangements are made. If requested, unused sample material may be returned in the clearit's repease. Materials, which are identified as hazardous, will be returned to the clearit or disposed of as hazardous waste and billed at the nate of \$2.5.00 per sample. HEAL reserves the right to return all diberzodioxins/diberzofitmus to the edient.	TION HEADING The section headings of these Terms and Conditions are intended solery for convenient reference and shall not define, limit or affect in any way These Terms and Conditions or their interpretations. OVERNING LAW	These Terms and Canditions, and transcation or agreement, to which they apply, shall be governed both as to interpretation and performance by the have of the State of New Mexico.
2	œ	Z	-	. AM	-	7	SAR -		6 SEC	1.0
methodologies, if necessary or appropriate due to the nature of composition of the sample or otherwise based on the reasonable judgement of HEAL, which deviation, if any will be made on a basis consistent with recognized standards of industry and/ or HEAL'S Standard Operating Procedures.	Upon timely delivery of samples, HEAL will use its best efforts to comply with stronge, processing and analytical holding time limits as set forth in applicable EPA or state guidelines or otherwise requested by the Customer or set forth on the Price Sabedule. However, unless specifically made part of a written agreement between HEAL and the Customer, such time limits examples. Unless specifically indicated on the Price Schedule or expressly made part of a written agreement between HEAL and the Customer, analytical turnaround times are not guaranteed.	At HEAL'S sole discretion, verbal Results may be given in advance of the written report of Results Such verbal Results are TENTATIVE RESULTS ONLY, subject to confirmation or change based on HEAL'S standard quality assumance review procedures.	WARRANTIES, LIABILITY AND INDEMINIFICATION HEAL warrants only that its services will fulfill obligations set forth in Section 4.3 and 4 hereof. This warranty is the sole and exclusive warranty given by HEAL in connection with any such services, and HEAL gives and makes no other representation on warranty of any kind, express or implied No representative of HEAL is authorized to give or make any other representation or warranty or modify the warranty in any way.	The liability and obligations of HEAL, and the remedies of the Customer in connection with any services performed by HEAL will be limited to reparing the services performed or, at the sole option of HEAL, refaming in full or in part fees paid by the Customer for such services. HEAL's obligation to repeat any services with respect to any sample will be contragent on the Customer's providing, at the request of HEAL and at the contragent on the Customer's providing, at the request of Any remension expension in the Customer's providing.	will be at the Customer's expense. Except as otherwise specifically provided heren, HEAL shall have no liability, obligation or responsibility of input for diverse any losses, oness, expenses, or other damages (including but not imited to any special, indirect, indernal or consequential damages) for any representation or warranty of a kind with respect to HEAL'S Services or Results.	In no event shall HEAL have any responsibility or liability to the Customer for any failure or delay in parformance by HEAL, which results, diready or indirectly, in whole or in part, from yre cause or erteramistance byornd the reasonable control of HEAL. Such ause and erteramistance shall include, but not be limited to, acts of God, acts of Customer, acts of orders of any government authority, strikes or other labor disputes, intrapportation, maal or delivery services, inability to obtain from HEAL usual sources sufficient services or supplies, or any other cause beyond HEAL'S reasonable control.	All results provided by HEAL are strictly for the use of its Customers, and HEAL is in no way responsible for the use of such results by Customers or third parties. All results should be considered in their entirery, and HEAL is in no way respansible for the separation, detechment, or other use of any portion of the results.	The customer represents and warrants that any sample delivered to HEAL will be preceded or accompanied by complete written disclosure of the presence of any hazardons aubstances income or a supported by the customer. The Customer further warrants that any sample containing any hazardous substance, which is to be delivered to HEAL'S premises will be packaged, labeled, transported and delivered properly and in scoordance erich arobicable lares.	It is understood and agreed that all samples and cutturgs of materials contlating hazardous contaminants are the property and the responsibility of the Custumer. All contammated samples and laboratory bygroducts will be returned to the Custamer for disposal. It is understood and agreed that HEAL is not, and has no responsibility as, a generator, treats, store, or dispose of hazardous or tracts substances found on the file and the Custamer senses to assume the	responsibility for the foregoing.
	4 4	45	<b>5</b> . <u>5</u> .	5.2		ε.	5.4	5.5	5.6	

- 1. DEFINITIONS
- 1.1 "Acceptance of a sample" means the determination of HEAL to proceed with work following reaction and inspection of such sample.
- 1 2 "Customer" means the individual or entity who may request laboratory services and his or its heirs, successors, assigns, and representatives
- HEAL means Hall Environmental Analysis Laboratory its employees, servants, agents, and representative.
- 14 "Price schedule" means HEAL'S standard price schedule, as such, document may be amended from tune to time by HEAL.
- 1.5 "Results" mean data generated by HEAL from the analysis of one or more samples.
- 1.6 "Terms and Conditions" mean these Terms and Conditions of sale, including the Price Schedule, and any additions or amendments hereto which are agreed to in writing by HEAL as provided in Section 7.1

# 2. ORDERS

2

- The customer may order services by submitting a written purchase order to HEAL, by placing a trephoner order, which will be subsequently confirmed in writing, or by ingolated contract. Any such order constitutes a) an acceptance by the Customer of HEAL'S offer to do business with the Customer under these Terms and Conditions. The Customer's defivery of pound by these Terms and Conditions. The Customer's defivery of samples to HEAL constitutes the Customer's depress assent to be busing by these Terms and Conditions. HeC Ustomer's defivery of supples to HEAL constitutes the Customer's depress assent to be governed by these Terms and Conditions. HEAL reserves the right to refuse to proceed with work at any time based upon an unfavorable austomer credit report.
- 2.2 Any order placed by the Customer under Section 2.1 is subject to a minimum cancellation charge of \$2.50.

# 3. PAYMENT TERMS

- 31 Services performed by HEAL will be in accordance with prices quoted and later confirmed in writing or us stated on the Price Schedule, which prices are subject to charge periodically writhout notice. The Customer should confirm with HEAL the current price prior to plezing an order for work.
- 3.2 Payment terms are net 30 days from the dote of invoice by HEAL. All overdue powments are subject to an additional interst and service charge of one and one-half percent (1.5%) per month or portion thread from the due due until the date of payments All poyments shall be made in United State durancy.
- 3.3 The prices stated on the Price Schedule do not include any sales, use or other taxes unless specifically stated. Such taxes will be added to invoice prices when required.
- 4. RECEIPT OF SAMPLES AND DELIVERY OF SERVICES
- 4.1 Prior to HEAL'S Acceptures of Cny scrapple (or after any revocation of Acceptures), the entire risk of loss or damage to such stapple will remain with the Customer. In no event will HEAL have any responsibility or liability for the action or meadom of HEAL'S carrier altipping or delivering any sample to or from HEAL'S premises.
- 4.2 HEAL reserves the obsolute right, exerciscoble of any time to refuse delivery of, refuse to accept, or revoke Acceptances or, may sample which in the sole judgement of HEAL a) is of unsuitable volume, b) unsuitable containers as required for the requested analysis, or o) may be or become urbuilable for, or may pose a risk in, handling, transport or processing for any health, safety, any involumental or other reserves, whicher or not due to the presence in the sample of to the AL by the Customer.
- 4.3 Where applicable, HEAL will use analytical methodologies which are in substantial conformity with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Aster agency. America: Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), Aster agency. America: Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), Aster agency. America: Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), acceleration of Official Analytical Chemist (AOAC), Shandard Methods for the examination of Water and Wastewater, or other recognized methodologies. HEAL reserves the right to deviate from these





FAX (505) 746-5283 DIV. ORDERS (505) 746-5481 TRUCKING (505) 746-5458 PERSONNEL

501 EAST MAIN STREET ° P. O. BOX 159 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88211-0159 TELEPHONE (505) 748-3311 FAX (505) 746-5419 ACCOUNTING (505) 746-5451 EXECUTIVE (505) 746-5421 ENGINEERING (505) 746-5480 P / L

November 21, 2001

Mr. Wayne Price New Mexico Oil Conservation Division Environmental Bureau 1220 S. St. Francis Dr. Santa Fe, NM 87505-5472

#### **RE: Discharge Plan Inspection – Old API Separator**

Dear Wayne,

Navajo Refining Company requests approval to remove this concrete basin and dispose as nonhazardous waste at Controlled Recovery, Inc.'s landfill. Navajo removed all potentially hazardous wastes several years ago under NMED approval by sandblasting the cement down to clean concrete.

Once the basin has been removed, Navajo will investigate under the sump for WQCC contaminants and send a closure report to both NMED and OCD. Unless something unexpected is found, this investigation will constitute a sampling of soil just beneath the basin. The concrete of the basin shows no sign of cracks so a leak from the basin is unlikely. Also, as has been reported, Navajo had a gasoline leak from TK 106 5 years ago. This tank is directly up-gradient from this separator. We know the shallow groundwater in this area is contaminated from this leak. This leak is the reason the recovery trenches were installed on Tool Pushers Yard. Therefore, to get water samples under this separator would be pointless.

If there are any questions concerning this submission, please call me at 505-748-3311. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely, NAVAJO REFINING COMPANY

VIARE

Darrell Moore Environmental Manager for Water and Waste

Cc: Dave Cobrain, NMED





# NEW EXICO ENERGY, No. JERALS and NATURAL RESOURCES DEPARTMENT

GARY E. JOHNSON Governor Jennifer A. Salisbury Cabinet Secretary

October 22, 2001

Lori Wrotenbery Director Oil Conservation Division

#### <u>CERTIFIED MAIL</u> <u>RETURN RECEIPT NO. 5357 7461</u>

COPY

Mr. Darrell Moore Environmental Manager for Water and Waste Navajo Refining Company P.O. Box 159 Artesia, New Mexico 88211-0159

Re: Discharge Plan Inspection GW-028 for Artesia Refinery

Dear Mr. Moore:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) conducted a Discharge Plan inspection on October 15 through 17, 2001. Enclosed is a copy of the inspection report with photos for your records. As a result of the inspection the OCD requires Navajo Refining Company to address the following action items:

- 1. The Warehouse 5 chemical drum storage pad drainage sump was full: Please remove liquids.
- 2. The out-of-service water draw sumps for tanks 437 and 439 (see pictures #2 and #7) should be closed: Provide for OCD approval a closure plan.
- 3. Chemical Tote tanks in the crude oil pump area need proper containment. (see picture #3). No action required as Navajo corrected during inspection.
- 4. Crude oil pipeline pump area sump overflowed during inspection. (see picture #4). Navajo shall clean up spill and investigate extent of contamination. Results shall be reported on an OCD C-141 form.
- 5. Crude "LACT" unloading area (see picture #5) and Crude oil pump transfer pump area near tank 437 (see picture #6) oil is being discharge to ground. Please address this issue.
- 6. Gas-Oil Transfer pumps sump (see picture #8) bottom needs to be repaired. Please address.

- Mr. Darrell Moore October 22, 2001 Page 2
  - 7. Steam condensate is being discharge to ground (see picture 9&9a). Please address this issue.
  - 8. Old API Separator (see picture #10) has standing fluids. OCD records indicate that Navajo removed the hazardous constituents, cleaned and rendered the concrete as non-hazardous. (see letter to NMED 1997). OCD hereby approves of Navajo's verbal request to remove the concrete basin for proper disposal. Navajo shall investigate under the sump for any WQCC water contaminant and provide OCD a closure report by October 15, 2002.
  - 9. South plant tank farm (see picture #11) tank # 419 (diesel) was recently repaired and was noted to have been leaking. Navajo's representatives indicated the most visually contaminated soils were removed. Navajo shall investigate the extent of the contamination and report it's findings to OCD.
  - 10. Slurry Oil Treatment Area (see picture #12). Oil is reaching ground surface. Area needs containment. Please address.
  - Small leak or drip in one of the Carbon Black Oil (CBO) lines (see picture #13 & #14). Please address.
  - 12. API separators (see picture # 15 typical) shall be cleaned out and inspected annually or have secondary containment with leak detection. Please address this issue.
  - 13. Chemical Tote tanks (AST's) at API North Separator (see picture #16) need containment. Please address.
  - 14. New Gas-Oil Hydro-Treater plant wastewater lines (see picture #17 & #18) under construction. Navajo shall submit a detail addendum to the recently submitted discharge plan renewal application to include this project for OCD approval.
  - 15. OCD recommends that Navajo place labels on all groundwater recovery well tanks.
  - 16. North Plant Gasoline Pipeline Pump area where sump overflowed. Navajo shall investigate the extent of the contamination and report its findings to OCD.

Mr. Darrell Moore October 22, 2001 Page 3

- 17. <u>Waste Streams:</u> Navajo indicated that all non-hazardous waste in the refinery is currently being disposed of at Controlled Recovery Inc. (CRI) an OCD permitted facility. The exception is office trash, which goes to the local landfill. Two waste streams were noted during the inspection that needs to be included in the discharge plan; (1) Plant Wastewater (slip stream) going to the City of Artesia POTW; and (2) The wastewater treatment by-product called "DAF" solids going to CRI. Navajo shall submit an addendum to the recently submitted discharge plan renewal application detailing all waste streams generated in the refinery. OCD recommends a waste flow diagram to simplify this process.
- 18. <u>Mechanical Integrity of Wastewater Lines and Single Wall Sumps:</u> Navajo shall submit an addendum to the recently submitted discharge plan renewal application to include an up-dated detail utility drawing with legers showing all old and new underground wastewater lines, sumps, below grade tanks, etc. A cross-reference sheet shall be provided to indicate when last test was performed and pass-fail results.
- 19. <u>Stormwater Plan</u>: Navajo shall submit an addendum to the recently submitted discharge plan renewal application to include a stormwater plan for the refinery. Navajo is building a new stormwater dyke (see pictures #9 & #10 taken October 15, 2001).
- 20. <u>Groundwater Recovery Systems and Hazardous Waste Solid Waste</u> <u>Management Units (SMUs):</u> In order to prevent redundant work required from two different agencies OCD recommends that Navajo, OCD and New Mexico Environment Department coordinate these activities jointly. As discussed during the exit interview OCD will set up a meeting to start this process.

In order for OCD to continue processing the discharge plan renewal application the above action items shall be completed and submitted to OCD by December 15, 2001. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me at 505-476-3487 or E-mail WPRICE@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

hape Pin

Wayne Price-Environmental Engineer

cc: Artesia Office Dave Cobrain- NMED

Attachments-1

**OCD ENVIRONMENTAL BUREAU** 

# **SITE INSPECTION SHEET**

DATE: $\frac{10/15/01}{1000}$ Time: $\frac{130pm}{1000}$	
16/17/61	
Type of Facility: Refinery 🖉 Gas Plant 🗆 Compressor St. 🗆	Brine St. D Oilfield Service Co.
Surface Waste Mgt. Facility 🗆 🛛 E&P Site 🗆	Crude Oil Pump Station D
Other D	
Discharge Plan No ロ Yes ダ GW# <u> 028</u>	· .
FACILITY NAME: ARTESIA REFINERY	(x 60-70 K BBL/DAY)
PHYSICAL LOCATION:	
Legal: QTRQTRSecTS,RCounty	EDDY
OWNED/ODEDATOD (NAME) ALAUATO DETER REAL	NING CO.
Contact Person: DAMEL MOORE - Tele:#	505-748-33/1
MAILING ADDRESS:	State ZIP
DARRELL MOOPE, CHAPS CHAPLIE PLYN	IALE
OCD INSPECTORS: W PRICE, M 3 OBBCE LIEU	
1. Drum Storage: All drums containing materials other than fresh water must b	e stored on an impermeable pad with curbing.
All empty drums will be stored on their sides with the bungs in and lined up	on a horizontal plane. Chemicals in other
containers such as sacks or buckets will also be stored on an impermeable p	and curb type containment.
DRUMS IN OLD WASTE WALER TREAT MENT AREA	NOT STORED PROFERLY.
THESE DRUMS HAVE BEEN TRIPLED RIUS	E.
2. <u>Process Areas:</u> All process and maintenance areas which show evidence	that leaks and spills are reaching the grou
surface must be either paved and curbed or have some type of spill collection	n device incorporated into the design.
WAREHOUSE FIVE CHEMICAL PAD SUMP - SUMP	STANAIANG full
2-437 WATER DRAW SUMP-@PICHT 439 WA	ton praw sump- REQUISES CLOSU
PICA3 - PIPELINE PUMP AREA - TOTE TANK NI	ED'S CONTAINMENT
Pic # 4- " " - SUMP OVERFLOW	- REQUIRES ACTION
PICH5- CRUDE OIL LACT MN LOADING AREA -	NEED'S CONTAINMENT
PIC #6 - 437 TK AREA CRUBE PUMP TRAUSTER	PUCINS- NEFD'S CONTAINMENT
PICHS- ABS-DIL TRADERED NUMBE - SELADS LAST	TUREARIEY

PICHI3 - LEAK NPLE NTK FARM- REGUIRES ACTION

3. <u>Above Ground Tanks</u>: All above ground tanks which contain fluids other than fresh water must be bermed to contain a volume of one-third more than the total volume of the largest tank or of all interconnected tanks. All new tanks or existing tanks that undergo a major modification, as determined by the Division, must be placed within an impermeable bermed enclosure.

PICHA- GOUTH PLANT N. TK GARM- 418, 417 419 REPAIR UNDER WAY NAVA TO SHALL REPORT TO OCD ARMY TANK THAT'S LOST INTEGRITY.

4. <u>Above Ground Saddle Tanks</u>: Above ground saddle tanks must have impermeable pad and curb type containment unless they contain fresh water or fluids that are gases at atmospheric temperature and pressure.

5. <u>Labeling</u>: All tanks, drums and containers will be clearly labeled to identify their contents and other emergency notification information.

RECOMMEND LADERING GROUNDERATER RECOVER / WER TANKS

6. <u>Below Grade Tanks/Sumps</u>: All below grade tanks, sumps, and pits must be approved by the OCD prior to installation or upon modification and must incorporate secondary containment and leak-detection into the design. All pre-existing sumps and below-grade tanks must demonstrate integrity on an annual basis. Integrity tests include pressure testing to 3 pounds per square inch above normal operating pressure and/or visual inspection of cleaned out tanks and/or sumps, or other OCD approved methods. The OCD will be notified at least 72 hours prior to all testing. <u> $\rho_{1}c_{4}/I - MAin BLENDER TK FARM SUMP - SINGLE WALL #</u>$ <u> $\rho_{1}c_{4}/I - OLD API NEAR ASPHALT TANKS - REQUIPES CLOSURE</u>$  $\rho_{1}c_{4}/I - NEAT SURRY Pits - VISURL CONTAMUNATION ON GROUND ?$  $<u><math>\eta_{1}c_{4}/I - MAin API SEPORATOR - SINGLE WALL (NORTH PLANT)</u>$ # ALL SINGLE WALL SUMPS REQUIRES INSPECTION</u></u></u>

7. <u>Underground Process/Wastewater Lines:</u> All underground process/wastewater pipelines must be tested to demonstrate their mechanical integrity at present and then every 5 years thereafter, or prior to discharge plan renewal. The permittee may propose various methods for testing such as pressure testing to 3 pounds per square inch above normal operating pressure or other means acceptable to the OCD. The OCD will be notified at least 72 hours prior to all testing.

HI testing. <u>MI testing.</u> <u>MANAJO SHALL ADMEND THE NEW D.P. APPLICATION TO INCLUDE HYDRO-TREATER</u> <u>ANAVAJO SHALL ADMEND THE NEW D.P. APPLICATION TO INCLUDE HYDRO-TREATER</u> PRO JECT.

8. <u>Onsite/Offsite Waste Disposal and Storage Practices:</u> Are all wastes properly characterized and disposed of correctly?

Does the facility have an EPA hazardous waste number? Yes No ARE ALL WASTE CHARACTERIZED AND DISPOSED OF PROPERLY: YES NO IF NO DETAIL BELOW. " WASTE ZIATER NON-HAZAADOUS" NO IF NO DETAIL BELOW. " WASTE ZIATER NON-HAZAADOUS" NO IF NO DETAIL UNDE NON-HAZAADOUS" INCLUDE ON DISCHARGE ALAN • DEED TO ADD DAF TO D.P. WASTE STREAM AS NON-HAZAMONS TONI

NAVAJO SENDS ALL NON-HAZ WASTE TO CRI ONLY OFFICE TRASH GOES TO ANNICIPAL LANDFILL.

9. <u>Class V Wells</u>: Leach fields and other wastewater disposal systems at OCD regulated facilities which inject nonhazardous fluid into or above an underground source of drinking water are considered Class V injection wells under the EPA UIC program. All Class V wells that inject non-hazardous industrial wastes or a mixture of industrial wastes and domestic wastes will be closed unless it can be demonstrated that groundwater will not be impacted in the reasonably foreseeable future. Closure of Class V wells must be in accordance with a plan approved by the Division's Santa Fe Office. The OCD allows industry to submit closure plans which are protective of human health, the environment and groundwater as defined by the WQCC, and are cost effective. Class V wells that inject domestic waste only must be permitted by the New Mexico Environment Department.

ANY CLASS V WELLS NO 🗹 YES 🗆 IF YES DESCRIBE BELOW ! Undetermined 🗆

10. <u>Housekeeping</u>: All systems designed for spill collection/prevention will be inspected weekly and after each storm event to ensure proper operation and to prevent overtopping or system failure. A record of inspections will be retained on site for a period of five years.

GOOD

11. <u>Spill Reporting</u>: All spills/releases will be reported pursuant to OCD Rule 116 and WQCC 1203 to the proper OCD District Office.

REPORT ANY TANK THAT HAS LOST INTEGRITY. NEED CLOSURE REPORT FOR TH 419 AREA & PIPELINE PUMP AREA(CRUBE) AND GASOLINE PIPELINE AREA.

12. Does the facility have any other potential environmental concerns/issues? ON-GOING GROUNDWALER REMBDIATION FILO RECOVERY SYSTEMS OPERATING K 16 IN-PLANT = R-OUT-PLANT 13. Does the facility have any other environmental permits - i.e. SPCC, Stormwater Plan, etc.? SKE-YES / STORMWATER - NOI TO OPT OUT OF FEDERAL PLAN NAVATO TO SUBMIT STORMWATER PLAN ADMENAMENT TO D.P. - (AMENGUM) 14. ANY WATER WELLS ON SITE? NO □ YES Ø IF YES, HOW IS IT BEING USED ? 3 - FRESH WATER WELLS 10,000 BEL/PAY SAN AMALES 15. Documents reviewed: WASTEWATER PONDS + 3 mi Ditch **Miscellaneous Comments:** 10/15/01 PEHI- OUTFALL. LOOKING EAST IRRIGATION ORAINAGE P(< # 2-PIE # 3 - OLD WASTE WATER DITCH + (EAGLE ARAW - LOOKING WEST #4 - " " " LOOKING BE #5- EASLE DRAW LOOKING EAST 6, - EAGLE DRAW & DITCH LOOKING WEST, 7- EAGLE DRAW -NE - 8.? PICH9-NEW STORMWATER DIKE PREPREATION - Die # 10 - LOOKING EAST, # 11 - WEST Photos taken: Photos taken: \_ **Documents Reviewed/Collected:** OLD WASTE WATER POND MRAWING

# MEGTING AGENNA

- . TAKE PICTURES GAS PL. AREA & WASTE WATER DRUM STORAGE
- · Discuss INTER VIEW
- · PIPBEME a Ditelt
- · API
- , JOINT MEDT

# Navajo-Artesia Kefinery GW-020 Discharge Plan Inspection October 16, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield, E Martin: Page 1



Pic #1- Main blender tank farm sumpsingle wall.



Pic #2- Tank 437 water draw sump. Out of service. Requires closure.



Pic #3 - Pipeline pump area. Chemical tote tanks need containment.



Pic #4- Crude oil pipeline pump area spill. Sump over flowed oil.- Requires action.



Pic #5- Crude oil Lact unloading area needs containment.



Pic #6- 437 Crude oil tank transfer pumps. Needs containment.

Navajo-Artesia Kefinery GW-020 Discharge Plan Inspection October 16, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield, E Martin: Page 2



Pic #7- 439 water draw sump. Out of service. Requires closures.



Pic #8- Gas-Oil transfer pumps sump. Bottom of sump has lost integrity.



Pic #9a- Same as 9.



Pic #10- Old API separator located near the south side asphalt tank farm. Requires closure. Standing fluids.



Pic #9- Water discharged from steam condensate around asphalt tanks.

Navajo-Artesia Refinery GW-020 Discharge Plan Inspection October 16, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield, E Martin: Page 3



Pic #11- South Plant Tank Farm. Tanks 417, 418, and 419. Area. 418 & 419 Tanks are being repaired. Tank above is receiving new floor and top. One tank 419 (Diesel) in this area was recently repaired and noted to have been leaking. This area requires an investigation to determine contamination extent.



Pic #12- Slurry oil treatment area. Oil is reaching ground surface. Area needs containment. Slurry pits schedule for inspection early December 2001.



Pic # 13- Active leak in CBO line North Plant N Tank Farm. Near LCO and CBO Tanks. Requires action.



Pic #14- Same as Above.



Pic #15- North Plant API Separator. ABT tanks shown in background. This separator is single wall and will be scheduled for inspected.

Navajo-Artesia Refinery GW-020 Discharge Fran Inspection October 16, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield, E Martin: Page 4



Pic # 16- AST's chemical tote tanks located next to Main API Separator needs containment.



Pic #17- New wastewater system for new north side Gas-Oil Hydro-treater.



Pic #18- Same as above. Navajo needs to submit design information for OCD approval and include in Discharge Plan.

## Navajo-Artesia Refinery GW-028 Discharge Plan Inspection October 15, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield Page 1



Pic #1- Old wastewater pond area located 3 miles east of refinery. The picture shows the last outfall area. Picture looking east shows pond 2 & 3.



Pic #2- Same as Above. This is the last outfall area located between ponds #1 and ponds 2 and 3.



Pic #3- Area NW of wastewater ponds. Per Darrell Moore the 3 mile ditch was located on the left of the berm. The irrigation/drainage ditch on the right has

not been identified but is connected to farm property to the west for drainage.



Pic#4- Picture looking SE. Shows old 3 mile refinery wastewater ditch and possible pipeline.



Pic #5- This picture shows the unidentified irrigation drainage ditch transgressing to the Pecos river.

# Navajo-Artesia Refinery GW-028 Discharge Plan Inspection

October 15, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield

Page 2



Pic #6- Area where 3 mile ditch starts to parallel Eagle Draw. Picture looking NE. The 3 mile wastewater ditch is now on the north side of the Irrigation/drainage ditch.



Pic #8- Standing on irrigation/drainage ditch berm looking west.



Pic #7-Wastewater ditch. Eagle Draw is located to the right. Berm on left is divider between refinery (OLD) wastewater ditch and farm irrigation/drainage ditch. This is same irrigation/drainage ditch that is unidentified in previous pictures. Picture looking west.



Pic #9- SE corner of south plant farm area. Picture looking north. Area being prepared for new stormwater berm.



Pic # 10- North side of south plant farm area. Picture looking east at new stormwater berm being built.

# Navajo-Artesia Refinery GW-028 Discharge Plan Inspection

October 15, 2001 OCD Inspectors: W Price, M Stubblefield

Page 3



Pic # 11- Same area as above looking west.

Summary: 10/15/01

Inspected old wastewater ponds located 3 miles east of refinery. All ponds are dry.

Drove the entire path where the old 3 mile wastewater ditch and pipeline was located. Crossed Haldeman and Bolton roads.

Inspected product recovery wells east of the refinery located on Bolton Road.

Inspected the north and south irrigation farms where the RO water is discharged.

Inspected the new storm water berm area located on the south plant farm.

Inspected plant storm water sheet flow areas.

Inspected the groundwater contamination area located just east of the south plant area.

Drove perimeter of entire plant, inspected the rail spur area. Reviewed the plants up-gradient monitor wells.



000-4 . СОЦ**ЕСТЕР** 10/17/01 Ву: & ARic E-оср NAVAJO REFINING CO. - ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT P.O. DRAWER 159 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO PRINT DATI 6-26-93 1"=200'-0"

TELEPHONE (505) 748-3311



EASYLINK 62905278

FAX (505) 746-6410 ACCTG (505) 746-6155 EXEC (505) 748-9077 ENGR (505) 746-4438 P / L

501 EAST MAIN STREET ° P. O. BOX 159 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88211-0159

September 25, 1996

|K.|K.|K.||N||N|()+ (C)(

Mr. Mark Ashley Geologist - Environmental Bureau N.M. Oil Conservation Division 2040 S. Pacheco St. Santa Fe, NM 87505

#### **Re:** Response to NMOCD Inspection Report of September 6, 1996

Dear Mr. Ashley:

Your inspection report letter of September 6, 1996 required Navajo to provide responses to some concerns identified during the inspection by September 30, 1996. The two areas your concerns centered around were sumps and possible lead contamination adjacent to two tanks that were at one time in leaded gasoline service.

#### SUMPS

Shown in the pictures you sent were several existing sumps in various services that are obviously single wall sumps without secondary containment. There are a number of these types of sumps around the refinery as they were a standard installation until NMOCD began requiring secondary containment on these types of structures. Navajo has currently compiled a list of these sumps (see attached list) and is in the process of leak testing each one. As discussed with you previously, Navajo is using a testing method of plugging all outlets from the sump and diverting or shutting off all influx to the sump. The sump is then filled to a fixed level above its typical operating level and monitored for any loss in level indicating a leak. The leak testing is scheduled to be completed by December 31, 1996.

Navajo is also evaluating the possibility of retrofitting existing sumps with secondary containment. This would most likely consist of inserting another box or lines into the existing sump boxes where practical. Some sumps may be replaced completely, with the replacement having secondary containment per OCD policy. As you saw at our Lovington Refinery, Navajo is testing and evaluating many different secondary containment. We expect to find that certain methods and materials are more appropriate for a particular type of sump than others. We should also learn which types are not suitable to a particular installation.

The particular sump shown in photo 1-1 is a truck fueling island under construction at our Trucking Division. When construction is complete there will be an insert inside the concrete sump box as shown. The concrete box will then serve as secondary containment. Provisions will be made to be able to monitor the space between the insert and the concrete outer box to detect failure of the insert.

The sumps shown in photos 1-6, 1-8, 1-10, and 1-14 are on the leak testing list attached. These sumps and others on the list are being considered for retrofit/replacement.

The concrete enclosures shown in the photo 1-21 is completely out of service. There are no live lines entering or leaving the enclosure. Though Navajo may agree that the site is not visually appealing, its completely out of service status and low apparent risk leaves it well down on our priorities to address. We will take the site into consideration for future scheduled demolition.

Photo 1-13 is of our former API separator for the South Division sewer system. It has been isolated from the active sewer system for several decades. The last use Navajo made of the site was for heavy oils recovery. Navajo cleaned out the unit, thoroughly inspected it and modified it to receive waste heavy oils such as spilled asphalt, gas oils and other heavy oil products as needed. Navajo fabricated a steam heating coil that could be inserted into the basin to melt the heavy oil thus allowing solids to settle out and clean oil to be recovered. The need for the facility was very sporadic such that it would be used for a recovery job and then sit idle for long periods of time. During your inspection, the facility was being cleaned out in preparation for demolition. Navajo has determined there is no further need for this facility.

#### LEAD CONTAMINATION SIGNS

The other area you had questions about was the old Lead Contamination Signs adjacent to Tanks 417 and 418. The signs have been there perhaps 50 years, no one can recall the exact date(s). Senior and retired employees recall that the signs mark the location of old tank clean out sumps. Leaded gasoline is now banned, and Navajo stopped producing it in September 1995. These particular tanks were at one time, many years ago, in leaded gasoline service. Similar tank cleaning practices are carried out today except heavy steel boxes are now inserted into the sumps dug next to a tank to be cleaned. In the case of the old practice, the dug-out sump itself served to receive any sediment washed out of the tanks with water. A pump was placed in the sump and the wash water pumped out to the sewer, any significant remaining sediment was backhoed out of the sump for disposal. The sump was then backfilled and a sign erected to mark its former location thus warning future excavators of the possible presence of some residual lead residue in the soil.

At this time, Navajo has no direct information on the presence of any remaining lead contamination. Given the age of the old sumps, these typically small size (6 ft long x 4 ft deep), the generally immobile nature of lead and the fact that finished gasoline tanks contain very little residue when cleaned, these particular sites don't appear to constitute any significant threat to human health or the environment. Navajo proposes at this time to take no further action at these sites. Should future needs require excavation in this area, Navajo will take appropriate safety precautions and will investigate the nature of materials excavated to determine if lead or other hazardous materials are present at concentrations meriting further action. Meanwhile, we plan to leave the signs in place as a visual reminder.

Finally, Navajo's analytical results of the sampling done during your inspection are enclosed as you requested.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please call me at (505) 748-3311.

Sincerely, hull TV

David G. Griffin Manager of Environmental Affairs for Water & Waste

DGG/sb

### ANNUAL INSPECTION LIST - SUMPS

(To be completed by 12-31-96)

- 1. Water draw @ Tank 835
- 2. Slinger Sludge Sumps
- 3. Water draw sump Tks 838 & 834
- 4. Secondary Containment Sumps N.D. Rail Loading Rack
- 5. Sump under FCC U-bends
- 6. Sump @ N.D. Bundle Cleaning Station
- 7. Sump @ S.D. Bundle Cleaning Station
- 8. Sewer box Lift Station @ Tk 401
- 9. Spill Retention Sumps @ Gasoline Loading Rack Sewer boxes along Texas St.
- 10. Merichem Treater Area
- 11. Caustic Unloading Sump
- 12. Sewer Boxes in Tk 132 area
- 13. Asphalt Loading Rack Sump
- 14. Maintenance Shop Sump
- 15. W-27 Sump
- 16. Asphalt Tank Farm Sump near Tk 406
- 17. Sump on N. side of Tk 110
- 18. Sumps in Pump manifold in Blender





OIL CONSERVATION DIVISION 2040 South Pacheco Street Santa Fe, New Mexico 87505 (505) 827-7131

September 6, 1996

#### CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT NO. P-288-258-846

Mr. Phillip Youngblood Navajo Refining Company P. O. Drawer 159 Artesia, New Mexico 88211-0159

## Re: Inspection Report Artesia and Lovington Refineries

Dear Mr. Youngblood:

The New Mexico Oil Conservation Division (OCD) would like to thank you and your staff for your cooperation during the July 29, 1996 to August 1, 1996 inspections of the Artesia and Lovington refineries. Comments from the inspections conducted are as follows:

1. Drum Storage: All drums that contain materials other than fresh water must be stored on an impermeable pad with curbing. All Empty drums should be stored on their sides with the bungs in and lined up on a horizontal plane. Chemicals in other containers such as sacks or buckets should also be stored on an impermeable pad with curbing.

#### **Artesia Refinery**

Numerous empty drums, and drums containing fluids were located throughout the refinery that were not properly stored (see pictures 1-15, 1-16,1-20, 2-4, 2-5 and 2-6).

2. <u>Process Ares</u>: All process and maintenance areas which show evidence that leaks and spills are reaching the ground surface must be either paved and curbed or have some type of spill collection device incorporated into the design.

#### Artesia Refinery

Pump 104 show evidence of hydrocarbons reaching the ground surface (see picture 1-23).

Wastes generated at the steam cleaner area are not being completely contained within the existing pad and curb containment (see picture 2-2).

Mr. Phillip Youngblood

September 6, 1996

Page 2

Lovington Refinery

The crude off-loading area shows evidence that leaks and spills are reaching the ground surface (see picture 2-22).

3. <u>Above Ground Tanks:</u> All above ground tanks which contain fluids other than fresh water must be bermed to contain a volume of one-third more than the total volume of the largest tank or of all interconnected tanks. All new facilities or modifications to existing facilities must place the tank on an impermeable type pad within the berm.

#### Artesia Refinery

The diesel storage tank in picture 1-2 does not appear to have the required containment.

#### **Lovington Refinery**

The waste water skimmer tank does not appear to proper berming and containment (see picture 2-24).

4. <u>Above Ground Saddle Tanks</u>: Above ground saddle tanks must have impermeable type pad and curb containment unless they contain fresh water or fluids that are gases at atmospheric temperature and pressure.

#### Artesia Refinery

The above ground saddle tanks located in pictures 1-10, 1-16, 1-22 and 2-15 do not appear to have proper pad and curb containment.

#### Lovington Refinery

The above ground saddle tank located in picture 2-23 does not appear to have proper pad and curb containment.

5. <u>Labeling</u>: All drums, tanks and containers should be clearly labeled to identify their contents and other emergency information necessary if they were to rupture, spill, or ignite.

#### **Artesia Refinery**

Numerous containers were located throughout the refinery do not appear to be properly labeled (see pictures 1-3, 1-11, 1-15, 1-20, 1-22 and 2-15).

#### **Lovington Refinery**

Containers located in pictures 2-23 and 2-24 do not appear to be properly labeled.

6. <u>Below Grade Tanks/Sumps:</u> All below grade tanks, sumps, and pits must be approved by the OCD prior to installation or upon modification and must incorporate secondary containment and leak-detection into the design. All pre-existing sumps and below-grade Mr. Phillip Youngblood September 6, 1996 Page 3

tanks must demonstrate integrity on an annual basis. Integrity tests include pressure testing to 3 pounds per square inch above normal operating pressure and/or visual inspection of cleaned out tanks and/or sumps.

#### Artesia Refinery

Sumps in pictures 1-1, 1-6, 1-8, 1-10 and 1-14 do not appear to have secondary containment. What is Navajo's schedule for inspection of sumps. Please respond to the OCD by September 30, 1996.

What is the status of the pit/sump in picture 1-21? Is it going to be closed? Please respond to the OCD by September 30, 1996.

What is the status of the asphalt API separator in picture 1-13? Please respond to the OCD by September 30, 1996.

#### **Lovington Refinery**

The pipeline terminal sump does not appear to have secondary containment (see picture 2-19).

7. <u>Underground Process/Wastewater Lines:</u> All underground process/wastewater lines must be tested to demonstrate their mechanical integrity at present and then every 5 years there after. Permittees may propose various methods for testing such as pressure testing to 3 pounds per square inch above normal operating pressure or other means acceptable to the OCD.

Navajo is in the process of testing and repairing/replacing all below grade lines at both refineries.

- 8. <u>Housekeeping</u>: All systems designed for spill collection/prevention should be inspected frequently to ensure proper operation and to prevent overtopping or system failure.
- 9. <u>Spill Reporting</u>: All spills/releases shall be reported pursuant to OCD Rule 116 and WQCC 1203 to the appropriate OCD District Office.
- 10. Lead Contamination: At the Artesia refinery, signs indicating lead contamination are present between tanks 417 and 418. What is the purpose of these signs? Is lead contamination present beneath these signs? Please respond to the OCD by September 30, 1996.
Mr. Phillip Youngblood September 6, 1996 Page 4

Sample results from OCD sampling of both refineries is enclosed for your review. Please submit Navajo's sample results to the OCD by September 30, 1996.

Once again, thank you for your time during our recent visit to Navajo's refineries. If you have any questions, please call me at (505) 827-7155.

Sincerely, Mark hallon.

Mark Ashley Geologist

xc: OCD Artesia Office

े

 $\overline{\omega}$ IJ (D)Finn EFFINENT Salling Loub Nava Jo Laving Lon, Metals - 601 (Serveral Cherry) 64801096 General Chem. 96010835 96010845 96010832 100 tals - 6010 960 0 280 100 96010 830 のないない、「ない」のない、 DATE: 8/1/96 ٠ Minor Hense Keeping Doints No RET on the Lending Pack - Truck Trining - Unly hundles asphalt. Mavago - Leving ton Mon Ill Threeph S. Ten It seen. -go ever house leeping Clase cht-Nud Tank Containments W H TIME: 8: 3MT オーシー

() Metals 6010 96311433 Ĝ (2) (20) Chum. Ū Ø  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ Trickler. outfal R.O. 411+ Motals 6010 96311440 94411296 2997 1296 44411296 687. Chem 96311442 610 「ないないないない」「「「「ないない」」」 DA-16: 7/31/26 Close out Mtg. Overnew d.P. purpese process - 2 month Von- Hazordans がて ŝ ちら ທ ເປັ dr rethw croste-TIME: 1500 Jen ble Sumps.

Continue un / N. Plant. - Minor leaves and spills Wiste water Aven -Waster maker Fler Times Need Bernning. - Not any real problems N. Plant - Lots of court, API Sequenter Needs to be climited traplated annually (No Darding which Barrolo an philetts. New to be an traffer. DATE: 7/31/96 ÷ Ø N ŝ 1) POND No. 6 M& (n (5 - 6010 Centra ( Chim N Sonth Coeling tourou 9631 1400 SAMPLES: - Gen. Chem 963/1427 610 9631 1404 601/602 96311406 metals - 6010 96311425 3 TIME: 7:31AM





TELEPHONE (505) 748-3311

> EASYLINK 62905278





501 EAST MAIN STREET • P. O. BOX 159 ARTESIA, NEW MEXICO 88211-0159 FAX (505) 746-6410 ACCTG (505) 746-6155 EXEC (505) 748-9077 ENGR (505) 746-4438 P / L

October 19, 1995

Mr. Richard Powell New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau 1190 St. Francis Dr. P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, NM 87502

#### **RE: NPDES STORM WATER RECONNAISSANCE INSPECTION, #NMR00A159**

Dear Mr. Powell,

We are in receipt of your letter of October 3, 1995 in which you reported on the inspection you conducted at our Artesia, NM refinery on July 25, 1995. While we benefited from some very helpful suggestions you made during the inspection, we have some concerns about the report itself. We do not feel the tone of the narrative portion of the report is in keeping with either the positive tone of your visit or the generally satisfactory findings on the inspection forms. In the fourth paragraph you mention that our SWPPP "is only partially complete". We do not agree with this characterization. The SWPPP for Navajo Refining was **substantially** complete and we would like to take this opportunity to address each problem that you pointed out in the narrative portion of your report.

**Certifications:** Of the four required certifications, two were signed at the time of the inspection. Due to an administrative oversight, the General Operator and Non-Storm water statements were not signed. All certifications are now signed.

**Controls:** We are unsure as to what structural controls you are referring to that are not shown. The Retention Basin, Drainage Ditch and Grating on Freeman Street are all shown on the Drainage Area Map. Also, we are in the dark on the meaning of the term non-structural controls and how you show something non-structural on a map. As you know, when the inspection took place, the Retention Basin and the Drainage Basin were under construction. Both have now been completed and the SWPPP updated to reflect the change. Finally, the sampling procedure and protocol have been redone to show these changes.

**Employee Training Records:** As stated at the time of the inspection, employee training records are kept by the Safety Department along with their employee records. We would have been glad to show them to

you had your time permitted. For clarity, since your visit, we have inserted a sheet in the SWPPP to that effect per your suggestion.

**Potential Soil Erosion:** Our understanding of the SWPPP requirements is that erosion must be addressed only if we have areas with high potential for significant soil erosion. Due to the topography in this area, we don't believe this is happening. Therefore, we have not addressed erosion in the SWPPP. Please advise us if you saw any areas you consider to be high soil erosion areas.

**Review and Update of SWPPP:** We acknowledge that our SWPPP was lacking in formal updating. Please be advised, however, that prior to your visit, our practice was to keep track of all changes and summarize them annually in Section 6 of the SWPPP. Since your visit, we have changed these procedures so that we update each affected section of the SWPPP by making appropriate notations as each change becomes effective. The SWPPP is updated accordingly as of this writing. We will follow this notation process during the 3 year cycle between each P. E. certification when a completely revised edition of the SWPPP will be prepared. Our next P.E. recertification is due in April 1996.

**Sampling:** The tone of your report seems to imply that we did not take your previous communication seriously regarding our sampling and reporting methodology. For the record, we want it to be clear that we took it very seriously. We obtained outside consultation on the matter which supported our practices. We forwarded a copy of our consultant's report by our letter dated March 2, 1995 (Copy attached). Regarding the USEPA, we informed them of this matter via a copy of our March 2, 1995 letter and consultant's report. We assume that they would have notified us if they had any concerns. The point is moot at this time anyway. With the construction of the Retention Basin and Drainage Ditch, the only times that storm water will enter Eagle Draw would be during storms capable of causing the Retention Basin to overflow. In such events, captured storm water will be sampled within 24 hours.

**Spill of June 29, 1995:** Frankly, we're puzzled as to why this event was inserted into Section D #3 of the NPDES Compliance Inspection Report. It was never mentioned in the text of the report. Just to reemphasize a few points: 1) This event was more than a 100 year flood event. No system is designed to withstand that much water. 2) Once the spill occurred, we responded per our OPA 90 Plan. We notified and/or worked with many agencies including EPA, NRC, OCD, NMED-Haz Waste, NMED-Surface Water, NM Game and Fish , and Carlsbad Irrigation District. We provided all of these agencies with a copy of our incident report, which, among other things, stated our intent to let the cleanup material biodegrade in place if the residue tested RCRA non-hazardous. It in fact did test RCRA non hazardous. We have heard no negative responses from any of the agencies on our remediation efforts. In fact, Ray Smith and Brian Arrant with the OCD-Artesia office did a visual inspection of Eagle Draw from the refinery to the Pecos River on July 5, 1995 and deemed the clean-up complete. 3) You mention in one of the captions under a photo that you found "oil contaminated materials (orange colored residue) downstream of the refinery in Eagle Creek". Of course, ours is a copy with no color, but we are at a loss to remember seeing anything orange on your visit. This reference of orange residue is not consistent with the spill. The material spilled was slop oil, which is black, and we remediated with peat moss, which is brown.

**Inspection Checklist:** An additional issue not mentioned in your letter is the inspection checklist item under "Annual Site Compliance Evaluation Report" entitled "Certification of Compliance or a List of Non-Compliance". You checked "U" on the form when, in point of fact, this item is part of our SWPPP and was in the plan on the date of your visit. A copy is attached for your reference.

In closing, I would like to restate that we feel that the SWPPP at Navajo Refining was a substantially complete document at the time of your visit. You made some very helpful suggestions on improving our SWPPP and we have implemented those items. With the implementation of your suggestions and the upgrading of our acknowledged deficiencies we have improved the document and look forward to your

next inspection. Thank you for your time in this matter. If there are any questions, please call me at 505-748-3311.

Sincerely, NAVAJO REFINING COMPANY

Phillip L. Youngblood Director of Environmental Affairs

Encl.

7 2

3

cc:Cecilia Kernodle, USEPA (6EN-WT) Taylor Sharpe, USEPA (6EN-WT) NMED, Disrict IV, Roswell NMOCD, Mark Ashley Matt Clifton, Sr. Vice President, Navajo Refining Virgil Langford, Refinery Manager, Navajo Refining



GARY E. JOHNSON GOVERNOR State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT Harold Runnels Building 1190 St. Francis Drive, P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 (505) 827-0187

MARK E. WEIDLER SECRETARY

EDGAR T. THORNTON, III DEPUTY SECRETARY

Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

October 3, 1995

Mr. Mathew P. Clifton Navajo Refining Company 501 East Main Street Artesia, New Mexico 88210



### RE: NPDES storm water Reconnaissance Inspection, #NMR00A159

Dear Mr. Clifton:

Enclosed, please find a copy of the Reconnaissance Inspection that Ann Young and I conducted at your facility on July 25, 1995. This inspection report will be sent to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) in Dallas, for their review. These inspections are used to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit issued in accordance with the federal Clean Water Act.

The problems noted during the inspection are discussed in the Further Explanations section of the inspection report. You are encouraged to review the inspection report, correct any problems noted during the inspection, and to modify your operational procedures and/or Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), as appropriate.

My thanks to Mr. Phillip Youngblood and Mr. Darrell Moore of your staff for their help and cooperation during this inspection. If you have any questions, please contact me at the above address or by telephone at (505) 827-2798.

Sincerely

Richard E. Powell

Environmental Scientist Point Source Regulation Section

xc: USEPA, Dallas (2 copies) Cecilia Kernodle, USEPA (6EN-WT) Taylor Sharpe, USEPA (6EN-WT) NMED, District IV, Roswell NMOCD, Mark Ashley

	UNITED ST	ATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROT	ECTION AGENCY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Sepa	NPDES Con	Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85						
Section A: National Data System Coding								
Section A: National Data System Coding           Transaction Code         NPDES         yr/mo/day         Inspec. Type         Inspector         Fac Type           1         N         2         5         3         N         M         R         0         0         A         1         5         9         11         12         9         5         0         7         2         5         17         18         R         19         20         20         2								
Reserved         Facility Evaluation Rating         BI         QA         Reserved           67         69         70         2         71         72         73         74         75         80								
		Section B: Facility	Data					
Name and Location of Facility Inspecte	xd:		Entry Time [] AM [ X PM	Permit Effective Date				
Navajo Refining Company 2 bl	85 on US82 - Office	ð:04	9-9-92					
on North, 502 East Main Street, Artesia, Eddy C		ġ Co., № 88211	Exit Time/Date 1533 hours 7-25-95	Permit Expiration Date 9–9–97				
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s) Darrell Moore* Phillip Youngblood*	ame(s) of On-Site Representative(s) arrell Moore* hillip Youngblood* Title(s) Environmental Specialist Director of Environmental Affairs							
Name. Address of Responsible Official		Title: Sr. Vice Pro	esident					
Nathew P. Clifton		Phone No.		Contected				
Navajo Refining Company 501 E. Main		(506) 748-3311		* Yes No				
<u>Artesia, NM 88210</u>	Sect	tion C: Areas Evaluated F	During Inspection					
	(S = Satisfactory,	M = Marginal, U = Unst	atisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)					
S Permit	N Flow Measurer	ment N	Pollution Prevention	Operation and Maintenance				
N Records/Reports	N Laboratory	N	Compliance Schedule	N Sludge Disposal				
N Facility Site Review	N Effluent/Receiv	ving Waters	Self-Monitoring Program	M Other: Storm Water				
	Section D: Summary	of Findings/Comments (A	Attach additional sheets if necessary)					
<ol> <li>Permittee has not reviewed, and revised and updated SWPPP since its preparation to include personnel and operational changes at the facility.</li> </ol>								
2. Facility is sampling storm water after it enters Eagle Creek (a water of the U.SL) rather than prior to entering these waters.								
3. This facility had a spill of mixed storm water and hydrocarbon product from one of its waste water separators on June 29, 1995 resulting in a discharge to Eagle Creek.								
Name(s) and Signiture(s) of Inspector(s) Richard E. Powe 1		Agency/Office/Telephone NMED/SWQB (505) 827-2798		Date 10-4-91-				
Signiture Of Reviewer Agency		Agency/Office		Date				
Regulatory Office Use Only								
Action Taken		Date		Compliance Status				
				Noncompliance				
				Compliance				

### NPDES Reconnaissance Inspection Navajo Refining Company Further Explanations

### Introduction

On July 25, 1995, a Reconnaissance Inspection was conducted at the Navajo Refining Company refinery located at Artesia, New Mexico by Richard E. Powell and Ann M. Young of the State of New Mexico Environment Department (NMED). This inspection was conducted to evaluate the permittee's compliance with the NPDES baseline general storm water permit.

Storm water discharges from this facility are to Eagle Creek which is an ephemeral tributary to the Pecos River in Segment 2206 of the Pecos River Basin.

This report is based on review of files maintained by both the permittee and NMED, on-site observation by NMED personnel and verbal information provided by the permittee's representatives. An entrance interview was conducted with Messrs. Phillip Youngblood, Director of Environmental Affairs and Darrell Moore, Environmental Specialist, at the Navajo Refinery office at approximately 1304 hours on July 25, 1995. The inspectors made introductions, presented their credentials and discussed the purpose of the inspection.

### Storm Water Status

The permittee has a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) on-site which is dated March 29, 1993 and is only partially complete. Various required certifications are unsigned, some structural and all non-structural controls are not shown on the site map, employee training records are not included (although the permittee's representatives stated that these records are included with safety training records), areas with a high potential for significant soil erosion are not addressed, etc. In addition, the SWPPP has apparently not been reviewed for completeness and accuracy since it was initially prepared, nor has it been updated to include personnel and operational changes.

This facility is required to conduct semi-annual storm water sampling, due to its classification as an EPCRA Section 313 facility subject to reporting requirements for water priority chemicals. According to the permittee, Eagle Creek (which is a water of the U.S.) and another small drainage enter the west side of the refinery site, merge within the facility boundary and the combined channel exits the east side of the site. Eagle Creek then continues east, entering the Pecos River in Segment 2206 of the Pecos River Basin. For purposes of sampling and reporting on the Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) (last submittal - January 30, 1995), the permittee samples each drainage where it enters the refinery site (upstream), samples the combined offsite drainage and industrial storm water runoff where it leaves the refinery site (downstream) and subtracts the analysis results and flows at the upstream sites from the results at the downstream sampling site. The net results are then reported on the DMRs as outfall 002. Even though these are all instream samples, the permittee designates the upstream samples as outfall 007 & 009, and the downstream sample as outfall 002.

During previous communication with the permittee (telephone -February 3, 1995, written - February 13, 1995) from NMED, and again during this inspection, the permittee was encouraged to contact USEPA, Region 6 regarding the propriety of this sampling and reporting scheme.

Although direct discharges to Eagle Creek continue to occur, the permittee is in the process of constructing a storm water containment lagoon to capture a majority of the storm water runoff from this site. It is unclear what treatment (other than settling) the permittee expects to provide with this lagoon, but it will serve, at the very least to provide a sampling location for storm water discharges, prior to entering Eagle Creek. According to the permittee's representatives, once completed (projected 8-31-95), this lagoon will have a constructed spillway through which the lagoon will discharge to Eagle Creek and form the only storm water outfall at this facility. This will replace those outfalls currently located within Eagle Creek itself.

An exit interview to discuss the findings of this inspection was conducted at approximately 1510 hours on July 25, 1995 with Mr. Youngblood and Mr. Moore, at the refinery office. Please see the attached photographs and their descriptions for additional information.

### Storm Water Industrial General Permit Pollution Prevention Plan

1,4

1

### CHECKLIST

į.

Navajo Refining Company	DATE: <b>7-25-95</b>	PERMIT NO	R 00A159
POLLUTION PREVENTION TEAM			
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS:	S IM DE UI		THER EXPLANATION ATTACHED Yes
1. IDENTIFY SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS. NO CERT SIG, NO SIGS OF NON-STORM, et			Y 💭 N 🗆 N/A 🗂
2. OUTLINE INDIVIDUALS RESPONSIBILITIES. need to add Phil Youngblood			
DESCRIPTION OF POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES			
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. DETAILS:	S 🗆 M 🗷 U 🛙		HER EXPLANATION ATTACHED
1. SITE MAP INDICATING.			SIM KAU UNA D
a) DRAINAGE AREAS			Y 🖪 N 🗆 N/A 🗍
b) DRAINAGE PATTERNS AND OUTFALLS			Y 🖬 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
c) STRUCTURAL AND NON-STRUCTURAL CONTROLS Some structur	ral no non-structur	al	Y 🗆 N 🕭 N/A 🗆
d) SURFACE WATERS			Y 😡 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
e) SIGNIFICANT MATERIALS EXPOSED TO PRECIPITATION <b>On Facil</b>	lity Map		Y 125 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
f) LOCATION OF LEAKS/SPILLS WHICH HAVE OCCURED IN THE LAST	3 YEARS Not 6-29-	95 spill	Y 🗆 N 🖬 N/A 🗆
g) LOCATION OF INDUSTRIAL ACTIVITIES EXPOSED TO PRECIPITATION	ON Area Map - only	/ drainage ar	rea Y 🗷 N 🗆 N/A 🗇
FUELING STATIONS	······		Y 🗆 N 🗆 N/A 🛣
MAINTENANCE OR CLEANING AREAS Facility Map	·		Y 🕼 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
LOADING/UNLOADING AREAS Facility Map			Y 🖬 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
WASTE TREATMENT, STORAGE OR DISPOSAL AREAS Faci	lity Map		Y 🖪 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
LIQUID STORAGE TANKS Facility Map			Y 🔀 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
PROCESSING AREAS Facility Map	······································		Y 🗷 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
STORAGE AREAS Facility Map	· ·		Y 🖬 N 🗖 N/A 🗆
2. LIST OF POLLUTANTS LIKELY TO BE PRESENT IN DISCHARGES.			SD36 M 🗆 U 🗆 N/A 🗔
3. DESCRIPTION OF SIGNIFICANT MATERIALS HANDLED, TREATED, ST THAT EXPOSURE TO STORM WATER OCCURED IN THE LAST 3 YEA	TORED OR DISPOSE ARS.	D OF SUCH	SGEM UNAD
a) DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD AND LOCATION OF STORAGE OR	DISPOSAL		Y 🛛 X N 🗆 N/A 🗆
b) DESCRIPTION OF ALL MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			Y 🗷 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
c) DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION OF EXISTING STRUCTURAL AND NO	ON-STRUCTURAL CO	ONTROLS	Y 🗗 N 🗆 N/A 🗆
4. SUMMARY OF EXISTING STORM WATER SAMPLING DATA			SDS M O U O N/A O
5. DESCRIPTION OF AREAS WITH A HIGH POTENTIAL FOR SIGNIFICAT	NT SOIL EROSION		
6. A NARRATIVE SUMMARIZING POTENTIAL POLLUTANT SOURCES		-	S DEL MIDÍU DI N/A DI

# Storm Water Industrial General Permit Pollution Prevention Plan

43

## CHECKLIST

.....

Navajo Refining Company	date: <b>7-25-95</b>	PERMIT NO. NMROCA159	
DESCRIPTION OF APPROPRIATE MEASURES AND CONTROLS			
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. S DETAILS:		(FURTHER EXPLANATIO	DN ATTACHED <u>No</u> )
1. GOOD HOUSEKEEPING PROCEDURES.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S 🕱	
2. PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES.		S 120	
3. SPILL PREVENTION AND RESPONSE PROCEDURES.		s 🗆	
4. INSPECTION PROCEDURES.		s 🗖	
5. EMPLOYEE TRAINING PROGRAM. Part of safety records - not i	in SWPPD	s 🕱	
6. RECORDKEEPING AND INTERNAL REPORTING PROCEDURES		s 🗷	
7. NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGE CERTIFICATION. not signed		s 🗆	
a) IDENTIFY AUTHORIZED NON-STORM WATER DISCHARGES AND A	PPROPRIATE CONTR	ROLS <b>not</b> z <b>signed</b>	
8. EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROLS FOR AREAS WITH HIGH EROS	SION POTENTIAL.	S DX	
9. A NARRATIVE CONSIDERATION OF TRADITIONAL STORM WATER M		rices. s 🗷	
10. PLANS FOR IMPLEMENTATION AND MAINTENANCE OF TRADITION	AL MEASURES APPR	ROPRIATE. S 🗆	
ANNUAL SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION REPORTS			
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. SD M DETAILS: last 10-10-14-94 by Darrell Moore		RTHER EXPLANATION	NATTACHED <u>NO</u> )
1. SUMMARY OF THE SCOPE OF THE INSPECTION.		S 🕱	
2. PERSONNEL MAKING THE INSPECTION.		s 🗷	
3. MAJOR OBSERVATIONS.		S G	
4. ACTIONS TAKEN TO REVISE THE POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN.		S 🖾	M 🖸 U 🐮 N/A 🗆
5. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE OR A LIST OF INCIDENTS OF NON	-COMPLIANCE.	s d	
COMPLIANCE WITH MUNICIPAL STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	REQUIREMENTS		
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. SO N DETAILS:	10. U0. N/A 🖪 ( <i>FL</i>	IRTHER EXPLANATIO	NATTACHED <u>NO</u> )
CONSISTENCY OF POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN WITH OTHE	R PLANS		
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. SPCC SDE N DETAILS:		IRTHER EXPLANATIO	NATTACHED <u>NO</u> )
SALT STORAGE PILES ONSITE COVERED OR ENCLOSED			
MEETS PERMIT REQUIREMENTS. SD I DETAILS:	MELU ULIN/ADS(FO	URTHER EXPLANATIO	NATTACHED NO

Navajo Refining Company Reconnaissance Inspection, July 25, 1995 Photographs by Rich Powell, NMED



Eagle Creek runs from west to east through the refinery site. This photo was taken from the east side of the plant site looking downstream (west).



Oil contaminated materials (orange colored residue) downstream of the refinery in Eagle Creek. This is from a combined storm water/hydrocarbon product discharge on June 29, 1995. Hydrocarbon contaminated absorbent materials have not yet been removed from the creek.



. · · · ·

GARY E. JOHNSON GOVERNOR State of New Mexico ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT Hazardous & Radioactive Materials Bureau 2044 Galisteo P.O. Box 26110 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502 (505) 827-1557 Fax (505) 827-1544



والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحافظ والمحاف والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع المراجع المراجع

MARK E. WEIDLER SECRETARY

EDGAR T. THORNTON, III DEPUTY SECRETARY

### NAVAJO REFINING COMPANY INSPECTION REPORT

Date of Report:

Date of Inspection:

Facility:

EPA ID Number:

Ownership:

Location:

Mailing Address:

### Phone:

Facility Contact:

Enforcement Letter To:

Notification Status:

Current Operating Status: Type of Inspection: Participants:

Weather:

Time of Entry: Time of Exit: October 2, 1995

August 29-30, 1995

Navajo Refining Company

NMD 048918817

Corporation

501 East Main, Artesia, NM.

P.O. Box 159 Artesia, NM. 88211-0159

(505) 748-3311

Phillip L. Youngblood, Director of Environmental Affairs

Jack Reid, President

Generator/TSD Land Disposal Facility

Generator

Compliance Evaluation Inspection

NMED: Frank Sanchez Michael Le Scouarnec Navajo: David Griffin Phillip Youngblood

Clear, mid 80's

1:00 p.m. 8/29/95 2:30 p.m. 8/30/95

#### INTRODUCTION

1. 5

This inspection was conducted as a Compliance Evaluation Inspection (CEI) to fulfill the FY 95 grant agreement. The last inspection of this facility was conducted on October 9-10, 1991 in which several violations were noted. These violations included failure to conduct an adequate hazardous waste determination for wastewater being discharged into the evaporation ponds, failure to keep records of hazardous waste determinations for solid wastes being disposed of at the truck-by-pass landfarm, failure to keep inspection logs for the North Colony Landfarm, failure to mark accumulation start date on container, failure to label container, and failure to provide hazardous waste management training for The following checklists were completed for this employees. inspection: general facilities, less than 90 day, LDR, closure and post-closure, ground water monitoring, surface impoundments, tanks and containers.

### HISTORY AND NATURE OF BUSINESS

The refinery, located in Artesia, NM., was built by Malco in the early 1930's, and bought later by Continental Oil Co., which became CONOCO. In 1969, CONOCO sold the refinery to the Holly Corporation, the current parent company of Navajo Refining Company. Currently, all oil refined at Navajo is New Mexico derived and refined at a rate of 60,000 barrels/day. In addition to oil sales, Navajo produces approximately 40 tons of sulfur/day which is also marketed.

The permitted and now out of service land treatment unit began operation in 1981, prior to which the TEL weathering area, a surface impoundment, was used for disposal of refinery K-wastes. The TEL area was formerly closed in April 1989, and is currently undergoing post-closure care. The OCD permitted North Colony Landfarm has been inactive since 1991 and was shut down in 1993 and petroleum contaminated wastes are now shipped to CRI, Inc., a landfarm, between Carlsbad and Hobbs.

The evaporation ponds (photos 6-10) will formally be closed by December 1996 as a result of a settlement reached over a Department of Justice lawsuit for discharging treated wastewater containing benzene concentrations above regulatory limits. Currently, the Department of Justice, EPA, and NMED are reviewing the Closure Plan. Where the treated wastewater will be discharged remains at large. The most likely possibility will be in an injection well capable of handling 700 barrels/day (one barrel = 42 gallons).

13.5.

### HAZARDOUS WASTE MANAGEMENT AREAS AND WASTE STREAMS MANAGED

The main hazardous wastes generated at the facility are API separator sludge (K051) and dissolved air flotation (DAF) float (K048). Less frequently generated wastes are slop oil emulsion solids (K049) and heat exchanger bundle cleaning sludge (K050). Leaded tank bottoms (K052) have not been generated in 17 years. Wastewater sumps are employed at the facility, and sludges generated at these sumps meet the F037 listing. At the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP), sludges generated at the equalization, DAF, and flocculation tanks meet the F038 listing. Petroleum naphtha degreasing solvent (D002) is generated in small quantities and is sent to the API separators. In December, 1994, nineteen drums of mercury contaminated soil (D009) were shipped to ENSCO in El Dorado, Arkansas.

In April 1991 Navajo installed a trickling filter at the last treatment unit in its WWTP prior to discharging refinery wastewater into its evaporation ponds. Any sludges generated from treatment units that follow aggressive biological (i.e. secondary) treatment are not included in the listings. Consequently, Navajo installed the trickling filter to avoid the sludges in downstream units, namely the evaporation ponds, from being considered F038 hazardous waste. However, the exemption from the listing does not negate the waste in the ponds from being hazardous waste if it exhibits a characteristic.

Sampling results on the evaporation ponds during EPA's 1991 inspection revealed benzene (D018) levels above TC limits of 0.5 mg/L. This led to the U.S. Department of Justice involvement in which a lawsuit ensued and the evaporation ponds will eventually be closed. Benzene excursions were experienced and reported during the period between November 1994 and July 1995. Navajo believes these excursions were caused by addition to the wastewater system of water "draws" from the refinery's crude oil tanks after receipt of crude oil containing excessively high levels of water. Such crude tank draws are naturally high in benzene from contact with the crude.

The refinery has three API separators, four slop oil tanks, and one wastewater treatment plant. The API separators discharge into an equalization basin, from which effluent flows to a flocculation tank, and then to a DAF tank. Two decanter tanks are used to decant water off the DAF float. Wastewater is then piped to the trickling filter to provide biological, secondary treatment. The wastewater effluent is then piped to offsite evaporation ponds near the banks of the Pecos River a few miles away. These ponds are regulated under an Oil Conservation Division (OCD) discharge plan.

r °. a

Three heat exchanger bundle cleaning areas for cleaning bundles with either naphtha or a non-hazardous solvent exist at the refinery, although only two are currently being used (photos 11,12). Each area consists of a concrete surface pad with a sump to collect cleaning sludge which is connected to the WWTP via an API exchanger.

Non-hazardous waste generated include crude oil tank bottoms and catalyst slurry sludge (sent back to manufacture for recovery photos 13,14), WWTP effluent (sent to evaporation ponds), lab chemicals (sent to API separators), asbestos (shipped to an offsite landfill), domestic sewage (sent to POTW), and general trash (sent to city landfill). The truck-by-pass landfarm is no longer receiving crude oil tank bottoms and oily material, however, the landfarm is covered under the facility's RCRA Facility Investigation, which began in the summer of 1990. The contact at EPA Region VI concerning the RFI is Rich Mayer.

### **RESULTS OF INSPECTION**

The inspection consisted of an inbrief conference, a tour of the facility, a review of records and required documentation, completion of checklists, and an outbrief conference. The following areas of the facility were observed: some of the process/refining units, the north (photo 15), south, and WWTF API separators, the wastewater treatment plant (photos 17,18), the K-waste processing area, the heat exchanger bundle cleaning areas, the North Colony Landfarm (photo 16), the truck-by-pass landfarm, the TEL weathering area (which is closed and capped), the ninety day accumulation container storage area (photos 19,20,21), and the evaporation ponds which receive WWTP effluent (photos 6-10).

Currently, K and F listed wastes are "BDAT" processed centrifugally where the wastewater collected is returned to the WWTP, and the concentrated sludge is then thermally dried or "coked" before containerized and stored in the ninety day accumulation area. The filter press processing system, used during the 1991 inspection, is no longer being utilized.

Scaltech, Inc. has recently been contracted to recycle various K and F listed wastes. The Scaltech unit is designed to operate as an integral part of the refinery's wastewater treatment system and can process a variety of oily waste, wastewater, and wastewater sludges (see attachment 1). The unit accepts various oily wastes from the refinery (including but not limited to K048-K052, F037 and

DI.A.

F038) and separates them into three basic materials: wastewater, oil, and solids slurry. The wastewater is returned to the WWTP for treatment, the oil is mixed with refinery feedstock upstream of the cat cracker or crude distillation unit, and the solids slurry, which consists principally of solids in waste oil, can be shipped offsite for use as kiln fuel (as Scalfuel products, a suspension of solids in waste oil). The Scaltech system will play an integral part of Navajo's waste minimization program.

In a letter to Navajo Refining Company from NMED dated August 14, 1995 (see attachment 2), NMED is allowing the Scaltech process to be exempt from permitting requirements provided the oily waste to be treated by the Scaltech process is stored less than ninety days, as per 20 NMAC 4.1 Subpart III, 40 CFR § 262.34. The Scalfuel products (solids slurry) from the slurry dryer must also be stored less than ninety days, under the same conditions and requirements as the oily waste. Several other conditions, as stated in the letter, must also be met.

The following violations were noted:

- Navajo has failed to mark four (4) 21,000 gallon tanks located in the K-waste processing and ninety day accumulation area with the words "Hazardous Waste". This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR § 262.34(a)(3). (See photo 1 and 2)
- 2. Navajo has failed to provide a written assessment reviewed and certified by an independent, qualified, registered professional engineer attesting to the structural integrity of the four tanks located in the Kwaste processing and ninety day accumulation area. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR §262.34(a)(1)(ii).
- 3. Navajo has failed to provide a secondary containment system for the these four tanks prior to their being put into service. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR §262.34(a)(1)(ii) which refers more specifically to 40 CFR §265.193(a)(1).

£ 4 3 3 4

- 4. Navajo has failed to provide a secondary containment system capable of detecting and collecting releases and accumulated liquids until such collected material can be removed for - the tanks located in the K-waste processing area. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR §262.34(a)(1)(ii) which refers more specifically to 40 CFR §265.193(b)(2).
- 5. Navajo has failed to close two (2) 55 gallon drums and one (1) - 400-500 gallon metal bin (approximately 25% full) of dry K-listed sludge. These containers were located in the ninety day accumulation area. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR § 262.34(a)(1)(i). (See photos 4 and 5)
- 6. Navajo has failed to mark the containers noted in violation (5) with the words "Hazardous Waste". This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR §262.34(a)(3).
- 7. Navajo has failed to mark the containers noted in violation (5) with accumulation start dates. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR §262.34(a)(2).
- 8. Navajo failed to show a legible accumulation start date on one wrangler bag containing K-listed hazardous waste located in the ninety day accumulation area. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.301, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR § 262.34(a)(2). (See photo 22)
- 9. Navajo has failed to retain copy of LDR notices accompanying manifest numbers 00264717 and 00264718. This is a violation of 20 NMAC 4.1.801, which incorporates federal regulation 40 CFR § 268.7(a)(7).

### RECOMMENDED ACTION

Due to the repetitive nature of the of the violations noted as a result of the inspection, Navajo Refining Company is deemed a High Priority, and must be sent a Compliance Order with penalties.

FJS